

# Lazy Evaluation: From natural semantics to a machine-checked compiler transformation

Joachim Breitner  
Programming Paradigms Group  
Karlsruhe Institute for Technology  
[breitner@kit.edu](mailto:breitner@kit.edu)

June 29, 2016

This document contains a rendering of the formal theories corresponding to doctoral thesis “Lazy Evaluation: From natural semantics to a machine-checked compiler transformation” by Joachim Breitner. See <http://www.joachim-breitner.de/thesis> for more details.

These theories are also contained in the Archive of Formal Proofs; please see and cite <http://afp.sourceforge.net/entries/Launchbury.shtml> resp. [http://afp.sourceforge.net/entries/Call\\_Arity.shtml](http://afp.sourceforge.net/entries/Call_Arity.shtml).

## Contents

<b>1 AList-Utils.tex</b>	<b>8</b>
1.1 The domain of an associative list . . . . .	8
1.2 Other lemmas about associative lists . . . . .	9
1.3 Syntax for map comprehensions . . . . .	11
<b>2 HOLCF-Join.tex</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1 Binary Joins and compatibility . . . . .	12
<b>3 HOLCF-Join-Classes.tex</b>	<b>18</b>

<b>4 Env.tex</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1 The domain of a pcpo-valued function . . . . .	23
4.2 Updates . . . . .	24
4.3 Restriction . . . . .	24
4.4 Deleting . . . . .	26
4.5 Merging of two functions . . . . .	28
4.6 Environments with binary joins . . . . .	28
4.7 Singleton environments . . . . .	29
<b>5 Pointwise.tex</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>6 HOLCF-Utils.tex</b>	<b>30</b>
6.1 Composition of fun and cfun . . . . .	33
6.2 Additional transitivity rules . . . . .	34
<b>7 EvalHeap.tex</b>	<b>34</b>
7.1 Conversion from heaps to environments . . . . .	34
7.2 Reordering lemmas . . . . .	36
<b>8 Nominal-Utils.tex</b>	<b>37</b>
8.1 Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs . . . . .	37
8.2 Freshness via equivariance . . . . .	38
8.3 Additional simplification rules . . . . .	39
8.4 Additional equivariance lemmas . . . . .	39
8.5 Freshness lemmas . . . . .	42
8.6 Freshness and support for subsets of variables . . . . .	42
8.7 The set of free variables of an expression . . . . .	42
8.8 Other useful lemmas . . . . .	44
<b>9 AList-Utils-Nominal.tex</b>	<b>46</b>
9.1 Freshness lemmas related to associative lists . . . . .	46
9.2 Equivariance lemmas . . . . .	47
9.3 Freshness and distinctness . . . . .	47
9.4 Pure codomains . . . . .	48
<b>10 Nominal-HOLCF.tex</b>	<b>48</b>
10.1 Type class of continuous permutations and variations thereof . . . . .	48
10.2 Instance for <i>cfun</i> . . . . .	50
10.3 Instance for <i>fun</i> . . . . .	51
10.4 Instance for <i>u</i> . . . . .	52
10.5 Instance for <i>lift</i> . . . . .	53
10.6 Instance for <i>prod</i> . . . . .	53
<b>11 Env-HOLCF.tex</b>	<b>54</b>
11.1 Continuity and pcpo-valued functions . . . . .	54

<b>12 HasESem.tex</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>13 Iterative.tex</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>14 Env-Nominal.tex</b>	<b>58</b>
14.1 Equivariance lemmas . . . . .	59
14.2 Permutation and restriction . . . . .	59
14.3 Pure codomains . . . . .	60
<b>15 HeapSemantics.tex</b>	<b>61</b>
15.1 A locale for heap semantics, abstract in the expression semantics . . . . .	61
15.2 Induction and other lemmas about <i>HSem</i> . . . . .	61
15.3 Substitution . . . . .	64
15.4 Re-calculating the semantics of the heap is idempotent . . . . .	65
15.5 Iterative definition of the heap semantics . . . . .	65
15.6 Fresh variables on the heap are irrelevant . . . . .	66
15.7 Freshness . . . . .	67
15.8 Adding a fresh variable to a heap does not affect its semantics . . . . .	68
15.9 Mutual recursion with fresh variables . . . . .	69
15.10 Parallel induction . . . . .	71
15.11 Congruence rule . . . . .	71
15.12 Equivariance of the heap semantics . . . . .	71
<b>16 Vars.tex</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>17 Terms.tex</b>	<b>72</b>
17.1 Expressions . . . . .	72
17.2 Rewriting in terms of heaps . . . . .	73
17.3 Nice induction rules . . . . .	77
17.4 Testing alpha equivalence . . . . .	79
17.5 Free variables . . . . .	79
17.6 Lemmas helping with nominal definitions . . . . .	80
17.7 A smart constructor for lets . . . . .	81
17.8 A predicate for value expressions . . . . .	81
17.9 The notion of thunks . . . . .	82
17.10 Non-recursive Let bindings . . . . .	83
17.11 Renaming a lambda-bound variable . . . . .	85
<b>18 AbstractDenotational.tex</b>	<b>85</b>
18.1 The denotational semantics for expressions . . . . .	86
<b>19 Substitution.tex</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>20 Abstract-Denotational-Props.tex</b>	<b>94</b>
20.1 The semantics ignores fresh variables . . . . .	94

20.2	Nicer equations for ESem, without freshness requirements . . . . .	95
20.3	Denotation of Substitution . . . . .	96
<b>21</b>	<b>Value.tex</b>	<b>97</b>
21.1	The semantic domain for values and environments . . . . .	98
<b>22</b>	<b>Value-Nominal.tex</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>23</b>	<b>Denotational.tex</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>24</b>	<b>Launchbury.tex</b>	<b>101</b>
24.1	The natural semantics . . . . .	101
24.2	Example evaluations . . . . .	102
24.3	Better introduction rules . . . . .	102
24.4	Properties of the semantics . . . . .	103
<b>25</b>	<b>CorrectnessOriginal.tex</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>26</b>	<b>Mono-Nat-Fun.tex</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>27</b>	<b>C.tex</b>	<b>112</b>
<b>28</b>	<b>CValue.tex</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>29</b>	<b>CValue-Nominal.tex</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>30</b>	<b>HOLCF-Meet.tex</b>	<b>116</b>
30.1	Towards meets: Lower bounds . . . . .	116
30.2	Greatest lower bounds . . . . .	117
<b>31</b>	<b>C-Meet.tex</b>	<b>120</b>
<b>32</b>	<b>C-restr.tex</b>	<b>122</b>
32.1	The demand of a <i>C</i> -function . . . . .	122
32.2	Restricting functions with domain C . . . . .	125
32.3	Restricting maps of C-ranged functions . . . . .	126
<b>33</b>	<b>ResourcedDenotational.tex</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>34</b>	<b>CorrectnessResourced.tex</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>35</b>	<b>ResourcedAdequacy.tex</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>36</b>	<b>ValueSimilarity.tex</b>	<b>141</b>
36.1	A note about section 2.3 . . . . .	142
36.2	Working with <i>Value</i> and <i>CValue</i> . . . . .	143
36.3	Restricted similarity is defined recursively . . . . .	144

36.4	Moving up and down the similarity relations . . . . .	145
36.5	Admissibility . . . . .	146
36.6	The real similarity relation . . . . .	148
36.7	The similarity relation lifted pointwise to functions. . . . .	152
<b>37</b>	<b>Denotational-Related.tex</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>38</b>	<b>Adequacy.tex</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>39</b>	<b>BalancedTraces.tex</b>	<b>154</b>
<b>40</b>	<b>SestoftConf.tex</b>	<b>158</b>
40.1	Invariants of the semantics . . . . .	162
<b>41</b>	<b>Sestoft.tex</b>	<b>165</b>
41.1	Equivariance . . . . .	168
41.2	Invariants . . . . .	168
<b>42</b>	<b>SestoftCorrect.tex</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>43</b>	<b>Arity.tex</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>44</b>	<b>AEnv.tex</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>45</b>	<b>Arity-Nominal.tex</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>46</b>	<b>ArityAnalysisSig.tex</b>	<b>178</b>
<b>47</b>	<b>ArityAnalysisAbinds.tex</b>	<b>179</b>
47.1	Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups . . . . .	179
<b>48</b>	<b>ArityAnalysisSpec.tex</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>49</b>	<b>TrivialArityAnal.tex</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>50</b>	<b>Cardinality-Domain.tex</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>51</b>	<b>CardinalityAnalysisSig.tex</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>52</b>	<b>ConstOn.tex</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>53</b>	<b>CardinalityAnalysisSpec.tex</b>	<b>190</b>
<b>54</b>	<b>ArityAnalysisStack.tex</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>55</b>	<b>NoCardinalityAnalysis.tex</b>	<b>192</b>

<b>56 TransformTools.tex</b>	<b>196</b>
<b>57 AbstractTransform.tex</b>	<b>198</b>
<b>58 EtaExpansion.tex</b>	<b>204</b>
<b>59 EtaExpansionSafe.tex</b>	<b>206</b>
<b>60 ArityStack.tex</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>61 ArityEtaExpansion.tex</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>62 ArityEtaExpansionSafe.tex</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>63 ArityTransform.tex</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>64 ArityConsistent.tex</b>	<b>210</b>
<b>65 ArityTransformSafe.tex</b>	<b>216</b>
<b>66 Set-Cpo.tex</b>	<b>222</b>
<b>67 Env-Set-Cpo.tex</b>	<b>224</b>
<b>68 CoCallGraph.tex</b>	<b>224</b>
<b>69 CoCallAnalysisSig.tex</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>70 AList-Utils-HOLCF.tex</b>	<b>234</b>
<b>71 CoCallGraph-Nominal.tex</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>72 CoCallAnalysisBinds.tex</b>	<b>237</b>
<b>73 ArityAnalysisFix.tex</b>	<b>240</b>
<b>74 CoCallFix.tex</b>	<b>245</b>
74.1 The non-recursive case . . . . .	249
74.2 Combining the cases . . . . .	251
<b>75 CoCallAnalysisImpl.tex</b>	<b>252</b>
<b>76 CallArityEnd2End.tex</b>	<b>259</b>
<b>77 SestoftGC.tex</b>	<b>261</b>
<b>78 CardArityTransformSafe.tex</b>	<b>269</b>

<b>79 CoCallAritySig.tex</b>	<b>281</b>
<b>80 CoCallAnalysisSpec.tex</b>	<b>281</b>
<b>81 ArityAnalysisFixProps.tex</b>	<b>282</b>
<b>82 CoCallImplSafe.tex</b>	<b>283</b>
<b>83 List-Interleavings.tex</b>	<b>293</b>
<b>84 TTree.tex</b>	<b>297</b>
84.1 Prefix-closed sets of lists . . . . .	297
84.2 The type of infinite labeled trees . . . . .	298
84.3 Deconstructors . . . . .	298
84.4 Trees as set of paths . . . . .	298
84.5 The carrier of a tree . . . . .	300
84.6 Repeatable trees . . . . .	300
84.7 Simple trees . . . . .	301
84.8 Intersection of two trees . . . . .	302
84.9 Disjoint union of trees . . . . .	302
84.10 Merging of trees . . . . .	303
84.11 Removing elements from a tree . . . . .	306
84.12 Multiple variables, each called at most once . . . . .	308
84.13 Substituting trees for every node . . . . .	309
<b>85 TTree-HOLCF.tex</b>	<b>325</b>
<b>86 AnalBinds.tex</b>	<b>331</b>
<b>87 TTreeAnalysisSig.tex</b>	<b>332</b>
<b>88 CoCallGraph-TTree.tex</b>	<b>332</b>
<b>89 CoCallImplTTree.tex</b>	<b>350</b>
<b>90 Cardinality-Domain-Lists.tex</b>	<b>351</b>
<b>91 TTreeAnalysisSpec.tex</b>	<b>353</b>
<b>92 CoCallImplTTreeSafe.tex</b>	<b>354</b>
<b>93 TTreeImplCardinality.tex</b>	<b>365</b>
<b>94 TTreeImplCardinalitySafe.tex</b>	<b>365</b>
<b>95 CallArityEnd2EndSafe.tex</b>	<b>374</b>

## 1 AList-Utils.tex

```
theory AList-Utils
imports Main ~~/src/HOL/Library/AList
begin
declare implies-True-equals [simp] False-implies-equals[simp]
```

We want to have *delete* and *update* back in the namespace.

```
abbreviation delete where delete ≡ AList.delete
abbreviation update where update ≡ AList.update
abbreviation restrictA where restrictA ≡ AList.restrict
abbreviation clearjunk where clearjunk ≡ AList.clearjunk
```

```
lemmas restrict-eq = AList.restrict-eq
and delete-eq = AList.delete-eq

lemma restrictA-append: restrictA S (a@b) = restrictA S a @ restrictA S b
  unfolding restrict-eq by (rule filter-append)

lemma length-restrictA-le: length (restrictA S a) ≤ length a
  by (metis length-filter-le restrict-eq)
```

### 1.1 The domain of an associative list

```
definition domA
  where domA h = fst ` set h
```

```
lemma domA-append[simp]: domA (a @ b) = domA a ∪ domA b
  and [simp]: domA ((v,e) # h) = insert v (domA h)
  and [simp]: domA (p # h) = insert (fst p) (domA h)
  and [simp]: domA [] = {}
  by (auto simp add: domA-def)
```

```
lemma domA-from-set:
  (x, e) ∈ set h ⇒ x ∈ domA h
  by (induct h, auto)
```

```
lemma finite-domA[simp]:
  finite (domA Γ)
  by (auto simp add: domA-def)
```

```
lemma domA-delete[simp]:
  domA (delete x Γ) = domA Γ - {x}
  by (induct Γ) auto
```

```
lemma domA-restrictA[simp]:
```

$\text{domA}(\text{restrictA } S \Gamma) = \text{domA } \Gamma \cap S$   
**by** (*induct*  $\Gamma$ ) *auto*

**lemma** *delete-not-domA*[*simp*]:  
 $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{delete } x \Gamma = \Gamma$   
**by** (*induct*  $\Gamma$ ) *auto*

**lemma** *deleted-not-domA*:  $x \notin \text{domA}(\text{delete } x \Gamma)$   
**by** (*induct*  $\Gamma$ ) *auto*

**lemma** *dom-map-of-conv-domA*:  
 $\text{dom}(\text{map-of } \Gamma) = \text{domA } \Gamma$   
**by** (*induct*  $\Gamma$ ) (*auto simp add: dom-if*)

**lemma** *domA-map-of-Some-the*:  
 $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some}(\text{the } (\text{map-of } \Gamma x))$   
**by** (*induct*  $\Gamma$ ) (*auto simp add: dom-if*)

**lemma** *domA-clearjunk*[*simp*]:  $\text{domA}(\text{clearjunk } \Gamma) = \text{domA } \Gamma$   
**unfolding** *domA-def* **using** *dom-clearjunk*.

**lemma** *the-map-option-domA*[*simp*]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{the } (\text{map-option } f (\text{map-of } \Gamma x)) = f(\text{the } (\text{map-of } \Gamma x))$   
**by** (*induction*  $\Gamma$ ) *auto*

**lemma** *map-of-domAD*:  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$   
**using** *dom-map-of-conv-domA* **by** *fastforce*

**lemma** *restrictA-noop*:  $\text{domA } \Gamma \subseteq S \implies \text{restrictA } S \Gamma = \Gamma$   
**unfolding** *restrict-eq* **by** (*induction*  $\Gamma$ ) *auto*

**lemma** *restrictA-cong*:  
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } m1 \implies x \in V \longleftrightarrow x \in V') \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{restrictA } V m1 = \text{restrictA } V' m2$   
**unfolding** *restrict-eq* **by** (*induction*  $m1$  *arbitrary: m2*) *auto*

## 1.2 Other lemmas about associative lists

**lemma** *delete-set-none*:  $(\text{map-of } l)(x := \text{None}) = \text{map-of } (\text{delete } x l)$   
**proof** (*induct*  $l$ )  
**case** *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*  
**case** (*Cons l ls*)  
**from** *this*[*symmetric*]  
**show** *?case*  
**by** (*cases fst l = x*) *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *list-size-delete*[*simp*]:  $\text{size-list size } (\text{delete } x l) < \text{Suc } (\text{size-list size } l)$   
**by** (*induct*  $l$ ) *auto*

```

lemma delete-append[simp]: delete x (l1 @ l2) = delete x l1 @ delete x l2
  unfolding AList.delete-eq by simp

lemma map-of-delete-insert:
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e
  shows map-of ((x,e) # delete x  $\Gamma$ ) = map-of  $\Gamma$ 
  using assms by (induct  $\Gamma$ ) (auto split:prod.split)

lemma map-of-delete-iff[simp]: map-of (delete x  $\Gamma$ ) xa = Some e  $\longleftrightarrow$  (map-of  $\Gamma$  xa = Some e)  $\wedge$  xa  $\neq$  x
  by (metis delete-conv fun-upd-same map-of-delete option.distinct(1))

lemma map-add-domA[simp]:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies (\text{map-of } \Delta \text{ ++ map-of } \Gamma) x = \text{map-of } \Gamma x$ 
   $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies (\text{map-of } \Delta \text{ ++ map-of } \Gamma) x = \text{map-of } \Delta x$ 
  apply (metis dom-map-of-conv-domA map-add-dom-app-simps(1))
  apply (metis dom-map-of-conv-domA map-add-dom-app-simps(3))
  done

lemma map-of-empty-iff1[simp]: map-of  $\Gamma$  = empty  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\Gamma = []$ 
  by (cases  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma map-of-empty-iff2[simp]: empty = map-of  $\Gamma$   $\longleftrightarrow$   $\Gamma = []$ 
  apply (subst eq-commute)
  by (rule map-of-empty-iff1)

lemma set-delete-subset: set (delete k al)  $\subseteq$  set al
  by (auto simp add: delete-eq)

lemma dom-delete-subset: snd ` set (delete k al)  $\subseteq$  snd ` set al
  by (auto simp add: delete-eq)

lemma map-ran-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket \bigwedge x . x \in \text{set } m1 \implies f1 (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) = f2 (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) ; m1 = m2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{map-ran } f1 m1 = \text{map-ran } f2 m2$ 
  by (induction m1 arbitrary: m2) auto

lemma domA-map-ran[simp]: domA (map-ran f m) = domA m
  unfolding domA-def by (rule dom-map-ran)

lemma map-ran-delete:
  map-ran f (delete x  $\Gamma$ ) = delete x (map-ran f  $\Gamma$ )
  by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma map-ran-restrictA:
  map-ran f (restrictA V  $\Gamma$ ) = restrictA V (map-ran f  $\Gamma$ )

```

```

by (induction Γ) auto

lemma map-ran-append:
map-ran f (Γ@Δ) = map-ran f Γ @ map-ran f Δ
by (induction Γ) auto

```

### 1.3 Syntax for map comprehensions

```

definition mapCollect :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('a → 'b) ⇒ 'c set
  where mapCollect f m = {f k v | k v . m k = Some v}

```

**syntax**

-MapCollect :: 'c ⇒ pttrn => pttrn ⇒ 'a → 'b => 'c set ((1{-|/-/→/-/∈/-/}))

**translations**

{e | k ↦ v ∈ m} == CONST mapCollect (λk v. e) m

```

lemma mapCollect-empty[simp]: {f k v | k ↦ v ∈ empty} = {}
  unfolding mapCollect-def by simp

```

**lemma** mapCollect-const[simp]:

$m \neq \text{empty} \implies \{e | k \mapsto v \in m\} = \{e\}$

unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

**lemma** mapCollect-cong[fundef-cong]:

$(\bigwedge k v. m1 k = \text{Some } v \implies f1 k v = f2 k v) \implies m1 = m2 \implies \text{mapCollect } f1 m1 = \text{mapCollect } f2 m2$

unfolding mapCollect-def by force

**lemma** mapCollectE[elim!]:

assumes  $x \in \{f k v | k \mapsto v \in m\}$

obtains  $k v$  where  $m k = \text{Some } v$  and  $x = f k v$

using assms by (auto simp add: mapCollect-def)

**lemma** mapCollectI[intro]:

assumes  $m k = \text{Some } v$

shows  $f k v \in \{f k v | k \mapsto v \in m\}$

using assms by (auto simp add: mapCollect-def)

**lemma** ball-mapCollect[simp]:

$(\forall x \in \{f k v | k \mapsto v \in m\}. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall k v. m k = \text{Some } v \longrightarrow P (f k v))$

by (auto simp add: mapCollect-def)

**lemma** image-mapCollect[simp]:

$g ` \{f k v | k \mapsto v \in m\} = \{g (f k v) | k \mapsto v \in m\}$

by (auto simp add: mapCollect-def)

**lemma** mapCollect-map-upd[simp]:

$\text{mapCollect } f (m(k \mapsto v)) = \text{insert } (f k v) (\text{mapCollect } f (m(k := \text{None})))$

```

unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

definition mapCollectFilter :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ (bool × 'c)) ⇒ ('a → 'b) ⇒ 'c set
  where mapCollectFilter f m = {snd (f k v) | k v . m k = Some v ∧ fst (f k v)}

```

**syntax**

```
-MapCollectFilter :: 'c ⇒ pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ ('a → 'b) ⇒ bool ⇒ 'c set ((1{-|/-/→/-/∈/-./.-}))
```

**translations**

```
{e | k→v ∈ m . P } == CONST mapCollectFilter (λk v. (P,e)) m
```

**lemma** mapCollectFilter-const-False[simp]:

```
{e | k→v ∈ m . False } = {}
```

```
unfolding mapCollect-def mapCollectFilter-def by simp
```

**lemma** mapCollectFilter-const-True[simp]:

```
{e | k→v ∈ m . True } = {e | k→v ∈ m}
```

```
unfolding mapCollect-def mapCollectFilter-def by simp
```

**end**

## 2 HOLCF-Join.tex

```

theory HOLCF-Join
imports ∽/src/HOL/HOLCF/HOLCF
begin

```

### 2.1 Binary Joins and compatibility

**context** cpo

**begin**

```
definition join :: 'a => 'a => 'a (infixl ∘ 80) where
  x ∘ y = (if ∃ z. {x, y} <<| z then lub {x, y} else x)
```

```
definition compatible :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  where compatible x y = (∃ z. {x, y} <<| z)
```

**lemma** compatibleI:

```
assumes x ⊑ z
```

```
assumes y ⊑ z
```

```
assumes ⋀ a. [ x ⊑ a ; y ⊑ a ] ==> z ⊑ a
shows compatible x y
```

**proof-**

```
from assms
```

```
have {x,y} <<| z
```

```

by (auto intro: is-lubI)
thus ?thesis unfolding compatible-def by (metis)
qed

lemma is-joinI:
assumes x ⊑ z
assumes y ⊑ z
assumes ⋀ a. [ x ⊑ a ; y ⊑ a ] ==> z ⊑ a
shows x ∪ y = z
proof-
from assms
have {x,y} <<| z
  by (auto intro: is-lubI)
thus ?thesis unfolding join-def by (metis lub-eqI)
qed

lemma is-join-and-compatible:
assumes x ⊑ z
assumes y ⊑ z
assumes ⋀ a. [ x ⊑ a ; y ⊑ a ] ==> z ⊑ a
shows compatible x y ∧ x ∪ y = z
by (metis compatibleI is-joinI assms)

lemma compatible-sym: compatible x y ==> compatible y x
unfolding compatible-def by (metis insert-commute)

lemma compatible-sym-iff: compatible x y ↔ compatible y x
unfolding compatible-def by (metis insert-commute)

lemma join-above1: compatible x y ==> x ⊑ x ∪ y
unfolding compatible-def join-def
apply auto
by (metis is-lubD1 is-ub-insert lub-eqI)

lemma join-above2: compatible x y ==> y ⊑ x ∪ y
unfolding compatible-def join-def
apply auto
by (metis is-lubD1 is-ub-insert lub-eqI)

lemma larger-is-join1: y ⊑ x ==> x ∪ y = x
unfolding join-def
by (metis doubleton-eq-iff lub-bin)

lemma larger-is-join2: x ⊑ y ==> x ∪ y = y
unfolding join-def
by (metis is-lub-bin lub-bin)

lemma join-self[simp]: x ∪ x = x
unfolding join-def by auto

```

```

end

lemma join-commute: compatible x y ==> x ⊔ y = y ⊔ x
  unfolding compatible-def unfolding join-def by (metis insert-commute)

lemma lub-is-join:
  {x, y} <<| z ==> x ⊔ y = z
  unfolding join-def by (metis lub-eqI)

lemma compatible-refl[simp]: compatible x x
  by (rule compatibleI[OF below-refl below-refl])

lemma join-mono:
  assumes compatible a b
  and compatible c d
  and a ⊑ c
  and b ⊑ d
  shows a ⊔ b ⊑ c ⊔ d
proof-
  from assms obtain x y where {a, b} <<| x {c, d} <<| y unfolding compatible-def by auto
  with assms have a ⊑ y b ⊑ y by (metis below.r-trans is-lubD1 is-ub-insert) +
  with ⟨{a, b} <<| x⟩ have x ⊑ y by (metis is-lub-below-iff is-lub-singleton is-ub-insert)
  moreover
  from ⟨{a, b} <<| x⟩ ⟨{c, d} <<| y⟩ have a ⊔ b = x c ⊔ d = y by (metis lub-is-join) +
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma
  assumes compatible x y
  shows join-above1: x ⊑ x ⊔ y and join-above2: y ⊑ x ⊔ y
proof-
  from assms obtain z where {x,y} <<| z unfolding compatible-def by auto
  hence x ⊔ y = z and x ⊑ z and y ⊑ z apply (auto intro: lub-is-join) by (metis is-lubD1 is-ub-insert) +
  thus x ⊑ x ⊔ y and y ⊑ x ⊔ y by simp-all
qed

lemma
  assumes compatible x y
  shows compatible-above1: compatible x (x ⊔ y) and compatible-above2: compatible y (x ⊔ y)
proof-
  from assms obtain z where {x,y} <<| z unfolding compatible-def by auto
  hence x ⊔ y = z and x ⊑ z and y ⊑ z apply (auto intro: lub-is-join) by (metis is-lubD1 is-ub-insert) +
  thus compatible x (x ⊔ y) and compatible y (x ⊔ y) by (metis below.r-refl compatibleI) +
qed

```

```

lemma join-below:
  assumes compatible x y
  and x ⊑ a and y ⊑ a
  shows x ∪ y ⊑ a
proof-
  from assms obtain z where z: {x,y} <<| z unfolding compatible-def by auto
  with assms have z ⊑ a by (metis is-lub-below-iff is-ub-empty is-ub-insert)
  moreover
  from z have x ∪ y = z by (rule lub-is-join)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma join-below-iff:
  assumes compatible x y
  shows x ∪ y ⊑ a ↔ (x ⊑ a ∧ y ⊑ a)
  by (metis assms below-trans cpo-class.join-above1 cpo-class.join-above2 join-below)

lemma join-assoc:
  assumes compatible x y
  assumes compatible x (y ∪ z)
  assumes compatible y z
  shows (x ∪ y) ∪ z = x ∪ (y ∪ z)
  apply (rule is-joinI)
  apply (rule join-mono[OF assms(1) assms(2) below-refl join-above1[OF assms(3)]])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF join-above2[OF assms(3)] join-above2[OF assms(2)]])
  apply (rule join-below[OF assms(2)])
  apply (erule rev-below-trans)
  apply (rule join-above1[OF assms(1)])
  apply (rule join-below[OF assms(3)])
  apply (erule rev-below-trans)
  apply (rule join-above2[OF assms(1)])
  apply assumption
done

lemma join-idem[simp]: compatible x y ==> x ∪ (x ∪ y) = x ∪ y
  apply (subst join-assoc[symmetric])
  apply (rule compatible-refl)
  apply (erule compatible-above1)
  apply assumption
  apply (subst join-self)
  apply rule
done

lemma join-bottom[simp]: x ∪ ⊥ = x ⊥ ∪ x = x
  by (auto intro: is-joinI)

lemma compatible-adm2:
  shows adm (λ y. compatible x y)

```

```

proof(rule admI)
  fix Y
  assume c: chain Y and  $\forall i.$  compatible x (Y i)
  hence a:  $\bigwedge i.$  compatible x (Y i) by auto
  show compatible x ( $\bigsqcup i.$  Y i)
  proof(rule compatibleI)
    have c2: chain ( $\lambda i.$  x  $\sqcup$  Y i)
    apply (rule chainI)
    apply (rule join-mono[OF a a below-refl chainE[OF <chain Y>]])
    done
    show x  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\bigsqcup i.$  x  $\sqcup$  Y i)
      by (auto intro: admD[OF - c2] join-above1[OF a])
    show ( $\bigsqcup i.$  Y i)  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\bigsqcup i.$  x  $\sqcup$  Y i)
      by (auto intro: admD[OF - c] below-lub[OF c2 join-above2[OF a]])
    fix a
    assume x  $\sqsubseteq$  a and ( $\bigsqcup i.$  Y i)  $\sqsubseteq$  a
    show ( $\bigsqcup i.$  x  $\sqcup$  Y i)  $\sqsubseteq$  a
      apply (rule lub-below[OF c2])
      apply (rule join-below[OF a <x  $\sqsubseteq$  a>])
      apply (rule below-trans[OF is-ub-the-lub[OF c] <( $\bigsqcup i.$  Y i)  $\sqsubseteq$  a>])
      done
  qed
qed

lemma compatible-adm1: adm ( $\lambda x.$  compatible x y)
  by (subst compatible-sym-iff, rule compatible-adm2)

lemma join-cont1:
  assumes chain Y
  assumes compat:  $\bigwedge i.$  compatible (Y i) y
  shows ( $\bigsqcup i.$  Y i)  $\sqcup$  y = ( $\bigsqcup i.$  Y i  $\sqcup$  y)
  proof-
    have c: chain ( $\lambda i.$  Y i  $\sqcup$  y)
      apply (rule chainI)
      apply (rule join-mono[OF compat compat chainE[OF <chain Y>] below-refl])
      done

    show ?thesis
      apply (rule is-joinI)
      apply (rule lub-mono[OF <chain Y> c join-above1[OF compat]])
      apply (rule below-lub[OF c join-above2[OF compat]])
      apply (rule lub-below[OF c])
      apply (rule join-below[OF compat])
      apply (metis lub-below-iff[OF <chain Y>])
      apply assumption
      done
  qed

lemma join-cont2:

```

```

assumes chain Y
assumes compat:  $\bigwedge i. \text{compatible } x (Y i)$ 
shows  $x \sqcup (\bigsqcup i. Y i) = (\bigsqcup i. x \sqcup Y i)$ 
proof-
  have c: chain ( $\lambda i. x \sqcup Y i$ )
    apply (rule chainI)
    apply (rule join-mono[OF compat compat below-refl chainE[OF <chain Y>]])
    done

  show ?thesis
    apply (rule is-joinI)
    apply (rule below-lub[OF c join-above1[OF compat]])
    apply (rule lub-mono[OF <chain Y> c join-above2[OF compat]])
    apply (rule lub-below[OF c])
    apply (rule join-below[OF compat])
    apply assumption
    apply (metis lub-below-iff[OF <chain Y>])
    done
qed

lemma join-cont12:
assumes chain Y and chain Z
assumes compat:  $\bigwedge i j. \text{compatible } (Y i) (Z j)$ 
shows  $(\bigsqcup i. Y i) \sqcup (\bigsqcup i. Z i) = (\bigsqcup i. Y i \sqcup Z i)$ 
proof-
  have  $(\bigsqcup i. Y i) \sqcup (\bigsqcup i. Z i) = (\bigsqcup i. Y i \sqcup (\bigsqcup j. Z j))$ 
    by (rule join-cont1[OF <chain Y> admD[OF compatible-adm2 <chain Z> compat]])
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup i j. Y i \sqcup Z j)$ 
    by (subst join-cont2[OF <chain Z> compat], rule)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup i. Y i \sqcup Z i)$ 
    apply (rule diag-lub)
    apply (rule chainI, rule join-mono[OF compat compat chainE[OF <chain Y>] below-refl])
    apply (rule chainI, rule join-mono[OF compat compat below-refl chainE[OF <chain Z>]])
    done
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

context pcpo
begin

  lemma bot-compatible[simp]:
    compatible x  $\perp$  compatible  $\perp$  x
    unfolding compatible-def by (metis insert-commute is-lub-bin minimal)+
end

end

```

### 3 HOLCF-Join-Classes.tex

```

theory HOLCF-Join-Classes
imports HOLCF-Join
begin

class Finite-Join-cpo = cpo +
  assumes all-compatible: compatible x y

lemmas join-mono = join-mono[OF all-compatible all-compatible]
lemmas join-above1[simp] = all-compatible[THEN join-above1]
lemmas join-above2[simp] = all-compatible[THEN join-above2]
lemmas join-below[simp] = all-compatible[THEN join-below]
lemmas join-below-iff = all-compatible[THEN join-below-iff]
lemmas join-assoc[simp] = join-assoc[OF all-compatible all-compatible all-compatible]
lemmas join-comm[simp] = all-compatible[THEN join-commute]

lemma join-lc[simp]: x ⊔ (y ⊔ z) = y ⊔ (x ⊔ (z::'a::Finite-Join-cpo))
  by (metis join-assoc join-comm)

lemma join-cont': chain Y ==> (⊔ i. Y i) ⊔ y = (⊔ i. Y i ⊔ (y::'a::Finite-Join-cpo))
  by (metis all-compatible join-cont1)

lemma join-cont1:
  fixes y :: 'a :: Finite-Join-cpo
  shows cont (λx. (x ⊔ y))
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (metis below-refl join-mono)
  apply (rule eq-imp-below)
  apply (rule join-cont')
  apply assumption
  done

lemma join-cont2:
  fixes x :: 'a :: Finite-Join-cpo
  shows cont (λy. (x ⊔ y))
  unfolding join-comm by (rule join-cont1)

lemma join-cont[cont2cont,simp]: cont f ==> cont g ==> cont (λx. (f x ⊔ (g x::'a::Finite-Join-cpo)))
  apply (rule cont2cont-case-prod[where g = λ x. (f x, g x) and f = λ p x y . x ⊔ y,
  simplified])
  apply (rule join-cont2)
  apply (metis cont2cont-Pair)
  done

instantiation fun :: (type, Finite-Join-cpo) Finite-Join-cpo
begin
  definition fun-join :: ('a ⇒ 'b) → ('a ⇒ 'b) → ('a ⇒ 'b)

```

```

where fun-join = ( $\Lambda$  f g . ( $\lambda$  x. (f x)  $\sqcup$  (g x)))
lemma [simp]: (fun-join·f·g) x = (f x)  $\sqcup$  (g x)
  unfolding fun-join-def
    apply (subst beta-cfun, intro cont2cont cont2cont-lambda cont2cont-fun)+  

    ..
  instance
  apply standard
  proof(intro compatibleI exI conjI strip)
    fix x y
    show x  $\sqsubseteq$  fun-join·x·y by (auto simp add: fun-below-iff)
    show y  $\sqsubseteq$  fun-join·x·y by (auto simp add: fun-below-iff)
    fix z
    assume x  $\sqsubseteq$  z and y  $\sqsubseteq$  z
    thus fun-join·x·y  $\sqsubseteq$  z by (auto simp add: fun-below-iff)
  qed
end

instantiation cfun :: (cpo, Finite-Join-cpo) Finite-Join-cpo
begin
  definition cfun-join :: ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b)  $\rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b)  $\rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b)
    where cfun-join = ( $\Lambda$  f g x. (f · x)  $\sqcup$  (g · x))
  lemma [simp]: cfun-join·f·g·x = (f · x)  $\sqcup$  (g · x)
    unfolding cfun-join-def
      apply (subst beta-cfun, intro cont2cont cont2cont-lambda cont2cont-fun)+  

      ..
  instance
  apply standard
  proof(intro compatibleI exI conjI strip)
    fix x y
    show x  $\sqsubseteq$  cfun-join·x·y by (auto simp add: cfun-below-iff)
    show y  $\sqsubseteq$  cfun-join·x·y by (auto simp add: cfun-below-iff)
    fix z
    assume x  $\sqsubseteq$  z and y  $\sqsubseteq$  z
    thus cfun-join·x·y  $\sqsubseteq$  z by (auto simp add: cfun-below-iff)
  qed
end

lemma bot-lub[simp]: S <<| ⊥  $\longleftrightarrow$  S  $\subseteq$  {⊥}
  by (auto dest!: is-lubD1 is-ubD intro: is-lubI is-ubI)

lemma compatible-up[simp]: compatible (up·x) (up·y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  compatible x y
proof
  assume compatible (up·x) (up·y)
  then obtain z' where z': {up·x, up·y} <<| z' unfolding compatible-def by auto
  then obtain z where {up·x, up·y} <<| up·z by (cases z') auto
  hence {x, y} <<| z
    unfolding is-lub-def
    apply auto
    by (metis up-below)

```

```

thus compatible x y unfolding compatible-def..
next
  assume compatible x y
  then obtain z where z: {x,y} <<| z unfolding compatible-def by auto
  hence {up·x,up·y} <<| up·z unfolding is-lub-def
    apply auto
    by (metis not-up-less-UU upE up-below)
  thus compatible (up·x) (up·y) unfolding compatible-def..
qed

```

**instance**  $u :: (\text{Finite-Join-cpo}) \text{ Finite-Join-cpo}$

**proof**

```

fix x y :: 'a⊥
show compatible x y
apply (cases x, simp)
apply (cases y, simp)
apply (simp add: all-compatible)
done
qed

```

**class**  $\text{is-unit} = \text{fixes unit assumes is-unit: } \bigwedge x. x = \text{unit}$

**instantiation**  $\text{unit} :: \text{is-unit}$   
**begin**

**definition**  $\text{unit} \equiv ()$

**instance**  
**by** standard auto

**end**

**instance**  $\text{lift} :: (\text{is-unit}) \text{ Finite-Join-cpo}$

**proof**

```

fix x y :: 'a lift
show compatible x y
apply (cases x, simp)
apply (cases y, simp)
apply (simp add: all-compatible)
apply (subst is-unit)
apply (subst is-unit) back
apply simp
done
qed

```

**instance**  $\text{prod} :: (\text{Finite-Join-cpo}, \text{Finite-Join-cpo}) \text{ Finite-Join-cpo}$

**proof**

```

fix x y :: ('a × 'b)

```

```

let ?z = (fst x ⊔ fst y, snd x ⊔ snd y)
show compatible x y
proof(rule compatibleI)
  show x ⊑ ?z by (cases x, auto)
  show y ⊑ ?z by (cases y, auto)
  fix z'
  assume x ⊑ z' and y ⊑ z' thus ?z ⊑ z'
    by (cases z', cases x, cases y, auto)
qed
qed

lemma prod-join:
  fixes x y :: 'a::Finite-Join-cpo × 'b::Finite-Join-cpo
  shows x ⊔ y = (fst x ⊔ fst y, snd x ⊔ snd y)
  apply (rule is-joinI)
  apply (cases x, auto)[1]
  apply (cases y, auto)[1]
  apply (cases x, cases y, case-tac a, auto)[1]
done

lemma
  fixes x y :: 'a::Finite-Join-cpo × 'b::Finite-Join-cpo
  shows fst-join[simp]: fst (x ⊔ y) = fst x ⊔ fst y
  and snd-join[simp]: snd (x ⊔ y) = snd x ⊔ snd y
  unfolding prod-join by simp-all

lemma fun-meet-simp[simp]: (f ⊓ g) x = f x ⊓ (g x::'a::Finite-Join-cpo)
proof-
  have f ⊓ g = ( $\lambda$  x. f x ⊓ g x)
  by (rule is-joinI)(auto simp add: fun-below-iff)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma fun-upd-meet-simp[simp]: (f ⊓ g) (x := y) = f (x := y) ⊓ g (x := y::'a::Finite-Join-cpo)
by auto

lemma cfun-meet-simp[simp]: (f ⊓ g) · x = f · x ⊓ (g · x::'a::Finite-Join-cpo)
proof-
  have f ⊓ g = ( $\Lambda$  x. f · x ⊓ g · x)
  by (rule is-joinI)(auto simp add: cfun-below-iff)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma cfun-join-below:
  fixes f :: ('a::Finite-Join-cpo) → ('b::Finite-Join-cpo)
  shows f·x ⊓ f·y ⊑ f·(x ⊓ y)
  by (intro join-below monofun-cfun-arg join-above1 join-above2)

lemma join-self-below[iff]:

```

```

 $x = x \sqcup y \longleftrightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x::'a::\text{Finite-Join-cpo})$ 
 $x = y \sqcup x \longleftrightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x::'a::\text{Finite-Join-cpo})$ 
 $x \sqcup y = x \longleftrightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x::'a::\text{Finite-Join-cpo})$ 
 $y \sqcup x = x \longleftrightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x::'a::\text{Finite-Join-cpo})$ 
 $x \sqcup y \sqsubseteq x \longleftrightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x::'a::\text{Finite-Join-cpo})$ 
 $y \sqcup x \sqsubseteq x \longleftrightarrow y \sqsubseteq (x::'a::\text{Finite-Join-cpo})$ 
apply (metis join-above2 larger-is-join1)
apply (metis join-above1 larger-is-join2)
apply (metis join-above2 larger-is-join1)
apply (metis join-above1 larger-is-join2)
apply (metis join-above2 join-above2 below-antisym larger-is-join1)
apply (metis join-above2 join-above1 below-antisym larger-is-join2)
done

lemma join-bottom-iff [iff]:
 $x \sqcup y = \perp \longleftrightarrow x = \perp \wedge (y::'a::\{\text{Finite-Join-cpo}, \text{pcpo}\}) = \perp$ 
by (metis all-compatible join-bottom(2) join-comm join-idem)

class Join-cpo = cpo +
  assumes exists-lub:  $\exists u. S <<| u$ 

context Join-cpo
begin
  subclass Finite-Join-cpo
    apply standard
    unfolding compatible-def
    apply (rule exists-lub)
  done
end

lemma below-lubI [intro, simp]:
  fixes  $x :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}$ 
  shows  $x \in S \implies x \sqsubseteq \text{lub } S$ 
by (metis exists-lub is-ub-thelub-ex)

lemma lub-belowI [intro, simp]:
  fixes  $x :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo}$ 
  shows  $(\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies y \sqsubseteq x) \implies \text{lub } S \sqsubseteq x$ 
by (metis exists-lub is-lub-thelub-ex is-ub-def)

instance Join-cpo  $\subseteq$  pcpo
  apply standard
  apply (rule exI[where  $x = \text{lub } \{\}$ ])
  apply auto
  done

lemma lub-empty-set [simp]:
   $\text{lub } \{\} = (\perp :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo})$ 

```

```

by (rule lub-eqI) simp

lemma lub-insert[simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: Join-cpo
  shows lub (insert x S) = x ⊔ lub S
by (rule lub-eqI) (auto intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2] simp add: join-below-iff is-ub-def
is-lub-def)

end

```

## 4 Env.tex

```

theory Env
  imports Main HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

default-sort type

```

Our type for environments is a function with a pcpo as the co-domain; this theory collects related definitions.

### 4.1 The domain of a pcpo-valued function

```

definition edom :: ('key ⇒ 'value::pcpo) ⇒ 'key set
  where edom m = {x. m x ≠ ⊥}

lemma bot-edom[simp]: edom ⊥ = {} by (simp add: edom-def)

lemma bot-edom2[simp]: edom (λ_. ⊥) = {} by (simp add: edom-def)

lemma edomIff: (a ∈ edom m) = (m a ≠ ⊥) by (simp add: edom-def)
lemma edom-iff2: (m a = ⊥) ↔ (a ∉ edom m) by (simp add: edom-def)

lemma edom-empty-iff-bot: edom m = {} ↔ m = ⊥
  by (metis below-bottom-iff bot-edom edomIff empty-iff fun-belowI)

lemma lookup-not-edom: x ∉ edom m ⇒ m x = ⊥ by (auto iff:edomIff)

lemma lookup-edom[simp]: m x ≠ ⊥ ⇒ x ∈ edom m by (auto iff:edomIff)

lemma edom-mono: x ⊑ y ⇒ edom x ⊆ edom y
  unfolding edom-def
  by auto (metis below-bottom-iff fun-belowD)

```

```

lemma edom-subset-adm[simp]:
  adm (λae'. edom ae' ⊆ S)
  apply (rule admI)
  apply rule
  apply (subst (asm) edom-def) back
  apply simp
  apply (subst (asm) lub-fun) apply assumption
  apply (subst (asm) lub-eq-bottom-iff)
  apply (erule ch2ch-fun)
  unfolding not-all
  apply (erule exE)
  apply (rule set-mp)
  apply (rule allE) apply assumption apply assumption
  unfolding edom-def
  apply simp
done

```

## 4.2 Updates

```

lemma edom-fun-upd-subset: edom (h (x := v)) ⊆ insert x (edom h)
  by (auto simp add: edom-def)

declare fun-upd-same[simp] fun-upd-other[simp]

```

## 4.3 Restriction

```

definition env-restr :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b::pcpo) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b)
  where env-restr S m = (λ x. if x ∈ S then m x else ⊥)

abbreviation env-restr-rev (infixl f|` 110)
  where env-restr-rev m S ≡ env-restr S m

notation (latex output) env-restr-rev (-|-)

lemma env-restr-empty-iff[simp]: m f|` S = ⊥ ↔ edom m ∩ S = {}
  apply (auto simp add: edom-def env-restr-def lambda-strict[symmetric] split;if-splits)
  apply metis
  apply (fastforce simp add: edom-def env-restr-def lambda-strict[symmetric] split;if-splits)
  done
lemmas env-restr-empty = iffD2[OF env-restr-empty-iff, simp]

lemma lookup-env-restr[simp]: x ∈ S ⇒ (m f|` S) x = m x
  by (fastforce simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma lookup-env-restr-not-there[simp]: x ∉ S ⇒ (env-restr S m) x = ⊥
  by (fastforce simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma lookup-env-restr-eq: (m f|` S) x = (if x ∈ S then m x else ⊥)
  by simp

```

```

lemma env-restr-eqI: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies m_1 x = m_2 x$ )  $\implies m_1 f|` S = m_2 f|` S$ 
  by (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)

lemma env-restr-eqD:  $m_1 f|` S = m_2 f|` S \implies x \in S \implies m_1 x = m_2 x$ 
  by (auto dest!: fun-cong[where x = x])

lemma env-restr-belowI: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies m_1 x \sqsubseteq m_2 x$ )  $\implies m_1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m_2 f|` S$ 
  by (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)

lemma env-restr-belowD:  $m_1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m_2 f|` S \implies x \in S \implies m_1 x \sqsubseteq m_2 x$ 
  by (auto dest!: fun-belowD[where x = x])

lemma env-restr-env-restr[simp]:
   $x f|` d2 f|` d1 = x f|` (d1 \cap d2)$ 
  by (fastforce simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma env-restr-env-restr-subset:
   $d1 \subseteq d2 \implies x f|` d2 f|` d1 = x f|` d1$ 
  by (metis Int-absorb2 env-restr-env-restr)

lemma env-restr-useless: edom m  $\subseteq S \implies m f|` S = m$ 
  by (rule ext) (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq dest!: set-mp)

lemma env-restr-UNIV[simp]:  $m f|` UNIV = m$ 
  by (rule env-restr-useless) simp

lemma env-restr-fun-upd[simp]:  $x \in S \implies m1(x := v) f|` S = (m1 f|` S)(x := v)$ 
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (case-tac xa = x)
  apply (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)
  done

lemma env-restr-fun-upd-other[simp]:  $x \notin S \implies m1(x := v) f|` S = m1 f|` S$ 
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (case-tac xa = x)
  apply (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)
  done

lemma env-restr-eq-subset:
  assumes  $S \subseteq S'$ 
  and  $m1 f|` S' = m2 f|` S'$ 
  shows  $m1 f|` S = m2 f|` S$ 
  using assms
  by (metis env-restr-env-restr le-iff-inf)

lemma env-restr-below-subset:
  assumes  $S \subseteq S'$ 
  and  $m1 f|` S' \sqsubseteq m2 f|` S'$ 
  shows  $m1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m2 f|` S$ 

```

```

using assms
by (auto intro!: env-restr-belowI dest!: env-restr-belowD)

lemma edom-env[simp]:
  edom (m f|` S) = edom m ∩ S
  unfolding edom-def env-restr-def by auto

lemma env-restr-below-self: ff|` S ⊑ f
  by (rule fun-belowI) (auto simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma env-restr-below-trans:
  m1 f|` S1 ⊑ m2 f|` S1  $\implies$  m2 f|` S2 ⊑ m3 f|` S2  $\implies$  m1 f|` (S1 ∩ S2) ⊑ m3 f|` (S1 ∩ S2)
  by (auto intro!: env-restr-belowI dest!: env-restr-belowD elim: below-trans)

lemma env-restr-cont: cont (env-restr S)
  apply (rule cont2cont-lambda)
  unfolding env-restr-def
  apply (intro cont2cont cont-fun)
  done

lemma env-restr-mono: m1 ⊑ m2  $\implies$  m1 f|` S ⊑ m2 f|` S
  by (metis env-restr-belowI fun-belowD)

lemma env-restr-mono2: S2 ⊑ S1  $\implies$  m f|` S2 ⊑ m f|` S1
  by (metis env-restr-below-self env-restr-env-restr-subset)

lemmas cont-compose[OF env-restr-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma env-restr-cong: ( $\bigwedge x$ . edom m ⊆ S ∩ S' ∪  $\neg S$  ∩  $\neg S'$ )  $\implies$  m f|` S = m f|` S'
  by (rule ext)(auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq edom-def)

```

## 4.4 Deleting

```

definition env-delete :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::pcpo)
  where env-delete x m = m(x :=  $\perp$ )

```

```

lemma lookup-env-delete[simp]:
  x'  $\neq$  x  $\implies$  env-delete x m x' = m x'
  by (simp add: env-delete-def)

lemma lookup-env-delete-None[simp]:
  env-delete x m x =  $\perp$ 
  by (simp add: env-delete-def)

lemma edom-env-delete[simp]:
  edom (env-delete x m) = edom m - {x}
  by (auto simp add: env-delete-def edom-def)

```

```

lemma edom-env-delete-subset:
  edom (env-delete x m) ⊆ edom m by auto

lemma env-delete-fun-upd[simp]:
  env-delete x (m(x := v)) = env-delete x m
  by (auto simp add: env-delete-def)

lemma env-delete-fun-upd2[simp]:
  (env-delete x m)(x := v) = m(x := v)
  by (auto simp add: env-delete-def)

lemma env-delete-fun-upd3[simp]:
  x ≠ y ⟹ env-delete x (m(y := v)) = (env-delete x m)(y := v)
  by (auto simp add: env-delete-def)

lemma env-delete-noop[simp]:
  x ∉ edom m ⟹ env-delete x m = m
  by (auto simp add: env-delete-def edom-def)

lemma fun-upd-env-delete[simp]: x ∈ edom Γ ⟹ (env-delete x Γ)(x := Γ x) = Γ
  by (auto)

lemma env-restr-env-delete-other[simp]: x ∉ S ⟹ env-delete x m f|` S = m f|` S
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)
  by (metis lookup-env-delete)

lemma env-delete-restr: env-delete x m = m f|` (-{x})
  by (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)

lemma below-env-deleteI: f x = ⊥ ⟹ f ⊑ g ⟹ f ⊑ env-delete x g
  by (metis env-delete-def env-delete-restr env-restr-mono fun-upd-triv)

lemma env-delete-below-cong[intro]:
  assumes x ≠ v ⟹ e1 x ⊑ e2 x
  shows env-delete v e1 x ⊑ env-delete v e2 x
  using assms unfolding env-delete-def by auto

lemma env-delete-env-restr-swap:
  env-delete x (env-restr S e) = env-restr S (env-delete x e)
  by (metis (erased, hide-lams) env-delete-def env-restr-fun-upd env-restr-fun-upd-other fun-upd-triv
    lookup-env-restr-eq)

lemma env-delete-mono:
  m ⊑ m' ⟹ env-delete x m ⊑ env-delete x m'
  unfolding env-delete-restr
  by (rule env-restr-mono)

lemma env-delete-below-arg:

```

```

env-delete x m ⊑ m
unfolding env-delete-restr
by (rule env-restr-below-self)

```

## 4.5 Merging of two functions

We'd like to have some nice syntax for *override-on*.

```

abbreviation override-on-syn (- ++- - [100, 0, 100] 100) where f1 ++S f2 ≡ override-on
f1 f2 S

```

```

lemma override-on-bot[simp]:

```

$$\begin{aligned} \perp ++_S m &= m f|` S \\ m ++_S \perp &= m f|` (-S) \end{aligned}$$

**by** (auto simp add: override-on-def env-restr-def)

```

lemma edom-override-on[simp]: edom (m1 ++S m2) = (edom m1 - S) ∪ (edom m2 ∩ S)
by (auto simp add: override-on-def edom-def)

```

```

lemma lookup-override-on-eq: (m1 ++S m2) x = (if x ∈ S then m2 x else m1 x)
by (cases x ∉ S) simp-all

```

```

lemma override-on-upd-swap:

```

$$x \notin S \implies \varrho(x := z) ++_S \varrho' = (\varrho ++_S \varrho')(x := z)$$

**by** (auto simp add: override-on-def edom-def)

```

lemma override-on-upd:

```

$$x \in S \implies \varrho ++_S (\varrho'(x := z)) = (\varrho ++_S - \{x\} \varrho')(x := z)$$

**by** (auto simp add: override-on-def edom-def)

```

lemma env-restr-add: (m1 ++S2 m2) f|` S = m1 f|` S ++S2 m2 f|` S
by (auto simp add: override-on-def edom-def env-restr-def)

```

```

lemma env-delete-add: env-delete x (m1 ++S m2) = env-delete x m1 ++S - {x} env-delete x
m2
by (auto simp add: override-on-def edom-def env-restr-def env-delete-def)

```

## 4.6 Environments with binary joins

```

lemma edom-join[simp]: edom (f ∘ (g :: ('a :: type ⇒ 'b :: {Finite-Join-cpo, pcpo}))) = edom f ∘
edom g
unfolding edom-def by auto

```

```

lemma env-delete-join[simp]: env-delete x (f ∘ (g :: ('a :: type ⇒ 'b :: {Finite-Join-cpo, pcpo}))) =
env-delete x f ∘ env-delete x g
by (metis env-delete-def fun-upd-meet-simp)

```

```

lemma env-restr-join:

```

```

fixes m1 m2 :: 'a :: type ⇒ 'b :: {Finite-Join-cpo, pcpo}

```

```

shows  $(m1 \sqcup m2) f|` S = (m1 f|` S) \sqcup (m2 f|` S)$ 
by (auto simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma env-restr-join2:
  fixes  $m :: 'a::type \Rightarrow 'b::\{Finite-Join-cpo,pcpo\}$ 
  shows  $m f|` S \sqcup m f|` S' = m f|` (S \sqcup S')$ 
  by (auto simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma join-env-restr-UNIV:
  fixes  $m :: 'a::type \Rightarrow 'b::\{Finite-Join-cpo,pcpo\}$ 
  shows  $S1 \cup S2 = UNIV \Rightarrow (m f|` S1) \sqcup (m f|` S2) = m$ 
  by (fastforce simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma env-restr-split:
  fixes  $m :: 'a::type \Rightarrow 'b::\{Finite-Join-cpo,pcpo\}$ 
  shows  $m = m f|` S \sqcup m f|` (\neg S)$ 
  by (simp add: env-restr-join2 Compl-partition)

lemma env-restr-below-split:
   $m f|` S \sqsubseteq m' \Rightarrow m f|` (\neg S) \sqsubseteq m' \Rightarrow m \sqsubseteq m'$ 
  by (metis ComplI fun-below-iff lookup-env-restr)

```

## 4.7 Singleton environments

```

definition esing :: 'a  $\Rightarrow 'b::\{pcpo\} \rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ 
  where esing  $x = (\Lambda a. (\lambda y . (if x = y then a else \perp)))$ 

lemma esing-bot[simp]:  $esing x \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
  by (rule ext)(simp add: esing-def)

lemma esing-simps[simp]:
   $(esing x \cdot n) x = n$ 
   $x' \neq x \Rightarrow (esing x \cdot n) x' = \perp$ 
  by (simp-all add: esing-def)

lemma esing-eq-up-iff[simp]:  $(esing x \cdot (up \cdot a)) y = up \cdot a' \longleftrightarrow (x = y \wedge a = a')$ 
  by (auto simp add: fun-below-iff esing-def)

lemma esing-below-iff[simp]:  $esing x \cdot a \sqsubseteq ae \longleftrightarrow a \sqsubseteq ae x$ 
  by (auto simp add: fun-below-iff esing-def)

lemma edom-esing-subset:  $edom (esing x \cdot n) \subseteq \{x\}$ 
  unfolding edom-def esing-def by auto

lemma edom-esing-up[simp]:  $edom (esing x \cdot (up \cdot n)) = \{x\}$ 
  unfolding edom-def esing-def by auto

lemma env-delete-esing[simp]:  $env\text{-}delete x (esing x \cdot n) = \perp$ 
  unfolding env-delete-def esing-def

```

```

by auto

lemma env-restr-esing[simp]:
   $x \in S \implies \text{esing } x \cdot v f \mid` S = \text{esing } x \cdot v$ 
  by (auto intro: env-restr-useless dest: set-mp[OF edom-esing-subset])

lemma env-restr-esing2[simp]:
   $x \notin S \implies \text{esing } x \cdot v f \mid` S = \perp$ 
  by (auto dest: set-mp[OF edom-esing-subset])

lemma esing-eq-iff[simp]:
   $\text{esing } x \cdot v = \text{esing } x \cdot v' \longleftrightarrow v = v'$ 
  by (metis esing-simps(1))

end

```

## 5 Pointwise.tex

```
theory Pointwise imports Main begin
```

Lifting a relation to a function.

```
definition pointwise where pointwise  $P m m' = (\forall x. P (m x) (m' x))$ 
```

```
lemma pointwiseI[intro]:  $(\bigwedge x. P (m x) (m' x)) \implies \text{pointwise } P m m'$  unfolding pointwise-def
by blast

end
```

## 6 HOLCF-Utils.tex

```
theory HOLCF_Utils
  imports "~~/src/HOL/HOLCF/HOLCF Pointwise"
begin
```

```
default-sort type
```

```
lemmas cont-fun[simp]
lemmas cont2cont-fun[simp]

lemma cont-compose2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. \text{cont } (\lambda x. c x y)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{cont } (\lambda y. c x y)$ 
  assumes cont f
  assumes cont g
  shows cont  $(\lambda x. c (f x) (g x))$ 
```

```

by (intro cont-apply[OF assms(4) assms(2)]
      cont2cont-fun[OF cont-compose[OF - assms(3)]]
      cont2cont-lambda[OF assms(1)])

lemma pointwise-adm:
  fixes P :: 'a::pcpo  $\Rightarrow$  'b::pcpo  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes adm ( $\lambda x. P \ (fst \ x) \ (snd \ x)$ )
  shows adm ( $\lambda m. pointwise \ P \ (fst \ m) \ (snd \ m)$ )
  proof (rule admI, goal-cases)
    case prems: (1 Y)
    show ?case
      apply (rule pointwiseI)
      apply (rule admD[OF adm-subst[where t =  $\lambda p . (fst \ p \ x, \ snd \ p \ x)$  for x, OF - assms, simplified] chain Y])
      using prems(2) unfolding pointwise-def apply auto
      done
  qed

lemma cfun-beta-Pair:
  assumes cont ( $\lambda p. f \ (fst \ p) \ (snd \ p)$ )
  shows csplit $\cdot$ ( $\Lambda a \ b . f \ a \ b$ ) $\cdot$ (x, y) = f x y
  apply simp
  apply (subst beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont2cont-LAM')
  apply (rule assms)
  apply (rule beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont2cont-fun)
  using assms
  unfolding prod-cont-iff
  apply auto
  done

lemma fun-upd-mono:
   $\varrho_1 \sqsubseteq \varrho_2 \implies v_1 \sqsubseteq v_2 \implies \varrho_1(x := v_1) \sqsubseteq \varrho_2(x := v_2)$ 
  apply (rule fun-belowI)
  apply (case-tac xa = x)
  apply simp
  apply (auto elim:fun-belowD)
  done

lemma fun-upd-cont[simp, cont2cont]:
  assumes cont f and cont h
  shows cont ( $\lambda x. (f \ x)(v := h \ x) :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::pcpo$ )
  by (rule cont2cont-lambda)(auto simp add: assms)

lemma fun-upd-belowI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge z . z \neq x \implies \varrho z \sqsubseteq \varrho' z$ 
  assumes y  $\sqsubseteq \varrho' x$ 

```

```

shows  $\varrho(x := y) \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ 
apply (rule fun-belowI)
using assms
apply (case-tac  $xa = x$ )
apply auto
done

lemma cont-if-else-above:
assumes cont f
assumes cont g
assumes  $\bigwedge x. f x \sqsubseteq g x$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x y. x \sqsubseteq y \implies P y \implies P x$ 
assumes adm P
shows cont ( $\lambda x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } g x$ ) (is cont ?I)
proof(intro contI2 monofunI)
fix x y :: 'a
assume x  $\sqsubseteq y$ 
with assms(4)[OF this]
show ?I x  $\sqsubseteq$  ?I y
apply (auto)
apply (rule cont2monofunE[OF assms(1)], assumption)
apply (rule below-trans[OF cont2monofunE[OF assms(1)] assms(3)], assumption)
apply (rule cont2monofunE[OF assms(2)], assumption)
done
next
fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
assume chain Y
assume chain (?i . ?I (Y i))

have ch-f:  $f(\bigsqcup i. Y i) \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup i. f(Y i))$  by (metis <chain Y> assms(1) below-refl cont2contlubE)

show ?I ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ( $\bigsqcup i. ?I (Y i)$ )
proof(cases  $\forall i. P(Y i)$ )
  case True hence P ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) by (metis <chain Y> adm-def assms(5))
  with True ch-f show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
then obtain j where  $\neg P(Y j)$  by auto
hence *:  $\forall i \geq j. \neg P(Y i) \sqsubseteq P(\bigsqcup i. Y i)$ 
apply (auto)
apply (metis assms(4) chain-mono[OF <chain Y>])
apply (metis assms(4) is-ub-thelub[OF <chain Y>])
done

have ?I ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) = g ( $\bigsqcup i. Y i$ ) using * by simp
also have ... = g ( $\bigsqcup i. Y(i + j)$ ) by (metis lub-range-shift[OF <chain Y>])
also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup i. (g(Y(i + j)))$ ) by (rule cont2contlubE[OF assms(2) chain-shift[OF <chain Y>]] )

```

```

also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup i. (?I (Y (i + j)))$ ) using * by auto
also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup i. (?I (Y i))$ ) by (metis lub-range-shift[OF chain (λi . ?I (Y i))])
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

fun up2option :: 'a::cpo $\perp$  ⇒ 'a option
  where up2option Ibottom = None
    | up2option (Iup a) = Some a

lemma up2option-simps[simp]:
  up2option  $\perp$  = None
  up2option (up·x) = Some x
  unfolding up-def by (simp-all add: cont-Iup inst-up-pcpo)

fun option2up :: 'a option ⇒ 'a::cpo $\perp$ 
  where option2up None =  $\perp$ 
    | option2up (Some a) = up·a

lemma option2up-up2option[simp]:
  option2up (up2option x) = x
  by (cases x) auto
lemma up2option-option2up[simp]:
  up2option (option2up x) = x
  by (cases x) auto

lemma adm-subst2: cont f ⇒ cont g ⇒ adm (λx. f (fst x) = g (snd x))
  apply (rule admI)
  apply (simp add:
    cont2contlubE[where f = f] cont2contlubE[where f = g]
    cont2contlubE[where f = snd] cont2contlubE[where f = fst]
  )
done

```

## 6.1 Composition of fun and cfun

```

lemma cont2cont-comp [simp, cont2cont]:
  assumes cont f
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{cont} (f x)$ 
  assumes cont g
  shows cont (λx. (f x) ∘ (g x))
  unfolding comp-def
  by (rule cont2cont-lambda)
    (intro cont2cont (cont g) (cont f) cont-compose2[OF cont2cont-fun[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
  cont2cont-fun)

definition cfun-comp :: ('a::pcpo → 'b::pcpo) → ('c::type ⇒ 'a) → ('c::type ⇒ 'b)
  where cfun-comp = (Λ f ρ. (λ x. f·x) ∘ ρ)

```

```

lemma [simp]: cfun-comp·f·(ρ(x := v)) = (cfun-comp·f·ρ)(x := f·v)
  unfolding cfun-comp-def by auto

lemma cfun-comp-app[simp]: (cfun-comp·f·ρ) x = f·(ρ x)
  unfolding cfun-comp-def by auto

lemma fix-eq-fix:
  f·(fix·g) ⊑ fix·g ==> g·(fix·f) ⊑ fix·f ==> fix·f = fix·g
  by (metis fix-least-below below-antisym)

```

## 6.2 Additional transitivity rules

These collect side-conditions of the form  $\text{cont } f$ , so the usual way to discharge them is to write *by this (intro cont2cont)+* at the end.

```

lemma below-trans-cong[trans]:
  a ⊑ f x ==> x ⊑ y ==> cont f ==> a ⊑ f y
  by (metis below-trans cont2monofunE)

```

```

lemma not-bot-below-trans[trans]:
  a ≠ ⊥ ==> a ⊑ b ==> b ≠ ⊥
  by (metis below-bottom-iff)

```

```

lemma not-bot-below-trans-cong[trans]:
  f a ≠ ⊥ ==> a ⊑ b ==> cont f ==> f b ≠ ⊥
  by (metis below-bottom-iff cont2monofunE)

```

end

## 7 EvalHeap.tex

```

theory EvalHeap
  imports AList-Utils Env .. / Nominal2 / Nominal2 HOLCF-Utils
begin

```

### 7.1 Conversion from heaps to environments

```

fun
  evalHeap :: ('var × 'exp) list ⇒ ('exp ⇒ 'value::{pure,pcpo}) ⇒ 'var ⇒ 'value
where
  evalHeap [] - = ⊥
  | evalHeap ((x,e)#h) eval = (evalHeap h eval) (x := eval e)

```

```

lemma cont2cont-evalHeap[simp, cont2cont]:
  (¬ e . e ∈ snd ` set h ==> cont (λρ. eval ρ e)) ==> cont (λρ. evalHeap h (eval ρ))
  by(induct h, auto)

```

```

lemma evalHeap-eqvt[eqvt]:

```

```

 $\pi \cdot evalHeap h eval = evalHeap (\pi \cdot h) (\pi \cdot eval)$ 
by (induct h) (auto simp add:fun-upd-eqvt simp del: fun-upd-apply)

lemma edom-evalHeap-subset:edom (evalHeap h eval)  $\subseteq$  domA h
  by (induct h eval rule:evalHeap.induct) (auto dest:set-mp[OF edom-fun-upd-subset] simp del:
    fun-upd-apply)

lemma evalHeap-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket heap1 = heap2 ; (\bigwedge e. e \in snd ` set heap2 \implies eval1 e = eval2 e) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies evalHeap heap1 eval1 = evalHeap heap2 eval2$ 
  by (induct heap2 eval2 arbitrary:heap1 rule:evalHeap.induct, auto)

lemma lookupEvalHeap:
  assumes v  $\in$  domA h
  shows (evalHeap h f) v = f (the (map-of h v))
  using assms
  by (induct h f rule: evalHeap.induct) auto

lemma lookupEvalHeap':
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma$  v = Some e
  shows (evalHeap  $\Gamma$  f) v = f e
  using assms
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  f rule: evalHeap.induct) auto

lemma lookupEvalHeap-other[simp]:
  assumes v  $\notin$  domA  $\Gamma$ 
  shows (evalHeap  $\Gamma$  f) v =  $\perp$ 
  using assms
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  f rule: evalHeap.induct) auto

lemma env-restr-evalHeap-noop:
  domA h  $\subseteq$  S  $\implies$  env-restr S (evalHeap h eval) = evalHeap h eval
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (case-tac x  $\in$  S)
  apply (auto simp add: lookupEvalHeap intro: lookupEvalHeap-other)
  done

lemma env-restr-evalHeap-same[simp]:
  env-restr (domA h) (evalHeap h eval) = evalHeap h eval
  by (simp add: env-restr-evalHeap-noop)

lemma evalHeap-cong':
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge x. x \in domA heap \implies eval1 (the (map-of heap x)) = eval2 (the (map-of heap x))) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies evalHeap heap eval1 = evalHeap heap eval2$ 
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (case-tac x  $\in$  domA heap)
  apply (auto simp add: lookupEvalHeap)
  done

```

```

lemma lookupEvalHeapNotAppend[simp]:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $(\text{evalHeap } (\Gamma @ h) f) x = \text{evalHeap } h f x$ 
  using assms by (induct  $\Gamma$ , auto)

lemma evalHeap-delete[simp]:  $\text{evalHeap } (\text{delete } x \Gamma) \text{ eval} = \text{env-delete } x (\text{evalHeap } \Gamma \text{ eval})$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma evalHeap-mono:
   $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{evalHeap } \Gamma \text{ eval} \sqsubseteq \text{evalHeap } ((x, e) \# \Gamma) \text{ eval}$ 
  apply simp
  apply (rule fun-belowI)
  apply (case-tac  $xa \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ )
  apply (case-tac  $xa = x$ )
  apply auto
  done

```

## 7.2 Reordering lemmas

```

lemma evalHeap-reorder:
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma = \text{map-of } \Delta$ 
  shows  $\text{evalHeap } \Gamma h = \text{evalHeap } \Delta h$ 
proof (rule ext)
  from assms
  have  $*: \text{domA } \Gamma = \text{domA } \Delta$  by (metis dom-map-of-conv-domA)
  fix  $x$ 
  show  $\text{evalHeap } \Gamma h x = \text{evalHeap } \Delta h x$ 
    using assms(1) *
    apply (cases  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ )
    apply (auto simp add: lookupEvalHeap)
    done
qed

lemma evalHeap-reorder-head:
  assumes  $x \neq y$ 
  shows  $\text{evalHeap } ((x, e1) \# (y, e2) \# \Gamma) \text{ eval} = \text{evalHeap } ((y, e2) \# (x, e1) \# \Gamma) \text{ eval}$ 
  by (rule evalHeap-reorder) (simp add: fun-upd-twist[OF assms])

lemma evalHeap-reorder-head-append:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\text{evalHeap } ((x, e) \# \Gamma @ \Delta) \text{ eval} = \text{evalHeap } (\Gamma @ ((x, e) \# \Delta)) \text{ eval}$ 
  by (rule evalHeap-reorder) (simp, metis assms dom-map-of-conv-domA map-add-upd-left)

lemma evalHeap-subst-exp:
  assumes  $\text{eval } e = \text{eval } e'$ 
  shows  $\text{evalHeap } ((x, e) \# \Gamma) \text{ eval} = \text{evalHeap } ((x, e') \# \Gamma) \text{ eval}$ 
  by (simp add: assms)

```

```
end
```

## 8 Nominal-Utils.tex

```
theory Nominal_Utils
imports ..../Nominal2/Nominal2 ~~/src/HOL/Library/AList
begin
```

### 8.1 Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs

```
lemma perm-rel-lemma:
```

```
  assumes  $\bigwedge \pi x y. r (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y) \implies r x y$ 
  shows  $r (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y) \longleftrightarrow r x y$  (is  $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$ )
  by (metis (full-types) assms permute-minus-cancel(2))
```

```
lemma perm-rel-lemma2:
```

```
  assumes  $\bigwedge \pi x y. r x y \implies r (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y)$ 
  shows  $r x y \longleftrightarrow r (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y)$  (is  $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$ )
  by (metis (full-types) assms permute-minus-cancel(2))
```

```
lemma fun-eqvtI:
```

```
  assumes f-eqvt[eqvt]:  $(\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x))$ 
  shows  $p \cdot f = f$  by perm-simp rule
```

```
lemma eqvt-at-apply:
```

```
  assumes eqvt-at f x
  shows  $(p \cdot f) x = f x$ 
  by (metis (hide-lams, no-types) assms eqvt-at-def permute-fun-def permute-minus-cancel(1))
```

```
lemma eqvt-at-apply':
```

```
  assumes eqvt-at f x
  shows  $p \cdot f x = f (p \cdot x)$ 
  by (metis (hide-lams, no-types) assms eqvt-at-def)
```

```
lemma eqvt-at-apply'':
```

```
  assumes eqvt-at f x
  shows  $(p \cdot f) (p \cdot x) = f (p \cdot x)$ 
  by (metis (hide-lams, no-types) assms eqvt-at-def permute-fun-def permute-minus-cancel(1))
```

```
lemma size-list-eqvt[eqvt]:  $p \cdot \text{size-list } f x = \text{size-list } (p \cdot f) (p \cdot x)$ 
```

```
proof (induction x)
```

```
  case (Cons x xs)
```

```
  have  $f x = p \cdot (f x)$  by (simp add: permute-pure)
```

```
  also have ... =  $(p \cdot f) (p \cdot x)$  by simp
```

```
  with Cons
```

```
  show ?case by (auto simp add: permute-pure)
```

**qed** *simp*

## 8.2 Freshness via equivariance

```

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong1: ( $\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x)$ )  $\implies a \# x \implies a \# f x$ 
  apply (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app[of f])
  apply (rule eqvtI)
  apply (rule eq-reflection)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (metis permute-fun-def permute-minus-cancel(1))
  apply assumption
  done

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong2:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y. p \cdot (f x y) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \# x$  and fresh2:  $a \# y$ 
  shows  $a \# f x y$ 
proof-
  have eqvt ( $\lambda (x,y). f x y$ )
    using eqvt
    apply -
    apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
    apply (rule ext)
    apply auto
    by (metis permute-minus-cancel(1))
  moreover
  have  $a \# (x, y)$  using fresh1 fresh2 by auto
  ultimately
  have  $a \# (\lambda (x,y). f x y) (x, y)$  by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong1:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x. p \cdot (f x) = f (p \cdot x)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \#* x$ 
  shows  $a \#* f x$ 
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong1 assms)

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong2:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y. p \cdot (f x y) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \#* x$  and fresh2:  $a \#* y$ 
  shows  $a \#* f x y$ 
  by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong2 assms)

lemma eqvt-fresh-cong3:
  assumes eqvt: ( $\bigwedge p x y z. p \cdot (f x y z) = f (p \cdot x) (p \cdot y) (p \cdot z)$ )
  and fresh1:  $a \# x$  and fresh2:  $a \# y$  and fresh3:  $a \# z$ 
  shows  $a \# f x y z$ 
proof-

```

```

have eqvt (λ (x,y,z). f x y z)
  using eqvt
  apply -
  apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply auto
  by (metis permute-minus-cancel(1))
moreover
have a # (x, y, z) using fresh1 fresh2 fresh3 by auto
ultimately
have a # (λ (x,y,z). f x y z) (x, y, z) by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong3:
assumes eqvt: (∀p x y z. p ∙ (f x y z) = f (p ∙ x) (p ∙ y) (p ∙ z))
and fresh1: a #* x and fresh2: a #* y and fresh3: a #* z
shows a #* f x y z
by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong3 assms)

```

### 8.3 Additional simplification rules

```

lemma not-self-fresh[simp]: atom x # x ⟷ False
  by (metis fresh-at-base(2))

```

```

lemma fresh-star-singleton: { x } #* e ⟷ x # e
  by (simp add: fresh-star-def)

```

### 8.4 Additional equivariance lemmas

```

lemma eqvt-cases:
fixes f x π
assumes eqvt: ∀x. π ∙ f x = f (π ∙ x)
obtains f x f (π ∙ x) | ¬ f x | ¬ f (π ∙ x)
using assms[symmetric]
by (cases f x) auto

```

```

lemma range-eqvt: π ∙ range Y = range (π ∙ Y)
  unfolding image-eqvt UNIV-eqvt ..

```

```

lemma case-option-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π ∙ case-option d f x = case-option (π ∙ d) (π ∙ f) (π ∙ x)
  by(cases x)(simp-all)

```

```

lemma supp-option-eqvt:
  supp (case-option d f x) ⊆ supp d ∪ supp f ∪ supp x
  apply (cases x)
  apply (auto simp add: supp-Some )
  apply (metis (mono-tags) Un-Iff subsetCE supp-fun-app)
  done

```

```

lemma funpow-eqvt[simp,eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot ((f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: pt) \wedge \wedge n) = (\pi \cdot f) \wedge \wedge (\pi \cdot n)$$

apply (induct n)
apply simp
apply (rule ext)
apply simp
apply perm-simp
apply simp
done

lemma delete-eqvt[eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot AList.delete x \Gamma = AList.delete (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$$

by (induct  $\Gamma$ , auto)

lemma restrict-eqvt[eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot AList.restrict S \Gamma = AList.restrict (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$$

unfolding AList.restrict-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma supp-restrict:

$$supp (AList.restrict S \Gamma) \subseteq supp \Gamma$$

by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) (auto simp add: supp-Pair supp-Cons)

lemma clearjunk-eqvt[eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot AList.clearjunk \Gamma = AList.clearjunk (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$$

by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: clearjunk.induct) auto

lemma map-ran-eqvt[eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot map-ran f \Gamma = map-ran (\pi \cdot f) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$$

by (induct  $\Gamma$ , auto)

lemma dom-perm:

$$dom (\pi \cdot f) = \pi \cdot (dom f)$$

unfolding dom-def by (perm-simp) (simp)

lemmas dom-perm-rev[simp,eqvt] = dom-perm[symmetric]

lemma ran-perm[simp]:

$$\pi \cdot (ran f) = ran (\pi \cdot f)$$

unfolding ran-def by (perm-simp) (simp)

lemma map-add-eqvt[eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot (m1 ++ m2) = (\pi \cdot m1) ++ (\pi \cdot m2)$$

unfolding map-add-def
by (perm-simp, rule)

lemma map-of-eqvt[eqvt]:

$$\pi \cdot map-of l = map-of (\pi \cdot l)$$

apply (induct l)

```

```

apply (simp add: permute-fun-def)
apply simp
apply perm-simp
apply auto
done

lemma concat-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot concat l = concat (\pi \cdot l)$ 
  by (induction l)(auto simp add: append-eqvt)

lemma tranclp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot tranclp P v_1 v_2 = tranclp (\pi \cdot P) (\pi \cdot v_1) (\pi \cdot v_2)$ 
  unfolding tranclp-def by perm-simp rule

lemma rtranclp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot rtranclp P v_1 v_2 = rtranclp (\pi \cdot P) (\pi \cdot v_1) (\pi \cdot v_2)$ 
  unfolding rtranclp-def by perm-simp rule

lemma Set-filter-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot Set.filter P S = Set.filter (\pi \cdot P) (\pi \cdot S)$ 
  unfolding Set.filter-def
  by perm-simp rule

lemma Sigma-eqvt'[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot Sigma = Sigma$ 
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (subst permute-fun-def)
  apply (subst permute-fun-def)
  unfolding Sigma-def
  apply perm-simp
  apply (simp add: permute-self)
  done

lemma override-on-eqvt[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (override-on m1 m2 S) = override-on (\pi \cdot m1) (\pi \cdot m2) (\pi \cdot S)$ 
  by (auto simp add: override-on-def)

lemma card-eqvt[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (card S) = card (\pi \cdot S)$ 
  by (cases finite S, induct rule: finite-induct) (auto simp add: card-insert-if mem-permute-iff
permute-pure)

lemma Projl-permute:
  assumes a:  $\exists y. f = Inl y$ 
  shows  $(p \cdot (Sum\text{-}Type.projl f)) = Sum\text{-}Type.projl (p \cdot f)$ 
  using a by auto

lemma Projr-permute:
  assumes a:  $\exists y. f = Inr y$ 
  shows  $(p \cdot (Sum\text{-}Type.projr f)) = Sum\text{-}Type.projr (p \cdot f)$ 
  using a by auto

```

## 8.5 Freshness lemmas

```

lemma fresh-list-elem:
  assumes a # $\Gamma$ 
  and e ∈ set  $\Gamma$ 
  shows a # e
  using assms
  by (induct  $\Gamma$ ) (auto simp add: fresh-Cons)

lemma set-not-fresh:
  x ∈ set L  $\implies$   $\neg$ (atom x # L)
  by (metis fresh-list-elem not-self-fresh)

lemma pure-fresh-star[simp]: a #* (x :: 'a :: pure)
  by (simp add: fresh-star-def pure-fresh)

lemma supp-set-mem: x ∈ set L  $\implies$  supp x ⊆ supp L
  by (induct L) (auto simp add: supp-Cons)

lemma set-supp-mono: set L ⊆ set L2  $\implies$  supp L ⊆ supp L2
  by (induct L) (auto simp add: supp-Cons supp-Nil dest:supp-set-mem)

lemma fresh-star-at-base:
  fixes x :: 'a :: at-base
  shows S #* x  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom x ∉ S
  by (metis fresh-at-base(2) fresh-star-def)

```

## 8.6 Freshness and support for subsets of variables

```

lemma supp-mono: finite (B::'a::fs set)  $\implies$  A ⊆ B  $\implies$  supp A ⊆ supp B
  by (metis infinite-super subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union)

lemma fresh-subset:
  finite B  $\implies$  x # (B :: 'a::at-base set)  $\implies$  A ⊆ B  $\implies$  x # A
  by (auto dest:supp-mono simp add: fresh-def)

lemma fresh-star-subset:
  finite B  $\implies$  x #* (B :: 'a::at-base set)  $\implies$  A ⊆ B  $\implies$  x #* A
  by (metis fresh-star-def fresh-subset)

lemma fresh-star-set-subset:
  x #* (B :: 'a::at-base list)  $\implies$  set A ⊆ set B  $\implies$  x #* A
  by (metis fresh-star-set fresh-star-subset[OF finite-set])

```

## 8.7 The set of free variables of an expression

```

definition fv :: 'a::pt  $\Rightarrow$  'b::at-base set
  where fv e = {v. atom v ∈ supp e}

```

```
lemma fv-eqvt[simp,eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (fv e) = fv (\pi \cdot e)$ 
```

**unfolding** *fv-def* **by** *simp*

```

lemma fv-Nil[simp]: fv [] = {}
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Nil)
lemma fv-Cons[simp]: fv (x # xs) = fv x ∪ fv xs
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Cons)
lemma fv-Pair[simp]: fv (x, y) = fv x ∪ fv y
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Pair)
lemma fv-append[simp]: fv (x @ y) = fv x ∪ fv y
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-append)
lemma fv-at-base[simp]: fv a = {a:'a::at-base}
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-at-base)
lemma fv-pure[simp]: fv (a:'a::pure) = {}
  by (auto simp add: fv-def pure-supp)

lemma fv-set-at-base[simp]: fv (l :: ('a :: at-base) list) = set l
  by (induction l) auto

lemma flip-not-fv: a ∉ fv x ==> b ∉ fv x ==> (a ↔ b) · x = x
  by (metis flip-def fresh-def fv-def mem-Collect-eq swap-fresh-fresh)

lemma fv-not-fresh: atom x # e ↔ x ∉ fv e
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def by blast

lemma fresh-fv: finite (fv e :: 'a set) ==> atom (x :: ('a::at-base)) # (fv e :: 'a set) ↔ atom
  x # e
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def
  by (auto simp add: supp-finite-set-at-base)

lemma finite-fv[simp]: finite (fv (e::'a::fs) :: ('b::at-base) set)
proof-
  have finite (supp e) by (metis finite-supp)
  hence finite (atom -` supp e :: 'b set)
    apply (rule finite-vimageI)
    apply (rule inj-onI)
    apply (simp)
    done
  moreover
  have (atom -` supp e :: 'b set) = fv e unfolding fv-def by auto
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

definition fv-list :: 'a::fs ⇒ 'b::at-base list
  where fv-list e = (SOME l. set l = fv e)

lemma set-fv-list[simp]: set (fv-list e) = (fv e :: ('b::at-base) set)
proof-
  have finite (fv e :: 'b set) by (rule finite-fv)

```

```

from finite-list[OF finite-fv]
obtain l where set l = (fv e :: 'b set).. 
thus ?thesis
  unfolding fv-list-def by (rule someI)
qed

lemma fresh-fv-list[simp]:
  a # (fv-list e :: 'b::at-base list)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # (fv e :: 'b::at-base set)
proof-
  have a # (fv-list e :: 'b::at-base list)  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # set (fv-list e :: 'b::at-base list)
    by (rule fresh-set[symmetric])
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  a # (fv e :: 'b::at-base set) by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

## 8.8 Other useful lemmas

```

lemma pure-permute-id: permute p = ( $\lambda$  x. (x::'a::pure))
  by rule (simp add: permute-pure)

```

```

lemma supp-set-elem-finite:
  assumes finite S
  and (m::'a::fs) ∈ S
  and y ∈ supp m
  shows y ∈ supp S
  using assms supp-of-finite-sets
  by auto

```

```
lemmas fresh-star-Cons = fresh-star-list(2)
```

```

lemma mem-permute-set:
  shows x ∈ p ∙ S  $\longleftrightarrow$  (– p ∙ x) ∈ S
  by (metis mem-permute-iff permute-minus-cancel(2))

```

```

lemma flip-set-both-not-in:
  assumes x ∉ S and x' ∉ S
  shows ((x'  $\leftrightarrow$  x) ∙ S) = S
  unfolding permute-set-def
  by (auto) (metis assms flip-at-base-simps(3))+ 

```

```
lemma inj-atom: inj atom by (metis atom-eq-iff injI)
```

```
lemmas image-Int[OF inj-atom, simp]
```

```

lemma eqvt-uncurry: eqvt f  $\Longrightarrow$  eqvt (case-prod f)
  unfolding eqvt-def
  by perm-simp simp

```

```
lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt2:
```

```

assumes a: eqvt f
shows supp (f x y) ⊆ supp x ∪ supp y
proof-
  from supp-fun-app-eqvt[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]
  have supp (case-prod f (x,y)) ⊆ supp (x,y).
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: supp-Pair)
qed

lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt3:
  assumes a: eqvt f
  shows supp (f x y z) ⊆ supp x ∪ supp y ∪ supp z
proof-
  from supp-fun-app-eqvt2[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]
  have supp (case-prod f (x,y) z) ⊆ supp (x,y) ∪ supp z.
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: supp-Pair)
qed

lemma permute-0[simp]: permute 0 = (λ x. x)
  by auto
lemma permute-comp[simp]: permute x ∘ permute y = permute (x + y) by auto

lemma map-permute: map (permute p) = permute p
  apply rule
  apply (induct-tac x)
  apply auto
  done

lemma fresh-star-restrictA[intro]: a #* Γ ==> a #* AList.restrict V Γ
  by (induction Γ) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

lemma Abs-lst-Nil-eq[simp]: [] lst. (x::'a::fs) = [xs] lst. x' ↔ (([],x) = (xs, x'))
  apply rule
  apply (frule Abs-lst-fcb2[where f = λ x y . (x,y) and as = [] and bs = xs and c = ()])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
  done

lemma Abs-lst-Nil-eq2[simp]: [xs] lst. (x::'a::fs) = [] lst. x' ↔ ((xs,x) = ([], x'))
  by (subst eq-commute) auto

end

```

## 9 AList-Utils-Nominal.tex

```
theory AList-Utils-Nominal
imports AList-Utils Nominal-Utils
begin

9.1 Freshness lemmas related to associative lists

lemma domA-not-fresh:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \neg(\text{atom } x \notin \Gamma)$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$ , auto simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Pair)

lemma fresh-delete:
  assumes  $a \notin \Gamma$ 
  shows  $a \notin \text{delete } v \Gamma$ 
  using assms
  by(induct  $\Gamma$ )(auto simp add: fresh-Cons)

lemma fresh-star-delete:
  assumes  $S \#* \Gamma$ 
  shows  $S \#* \text{delete } v \Gamma$ 
  using assms fresh-delete unfolding fresh-star-def by fastforce

lemma fv-delete-subset:
   $\text{fv}(\text{delete } v \Gamma) \subseteq \text{fv } \Gamma$ 
  using fresh-delete unfolding fresh-def fv-def by auto

lemma fresh-heap-expr:
  assumes  $a \notin \Gamma$ 
  and  $(x,e) \in \text{set } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $a \notin e$ 
  using assms
  by (metis fresh-list-elem fresh-Pair)

lemma fresh-heap-expr':
  assumes  $a \notin \Gamma$ 
  and  $e \in \text{snd } \text{set } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $a \notin e$ 
  using assms
  by (induct  $\Gamma$ , auto simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Pair)

lemma fresh-star-heap-expr':
  assumes  $S \#* \Gamma$ 
  and  $e \in \text{snd } \text{set } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $S \#* e$ 
  using assms
  by (metis fresh-star-def fresh-heap-expr')

lemma fresh-map-of:
  assumes  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
```

```

assumes a # Γ
shows a # the (map-of Γ x)
using assms
by (induct Γ)(auto simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Pair)

lemma fresh-star-map-of:
assumes x ∈ domA Γ
assumes a #* Γ
shows a #* the (map-of Γ x)
using assms by (simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-map-of)

lemma domA-fv-subset: domA Γ ⊆ fv Γ
by (induction Γ) auto

lemma map-of-fv-subset: x ∈ domA Γ ==> fv (the (map-of Γ x)) ⊆ fv Γ
by (induction Γ) auto

lemma map-of-Some-fv-subset: map-of Γ x = Some e ==> fv e ⊆ fv Γ
by (metis domA-from-set map-of-fv-subset map-of-SomeD option.sel)

```

## 9.2 Equivariance lemmas

```

lemma domA[eqvt]:
π ∙ domA Γ = domA (π ∙ Γ)
by (simp add: domA-def)

lemma mapCollect[eqvt]:
π ∙ mapCollect f m = mapCollect (π ∙ f) (π ∙ m)
unfolding mapCollect-def
by perm-simp rule

```

## 9.3 Freshness and distinctness

```

lemma fresh-distinct:
assumes atom ` S #* Γ
shows S ∩ domA Γ = {}
proof-
{ fix x
assume x ∈ S
moreover
assume x ∈ domA Γ
hence atom x ∈ supp Γ
by (induct Γ)(auto simp add: supp-Cons domA-def supp-Pair supp-at-base)
ultimately
have False
using assms
by (simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def)
}
thus S ∩ domA Γ = {} by auto
qed

```

```

lemma fresh-distinct-list:
  assumes atom `S #* l
  shows S ∩ set l = {}
  using assms
  by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal fresh-list-elem fresh-star-def image-eqI not-self-fresh)

lemma fresh-distinct-fv:
  assumes atom `S #* l
  shows S ∩ fv l = {}
  using assms
  by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal fresh-star-def fv-not-fresh image-eqI)

```

## 9.4 Pure codomains

```

lemma domA-fv-pure:
  fixes Γ :: ('a::at-base × 'b::pure) list
  shows fv Γ = domA Γ
  apply (induct Γ)
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply (simp)
  done

lemma domA-fresh-pure:
  fixes Γ :: ('a::at-base × 'b::pure) list
  shows x ∈ domA Γ ↔ ¬(atom x # Γ)
  unfolding domA-fv-pure[symmetric]
  by (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-def)

end

```

# 10 Nominal-HOLCF.tex

```

theory Nominal-HOLCF
imports
  Nominal-Utils HOLCF-Utils
begin

```

## 10.1 Type class of continuous permutations and variations thereof

```

class cont-pt =
  cpo +
  pt +
  assumes perm-cont: ∀p. cont ((permute p) :: 'a::{cpo,pt} ⇒ 'a)

class discr-pt =
  discrete-cpo +

```

*pt*

```
class pcpo-pt =
  cont-pt +
  pcpo

instance pcpo-pt ⊆ cont-pt
by standard (auto intro: perm-cont)

instance discr-pt ⊆ cont-pt
by standard auto

lemma (in cont-pt) perm-cont-simp[simp]: π • x ⊑ π • y ↔ x ⊑ y
  apply rule
  apply (drule cont2monofunE[OF perm-cont, of -- π], simp)[1]
  apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF perm-cont, of -- π])
done

lemma (in cont-pt) perm-below-to-right: π • x ⊑ y ↔ x ⊑ -- π • y
  by (metis perm-cont-simp pt-class.permute-minus-cancel(2))

lemma perm-is-ub-simp[simp]: π • S <| π • (x:'a::cont-pt) ↔ S <| x
  by (auto simp add: is-ub-def permute-set-def)

lemma perm-is-ub-eqvt[simp, eqvt]: S <| (x:'a::cont-pt) ==> π • S <| π • x
  by simp

lemma perm-is-lub-simp[simp]: π • S <<| π • (x:'a::cont-pt) ↔ S <<| x
  apply (rule perm-rel-lemma)
  by (metis is-lubI is-lubD1 is-lubD2 perm-cont-simp perm-is-ub-simp)

lemma perm-is-lub-eqvt[simp, eqvt]: S <<| (x:'a::cont-pt) ==> π • S <<| π • x
  by simp

lemmas perm-cont2cont[simp, cont2cont] = cont-compose[OF perm-cont]

lemma perm-still-cont: cont (π • f) = cont (f :: ('a :: cont-pt) ⇒ ('b :: cont-pt))
proof
  have imp: ∧ (f :: 'a ⇒ 'b) π. cont f ==> cont (π • f)
    unfolding permute-fun-def
    by (metis cont-compose perm-cont)
  show cont f ==> cont (π • f) using imp[of f π].
  show cont (π • f) ==> cont (f) using imp[of π • f -- π] by simp
qed

lemma perm-bottom[simp, eqvt]: π • ⊥ = (⊥:'a:{cont-pt, pcpo})
proof-
  have ⊥ ⊑ -- π • (⊥:'a:{cont-pt, pcpo}) by simp
  hence π • ⊥ ⊑ π • (− π • (⊥:'a:{cont-pt, pcpo})) by (rule cont2monofunE[OF perm-cont])
```

```

hence  $\pi \cdot \perp \sqsubseteq (\perp :: 'a :: \{cont-pt, pcpo\})$  by simp
thus  $\pi \cdot \perp = (\perp :: 'a :: \{cont-pt, pcpo\})$  by simp
qed

lemma bot-supp[simp]: supp ( $\perp :: 'a :: pcpo-pt$ ) = {}
by (rule supp-fun-eqvt) (simp add: eqvt-def)

lemma bot-fresh[simp]: a # ( $\perp :: 'a :: pcpo-pt$ )
by (simp add: fresh-def)

lemma bot-fresh-star[simp]: a #* ( $\perp :: 'a :: pcpo-pt$ )
by (simp add: fresh-star-def)

lemma below-eqvt [eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (x \sqsubseteq y) = (\pi \cdot x \sqsubseteq \pi \cdot (y :: 'a :: cont-pt))$  by (auto simp add: permute-pure)

lemma lub-eqvt[simp]:
 $(\exists z. S <<| (z :: 'a :: \{cont-pt\})) \implies \pi \cdot lub S = lub (\pi \cdot S)$ 
by (metis lub-eqI perm-is-lub-simp)

lemma chain-eqvt[eqvt]:
fixes F :: nat  $\Rightarrow 'a :: cont-pt$ 
shows chain F  $\implies$  chain ( $\pi \cdot F$ )
apply (rule chainI)
apply (drule-tac i = i in chainE)
apply (subst (asm) perm-cont-simp[symmetric, where  $\pi = \pi$ ])
by (metis permute-fun-app-eq permute-pure)

```

## 10.2 Instance for *cfun*

```

instantiation cfun :: (cont-pt, cont-pt) pt
begin
definition  $p \cdot (f :: 'a \rightarrow 'b) = (\Lambda x. p \cdot (f \cdot (- p \cdot x)))$ 

instance
apply standard
apply (simp add: permute-cfun-def eta-cfun)
apply (simp add: permute-cfun-def cfun-eqI minus-add)
done
end

lemma permute-cfun-eq: permute p =  $(\lambda f. (Abs-cfun (permute p)) oo f oo (Abs-cfun (permute (-p))))$ 
by (rule, rule cfun-eqI, auto simp add: permute-cfun-def)

lemma Cfun-app-eqvt[eqvt]:
 $\pi \cdot (f \cdot x) = (\pi \cdot f) \cdot (\pi \cdot x)$ 
unfolding permute-cfun-def
by auto

```

```

lemma permute-Lam: cont f ==> p · (Λ x. f x) = (Λ x. (p · f) x)
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  unfolding permute-cfun-def
  by (metis Abs-cfun-inverse2 eqvt-lambda unpermute-def)

lemma Abs-cfun-eqvt: cont f ==> (p · Abs-cfun) f = Abs-cfun f
  apply (subst permute-fun-def)
  by (metis permute-Lam perm-still-cont permute-minus-cancel(1))

lemma cfun-eqvtI: (Λ x. p · (f · x) = f' · (p · x)) ==> p · f = f'
  by (metis Cfun-app-eqvt cfun-eqI permute-minus-cancel(1))

lemma ID-eqvt[eqvt]: π · ID = ID
  unfolding ID-def
  apply perm-simp
  apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
  done

instance cfun :: (cont-pt, cont-pt) cont-pt
  by standard (subst permute-cfun-eq, auto)

instance cfun :: ({pure,cont-pt}, {pure,cont-pt}) pure
  by standard (auto simp add: permute-cfun-def permute-pure Cfun.cfun.Rep-cfun-inverse)

instance cfun :: (cont-pt, pcpo-pt) pcpo-pt
  by standard

```

### 10.3 Instance for fun

```

lemma permute-fun-eq: permute p = (λ f. (permute p) ∘ f ∘ (permute (-p)))
  by (rule, rule, metis comp-apply eqvt-lambda unpermute-def)

instance fun :: (pt, cont-pt) cont-pt
  apply standard
  apply (rule cont2cont-lambda)
  apply (subst permute-fun-def)
  apply (rule perm-cont2cont)
  apply (rule cont-fun)
  done

lemma fix-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π · fix = (fix :: ('a → 'a) → 'a :: {cont-pt,pcpo})
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply (subst permute-cfun-def)
  apply simp
  apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[OF adm-subst2])
  apply (auto simp add: permute-self)
  done

```

## 10.4 Instance for $u$

```

instantiation u :: (cont-pt) pt
begin
definition p · (x :: 'a u) = fup · (Λ x. up · (p · x)) · x
instance
apply standard
apply (case-tac x) apply (auto simp add: permute-u-def)
apply (case-tac x) apply (auto simp add: permute-u-def)
done
end

instance u :: (cont-pt) cont-pt
proof
fix p

have permute p = (λ x. fup · (Λ x. up · (p · x)) · (x :: 'a u))
by (rule ext, rule permute-u-def)
moreover have cont (λ x. fup · (Λ x. up · (p · x)) · (x :: 'a u)) by simp
ultimately show cont (permute p :: 'a u ⇒ 'a u) by simp
qed

instance u :: (cont-pt) pcpo-pt ..
class pure-cont-pt = pure + cont-pt

instance u :: (pure-cont-pt) pure
apply standard
apply (case-tac x)
apply (auto simp add: permute-u-def permute-pure)
done

lemma up-eqvt[eqvt]: π · up = up
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply (subst permute-cfun-def, simp)
apply (simp add: permute-u-def)
done

lemma fup-eqvt[eqvt]: π · fup = fup
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply (subst permute-cfun-def, simp)
apply (subst permute-cfun-def, simp)
apply (case-tac xa)
apply simp
apply (simp add: permute-self)
done

```

## 10.5 Instance for *lift*

```

instantiation lift :: (pt) pt
begin
  definition p · (x :: 'a lift) = case-lift ⊥ (λ x. Def (p · x)) x
  instance
    apply standard
    apply (case-tac x) apply (auto simp add: permute-lift-def)
    apply (case-tac x) apply (auto simp add: permute-lift-def)
    done
  end

instance lift :: (pt) cont-pt
proof
  fix p

  have permute p = (λ x. case-lift ⊥ (λ x. Def (p · x)) (x::'a lift))
  by (rule ext, rule permute-lift-def)
  moreover have cont (λ x. case-lift ⊥ (λ x. Def (p · x)) (x::'a lift)) by simp
  ultimately show cont (permute p :: 'a lift ⇒ 'a lift) by simp
qed

instance lift :: (pt) pcpo-pt ..

instance lift :: (pure) pure
apply standard
apply (case-tac x)
apply (auto simp add: permute-lift-def permute-pure)
done

lemma Def-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (Def x) = Def (π · x)
by (simp add: permute-lift-def)

lemma case-lift-eqvt[eqvt]: π · case-lift d f x = case-lift (π · d) (π · f) (π · x)
by (cases x) (auto simp add: permute-self)

```

## 10.6 Instance for *prod*

```

instance prod :: (cont-pt, cont-pt) cont-pt
proof
  fix p

  have permute p = (λ (x :: ('a, 'b) prod). (p · fst x, p · snd x)) by auto
  moreover have cont ... by (intro cont2cont)
  ultimately show cont (permute p :: ('a,'b) prod ⇒ ('a,'b) prod) by simp
qed

end

```

## 11 Env-HOLCF.tex

```

theory Env-HOLCF
  imports Env HOLCF-Utils
begin

11.1 Continuity and pcpo-valued functions

lemma override-on-belowI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a. a \in S \implies y a \sqsubseteq z a$ 
  and  $\bigwedge a. a \notin S \implies x a \sqsubseteq z a$ 
  shows  $x ++_S y \sqsubseteq z$ 
  using assms
  apply -
  apply (rule fun-belowI)
  apply (case-tac xa ∈ S)
  apply auto
done

lemma override-on-cont1: cont ( $\lambda x. x ++_S m$ )
  by (rule cont2cont-lambda) (auto simp add: override-on-def)

lemma override-on-cont2: cont ( $\lambda x. m ++_S x$ )
  by (rule cont2cont-lambda) (auto simp add: override-on-def)

lemma override-on-cont2cont[simp, cont2cont]:
  assumes cont f
  assumes cont g
  shows cont ( $\lambda x. f x ++_S g x$ )
  by (rule cont-apply[OF assms(1) override-on-cont1 cont-compose[OF override-on-cont2 assms(2)]))

lemma override-on-mono:
  assumes x1 ⊑ (x2 :: 'a::type ⇒ 'b::cpo)
  assumes y1 ⊑ y2
  shows x1 ++_S y1 ⊑ x2 ++_S y2
  by (rule below-trans[OF cont2monofunE[OF override-on-cont1 assms(1)] cont2monofunE[OF
override-on-cont2 assms(2)]])

lemma fun-upd-below-env-deleteI:
  assumes env-delete x ρ ⊑ env-delete x ρ'
  assumes y ⊑ ρ' x
  shows ρ(x := y) ⊑ ρ'
  using assms
  apply (auto intro!: fun-upd-belowI simp add: env-delete-def)
  by (metis fun-belowD fun-upd-other)

lemma fun-upd-belowI2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge z. z \neq x \implies \rho z \sqsubseteq \rho' z$ 
  assumes  $\rho x \sqsubseteq y$ 
  shows  $\rho \sqsubseteq \rho'(x := y)$ 

```

```

apply (rule fun-belowI)
using assms by auto

lemma env-restr-belowI:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies (m1 f|` S) x \sqsubseteq (m2 f|` S) x$ 
shows  $m1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m2 f|` S$ 
apply (rule fun-belowI)
by (metis assms below-bottom-iff lookup-env-restr-not-there)

lemma env-restr-belowI2:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies m1 x \sqsubseteq m2 x$ 
shows  $m1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m2$ 
by (rule fun-belowI)
(simp add: assms env-restr-def)

lemma env-restr-below-itself:
shows  $m f|` S \sqsubseteq m$ 
apply (rule fun-belowI)
apply (case-tac  $x \in S$ )
apply auto
done

lemma env-restr-cont: cont (env-restr S)
apply (rule cont2cont-lambda)
apply (case-tac  $y \in S$ )
apply auto
done

lemma env-restr-belowD:
assumes  $m1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m2 f|` S$ 
assumes  $x \in S$ 
shows  $m1 x \sqsubseteq m2 x$ 
using fun-belowD[OF assms(1), where  $x = x$ ] assms(2) by simp

lemma env-restr-eqD:
assumes  $m1 f|` S = m2 f|` S$ 
assumes  $x \in S$ 
shows  $m1 x = m2 x$ 
by (metis assms(1) assms(2) lookup-env-restr)

lemma env-restr-below-subset:
assumes  $S \subseteq S'$ 
and  $m1 f|` S' \sqsubseteq m2 f|` S'$ 
shows  $m1 f|` S \sqsubseteq m2 f|` S$ 
using assms
by (auto intro!: env-restr-belowI dest: env-restr-belowD)

```

```

lemma override-on-below-restrI:
  assumes x f|` (-S) ⊑ z f|` (-S)
  and y f|` S ⊑ z f|` S
  shows x ++_S y ⊑ z
using assms
by (auto intro: override-on-belowI dest:env-restr-belowD)

lemma fmap-below-add-restrI:
  assumes x f|` (-S) ⊑ y f|` (-S)
  and x f|` S ⊑ z f|` S
  shows x ⊑ y ++_S z
using assms
by (auto intro!: fun-belowI dest:env-restr-belowD simp add: lookup-override-on-eq)

lemmas env-restr-cont2cont[simp,cont2cont] = cont-compose[OF env-restr-cont]

lemma env-delete-cont: cont (env-delete x)
  apply (rule cont2cont-lambda)
  apply (case-tac y = x)
  apply auto
  done
lemmas env-delete-cont2cont[simp,cont2cont] = cont-compose[OF env-delete-cont]

end

```

## 12 HasESem.tex

```

theory HasESem
imports Nominal-HOLCF Env-HOLCF
begin

```

A local to work abstract in the expression type and semantics.

```

locale has-ESem =
  fixes ESem :: 'exp::pt ⇒ ('var::at-base ⇒ 'value) → 'value::{pure,pcpo}
begin
  abbreviation ESem-syn ([ - ]- [ 0,0] 110) where "[e]_ρ ≡ ESem e · ρ"
end

locale has-ignore-fresh-ESem = has-ESem +
  assumes fv-supp: supp e = atom ` (fv e :: 'b set)
  assumes ESem-considers-fv: "[ e ]_ρ = [ e ]_ρ f|` (fv e)"
end

```

## 13 Iterative.tex

```

theory Iterative
imports Env-HOLCF
begin

A setup for defining a fixed point of mutual recursive environments iteratively

locale iterative =
  fixes  $\varrho :: 'a::type \Rightarrow 'b::pcpo$ 
  and  $e1 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ 
  and  $e2 :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow 'b$ 
  and  $S :: 'a \text{ set}$  and  $x :: 'a$ 
  assumes  $ne:x \notin S$ 
begin
  abbreviation  $L == (\Lambda \varrho'. (\varrho + +_S e1 \cdot \varrho')(x := e2 \cdot \varrho'))$ 
  abbreviation  $H == (\lambda \varrho'. \Lambda \varrho''. \varrho' + +_S e1 \cdot \varrho'')$ 
  abbreviation  $R == (\Lambda \varrho'. (\varrho + +_S (fix \cdot (H \varrho')))(x := e2 \cdot \varrho'))$ 
  abbreviation  $R' == (\Lambda \varrho'. (\varrho + +_S (fix \cdot (H \varrho')))(x := e2 \cdot (fix \cdot (H \varrho'))))$ 

  lemma split-x:
    fixes  $y$ 
    obtains  $y = x$  and  $y \notin S \mid y \in S$  and  $y \neq x \mid y \notin S$  and  $y \neq x$  using  $ne$  by blast
    lemmas below = fun-belowI[ $OF$  split-x, where  $y1 = \lambda x. x$ ]
    lemmas eq = ext[ $OF$  split-x, where  $y1 = \lambda x. x$ ]

  lemma lookup-fix[simp]:
    fixes  $y$  and  $F :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ 
    shows  $(fix \cdot F) y = (F \cdot (fix \cdot F)) y$ 
    by (subst fix-eq, rule)

  lemma R-S:  $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies (fix \cdot R) y = (e1 \cdot (fix \cdot (H (fix \cdot R)))) y$ 
    by (case-tac  $y$  rule: split-x) simp-all

  lemma R'-S:  $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies (fix \cdot R') y = (e1 \cdot (fix \cdot (H (fix \cdot R')))) y$ 
    by (case-tac  $y$  rule: split-x) simp-all

  lemma HR-is-R[simp]:  $fix \cdot (H (fix \cdot R)) = fix \cdot R$ 
    by (rule eq) simp-all

  lemma HR'-is-R'[simp]:  $fix \cdot (H (fix \cdot R')) = fix \cdot R'$ 
    by (rule eq) simp-all

  lemma H-noop:
    fixes  $\varrho' \varrho''$ 
    assumes  $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies y \neq x \implies (e1 \cdot \varrho'') y \sqsubseteq \varrho' y$ 
    shows  $H \varrho' \cdot \varrho'' \sqsubseteq \varrho'$ 
    using assms
    by -(rule below, simp-all)

```

```

lemma HL-is-L[simp]: fix · (H (fix · L)) = fix · L
proof (rule below-antisym)
  show fix · (H (fix · L)) ⊑ fix · L
    by (rule fix-least-below[OF H-noop]) simp
  hence *: e2 · (fix · (H (fix · L))) ⊑ e2 · (fix · L) by (rule monofun-cfun-arg)

  show fix · L ⊑ fix · (H (fix · L))
    by (rule fix-least-below[OF below]) (simp-all add: ne *)
qed

lemma iterative-override-on:
  shows fix · L = fix · R
proof(rule below-antisym)
  show fix · R ⊑ fix · L
    by (rule fix-least-below[OF below]) simp-all

  show fix · L ⊑ fix · R
    apply (rule fix-least-below[OF below])
    apply simp
    apply (simp del: lookup-fix add: R-S)
    apply simp
    done
qed

lemma iterative-override-on':
  shows fix · L = fix · R'
proof(rule below-antisym)
  show fix · R' ⊑ fix · L
    by (rule fix-least-below[OF below]) simp-all

  show fix · L ⊑ fix · R'
    apply (rule fix-least-below[OF below])
    apply simp
    apply (simp del: lookup-fix add: R'-S)
    apply simp
    done
qed
end

end

```

## 14 Env-Nominal.tex

```

theory Env–Nominal
  imports Env Nominal–Utils Nominal–HOLCF
begin

```

## 14.1 Equivariance lemmas

```

lemma edom-perm:
  fixes f :: 'a::pt  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{pcpo-pt}
  shows edom ( $\pi \cdot f$ ) =  $\pi \cdot (\text{edom } f)$ 
  by (simp add: edom-def)

lemmas edom-perm-rev[simp,eqvt] = edom-perm[symmetric]

lemma mem-edom-perm[simp]:
  fixes  $\varrho$  :: 'a::at-base  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{pcpo-pt}
  shows  $xa \in \text{edom } (p \cdot \varrho) \longleftrightarrow -p \cdot xa \in \text{edom } \varrho$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags) edom-perm-rev mem-Collect-eq permute-set-eq)

lemma env-restr-eqvt[eqvt]:
  fixes m :: 'a::pt  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{cont-pt,pcpo}
  shows  $\pi \cdot m f|` d = (\pi \cdot m) f|` (\pi \cdot d)$ 
  by (auto simp add: env-restr-def)

lemma env-delete-eqvt[eqvt]:
  fixes m :: 'a::pt  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{cont-pt,pcpo}
  shows  $\pi \cdot \text{env-delete } x m = \text{env-delete } (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot m)$ 
  by (auto simp add: env-delete-def)

lemma esing-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{esing } x) = \text{esing } (\pi \cdot x)$ 
unfolding esing-def
apply perm-simp
apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
done

```

## 14.2 Permutation and restriction

```

lemma env-restr-perm:
  fixes  $\varrho$  :: 'a::at-base  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{pcpo-pt,pure}
  assumes supp p #* S and [simp]: finite S
  shows  $(p \cdot \varrho) f|` S = \varrho f|` S$ 
using assms
apply -
apply (rule ext)
apply (case-tac  $x \in S$ )
apply (simp)
apply (subst permute-fun-def)
apply (simp add: permute-pure)
apply (subst perm-supp-eq)
apply (auto simp add: perm-supp-eq supp-minus-perm fresh-star-def fresh-def supp-set-elem-finite)
done

lemma env-restr-perm':
  fixes  $\varrho$  :: 'a::at-base  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{pcpo-pt,pure}
  assumes supp p #* S and [simp]: finite S

```

```

shows  $p \cdot (\varrho f|` S) = \varrho f|` S$ 
by (simp add: perm-supp-eq[OF assms(1)] env-restr-perm[OF assms])

lemma env-restr-flip:
fixes  $\varrho :: 'a::at-base \Rightarrow 'b::\{pcpo-pt,pure\}$ 
assumes  $x \notin S$  and  $x' \notin S$ 
shows  $((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \varrho) f|` S = \varrho f|` S$ 
using assms
apply -
apply rule
apply (auto simp add: permute-flip-at env-restr-def split;if-splits)
by (metis eqvt-lambda flip-at-base-simps(3) minus-flip permute-pure unpermute-def)

lemma env-restr-flip':
fixes  $\varrho :: 'a::at-base \Rightarrow 'b::\{pcpo-pt,pure\}$ 
assumes  $x \notin S$  and  $x' \notin S$ 
shows  $(x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (\varrho f|` S) = \varrho f|` S$ 
by (simp add: flip-set-both-not-in[OF assms] env-restr-flip[OF assms])

```

### 14.3 Pure codomains

```

lemma edom-fv-pure:
fixes  $f :: ('a::at-base \Rightarrow 'b::\{pcpo,pure\})$ 
assumes finite (edom f)
shows fv f  $\subseteq$  edom f
using assms
proof (induction edom f arbitrary: f)
  case empty
  hence  $f = \perp$  unfolding edom-def by auto
  thus ?case by (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-def supp-def)
next
  case (insert x S)
  have  $f = (\text{env-delete } x f)(x := f x)$  by auto
  hence fv f  $\subseteq$  fv (env-delete x f)  $\cup$  fv x  $\cup$  fv (f x)
    using eqvt-fresh-cong3[where f = fun-upd and x = env-delete x f and y = x and z = f x,
    OF fun-upd-eqvt]
    apply (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-def)
    by (metis fresh-def pure-fresh)
  also
    from ⟨insert x S = edom f⟩ and ⟨ $x \notin S$ ⟩
    have  $S = \text{edom } (\text{env-delete } x f)$  by auto
    hence fv (env-delete x f)  $\subseteq$  edom (env-delete x f) by (rule insert)
    also
      have fv (f x) = {} by (rule fv-pure)
      also
        from ⟨insert x S = edom f⟩ have  $x \in \text{edom } f$  by auto
        hence edom (env-delete x f)  $\cup$  fv x  $\cup$  {}  $\subseteq$  edom f by auto
        finally

```

```

show ?case by this (intro Un-mono subset-refl)
qed

```

```
end
```

## 15 HeapSemantics.tex

```

theory HeapSemantics
imports EvalHeap AList-Utils-Nominal HasESem Iterative Env-Nominal
begin

```

### 15.1 A locale for heap semantics, abstract in the expression semantics

```

context has-ESem
begin

```

```

abbreviation EvalHeapSem-syn ([ - ]- [0,0] 110)
  where EvalHeapSem-syn Γ ρ ≡ evalHeap Γ (λ e. [e]_ρ)

```

**definition**

```

HSem :: ('var × 'exp) list ⇒ ('var ⇒ 'value) → ('var ⇒ 'value)
where HSem Γ = (Λ ρ . (μ ρ'. ρ ++domA Γ [Γ]ρ'))

```

```

abbreviation HSem-syn ({ - }- [0,60] 60)
  where {Γ}ρ ≡ HSem Γ · ρ

```

```

lemma HSem-def': {Γ}ρ = (μ ρ'. ρ ++domA Γ [Γ]ρ')
  unfolding HSem-def by simp

```

### 15.2 Induction and other lemmas about HSem

**lemma HSem-ind:**

```

assumes adm P
assumes P ⊥
assumes step: ⋀ ρ'. P ρ' ⇒ P (ρ ++domA Γ [Γ]ρ')
shows P ({Γ}ρ)
unfolding HSem-def'
apply (rule fix-ind[OF assms(1), OF assms(2)])
using step by simp

```

**lemma HSem-below:**

```

assumes rho: ⋀ x. x ∉ domA h ⇒ ρ x ⊑ r x
assumes h: ⋀ x. x ∈ domA h ⇒ [the (map-of h x)]_r ⊑ r x
shows {h}ρ ⊑ r
proof (rule HSem-ind, goal-cases)
  case 1 show ?case by (auto)

```

```

next
  case 2 show ?case by (rule minimal)
next
  case (3  $\varrho'$ )
    show ?case
    by (rule override-on-belowI)
      (auto simp add: lookupEvalHeap below-trans[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF  $\langle \varrho' \sqsubseteq r \rangle$ ] h] rho)
qed

lemma HSem-bot-below:
  assumes h:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } h \implies \llbracket \text{the (map-of } h \ x) \rrbracket_r \sqsubseteq r \ x$ 
  shows  $\{h\} \perp \sqsubseteq r$ 
  using assms
  by (metis HSem-below fun-belowD minimal)

lemma HSem-bot-ind:
  assumes adm P
  assumes P  $\perp$ 
  assumes step:  $\bigwedge \varrho'. P \ \varrho' \implies P (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho'})$ 
  shows P ( $\{\Gamma\} \perp$ )
  apply (rule HSem-ind[OF assms(1,2)])
  apply (drule assms(3))
  apply simp
  done

lemma parallel-HSem-ind:
  assumes adm ( $\lambda \varrho'. P \ (\text{fst } \varrho') \ (\text{snd } \varrho')$ )
  assumes P  $\perp \perp$ 
  assumes step:  $\bigwedge y z. P \ y \ z \implies$ 
     $P \ (\varrho_1 \ ++_{\text{domA}} \Gamma_1 \ \llbracket \Gamma_1 \rrbracket_y) \ (\varrho_2 \ ++_{\text{domA}} \Gamma_2 \ \llbracket \Gamma_2 \rrbracket_z)$ 
  shows P ( $\{\Gamma_1\} \varrho_1$ ) ( $\{\Gamma_2\} \varrho_2$ )
  unfolding HSem-def'
  apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[OF assms(1), OF assms(2)])
  using step by simp

lemma HSem-eq:
  shows  $\{\Gamma\} \varrho = \varrho ++_{\text{domA}} \Gamma \ \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \{\Gamma\} \varrho$ 
  unfolding HSem-def'
  by (subst fix-eq) simp

lemma HSem-bot-eq:
  shows  $\{\Gamma\} \perp = \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \{\Gamma\} \perp$ 
  by (subst HSem-eq) simp

lemma lookup-HSem-other:
  assumes y  $\notin \text{domA } h$ 
  shows ( $\{h\} \varrho$ ) y =  $\varrho \ y$ 
  apply (subst HSem-eq)
  using assms by simp

```

```

lemma lookup-HSem-heap:
  assumes  $y \in \text{domA } h$ 
  shows  $\{\{h\}\varrho\} y = \llbracket \text{the (map-of } h \text{ } y) \rrbracket_{\{h\}\varrho}$ 
  apply (subst HSem-eq)
  using assms by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap)

lemma HSem-edom-subset:  $\text{edom } (\{\Gamma\}\varrho) \subseteq \text{edom } \varrho \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  apply rule
  unfolding edomIff
  apply (case-tac  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ )
  apply (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
  done

lemma env-restr-override-onI:-S2  $\subseteq S \implies \text{env-restr } S \varrho_1 \text{ ++}_{S2} \varrho_2 = \varrho_1 \text{ ++}_{S2} \varrho_2$ 
  by (rule ext) (auto simp add: lookup-override-onI)

lemma HSem-restr:
   $\{\{h\}(\varrho f|` (- \text{domA } h)) = \{\{h\}\varrho$ 
  apply (rule parallel-HSem-ind)
  apply simp
  apply auto[1]
  apply (subst env-restr-override-onI)
  apply simp-all
  done

lemma HSem-restr-cong:
  assumes  $\varrho f|` (- \text{domA } h) = \varrho' f|` (- \text{domA } h)$ 
  shows  $\{\{h\}\varrho = \{\{h\}\varrho'$ 
  apply (subst (1 2) HSem-restr[symmetric])
  by (simp add: assms)

lemma HSem-restr-cong-below:
  assumes  $\varrho f|` (- \text{domA } h) \sqsubseteq \varrho' f|` (- \text{domA } h)$ 
  shows  $\{\{h\}\varrho \sqsubseteq \{\{h\}\varrho'$ 
  by (subst (1 2) HSem-restr[symmetric]) (rule monofun-cfun-arg[OF assms])

lemma HSem-reorder:
  assumes map-of  $\Gamma = \text{map-of } \Delta$ 
  shows  $\{\{\Gamma\}\varrho = \{\{\Delta\}\varrho$ 
  by (simp add: HSem-def' evalHeap-reorder[OF assms] assms dom-map-of-conv-domA[symmetric])

lemma HSem-reorder-head:
  assumes  $x \neq y$ 
  shows  $\{(x,e1)\#(y,e2)\#\Gamma\}\varrho = \{(y,e2)\#(x,e1)\#\Gamma\}\varrho$ 
  proof-
    have set  $((x,e1)\#(y,e2)\#\Gamma) = \text{set } ((y,e2)\#(x,e1)\#\Gamma)$ 
    by auto
    thus ?thesis
  qed

```

```

unfolding HSem-def evalHeap-reorder-head[OF assms]
  by (simp add: domA-def)
qed

lemma HSem-reorder-head-append:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\{(x,e)\#\Gamma @ \Delta\} \varrho = \{\Gamma @ ((x,e)\#\Delta)\} \varrho$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{set } ((x,e)\#\Gamma @ \Delta) = \text{set } (\Gamma @ ((x,e)\#\Delta))$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding HSem-def evalHeap-reorder-head-append[OF assms]
    by simp
qed

lemma env-restr-HSem:
  assumes  $\text{domA } \Gamma \cap S = \{\}$ 
  shows  $(\{\Gamma\} \varrho) f|` S = \varrho f|` S$ 
proof (rule env-restr-eqI)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in S$ 
  hence  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$  using assms by auto
  thus  $(\{\Gamma\} \varrho) x = \varrho x$ 
    by (rule lookup-HSem-other)
qed

lemma env-restr-HSem-noop:
  assumes  $\text{domA } \Gamma \cap \text{edom } \varrho = \{\}$ 
  shows  $(\{\Gamma\} \varrho) f|` \text{edom } \varrho = \varrho$ 
  by (simp add: env-restr-HSem[OF assms] env-restr-useless)

lemma HSem-Nil[simp]:  $\{\emptyset\} \varrho = \varrho$ 
  by (subst HSem-eq, simp)

```

### 15.3 Substitution

```

lemma HSem-subst-exp:
  assumes  $\bigwedge \varrho'. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'} = \llbracket e' \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
  shows  $\{(x, e)\} \# \Gamma \varrho = \{(x, e')\} \# \Gamma \varrho$ 
  by (rule parallel-HSem-ind) (auto simp add: assms evalHeap-subst-exp)

lemma HSem-subst-expr-below:
  assumes below:  $\llbracket e1 \rrbracket_{\{(x, e2)\} \# \Gamma} \varrho \sqsubseteq \llbracket e2 \rrbracket_{\{(x, e2)\} \# \Gamma} \varrho$ 
  shows  $\{(x, e1)\} \# \Gamma \varrho \sqsubseteq \{(x, e2)\} \# \Gamma \varrho$ 
  by (rule HSem-below) (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap below lookup-HSem-other)

lemma HSem-subst-expr:
  assumes below1:  $\llbracket e1 \rrbracket_{\{(x, e2)\} \# \Gamma} \varrho \sqsubseteq \llbracket e2 \rrbracket_{\{(x, e2)\} \# \Gamma} \varrho$ 
  assumes below2:  $\llbracket e2 \rrbracket_{\{(x, e1)\} \# \Gamma} \varrho \sqsubseteq \llbracket e1 \rrbracket_{\{(x, e1)\} \# \Gamma} \varrho$ 
  shows  $\{(x, e1)\} \# \Gamma \varrho = \{(x, e2)\} \# \Gamma \varrho$ 

```

by (metis assms HSem-subst-expr-below below-antisym)

## 15.4 Re-calculating the semantics of the heap is idempotent

```

lemma HSem-redo:
  shows  $\{\Gamma\}(\{\Gamma @ \Delta\}\varrho) f \sqsubseteq (edom \varrho \cup domA \Delta) = \{\Gamma @ \Delta\}\varrho$  (is ?LHS = ?RHS)
proof (rule below-antisym)
  show ?LHS  $\sqsubseteq$  ?RHS
  by (rule HSem-below)
    (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap fun-belowD[OF env-restr-below-itself])

  show ?RHS  $\sqsubseteq$  ?LHS
  proof(rule HSem-below, goal-cases)
    case (1 x)
    thus ?case
      by (cases x  $\notin$  edom  $\varrho$ ) (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other dest:lookup-not-edom)
  next
    case prems: (2 x)
    thus ?case
      proof(cases x  $\in$  domA  $\Gamma$ )
        case True
          thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap)
      next
        case False
          hence delta:  $x \in domA \Delta$  using prems by auto
          with False  $\langle ?LHS \sqsubseteq ?RHS \rangle$ 
          show ?thesis by (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other lookup-HSem-heap monofun-cfun-arg)
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

## 15.5 Iterative definition of the heap semantics

```

lemma iterative-HSem:
  assumes x  $\notin$  domA  $\Gamma$ 
  shows  $\{(x,e) \# \Gamma\}\varrho = (\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{domA \Gamma} (\{\Gamma\}\varrho'))( x := \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}))$ 
proof-
  from assms
  interpret iterative
    where e1 =  $\Lambda \varrho'. \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
    and e2 =  $\Lambda \varrho'. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
    and S = domA  $\Gamma$ 
    and x = x by unfold-locales

  have  $\{(x,e) \# \Gamma\}\varrho = fix \cdot L$ 
    by (simp add: HSem-def' override-on-upd ne)
  also have ... =  $fix \cdot R$ 
    by (rule iterative-override-on)
  also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{domA \Gamma} (\{\Gamma\}\varrho'))( x := \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}))$ 

```

```

by (simp add: HSem-def')
finally show ?thesis.
qed

lemma iterative-HSem':
assumes x ∉ domA Γ
shows (μ ρ'. (ρ ++domA Γ ({}{Γ}ρ'))( x := [e]_ρ')) =
= (μ ρ'. (ρ ++domA Γ ({}{Γ}ρ'))( x := [e]_{{}{Γ}ρ'}))
proof-
from assms
interpret iterative
where e1 = Λ ρ'. [Γ]_ρ'
and e2 = Λ ρ'. [e]_ρ'
and S = domA Γ
and x = x by unfold-locales
have (μ ρ'. (ρ ++domA Γ ({}{Γ}ρ'))( x := [e]_ρ')) = fix · R
by (simp add: HSem-def')
also have ... = fix · L
by (rule iterative-override-on[symmetric])
also have ... = fix · R'
by (rule iterative-override-on')
also have ... = (μ ρ'. (ρ ++domA Γ ({}{Γ}ρ'))( x := [e]_{{}{Γ}ρ'}))
by (simp add: HSem-def')
finally
show ?thesis.
qed

```

## 15.6 Fresh variables on the heap are irrelevant

```

lemma HSem-ignores-fresh-restr':
assumes fv Γ ⊆ S
assumes ⋀ x ρ. x ∈ domA Γ ⟹ [ the (map-of Γ x) ]_ρ = [ the (map-of Γ x) ]_ρ f|^‘ (fv (the (map-of Γ x)))
shows ({}{Γ}ρ) f|^‘ S = {}{Γ}ρ f|^‘ S
proof(induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind[case-names adm base step])
case adm thus ?case by simp
next
case base
show ?case by simp
next
case (step y z)
have [Γ]_y = [Γ]_z
proof(rule evalHeap-cong')
fix x
assume x ∈ domA Γ
hence fv (the (map-of Γ x)) ⊆ fv Γ by (rule map-of-fv-subset)
with assms(1)
have fv (the (map-of Γ x)) ∩ S = fv (the (map-of Γ x)) by auto

```

```

with step
have  $y f|` fv (\text{the} (\text{map-of } \Gamma x)) = z f|` fv (\text{the} (\text{map-of } \Gamma x))$  by auto
with  $\langle x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle$ 
show  $\llbracket \text{the} (\text{map-of } \Gamma x) \rrbracket_y = \llbracket \text{the} (\text{map-of } \Gamma x) \rrbracket_z$ 
by (subst (1 2) assms(2)[OF ⟨x ∈ domA Γ⟩]) simp
qed
moreover
have  $\text{domA } \Gamma \subseteq S$  using domA-fv-subset assms(1) by auto
ultimately
show ?case by (simp add: env-restr-add env-restr-evalHeap-noop)
qed
end

```

## 15.7 Freshness

```
context has-ignore-fresh-ESem begin
```

```

lemma ESem-fresh-cong:
assumes  $\varrho f|` (fv e) = \varrho' f|` (fv e)$ 
shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
by (metis assms ESem-considers-fv)

```

```

lemma ESem-fresh-cong-subset:
assumes  $\text{fv } e \subseteq S$ 
assumes  $\varrho f|` S = \varrho' f|` S$ 
shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
by (rule ESem-fresh-cong[OF env-restr-eq-subset[OF assms]])

```

```

lemma ESem-fresh-cong-below:
assumes  $\varrho f|` (fv e) \sqsubseteq \varrho' f|` (fv e)$ 
shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho \sqsubseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
by (metis assms ESem-considers-fv monofun-cfun-arg)

```

```

lemma ESem-fresh-cong-below-subset:
assumes  $\text{fv } e \subseteq S$ 
assumes  $\varrho f|` S \sqsubseteq \varrho' f|` S$ 
shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho \sqsubseteq \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}$ 
by (rule ESem-fresh-cong-below[OF env-restr-below-subset[OF assms]])

```

```

lemma ESem-ignores-fresh-restr:
assumes atom ` S #* e
shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho f|` (- S)}$ 
proof-
have  $\text{fv } e \cap - S = \text{fv } e$  using assms by (auto simp add: fresh-def fresh-star-def fv-supp)
thus ?thesis by (subst (1 2) ESem-considers-fv) simp
qed

```

```

lemma ESem-ignores-fresh-restr':
assumes atom ` (edom \varrho - S) #* e

```

**shows**  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho|`S}$

**proof-**

have  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho|`(- (edom \varrho - S))}$   
by (rule ESem-ignores-fresh-restr[OF assms])  
also have  $\varrho|`(- (edom \varrho - S)) = \varrho|`S$   
by (rule ext) (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq dest: lookup-not-edom)  
finally show ?thesis.

qed

**lemma** HSem-ignores-fresh-restr'':

**assumes**  $fv \Gamma \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho)|`S = \{\Gamma\}\varrho|`S$   
by (rule HSem-ignores-fresh-restr'[OF assms(1) ESem-considers-fv])

**lemma** HSem-ignores-fresh-restr:

**assumes**  $atom `S \#* \Gamma$   
**shows**  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho)|`(- S) = \{\Gamma\}\varrho|`(- S)$

**proof-**

from assms have  $fv \Gamma \subseteq - S$  by (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-star-def fresh-def)  
thus ?thesis by (rule HSem-ignores-fresh-restr'')

qed

**lemma** HSem-fresh-cong-below:

**assumes**  $\varrho|`((S \cup fv \Gamma) - domA \Gamma) \sqsubseteq \varrho'|`((S \cup fv \Gamma) - domA \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho)|`S \sqsubseteq (\{\Gamma\}\varrho')|`S$

**proof-**

from assms  
have  $\{\Gamma\}(\varrho|`((S \cup fv \Gamma)) \sqsubseteq \{\Gamma\}(\varrho'|`((S \cup fv \Gamma)))$   
by (auto intro: HSem-restr-cong-below simp add: Diff-eq inf-commute)  
hence  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho)|`((S \cup fv \Gamma)) \sqsubseteq (\{\Gamma\}\varrho')|`((S \cup fv \Gamma))$   
by (subst (1 2) HSem-ignores-fresh-restr'') simp-all  
thus ?thesis  
by (rule env-restr-below-subset[OF Un-upper1])

qed

**lemma** HSem-fresh-cong:

**assumes**  $\varrho|`((S \cup fv \Gamma) - domA \Gamma) = \varrho'|`((S \cup fv \Gamma) - domA \Gamma)$   
**shows**  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho)|`S = (\{\Gamma\}\varrho')|`S$

**apply** (rule below-antisym)

**apply** (rule HSem-fresh-cong-below[OF eq-imp-below[OF assms]])

**apply** (rule HSem-fresh-cong-below[OF eq-imp-below[OF assms[symmetric]]])

done

## 15.8 Adding a fresh variable to a heap does not affect its semantics

**lemma** HSem-add-fresh':

**assumes**  $fresh: atom x \#* \Gamma$   
**assumes**  $x \notin edom \varrho$   
**assumes** step:  $\bigwedge e \varrho'. e \in snd ` set \Gamma \implies \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{env-delete x \varrho'}$

```

shows env-delete x ( $\{(x, e) \# \Gamma\} \varrho$ ) =  $\{\Gamma\} \varrho$ 
proof (rule parallel-HSem-ind, goal-cases)
  case 1 show ?case by simp
  next
    case 2 show ?case by auto
  next
    case prems: ( $\beta y z$ )
    have env-delete x  $\varrho$  =  $\varrho$  using  $\langle x \notin \text{edom } \varrho \rangle$  by (rule env-delete-noop)
    moreover
      from fresh have  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis domA-not-fresh)
      hence env-delete x ( $\llbracket (x, e) \# \Gamma \rrbracket_y$ ) =  $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_y$ 
        by (auto intro: env-delete-noop dest: set-mp[OF edom-evalHeap-subset])
    moreover
      have ... =  $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_z$ 
        apply (rule evalHeap-cong[OF refl])
        apply (subst (1) step, assumption)
        using prems(1) apply auto
        done
    ultimately
      show ?case using  $\langle x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle$ 
        by (simp add: env-delete-add)
qed

lemma HSem-add-fresh:
  assumes atom x # $\Gamma$ 
  assumes  $x \notin \text{edom } \varrho$ 
  shows env-delete x ( $\{(x, e) \# \Gamma\} \varrho$ ) =  $\{\Gamma\} \varrho$ 
proof(rule HSem-add-fresh'[OF assms], goal-cases)
  case (1 e  $\varrho'$ )
  assume e ∈ snd ` set  $\Gamma$ 
  hence atom x # $e$  by (metis assms(1) fresh-heap-expr')
  hence  $x \notin \text{fv } e$  by (simp add: fv-def fresh-def)
  thus ?case
    by (rule ESem-fresh-cong[OF env-restr-env-delete-other[symmetric]])
qed

```

## 15.9 Mutual recursion with fresh variables

```

lemma HSem-subset-below:
  assumes fresh: atom ` domA  $\Gamma$  #*  $\Delta$ 
  shows  $\{\Delta\}(\varrho f|` (- \text{domA } \Gamma)) \sqsubseteq (\{\Delta @ \Gamma\} \varrho) f|` (- \text{domA } \Gamma)$ 
proof(rule HSem-below)
  fix x
  assume [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Delta$ 
  with assms have *: atom ` domA  $\Gamma$  #* the (map-of  $\Delta$  x) by (metis fresh-star-map-of)
  hence [simp]:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$  using fresh  $\langle x \in \text{domA } \Delta \rangle$  by (metis fresh-star-def domA-not-fresh
image-eqI)
  show  $\llbracket \text{the (map-of } \Delta \text{ x)} \rrbracket_{(\{\Delta @ \Gamma\} \varrho) f|` (- \text{domA } \Gamma)} \sqsubseteq ((\{\Delta @ \Gamma\} \varrho) f|` (- \text{domA } \Gamma)) x$ 
    by (simp add: lookup-HSem-heap ESem-ignores-fresh-restr[OF *, symmetric])

```

**qed** (*simp add: lookup-HSem-other lookup-env-restr-eq*)

In the following lemma we show that the semantics of fresh variables can be calculated together with the presently bound variables, or separately.

```

lemma HSem-merge:
  assumes fresh: atom ` domA Γ #* Δ
  shows {Γ}{Δ}ρ = {Γ@Δ}ρ
  proof(rule below-antisym)
    have map-of-eq: map-of (Δ @ Γ) = map-of (Γ @ Δ)
    proof
      fix x
      show map-of (Δ @ Γ) x = map-of (Γ @ Δ) x
      proof (cases x ∈ domA Γ)
        case True
        hence x ∉ domA Δ by (metis fresh-distinct fresh IntI equals0D)
        thus map-of (Δ @ Γ) x = map-of (Γ @ Δ) x
          by (simp add: map-add-dom-app-simps dom-map-of-conv-domA)
      next
        case False
        thus map-of (Δ @ Γ) x = map-of (Γ @ Δ) x
          by (simp add: map-add-dom-app-simps dom-map-of-conv-domA)
      qed
    qed

  show {Γ}{Δ}ρ ⊑ {Γ@Δ}ρ
  proof(rule HSem-below)
    fix x
    assume [simp]:x ∉ domA Γ

    have ({Δ}ρ) x = (({Δ}ρ) f|` (− domA Γ)) x by simp
    also have ... = ({Δ}(ρ f|` (− domA Γ))) x
      by (rule arg-cong[OF HSem-ignores-fresh-restr[OF fresh]])
    also have ... ⊑ (({Δ@Γ}ρ) f|` (− domA Γ)) x
      by (rule fun-belowD[OF HSem-subset-below[OF fresh]])
    also have ... = ({Δ@Γ}ρ) x by simp
    also have ... = ({Γ @ Δ}ρ) x by (rule arg-cong[OF HSem-reorder[OF map-of-eq]])
    finally
      show ({Δ}ρ) x ⊑ ({Γ @ Δ}ρ) x.
    qed (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap lookup-env-restr-eq)

    have *: ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ x ∉ domA Γ
    using fresh by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-star-def domA-not-fresh)

    have foo: edom ρ ∪ domA Δ ∪ domA Γ = (edom ρ ∪ domA Δ ∪ domA Γ) ∩ − domA Γ =
      domA Γ by auto
    have foo2:(edom ρ ∪ domA Δ − (edom ρ ∪ domA Δ) ∩ − domA Γ) ⊆ domA Γ by auto

    { fix x
      assume x ∈ domA Δ

```

```

hence *: atom ` domA Γ #* the (map-of Δ x)
  by (rule fresh-star-map-of[OF - fresh])

have ⟦ the (map-of Δ x) ⟧{Γ}{Δ}ρ = ⟦ the (map-of Δ x) ⟧({Γ}{Δ}ρ f|` (- domA Γ)
  by (rule ESem-ignores-fresh-restr[OF *])
also have ({Γ}{Δ}ρ f|` (- domA Γ) = ({Δ}ρ f|` (- domA Γ)
  by (simp add: env-restr-HSem)
also have ⟦ the (map-of Δ x) ⟧... = ⟦ the (map-of Δ x) ⟧{Δ}ρ
  by (rule ESem-ignores-fresh-restr[symmetric, OF *])
finally
  have ⟦ the (map-of Δ x) ⟧{Γ}{Δ}ρ = ⟦ the (map-of Δ x) ⟧{Δ}ρ.
}
thus {Γ@Δ}ρ ⊆ {Γ}{Δ}ρ
  by -(rule HSem-below, auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other lookup-HSem-heap *)
qed
end

```

## 15.10 Parallel induction

```

lemma parallel-HSem-ind-different-ESem:
  assumes adm (λρ'. P (fst ρ') (snd ρ'))
  assumes P ⊥ ⊥
  assumes ∀y z. P y z ==> P (ρ ++domA h evalHeap h (λe. ESem1 e · y)) (ρ2 ++domA h2
    evalHeap h2 (λe. ESem2 e · z))
  shows P (has-ESem.HSem ESem1 h · ρ) (has-ESem.HSem ESem2 h2 · ρ2)
proof-
  interpret HSem1: has-ESem ESem1.
  interpret HSem2: has-ESem ESem2.

  show ?thesis
    unfolding HSem1.HSem-def' HSem2.HSem-def'
    apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[OF assms(1)])
    apply (rule assms(2))
    apply simp
    apply (erule assms(3))
    done
qed

```

## 15.11 Congruence rule

```

lemma HSem-cong[fundef-cong]:
  ⟦ (Λ e. e ∈ snd ` set heap2 ==> ESem1 e = ESem2 e); heap1 = heap2 ⟧
    ==> has-ESem.HSem ESem1 heap1 = has-ESem.HSem ESem2 heap2
  unfolding has-ESem.HSem-def
  by (auto cong:evalHeap-cong)

```

## 15.12 Equivariance of the heap semantics

```
lemma HSem-eqvt[eqvt]:
```

```

 $\pi \cdot \text{has-ESem.HSem ESem } \Gamma = \text{has-ESem.HSem } (\pi \cdot \text{ESem}) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
proof–
  show ?thesis
  unfolding has-ESem.HSem-def
  apply (subst permute-Lam, simp)
  apply (subst eqvt-lambda)
  apply (simp add: Cfun-app-eqvt permute-Lam)
  done
qed
end

```

## 16 Vars.tex

```

theory Vars
imports ..//Nominal2/Nominal2
begin

```

The type of variables is abstract and provided by the Nominal package. All we know is that it is countable.

```

atom-decl var
end

```

## 17 Terms.tex

```

theory Terms
imports Nominal_Utils Vars AList_Utils-Nominal
begin

```

### 17.1 Expressions

This is the main data type of the development; our minimal lambda calculus with recursive let-bindings. It is created using the nominal\_datatype command, which creates alpha-equivalence classes.

The package does not support nested recursion, so the bindings of the let cannot simply be of type  $(var, exp) list$ . Instead, the definition of lists have to be inlined here, as the custom type *assn*. Later we create conversion functions between these two types, define a properly typed *let* and redo the various lemmas in terms of that, so that afterwards, the type *assn* is no longer referenced.

```

nominal-datatype exp =
  Var var
  | App exp var

```

```

| LetA as::assn body::exp binds bn as in body as
| Lam x::var body::exp binds x in body (Lam [-]. - [100, 100] 100)
| Bool bool
| IfThenElse exp exp exp (((-)/ ? (-)/ : (-)) [0, 0, 10] 10)
and assn =
  ANil | ACons var exp assn
binder
  bn :: assn  $\Rightarrow$  atom list
where bn ANil = [] | bn (ACons x t as) = (atom x) # (bn as)

notation (latex output) Terms.Var (-)
notation (latex output) Terms.App (- -)
notation (latex output) Terms.Lam ( $\lambda$ - . - [100, 100] 100)

type-synonym heap = (var  $\times$  exp) list

lemma exp-assn-size-eqvt[eqvt]: p  $\cdot$  (size :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  nat) = size
  by (metis exp-assn.size-eqvt(1) fun-eqvtI permute-pure)

```

## 17.2 Rewriting in terms of heaps

We now work towards using *heap* instead of *assn*. All this could be skipped if Nominal supported nested recursion.

Conversion from *assn* to *heap*.

```

nominal-function asToHeap :: assn  $\Rightarrow$  heap
  where ANilToHeap: asToHeap ANil = []
  | AConsToHeap: asToHeap (ACons v e as) = (v, e) # asToHeap as
unfolding eqvt-def asToHeap-graph-aux-def
  apply rule
  apply simp
  apply rule
  apply (case-tac x rule: exp-assn.exhaust(2))
  apply auto
  done
nominal-termination(eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma asToHeap-eqvt: eqvt asToHeap
  unfolding eqvt-def
  by (auto simp add: permute-fun-def asToHeap.eqvt)

```

The other direction.

```

fun heapToAssn :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  assn
  where heapToAssn [] = ANil
  | heapToAssn ((v,e) $\#$  $\Gamma$ ) = ACons v e (heapToAssn  $\Gamma$ )
declare heapToAssn.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma heapToAssn-eqvt[simp,eqvt]:  $p \cdot \text{heapToAssn } \Gamma = \text{heapToAssn } (p \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: heapToAssn.induct)
    (auto simp add: heapToAssn.simps)

lemma bn-heapToAssn:  $\text{bn } (\text{heapToAssn } \Gamma) = \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{atom } (\text{fst } x)) \Gamma$ 
  by (induct rule: heapToAssn.induct)
    (auto simp add: heapToAssn.simps exp-assn.bn-defs)

lemma set-bn-to-atom-domA:
   $\text{set } (\text{bn } as) = \text{atom } ` \text{domA } (\text{asToHeap } as)$ 
  by (induct as rule: asToHeap.induct)
    (auto simp add: exp-assn.bn-defs)

```

They are inverse to each other.

```

lemma heapToAssn-asToHeap[simp]:
   $\text{heapToAssn } (\text{asToHeap } as) = as$ 
  by (induct rule: exp-assn.inducts(2)[of  $\lambda - . \text{ True}$ ])
    (auto simp add: heapToAssn.simps)

lemma asToHeap-heapToAssn[simp]:
   $\text{asToHeap } (\text{heapToAssn } as) = as$ 
  by (induct rule: heapToAssn.induct)
    (auto simp add: heapToAssn.simps)

lemma heapToAssn-inject[simp]:
   $\text{heapToAssn } x = \text{heapToAssn } y \longleftrightarrow x = y$ 
  by (metis asToHeap-heapToAssn)

```

They are transparent to various notions from the Nominal package.

```

lemma supp-heapToAssn:  $\text{supp } (\text{heapToAssn } \Gamma) = \text{supp } \Gamma$ 
  by (induct rule: heapToAssn.induct)
    (simp-all add: heapToAssn.simps exp-assn.supp supp-Nil supp-Cons supp-Pair sup-assoc)

lemma supp-asToHeap:  $\text{supp } (\text{asToHeap } as) = \text{supp } as$ 
  by (induct as rule: asToHeap.induct)
    (simp-all add: exp-assn.supp supp-Nil supp-Cons supp-Pair sup-assoc)

lemma fv-asToHeap:  $\text{fv } (\text{asToHeap } \Gamma) = \text{fv } \Gamma$ 
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: supp-asToHeap)

lemma fv-heapToAssn:  $\text{fv } (\text{heapToAssn } \Gamma) = \text{fv } \Gamma$ 
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: supp-heapToAssn)

lemma [simp]:  $\text{size } (\text{heapToAssn } \Gamma) = \text{size-list } (\lambda (v,e) . \text{size } e) \Gamma$ 
  by (induct rule: heapToAssn.induct)
    (simp-all add: heapToAssn.simps)

lemma Lam-eq-same-var[simp]:  $\text{Lam } [y]. e = \text{Lam } [y]. e' \longleftrightarrow e = e'$ 

```

**by auto** (*metis fresh-PairD(2) obtain-fresh*)

Now we define the Let constructor in the form that we actually want.

**hide-const HOL.Let**

**definition**  $\text{Let} :: \text{heap} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{exp}$

**where**  $\text{Let } \Gamma e = \text{LetA} (\text{heapToAssn } \Gamma) e$

**notation** (*latex output*)  $\text{Let} (\text{let} - \text{in} -)$

**abbreviation**

$\text{LetBe} :: \text{var} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{exp} \Rightarrow \text{exp} (\text{let} - \text{be} - \text{in} - [100, 100, 100] 100)$

**where**

$\text{let } x \text{ be } t1 \text{ in } t2 \equiv \text{Let} [(x, t1)] t2$

We rewrite all (relevant) lemmas about *LetA* in terms of *Let*.

**lemma**  $\text{size-Let[simp]}: \text{size} (\text{Let } \Gamma e) = \text{size-list} (\lambda p. \text{size} (\text{snd } p)) \Gamma + \text{size } e + \text{Suc } 0$   
**unfolding** *Let-def* **by** (*auto simp add: split-beta'*)

**lemma**  $\text{Let-distinct[simp]}:$

$\text{Var } v \neq \text{Let } \Gamma e$

$\text{Let } \Gamma e \neq \text{Var } v$

$\text{App } e v \neq \text{Let } \Gamma e'$

$\text{Lam } [v]. e' \neq \text{Let } \Gamma e$

$\text{Let } \Gamma e \neq \text{Lam } [v]. e'$

$\text{Let } \Gamma e' \neq \text{App } e v$

$\text{Bool } b \neq \text{Let } \Gamma e$

$\text{Let } \Gamma e \neq \text{Bool } b$

$(\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \neq \text{Let } \Gamma e$

$\text{Let } \Gamma e \neq (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2)$

**unfolding** *Let-def* **by** (*simp-all*)

**lemma**  $\text{Let-perm-simps[simp, eqvt]}:$

$p \cdot \text{Let } \Gamma e = \text{Let} (p \cdot \Gamma) (p \cdot e)$

**unfolding** *Let-def* **by** (*simp*)

**lemma**  $\text{Let-supp}:$

$\text{supp} (\text{Let } \Gamma e) = (\text{supp } e \cup \text{supp } \Gamma) - \text{atom} ` (\text{domA } \Gamma)$

**unfolding** *Let-def* **by** (*simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-heapToAssn bn-heapToAssn domA-def image-image*)

**lemma**  $\text{Let-fresh[simp]}:$

$a \notin \text{Let } \Gamma e = (a \notin e \wedge a \notin \Gamma \vee a \in \text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Gamma)$

**unfolding** *fresh-def* **by** (*auto simp add: Let-supp*)

**lemma**  $\text{Abs-eq-cong}:$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge p. (p \cdot x = x') \longleftrightarrow (p \cdot y = y')$

**assumes**  $\text{supp } y = \text{supp } x$

**assumes**  $\text{supp } y' = \text{supp } x'$

**shows**  $([a]lst. x = [a']lst. x') \longleftrightarrow ([a]lst. y = [a']lst. y')$   
**by** (simp add: Abs-eq-iff alpha-lst assms)

```

lemma Let-eq-iff[simp]:
  (Let  $\Gamma e = Let \Gamma' e'$ ) = ([map ( $\lambda x. atom (fst x)$ )  $\Gamma$ ]lst.  $(e, \Gamma) = [map (\lambda x. atom (fst x))$ 
 $\Gamma']lst.  $(e', \Gamma')$ )
unfolding Let-def
apply (simp add: bn-heapToAssn)
apply (rule Abs-eq-cong)
apply (simp-all add: supp-Pair supp-heapToAssn)
done

lemma exp-strong-exhaust:
  fixes  $c :: 'a :: fs$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge var. y = Var var \implies P$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge exp var. y = App exp var \implies P$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma exp. atom ` domA \Gamma \#* c \implies y = Let \Gamma exp \implies P$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge var exp. \{atom var\} \#* c \implies y = Lam [var]. exp \implies P$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. (y = Bool b) \implies P$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge scrut e1 e2. y = (scrut ? e1 : e2) \implies P$ 
  shows  $P$ 
  apply (rule exp-assn.strong-exhaust(1)[where  $y = y$  and  $c = c$ ])
  apply (metis assms(1))
  apply (metis assms(2))
  apply (metis assms(3) set-bn-to-atom-domA Let-def heapToAssn-asToHeap)
  apply (metis assms(4))
  apply (metis assms(5))
  apply (metis assms(6))
  done$ 
```

And finally the induction rules with *Let*.

```

lemma exp-heap-induct[case-names Var App Let Lam Bool IfThenElse Nil Cons]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge b var. P1 (Var var)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge exp var. P1 exp \implies P1 (App exp var)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma exp. P2 \Gamma \implies P1 exp \implies P1 (Let \Gamma exp)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge var exp. P1 exp \implies P1 (Lam [var]. exp)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. P1 (Bool b)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge scrut e1 e2. P1 scrut \implies P1 e1 \implies P1 e2 \implies P1 (scrut ? e1 : e2)$ 
  assumes  $P2 []$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge var exp \Gamma. P1 exp \implies P2 \Gamma \implies P2 ((var, exp)\#\Gamma)$ 
  shows  $P1 e$  and  $P2 \Gamma$ 
proof-
  have  $P1 e$  and  $P2 (asToHeap (heapToAssn \Gamma))$ 
  apply (induct rule: exp-assn.inducts[of  $P1 \lambda assn. P2 (asToHeap assn)$ ])
  apply (metis assms(1))
  apply (metis assms(2))
  apply (metis assms(3) Let-def heapToAssn-asToHeap )
  apply (metis assms(4))
  apply (metis assms(5))
```

```

apply (metis assms(6))
apply (metis assms(7) asToHeap.simps(1))
apply (metis assms(8) asToHeap.simps(2))
done
thus P1 e and P2 Γ unfolding asToHeap-heapToAssn.
qed

lemma exp-heap-strong-induct[case-names Var App Let Lam Bool IfThenElse Nil Cons]:
assumes ⋀var c. P1 c (Var var)
assumes ⋀exp var c. (⋀c. P1 c exp) ⇒ P1 c (App exp var)
assumes ⋀Γ exp c. atom ` domA Γ #* c ⇒ (⋀c. P2 c Γ) ⇒ (⋀c. P1 c exp) ⇒ P1 c (Let
Γ exp)
assumes ⋀var exp c. {atom var} #* c ⇒ (⋀c. P1 c exp) ⇒ P1 c (Lam [var]. exp)
assumes ⋀ b c. P1 c (Bool b)
assumes ⋀ scrut e1 e2 c. (⋀ c. P1 c scrut) ⇒ (⋀ c. P1 c e1) ⇒ (⋀ c. P1 c e2) ⇒ P1
c (scrut ? e1 : e2)
assumes ⋀c. P2 c []
assumes ⋀var exp Γ c. (⋀c. P1 c exp) ⇒ (⋀c. P2 c Γ) ⇒ P2 c ((var,exp) # Γ)
fixes c :: 'a :: fs
shows P1 c e and P2 c Γ
proof-
have P1 c e and P2 c (asToHeap (heapToAssn Γ))
apply (induct rule: exp-assn.strong-induct[of P1 λ c assn. P2 c (asToHeap assn)])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (metis assms(3) set-bn-to-atom-domA Let-def heapToAssn-asToHeap )
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(5))
apply (metis assms(6))
apply (metis assms(7) asToHeap.simps(1))
apply (metis assms(8) asToHeap.simps(2))
done
thus P1 c e and P2 c Γ unfolding asToHeap-heapToAssn.
qed

```

### 17.3 Nice induction rules

These rules can be used instead of the original induction rules, which require a separate goal for *assn*.

```

lemma exp-induct[case-names Var App Let Lam Bool IfThenElse]:
assumes ⋀var. P (Var var)
assumes ⋀exp var. P exp ⇒ P (App exp var)
assumes ⋀Γ exp. (⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⇒ P (the (map-of Γ x))) ⇒ P exp ⇒ P (Let Γ
exp)
assumes ⋀var exp. P exp ⇒ P (Lam [var]. exp)
assumes ⋀ b. P (Bool b)
assumes ⋀ scrut e1 e2. P scrut ⇒ P e1 ⇒ P e2 ⇒ P (scrut ? e1 : e2)
shows P exp

```

```

apply (rule exp-heap-induct[of P λ Γ. (forall x ∈ domA Γ. P (the (map-of Γ x)))])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (metis assms(3))
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(5))
apply (metis assms(6))
apply auto
done

lemma exp-strong-induct-set[case-names Var App Let Lam Bool IfThenElse]:
assumes ∀var c. P c (Var var)
assumes ∀exp var c. (λc. P c exp) ⇒ P c (App exp var)
assumes ∀Γ exp c.
atom ` domA Γ #* c ⇒ (λc x e. (x,e) ∈ set Γ ⇒ P c e) ⇒ (λc. P c exp) ⇒ P c (Let Γ exp)
assumes ∀var exp c. {atom var} #* c ⇒ (λc. P c exp) ⇒ P c (Lam [var]. exp)
assumes ∀b c. P c (Bool b)
assumes ∀scrut e1 e2 c. (λ c. P c scrut) ⇒ (λ c. P c e1) ⇒ (λ c. P c e2) ⇒ P c (scrut ? e1 : e2)
shows P (c::'a::fs) exp
apply (rule exp-heap-strong-induct(1)[of P λ c Γ. (forall (x,e) ∈ set Γ. P c e)])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (metis assms(3) split-conv)
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(5))
apply (metis assms(6))
apply auto
done

lemma exp-strong-induct[case-names Var App Let Lam Bool IfThenElse]:
assumes ∀var c. P c (Var var)
assumes ∀exp var c. (λc. P c exp) ⇒ P c (App exp var)
assumes ∀Γ exp c.
atom ` domA Γ #* c ⇒ (λc x. x ∈ domA Γ ⇒ P c (the (map-of Γ x))) ⇒ (λc. P c exp) ⇒ P c (Let Γ exp)
assumes ∀var exp c. {atom var} #* c ⇒ (λc. P c exp) ⇒ P c (Lam [var]. exp)
assumes ∀b c. P c (Bool b)
assumes ∀scrut e1 e2 c. (λ c. P c scrut) ⇒ (λ c. P c e1) ⇒ (λ c. P c e2) ⇒ P c (scrut ? e1 : e2)
shows P (c::'a::fs) exp
apply (rule exp-heap-strong-induct(1)[of P λ c Γ. (forall x ∈ domA Γ. P c (the (map-of Γ x)))])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (metis assms(3))
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(5))

```

```

apply (metis assms(6))
apply auto
done

```

## 17.4 Testing alpha equivalence

```

lemma alpha-test:
  shows Lam [x]. (Var x) = Lam [y]. (Var y)
  by (simp add: Abs1-eq-iff fresh-at-base pure-fresh)

lemma alpha-test2:
  shows let x be (Var x) in (Var x) = let y be (Var y) in (Var y)
  by (simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Nil Abs1-eq-iff fresh-Pair add: fresh-at-base pure-fresh)

lemma alpha-test3:
  shows
    Let [(x, Var y), (y, Var x)] (Var x)
    =
    Let [(y, Var x), (x, Var y)] (Var y) (is Let ?la ?lb = -)
  by (simp add: bn-heapToAssn Abs1-eq-iff fresh-Pair fresh-at-base
    Abs-swap2[of atom x (?lb, [(x, Var y), (y, Var x)]) [atom x, atom y] atom y])

```

## 17.5 Free variables

```

lemma fv-supp-exp: supp e = atom ` (fv (e::exp) :: var set) and fv-supp-as: supp as = atom ` (fv (as::assn) :: var set)
  by (induction e and as rule:exp-assn.inducts)
    (auto simp add: fv-def exp-assn.supp supp-at-base pure-supp)

lemma fv-supp-heap: supp (Γ::heap) = atom ` (fv Γ :: var set)
  by (metis fv-def fv-supp-as supp-heapToAssn)

lemma fv-Lam[simp]: fv (Lam [x]. e) = fv e - {x}
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp)
lemma fv-Var[simp]: fv (Var x) = {x}
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-at-base pure-supp)
lemma fv-App[simp]: fv (App e x) = insert x (fv e)
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-at-base)
lemma fv-Let[simp]: fv (Let Γ e) = (fv Γ ∪ fv e) - domA Γ
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: Let-supp exp-assn.supp supp-at-base set-bn-to-atom-domA)
lemma fv-Bool[simp]: fv (Bool b) = {}
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp pure-supp)
lemma fv-IfThenElse[simp]: fv (scrut ? e1 : e2) = fv scrut ∪ fv e1 ∪ fv e2
  unfolding fv-def by (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp)

lemma fv-delete-heap:
  assumes map-of Γ x = Some e
  shows fv (delete x Γ, e) ∪ {x} ⊆ (fv (Γ, Var x) :: var set)
  proof -

```

```

have fv (delete x Γ) ⊆ fv Γ by (metis fv-delete-subset)
moreover
have (x,e) ∈ set Γ by (metis assms map-of-SomeD)
hence fv e ⊆ fv Γ by (metis assms domA-from-set map-of-fv-subset option.sel)
moreover
have x ∈ fv (Var x) by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

## 17.6 Lemmas helping with nominal definitions

```

lemma eqvt-lam-case:
assumes Lam [x]. e = Lam [x']. e'
assumes ⋀ π . supp (−π) #* (fv (Lam [x]. e) :: var set) ==>
       supp π #* (Lam [x]. e) ==>
F (π • e) (π • x) (Lam [x]. e) = F e x (Lam [x]. e)
shows F e x (Lam [x]. e) = F e' x' (Lam [x']. e')
proof-
  from assms(1)
  have [[atom x]]lst. (e, x) = [[atom x']]lst. (e', x') by auto
  then obtain p
    where (supp (e, x) − {atom x}) #* p
    and [simp]: p • x = x'
    and [simp]: p • e = e'
    unfolding Abs-eq-iff(3) alpha-lst.simps by auto

  from ⟨- #* p⟩
  have *: supp (−p) #* (fv (Lam [x]. e) :: var set)
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def supp-finite-set-at-base supp-Pair fv-supp-exp
      fv-supp-heap supp-minus-perm)

  from ⟨- #* p⟩
  have **: supp p #* Lam [x]. e
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def supp-Pair fv-supp-exp)

  have F e x (Lam [x]. e) = F (p • e) (p • x) (Lam [x]. e) by (rule assms(2)[OF * **,
    symmetric])
  also have ... = F e' x' (Lam [x']. e') by (simp add: assms(1))
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

```

lemma eqvt-let-case:
assumes Let as body = Let as' body'
assumes ⋀ π .
       supp (−π) #* (fv (Let as body) :: var set) ==>
       supp π #* Let as body ==

```

```

 $F(\pi \cdot as)(\pi \cdot body) (Let as body) = F as body (Let as body)$ 
shows  $F as body (Let as body) = F as' body' (Let as' body')$ 
proof-
from assms(1)
have [map (\lambda p. atom (fst p)) as]lst. (body, as) = [map (\lambda p. atom (fst p)) as']lst. (body', as') by auto
then obtain p
where (supp (body, as) - atom ` domA as) #* p
and [simp]: p · body = body'
and [simp]: p · as = as'
unfolding Abs-eq-iff(3) alpha-lst.simps by (auto simp add: domA-def image-image)

from {- #* p}
have *: supp (-p) #* (fv (Terms.Let as body) :: var set)
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def supp-finite-set-at-base supp-Pair fv-supp-exp
fv-supp-heap supp-minus-perm)

from {- #* p}
have **: supp p #* Terms.Let as body
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def supp-Pair fv-supp-exp fv-supp-heap )

have  $F as body (Let as body) = F(p \cdot as)(p \cdot body) (Let as body)$  by (rule assms(2)[OF * **, symmetric])
also have ... =  $F as' body' (Let as' body')$  by (simp add: assms(1))
finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

## 17.7 A smart constructor for lets

Certain program transformations might change the bound variables, possibly making it an empty list. This smart constructor avoids the empty let in the resulting expression. Semantically, it should not make a difference.

```

definition SmartLet :: heap => exp => exp
  where SmartLet Γ e = (if Γ = [] then e else Let Γ e)

lemma SmartLet-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (SmartLet Γ e) = SmartLet (π · Γ) (π · e)
  unfolding SmartLet-def by perm-simp rule

lemma SmartLet-supp:
  supp (SmartLet Γ e) = (supp e ∪ supp Γ) - atom ` (domA Γ)
  unfolding SmartLet-def using Let-supp by (auto simp add: supp-Nil)

lemma fv-SmartLet[simp]: fv (SmartLet Γ e) = (fv Γ ∪ fv e) - domA Γ
  unfolding SmartLet-def by auto

```

## 17.8 A predicate for value expressions

**nominal-function** *isLam* :: *exp*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

```

isLam (Var x) = False |
isLam (Lam [x]. e) = True |
isLam (App e x) = False |
isLam (Let as e) = False |
isLam (Bool b) = False |
isLam (scrut ? e1 : e2) = False
unfolding isLam-graph-aux-def eqvt-def
apply simp
apply simp
apply (metis exp-strong-exhaust)
apply auto
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma isLam-Lam: isLam (Lam [x]. e) by simp

lemma isLam-obtain-fresh:
assumes isLam z
obtains y e'
where z = (Lam [y]. e') and atom y # (c::'a::fs)
using assms by (nominal-induct z avoiding: c rule:exp-strong-induct) auto

nominal-function isVal :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
isVal (Var x) = False |
isVal (Lam [x]. e) = True |
isVal (App e x) = False |
isVal (Let as e) = False |
isVal (Bool b) = True |
isVal (scrut ? e1 : e2) = False
unfolding isVal-graph-aux-def eqvt-def
apply simp
apply simp
apply (metis exp-strong-exhaust)
apply auto
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma isVal-Lam: isVal (Lam [x]. e) by simp
lemma isVal-Bool: isVal (Bool b) by simp

```

## 17.9 The notion of thunks

```

definition thunks :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
thunks  $\Gamma$  = { $x . \text{case map-of } \Gamma x \text{ of Some } e \Rightarrow \neg \text{isVal } e \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False}$ }

lemma thunks-Nil[simp]: thunks [] = {} by (auto simp add: thunks-def)

lemma thunks-domA: thunks  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) (auto simp add: thunks-def)

```

```

lemma thunks-Cons: thunks ((x,e) # Γ) = (if isVal e then thunks Γ - {x} else insert x (thunks Γ))
  by (auto simp add: thunks-def )

lemma thunks-append[simp]: thunks (Δ @ Γ) = thunks Δ ∪ (thunks Γ - domA Δ)
  by (induction Δ) (auto simp add: thunks-def )

lemma thunks-delete[simp]: thunks (delete x Γ) = thunks Γ - {x}
  by (induction Γ) (auto simp add: thunks-def )

lemma thunksI[intro]: map-of Γ x = Some e ==> ¬ isVal e ==> x ∈ thunks Γ
  by (induction Γ) (auto simp add: thunks-def )

lemma thunksE[intro]: x ∈ thunks Γ ==> map-of Γ x = Some e ==> ¬ isVal e
  by (induction Γ) (auto simp add: thunks-def )

lemma thunks-cong: map-of Γ = map-of Δ ==> thunks Γ = thunks Δ
  by (simp add: thunks-def )

lemma thunks-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π • thunks Γ = thunks (π • Γ)
  unfolding thunks-def
  by perm-simp rule

```

## 17.10 Non-recursive Let bindings

```

definition nonrec :: heap ⇒ bool where
  nonrec Γ = (exists x e. Γ = [(x,e)] ∧ x ∉ fv e)

```

```

lemma nonrecE:
  assumes nonrec Γ
  obtains x e where Γ = [(x,e)] and x ∉ fv e
  using assms
  unfolding nonrec-def
  by blast

```

```

lemma nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]:
  nonrec Γ ==> nonrec (π • Γ)
  apply (erule nonrecE)
  apply (auto simp add: nonrec-def fv-def fresh-def )
  apply (metis fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-def)
  done

```

```

lemma exp-induct-rec[case-names Var App Let Let-nonrec Lam Bool IfThenElse]:
  assumes ⋀ var. P (Var var)
  assumes ⋀ exp var. P exp ==> P (App exp var)
  assumes ⋀ Γ exp. ¬ nonrec Γ ==> (⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ==> P (the (map-of Γ x))) ==> P exp

```

```

 $\implies P (\text{Let } \Gamma \text{ exp})$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x e \text{ exp}. \ x \notin fv e \implies P e \implies P \text{ exp} \implies P (\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } \text{exp})$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge var \text{ exp}. \ P \text{ exp} \implies P (\text{Lam } [var]. \ exp)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge b. \ P (\text{Bool } b)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \text{scrut } e1 e2. \ P \text{ scrut} \implies P e1 \implies P e2 \implies P (\text{scrut } ? e1 : e2)$ 
shows  $P \text{ exp}$ 
apply (rule exp-induct[of P])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (case-tac nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(3))
apply (metis assms(5))
apply (metis assms(6))
apply (metis assms(7))
done

lemma exp-strong-induct-rec[case-names Var App Let Let-nonrec Lam Bool IfThenElse]:
assumes  $\bigwedge var c. \ P c (\text{Var } var)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge exp var c. (\bigwedge c. \ P c \text{ exp}) \implies P c (\text{App } \text{exp } var)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma \text{ exp } c.$ 
  atom `domA  $\Gamma \nparallel c \implies \neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies (\bigwedge c x. \ x \in domA \implies P c (\text{the } (\text{map-of } \Gamma x)))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge c. \ P c \text{ exp}) \implies P c (\text{Let } \Gamma \text{ exp})$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x e \text{ exp } c. \ \{\text{atom } x\} \nparallel c \implies x \notin fv e \implies (\bigwedge c. \ P c e) \implies (\bigwedge c. \ P c \text{ exp}) \implies P c (\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } \text{exp})$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge var \text{ exp } c. \ \{\text{atom } var\} \nparallel c \implies (\bigwedge c. \ P c \text{ exp}) \implies P c (\text{Lam } [var]. \ exp)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge b c. \ P c (\text{Bool } b)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \text{scrut } e1 e2 c. \ (\bigwedge c. \ P c \text{ scrut}) \implies (\bigwedge c. \ P c e1) \implies (\bigwedge c. \ P c e2) \implies P c (\text{scrut } ? e1 : e2)$ 
shows  $P (c::'a::fs) \text{ exp}$ 
apply (rule exp-strong-induct[of P])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (case-tac nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(3))
apply (metis assms(5))
apply (metis assms(6))
apply (metis assms(7))
done

lemma exp-strong-induct-rec-set[case-names Var App Let Let-nonrec Lam Bool IfThenElse]:
assumes  $\bigwedge var c. \ P c (\text{Var } var)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge exp var c. (\bigwedge c. \ P c \text{ exp}) \implies P c (\text{App } \text{exp } var)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge \Gamma \text{ exp } c.$ 

```

```

atom ` domA Γ #* c ==> ¬ nonrec Γ ==> (Λ c x e. (x,e) ∈ set Γ ==> P c e) ==> (Λ c. P c
exp) ==> P c (Let Γ exp)
assumes Λ x e exp c. {atom x} #* c ==> x ∉ fv e ==> (Λ c. P c e) ==> (Λ c. P c exp) ==>
P c (let x be e in exp)
assumes Λ var exp c. {atom var} #* c ==> (Λ c. P c exp) ==> P c (Lam [var]. exp)
assumes Λ b c. P c (Bool b)
assumes Λ scrut e1 e2 c. (Λ c. P c scrut) ==> (Λ c. P c e1) ==> (Λ c. P c e2) ==> P c
(scrut ? e1 : e2)
shows P (c::'a::fs) exp
apply (rule exp-strong-induct-set(1)[of P])
apply (metis assms(1))
apply (metis assms(2))
apply (case-tac nonrec Γ)
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (metis assms(4))
apply (metis assms(3))
apply (metis assms(5))
apply (metis assms(6))
apply (metis assms(7))
done

```

## 17.11 Renaming a lambda-bound variable

```

lemma change-Lam-Variable:
assumes y' ≠ y ==> atom y' # (e, y)
shows Lam [y]. e = Lam [y']. ((y ↔ y') · e)
proof(cases y' = y)
  case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  from assms[OF this]
  have (y ↔ y') · (Lam [y]. e) = Lam [y]. e
    by -(rule flip-fresh-fresh, (simp add: fresh-Pair)+)
  moreover
  have (y ↔ y') · (Lam [y]. e) = Lam [y']. ((y ↔ y') · e)
    by simp
  ultimately
  show Lam [y]. e = Lam [y']. ((y ↔ y') · e) by (simp add: fresh-Pair)
qed

```

end

## 18 AbstractDenotational.tex

```

theory AbstractDenotational
imports HeapSemantics Terms

```

```
begin
```

## 18.1 The denotational semantics for expressions

Because we need to define two semantics later on, we are abstract in the actual domain.

```
locale semantic-domain =
  fixes Fn :: ('Value → 'Value) → ('Value::{pcpo-pt,pure})
  fixes Fn-project :: 'Value → ('Value → 'Value)
  fixes B :: bool discr → 'Value
  fixes B-project :: 'Value → 'Value → 'Value → 'Value
  fixes tick :: 'Value → 'Value
begin

nominal-function
  ESem :: exp ⇒ (var ⇒ 'Value) → 'Value
where
  ESem (Lam [x]. e) = (Λ ρ. tick·(Fn·(Λ v. ESem e·((ρ f|` fv (Lam [x]. e))(x := v)))))  

  | ESem (App e x) = (Λ ρ. tick·(Fn-project·(ESem e·ρ)·(ρ x)))  

  | ESem (Var x) = (Λ ρ. tick·(ρ x))  

  | ESem (Let as body) = (Λ ρ. tick·(ESem body·(has-ESem.HSem ESem as·(ρ f|` fv (Let as body)))))  

  | ESem (Bool b) = (Λ ρ. tick·(B·(Discr b)))  

  | ESem (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (Λ ρ. tick·((B-project·(ESem scrut·ρ))·(ESem e1·ρ)·(ESem e2·ρ)))
proof goal-cases
```

The following proofs discharge technical obligations generated by the Nominal package.

```
case 1 thus ?case
  unfolding eqvt-def ESem-graph-aux-def
  apply rule
  apply (perm-simp)
  apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
  apply (simp add: unpermute-def permute-pure)
  done
next
case (? P x)
  thus ?case by (metis (poly-guards-query) exp-strong-exhaust)
next

case prems: (? x e x' e')
  from prems(5)
  show ?case
  proof (rule eqvt-lam-case)
    fix π :: perm
    assume *: supp (−π) #* (fv (Lam [x]. e)) :: var set
    { fix ρ v
      have ESem-sumC (π · e) · ((ρ f|` fv (Lam [x]. e))((π · x) := v)) = − π · ESem-sumC (π · e) · ((ρ f|` fv (Lam [x]. e))((π · x) := v))
        by (simp add: permute-pure)
```

```

also have ... =  $ESem\text{-}sumC e \cdot ((-\pi \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e))) (x := v))$  by (simp add: pemute-minus-self eqvt-at-apply[ $\lambda$  prems(1)])
also have  $-\pi \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e)) = (\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e))$  by (rule env-restr-perm'[ $\lambda$  *]) auto
finally have  $ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot ((\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e)) ((\pi \cdot x) := v)) = ESem\text{-}sumC e \cdot ((\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e)) (x := v))$ .
}
thus  $(\Lambda \varrho. \text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot ((\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e)) (\pi \cdot x := v)))) = (\Lambda \varrho. \text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. ESem\text{-}sumC e \cdot ((\varrho f|' fv (Lam [x]. e)) (x := v)))))$  by simp
qed
next

case prems: (19 as body as' body')
from prems(9)
show ?case
proof (rule eqvt-let-case)
  fix  $\pi :: perm$ 
  assume *: supp  $(-\pi) \nsubseteq (fv (Terms.Let as body) :: var set)$ 

  { fix  $\varrho$ 
    have  $ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot body) \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot as) \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Terms.Let as body)))$ 
      =  $-\pi \cdot ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot body) \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot as) \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Terms.Let as body)))$ 
    by (rule permute-pure[symmetric])
    also have ... =  $(-\pi \cdot ESem\text{-}sumC) body \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem (-\pi \cdot ESem\text{-}sumC) as \cdot (-\pi \cdot \varrho f|' fv (Terms.Let as body)))$ 
      by (simp add: pemute-minus-self)
    also have  $(-\pi \cdot ESem\text{-}sumC) body = ESem\text{-}sumC body$ 
      by (rule eqvt-at-apply[ $\lambda$  eqvt-at ESem-sumC body])
    also have has-ESem.HSem  $(-\pi \cdot ESem\text{-}sumC) as = has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC as$ 
      by (rule HSem-cong[ $\lambda$  eqvt-at-apply[ $\lambda$  prems(2)] refl])
    also have  $-\pi \cdot \varrho f|' fv (Let as body) = \varrho f|' fv (Let as body)$ 
      by (rule env-restr-perm'[ $\lambda$  *], simp)
    finally have  $ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot body) \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot as) \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Let as body))) = ESem\text{-}sumC body \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC as \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Let as body)))$ .
  }
  thus  $(\Lambda \varrho. \text{tick} \cdot (ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot body) \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC (\pi \cdot as) \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Let as body)))) = (\Lambda \varrho. \text{tick} \cdot (ESem\text{-}sumC body \cdot (has\text{-}ESem.HSem ESem\text{-}sumC as \cdot (\varrho f|' fv (Let as body)))))$ 
by (simp only:)
qed
qed auto

```

**nominal-termination** (in semantic-domain) (no-eqvt) **by** lexicographic-order

**sublocale** has-ESem ESem.

**abbreviation** ESem-syn' ([`-] - [60,60] 60) **where**  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho \equiv ESem e \cdot \varrho$

```

abbreviation EvalHeapSem-syn' ([[], -]_ [0,0] 110) where  $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_\varrho \equiv evalHeap \Gamma (\lambda e. \llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho)$ 
abbreviation AHSem-syn ({-} [60,60] 60) where  $\{ \Gamma \}_\varrho \equiv HSem \Gamma \cdot \varrho$ 
abbreviation AHSem-bot ({-} [60] 60) where  $\{ \Gamma \} \equiv \{ \Gamma \} \perp$ 

end
end

```

## 19 Substitution.tex

```

theory Substitution
imports Terms
begin

```

Defining a substitution function on terms turned out to be slightly tricky.

```

fun
  subst-var :: var  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  var (-[::v=-] [1000,100,100] 1000)
where x[y ::v = z] = (if x = y then z else x)

nominal-function (default case-sum ( $\lambda x. Inl \text{ undefined}$ ) ( $\lambda x. Inr \text{ undefined}$ ),
  invariant  $\lambda a r . (\forall \Gamma y z . ((a = Inr(\Gamma, y, z) \wedge atom ` domA \Gamma \#* (y, z)) \rightarrow map(\lambda x . atom(fst x)) \text{ (Sum-Type.proj} r) = map(\lambda x . atom(fst x)) \Gamma)))$ 
  subst :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  exp (-[::=-] [1000,100,100] 1000)
and
  subst-heap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  var  $\Rightarrow$  heap (-[::h=-] [1000,100,100] 1000)
where
  | (Var x)[y ::= z] = Var (x[y ::v = z])
  | (App e v)[y ::= z] = App (e[y ::= z]) (v[y ::v = z])
  | atom ` domA \Gamma \#* (y,z) \implies
    | (Let \Gamma body)[y ::= z] = Let (\Gamma[y ::h = z]) (body[y ::= z])
    | atom x \# (y,z) \implies (Lam [x].e)[y ::= z] = Lam [x].(e[y ::= z])
    | (Bool b)[y ::= z] = Bool b
    | (scrut ? e1 : e2)[y ::= z] = (scrut[y ::= z] ? e1[y ::= z] : e2[y ::= z])
    | [] [y ::= z] = []
  | ((v,e)#  $\Gamma$ )[y ::= z] = (v, e[y ::= z])# ( $\Gamma[y ::h = z]$ )
proof goal-cases

```

```

have eqvt-at-subst:  $\bigwedge e y z . eqvt-at \text{ subst-subst-heap-sumC } (Inl(e, y, z)) \implies eqvt-at (\lambda(a, b, c). subst a b c)(e, y, z)$ 
  apply(simp add: eqvt-at-def subst-def)
  apply(rule)
  apply(subst ProjL-permute)
  apply(thin-tac -)+
  apply (simp add: subst-subst-heap-sumC-def)
  apply (simp add: THE-default-def)
  apply (case-tac Ex1 (subst-subst-heap-graph (Inl (e, y, z))))
  apply(simp)
  apply(auto)[1]

```



```

apply (case-tac Ex1 (subst-subst-heap-graph (Inr (Γ, y, z))))
apply(simp)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(erule-tac x=x in allE)
apply simp
apply(cases rule: subst-subst-heap-graph.cases)
apply(assumption)
apply (metis (mono-tags) Inr-not-Inl)+
apply(rule-tac x=Sum-Type.projr x in exI)
apply(clarify)
apply (rule the1-equality)
apply auto[1]
apply(simp (no-asm) only: sum.sel)

apply(rule-tac x=Sum-Type.projr x in exI)
apply(clarify)
apply (rule the1-equality)
apply auto[1]
apply(simp (no-asm) only: sum.sel)

apply(simp)
apply(perm-simp)
apply(simp)
done

{

case 1 thus ?case
  unfolding eqvt-def subst-subst-heap-graph-aux-def
  by simp

next case 2 thus ?case
  by (induct rule: subst-subst-heap-graph.induct)(auto simp add: exp-assn.bn-defs fresh-star-insert)

next case prems: (3 P x) show ?case
  proof(cases x)
    case (Inl a) thus P
      proof(cases a)
        case (fields a1 a2 a3)
        thus P using Inl prems
          apply (rule-tac y =a1 and c=(a2, a3) in exp-strong-exhaust)
          apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
      done
    qed
  next
    case (Inr a) thus P
      proof (cases a)

```

```

case (fields a1 a2 a3)
  thus P using Inr prems
    by (metis heapToAssn.cases)
qed
qed

next case (19 e y2 z2  $\Gamma$  e2 y z as2) thus ?case
  apply -
  apply (drule eqvt-at-subst)+  

  apply (drule eqvt-at-subst-heap)+  

  apply (simp only: meta-eq-to-obj-eq[OF subst-def, symmetric, unfolded fun-eq-iff]  

    meta-eq-to-obj-eq[OF subst-heap-def, symmetric, unfolded fun-eq-iff])  

  apply (auto simp add: Abs-fresh-iff)  

  apply (drule-tac  

    c = (y, z) and  

    as = (map (λx. atom (fst x)) e) and  

    bs = (map (λx. atom (fst x)) e2) and  

    f = λ a b c . [a]lst. (subst (fst b) y z, subst-heap (snd b) y z ) in Abs-lst-fcb2)  

  apply (simp add: perm-supp-eq fresh-Pair fresh-star-def Abs-fresh-iff)  

  apply (metis domA-def image-image image-set)  

  apply (metis domA-def image-image image-set)  

  apply (simp add: eqvt-at-def, simp add: fresh-star-Pair perm-supp-eq)  

  apply (simp add: eqvt-at-def, simp add: fresh-star-Pair perm-supp-eq)  

  apply (simp add: eqvt-at-def)  

done

next case (25 x2 y2 z2 e2 x y z e) thus ?case
  apply -
  apply (drule eqvt-at-subst)+  

  apply (simp only: Abs-fresh-iff meta-eq-to-obj-eq[OF subst-def, symmetric, unfolded fun-eq-iff])  

  apply (simp add: eqvt-at-def)  

  apply rule  

  apply (erule-tac x = (x2 ↔ c) in allE)  

  apply (erule-tac x = (x ↔ c) in allE)  

  apply auto  

done  

}  

qed(auto)

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

lemma shows
  True and bn-subst[simp]: domA (subst-heap  $\Gamma$  y z) = domA  $\Gamma$ 
by(induct rule:subst-subst-heap.induct)
  (auto simp add: exp-assn.bn-defs fresh-star-insert)

```

```

lemma subst-noop[simp]:
shows e[y ::= y] = e and  $\Gamma[y::h=y]=\Gamma$ 
by(induct e y y and  $\Gamma[y::h=y]$  rule:subst-subst-heap.induct)
  (auto simp add:fresh-star-Pair exp-assn.bn-defs)

lemma subst-is-fresh[simp]:
assumes atom y  $\notin$  z
shows
  atom y  $\notin$  e[y ::= z]
and
  atom ` domA  $\Gamma \sharp^* y \implies$  atom y  $\notin \Gamma[y::h=z]$ 
using assms
by(induct e y z and  $\Gamma[y::h=z]$  rule:subst-subst-heap.induct)
  (auto simp add:fresh-at-base fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-insert fresh-Nil fresh-Cons pure-fresh)

lemma
  subst-pres-fresh: atom x  $\notin$  e  $\vee$  x = y  $\implies$  atom x  $\notin$  z  $\implies$  atom x  $\notin$  e[y ::= z]
and
  atom x  $\notin \Gamma \vee x = y \implies$  atom x  $\notin z \implies x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies$  atom x  $\notin (\Gamma[y::h=z])$ 
by(induct e y z and  $\Gamma[y::h=z]$  rule:subst-subst-heap.induct)
  (auto simp add:fresh-star-Pair exp-assn.bn-defs fresh-Nil pure-fresh)

lemma subst-fresh-noop: atom x  $\notin$  e  $\implies$  e[x ::= y] = e
  and subst-heap-fresh-noop: atom x  $\notin \Gamma \implies \Gamma[x::h=y] = \Gamma$ 
by (nominal-induct e and  $\Gamma$  avoiding: x y rule:exp-heap-strong-induct)
  (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base fresh-Cons simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

lemma supp-subst-eq: supp (e[y::=x]) = (supp e - {atom y})  $\cup$  (if atom y  $\in$  supp e then {atom x} else {})
  and atom ` domA  $\Gamma \sharp^* y \implies$  supp ( $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ ) = (supp  $\Gamma$  - {atom y})  $\cup$  (if atom y  $\in$  supp  $\Gamma$  then {atom x} else {})
by (nominal-induct e and  $\Gamma$  avoiding: x y rule:exp-heap-strong-induct)
  (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-Pair supp-Nil supp-Cons supp-Pair fresh-Cons exp-assn.supp Let-supp supp-at-base pure-supp simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

lemma supp-subst: supp (e[y::=x])  $\subseteq$  (supp e - {atom y})  $\cup$  {atom x}
  using supp-subst-eq by auto

lemma fv-subst-eq: fv (e[y::=x]) = (fv e - {y})  $\cup$  (if y  $\in$  fv e then {x} else {})
  and atom ` domA  $\Gamma \sharp^* y \implies$  fv ( $\Gamma[y::h=x]$ ) = (fv  $\Gamma$  - {y})  $\cup$  (if y  $\in$  fv  $\Gamma$  then {x} else {})
by (nominal-induct e and  $\Gamma$  avoiding: x y rule:exp-heap-strong-induct)
  (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-Pair supp-Nil supp-Cons supp-Pair fresh-Cons exp-assn.supp Let-supp supp-at-base simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

lemma fv-subst-subset: fv (e[y ::= x])  $\subseteq$  (fv e - {y})  $\cup$  {x}
  using fv-subst-eq by auto

lemma fv-subst-int: x  $\notin S \implies$  y  $\notin S \implies$  fv (e[y ::= x])  $\cap S = fv e \cap S$ 

```

```

by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

lemma fv-subst-int2:  $x \notin S \implies y \notin S \implies S \cap fv(e[y ::= x]) = S \cap fv e$ 
  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

lemma subst-swap-same: atom  $x \# e \implies (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot e = e[y ::= x]$ 
  and atom  $x \# \Gamma \implies atom 'domA \Gamma \#* y \implies (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma[y ::= x]$ 
  by (nominal-induct e and  $\Gamma$  avoiding:  $x y$  rule:exp-heap-strong-induct)
    (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-at-base fresh-Cons pure-fresh permute-pure simp del:
      exp-assn.eq-iff)

lemma subst-subst-back: atom  $x \# e \implies e[y ::= x][x ::= y] = e$ 
  and atom  $x \# \Gamma \implies atom 'domA \Gamma \#* y \implies \Gamma[y ::= x][x ::= y] = \Gamma$ 
  by (nominal-induct e and  $\Gamma$  avoiding:  $x y$  rule:exp-heap-strong-induct)
    (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-at-base fresh-star-Cons fresh-Cons exp-assn.bn-defs
      simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

lemma subst-heap-delete[simp]: ( $delete x \Gamma[y ::= h = z]$ ) =  $delete x (\Gamma[y ::= h = z])$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma subst-nil-iff[simp]:  $\Gamma[x ::= h = z] = [] \longleftrightarrow \Gamma = []$ 
  by (cases  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma subst-SmartLet[simp]:
  atom 'domA  $\Gamma \#* (y, z) \implies (SmartLet \Gamma body)[y ::= z] = SmartLet (\Gamma[y ::= z]) (body[y ::= z])$ 
  unfolding SmartLet-def by auto

lemma subst-let-be[simp]:
  atom  $x' \# y \implies atom x' \# x \implies (let x' be e in exp)[y ::= x] = (let x' be e[y ::= x] in exp[y ::= x])$ 
  by (simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-Pair)

lemma isLam-subst[simp]: isLam  $e[x ::= y] = isLam e$ 
  by (nominal-induct e avoiding:  $x y$  rule: exp-strong-induct)
    (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)

lemma isVal-subst[simp]: isVal  $e[x ::= y] = isVal e$ 
  by (nominal-induct e avoiding:  $x y$  rule: exp-strong-induct)
    (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)

lemma thunks-subst[simp]:
  thunks  $\Gamma[y ::= h = x] = thunks \Gamma$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) (auto simp add: thunks-Cons)

lemma map-of-subst:
  map-of  $(\Gamma[x ::= h = y]) k = map-option (\lambda e . e[x ::= y]) (map-of \Gamma k)$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma mapCollect-subst[simp]:

```

```

{e k v | k ↦ v ∈ map-of Γ[x::h=y]} = {e k v[x::=y] | k ↦ v ∈ map-of Γ}
by (auto simp add: map-of-subst)

lemma subst-eq-Cons:
Γ[x::h=y] = (x', e) # Δ ↔ (exists e' Γ'. Γ = (x', e') # Γ' ∧ e'[x::=y] = e ∧ Γ'[x::h=y] = Δ)
by (cases Γ) auto

lemma nonrec-subst:
atom ` domA Γ #* x ==> atom ` domA Γ #* y ==> nonrec Γ[x::h=y] ↔ nonrec Γ
by (auto simp add: nonrec-def fresh-star-def subst-eq-Cons fv-subst-eq)

end

```

## 20 Abstract-Denotational-Props.tex

```

theory Abstract-Denotational-Props
imports AbstractDenotational Substitution
begin

context semantic-domain
begin

20.1 The semantics ignores fresh variables

lemma ESem-considers-fv': [e]_ρ = [e]_ρ f|` (fv e)
proof (induct e arbitrary: ρ rule:exp-induct)
  case Var
  show ?case by simp
next
  have [simp]: ⋀ S x. S ∩ insert x S = S by auto
  case App
  show ?case
    by (simp, subst (1 2) App, simp)
next
  case (Lam x e)
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)
  have [simp]: (fv scrut ∩ (fv scrut ∪ fv e1 ∪ fv e2)) = fv scrut by auto
  have [simp]: (fv e1 ∩ (fv scrut ∪ fv e1 ∪ fv e2)) = fv e1 by auto
  have [simp]: (fv e2 ∩ (fv scrut ∪ fv e1 ∪ fv e2)) = fv e2 by auto
  show ?case
    apply simp
    apply (subst (1 2) IfThenElse(1))
    apply (subst (1 2) IfThenElse(2))
    apply (subst (1 2) IfThenElse(3))
    apply (simp)
done

```

```

next
  case (Let as e)

  have  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{as\}\varrho} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{(\{as\}\varrho) f|` (fv as \cup fv e)}$ 
    apply (subst (1 2) Let(2))
    apply simp
    apply (metis inf-sup-absorb sup-commute)
    done
  also
    have  $fv as \subseteq fv as \cup fv e$  by (rule inf-sup-ord(3))
    hence  $(\{as\}\varrho) f|` (fv as \cup fv e) = \{as\}(\varrho f|` (fv as \cup fv e))$ 
      by (rule HSem-ignores-fresh-restr'[OF - Let(1)])
  also
    have  $\{as\}(\varrho f|` (fv as \cup fv e)) = \{as\}\varrho f|` (fv as \cup fv e - domA as)$ 
      by (rule HSem-restr-cong) (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)
  finally
    show ?case by simp
qed auto

```

**sublocale has-ignore-fresh-ESem ESem**  
**by standard (rule fv-supp-exp, rule ESem-consider-fv')**

## 20.2 Nicer equations for ESem, without freshness requirements

**lemma ESem-Lam[simp]:**  $\llbracket \text{Lam } [x]. e \rrbracket_\varrho = \text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho(x := v)}))$   
**proof –**

have  $*: \bigwedge v. ((\varrho f|` (fv e - \{x\}))(x := v)) f|` fv e = (\varrho(x := v)) f|` fv e$

by (rule ext) (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)

have  $\llbracket \text{Lam } [x]. e \rrbracket_\varrho = \llbracket \text{Lam } [x]. e \rrbracket_{\text{env-delete } x \varrho}$

by (rule ESem-fresh-cong) simp

also have ... =  $\text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{(\varrho f|` (fv e - \{x\}))(x := v)}))$

by simp

also have ... =  $\text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{((\varrho f|` (fv e - \{x\}))(x := v)) f|` fv e}))$

by (subst ESem-consider-fv, rule)

also have ... =  $\text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho(x := v) f|` fv e}))$

unfolding ...

also have ... =  $\text{tick} \cdot (Fn \cdot (\Lambda v. \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho(x := v)}))$

unfolding ESem-consider-fv[symmetric]..

finally show ?thesis.

qed

declare ESem.simps(1)[simp del]

**lemma ESem-Let[simp]:**  $\llbracket \text{Let as body} \rrbracket_\varrho = \text{tick} \cdot (\llbracket \text{body} \rrbracket_{\{as\}\varrho})$

**proof –**

have  $\llbracket \text{Let as body} \rrbracket_\varrho = \text{tick} \cdot (\llbracket \text{body} \rrbracket_{\{as\}(\varrho f|` fv (\text{Let as body}))})$

by simp

also have  $\{as\}(\varrho f|` fv (\text{Let as body})) = \{as\}(\varrho f|` (fv as \cup fv \text{body}))$

```

by (rule HSem-restr-cong) (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)
also have ... = ({as}ρ) f | ` (fv as ∪ fv body)
  by (rule HSem-ignores-fresh-restr'[symmetric, OF - ESem-considers-fv]) simp
also have [body]... = [body]_{as}ρ
  by (rule ESem-fresh-cong) (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq)
finally show ?thesis.
qed
declare ESem.simps(4)[simp del]

```

## 20.3 Denotation of Substitution

```

lemma ESem-subst-same: ρ x = ρ y ==> [e]ρ = [e[x:=y]]ρ
  and
    ρ x = ρ y ==> ([as]ρ) = [as[x:=y]]ρ
proof (nominal-induct e and as avoiding: x y arbitrary: ρ and ρ rule:exp-heap-strong-induct)
case Var thus ?case by auto
next
case App
  from App(1)[OF App(2)] App(2)
  show ?case by auto
next
case (Let as exp x y ρ)
  from `atom `domA as #* x` atom `domA as #* y`
  have x ∉ domA as y ∉ domA as
    by (metis fresh-star-at-base imageI)+
  hence [simp]:domA (as[x:=y]) = domA as
    by (metis bn-subst)

  from `ρ x = ρ y`
  have ({as}ρ) x = ({as}ρ) y
    using `x ∉ domA as` `y ∉ domA as`
    by (simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
  hence [exp]_{as}ρ = [exp[x:=y]]_{as}ρ
    by (rule Let)
moreover
from `ρ x = ρ y`
have {as}ρ = {as[x:=y]}ρ and ({as}ρ) x = ({as[x:=y]}ρ) y
  apply (induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind)
  apply (intro adm-lemmas cont2cont cont2cont-fun)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (erule arg-cong[OF Let(3)])
  using `x ∉ domA as` `y ∉ domA as`
  apply simp
done
ultimately
show ?case using Let(1,2,3) by (simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
next

```

```

case (Lam var exp x y  $\varrho$ )
  from  $\varrho x = \varrho y$ 
  have  $\bigwedge v. (\varrho(\text{var} := v)) x = (\varrho(\text{var} := v)) y$ 
    using Lam(1,2) by (simp add: fresh-at-base)
  hence  $\bigwedge v. \llbracket \text{exp} \rrbracket_{\varrho(\text{var} := v)} = \llbracket \text{exp}[x ::= y] \rrbracket_{\varrho(\text{var} := v)}$ 
    by (rule Lam)
  thus ?case using Lam(1,2) by simp
next
case IfThenElse
  from IfThenElse(1)[OF IfThenElse(4)] IfThenElse(2)[OF IfThenElse(4)] IfThenElse(3)[OF
IfThenElse(4)]
  show ?case
    by simp
next
case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
case Cons
  from Cons(1,2)[OF Cons(3)] Cons(3)
  show ?case by auto
qed auto

lemma ESem-subst:
  shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma(x := \sigma y)} = \llbracket e[x ::= y] \rrbracket_{\sigma}$ 
proof(cases x = y)
  case False
  hence [simp]:  $x \notin \text{fv } e[x ::= y]$  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-subst supp-at-base dest: set-mp[OF
supp-subst])

  have  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma(x := \sigma y)} = \llbracket e[x ::= y] \rrbracket_{\sigma(x := \sigma y)}$ 
    by (rule ESem-subst-same) simp
  also have ... =  $\llbracket e[x ::= y] \rrbracket_{\sigma}$ 
    by (rule ESem-fresh-cong) simp
  finally
  show ?thesis.
next
  case True
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

end

end

```

## 21 Value.tex

```

theory Value
imports  $\sim\sim/\text{src}/\text{HOL}/\text{HOLCF}/\text{HOLCF}$ 

```

**begin**

## 21.1 The semantic domain for values and environments

**domain**  $Value = Fn \ (\text{lazy } Value \rightarrow Value) \mid B \ (\text{lazy } \text{bool} \ \text{discr})$

**fixrec**  $Fn\text{-project} :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Value$   
**where**  $Fn\text{-project}\cdot(Fn\cdot f) = f$

**abbreviation**  $Fn\text{-project-abbr}$  (**infix**  $\downarrow Fn$  55)  
**where**  $f \downarrow Fn v \equiv Fn\text{-project}\cdot f \cdot v$

**lemma** [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \perp \downarrow Fn x &= \perp \\ (B \cdot b) \downarrow Fn x &= \perp \\ \text{by } (\text{fixrec-simp})+ \end{aligned}$$

**fixrec**  $B\text{-project} :: Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Value \rightarrow Value$  **where**  
 $B\text{-project}\cdot(B \cdot db) \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2 = (\text{if } \text{undiscr } db \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2)$

**lemma** [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} B\text{-project}\cdot(B \cdot (\text{Discr } b)) \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2 &= (\text{if } b \text{ then } v_1 \text{ else } v_2) \\ B\text{-project}\cdot\perp \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2 &= \perp \\ B\text{-project}\cdot(Fn \cdot f) \cdot v_1 \cdot v_2 &= \perp \\ \text{by } (\text{fixrec-simp})+ \end{aligned}$$

A chain in the domain  $Value$  is either always bottom, or eventually  $Fn$  of another chain

**lemma**  $Value\text{-chainE}[\text{consumes 1, case-names bot } B \ Fn]$ :

**assumes**  $chain \ Y$   
**obtains**  $Y = (\lambda \ - \ . \ \perp) \mid n \ b \ \text{where } Y = (\lambda \ m. \ (\text{if } m < n \ \text{then } \perp \ \text{else } B \cdot b)) \mid n \ Y' \ \text{where } Y = (\lambda \ m. \ (\text{if } m < n \ \text{then } \perp \ \text{else } Fn \cdot (Y' \ (m-n)))) \ chain \ Y'$

**proof**(cases  $Y = (\lambda \ - \ . \ \perp)$ )

**case** *True*  
**thus** *?thesis* **by** (*rule that(1)*)

**next**

**case** *False*

**hence**  $\exists \ i. \ Y \ i \neq \perp$  **by** *auto*

**hence**  $\exists \ n. \ Y \ n \neq \perp \wedge (\forall m. \ Y \ m \neq \perp \longrightarrow m \geq n)$

**by** (*rule exE*)(*rule ex-has-least-nat*)

**then obtain**  $n$  **where**  $Y \ n \neq \perp$  **and**  $\forall m. \ m < n \longrightarrow Y \ m = \perp$  **by** *fastforce*

**hence**  $(\exists \ f. \ Y \ n = Fn \cdot f) \vee (\exists \ b. \ Y \ n = B \cdot b)$  **by** (*metis Value.exhaust*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**proof**

**assume**  $(\exists \ f. \ Y \ n = Fn \cdot f)$

**then obtain**  $f$  **where**  $Y \ n = Fn \cdot f$  **by** *blast*

{

**fix**  $i$

**from**  $\langle chain \ Y \rangle$  **have**  $Y \ n \sqsubseteq Y \ (i+n)$  **by** (*metis chain-mono le-add2*)

```

with ⟨Y n = ⊥
have ∃ g. (Y (i+n) = Fn · g)
    by (metis Value.dist-les(1) Value.exhaust below-bottom-iff)
}
then obtain Y' where Y': ∀ i. Y (i + n) = Fn · (Y' i) by metis

have Y = (λm. if m < n then ⊥ else Fn · (Y' (m - n)))
    using ∀ m. ⊥ · Y' by (metis add-diff-inverse add.commute)
moreover
have chain Y' using ⟨chain Y⟩
    by (auto intro!:chainI elim: chainE simp add: Value.inverts[symmetric] Y'[symmetric]
simp del: Value.inverts)
ultimately
show ?thesis by (rule that(3))
next
assume (∃ b. Y n = B · b)
then obtain b where Y n = B · b by blast
{
    fix i
    from ⟨chain Y⟩ have Y n ⊑ Y (i+n) by (metis chain-mono le-add2)
    with ⟨Y n = ⊥
        have Y (i+n) = B · b
        by (metis Value.dist-les(2) Value.exhaust Value.inverts(2) below-bottom-iff discrete-cpo)
    }
    hence Y': ∀ i. Y (i + n) = B · b by metis

have Y = (λm. if m < n then ⊥ else B · b)
    using ∀ m. ⊥ · Y' by (metis add-diff-inverse add.commute)
    thus ?thesis by (rule that(2))
qed
qed

end

```

## 22 Value-Nominal.tex

```

theory Value–Nominal
imports Value Nominal–Utils Nominal–HOLCF
begin

```

Values are pure, i.e. contain no variables.

```

instantiation Value :: pure
begin
    definition p · (v::Value) = v
instance
    apply standard

```

```

apply (auto simp add: permute-Value-def)
done
end

instance Value :: pcpo-pt
  by standard (simp add: pure-permute-id)

end

```

## 23 Denotational.tex

```

theory Denotational
  imports Abstract-Denotational-Props Value-Nominal
begin

```

This is the actual denotational semantics as found in [Lau93].

**interpretation** semantic-domain Fn Fn-project B B-project  $(\Lambda x. x)$ .

**abbreviation**

```

ESem-syn'' :: exp => (var => Value) => Value ([` - `]- [60,60] 60)
where [` e `]_` ≡ ESem e · `_

```

```

abbreviation EvalHeapSem-syn'' ([` - `]- [0,0] 110) where [Γ]_` ≡ evalHeap Γ (λ e. [e]_`)
abbreviation HSem-syn' ({` - `}- [60,60] 60) where {Γ}_` ≡ HSem Γ · `_
abbreviation HSem-bot ({` - `} [60] 60) where {Γ} ≡ {Γ}⊥

```

**lemma** ESem-simps-as-defined:

```

[` Lam [x]. e `]_` = Fn·(Λ v. [` e `]_`|` (fv (Lam [x].e)))(x := v))
[` App e x `]_` = [` e `]_` ↓Fn `_` x
[` Var x `]_` = `_` x
[` Bool b `]_` = B·(Discr b)
[` (scrut ? e1 : e2) `]_` = B-project·([` scrut `]_`)·([` e1 `]_`)·([` e2 `]_`)
[` Let Γ body `]_` = [` body `]_`|` Γ`|` fv (Let Γ body)
by (simp-all del: ESem-Lam ESem-Let add: ESem.simps(1,4) )

```

**lemma** ESem-simps:

```

[` Lam [x]. e `]_` = Fn·(Λ v. [` e `]_`|` (fv (Lam [x].e)))(x := v))
[` App e x `]_` = [` e `]_` ↓Fn `_` x
[` Var x `]_` = `_` x
[` Bool b `]_` = B·(Discr b)
[` (scrut ? e1 : e2) `]_` = B-project·([` scrut `]_`)·([` e1 `]_`)·([` e2 `]_`)
[` Let Γ body `]_` = [` body `]_`|` Γ`|` fv (Let Γ body)
by simp-all

```

end

## 24 Launchbury.tex

```
theory Launchbury
imports Terms Substitution
begin
```

### 24.1 The natural semantics

This is the semantics as in [Lau93], with two differences:

- Explicit freshness requirements for bound variables in the application and the Let rule.
- An additional parameter that stores variables that have to be avoided, but do not occur in the judgement otherwise, following [Ses97].

**inductive**

```
reds :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  var list  $\Rightarrow$  heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(- : -  $\Downarrow$  - - : - [50,50,50,50] 50)
```

**where**

*Lambda:*

```
 $\Gamma : (\text{Lam } [x]. e) \Downarrow_L \Gamma : (\text{Lam } [x]. e)$ 
```

| *Application:* []

```
atom y  $\notin$  ( $\Gamma, e, x, L, \Delta, \Theta, z$ ) ;
```

```
 $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : (\text{Lam } [y]. e')$ ;
```

```
 $\Delta : e'[y ::= x] \Downarrow_L \Theta : z$ 
```

]  $\implies$

```
 $\Gamma : \text{App } e x \Downarrow_L \Theta : z$ 
```

| *Variable:* []

```
map-of  $\Gamma$   $x = \text{Some } e$ ; delete  $x$   $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{x \# L} \Delta : z$ 
```

]  $\implies$

```
 $\Gamma : \text{Var } x \Downarrow_L (x, z) \# \Delta : z$ 
```

| *Let:* []

```
atom `domA  $\Delta \#^* (\Gamma, L)$ ;
```

```
 $\Delta @ \Gamma : \text{body} \Downarrow_L \Theta : z$ 
```

]  $\implies$

```
 $\Gamma : \text{Let } \Delta \text{ body} \Downarrow_L \Theta : z$ 
```

| *Bool:*

```
 $\Gamma : \text{Bool } b \Downarrow_L \Gamma : \text{Bool } b$ 
```

| *IfThenElse:* []

```
 $\Gamma : \text{scrut} \Downarrow_L \Delta : (\text{Bool } b)$ ;
```

```
 $\Delta : (\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2) \Downarrow_L \Theta : z$ 
```

]  $\implies$

```
 $\Gamma : (\text{scrut} ? e_1 : e_2) \Downarrow_L \Theta : z$ 
```

**equivariance** *reds*

**nominal-inductive** *reds*

**avoids** *Application:* *y*

**by** (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-Pair)

## 24.2 Example evaluations

```

lemma eval-test:
  [] : (Let [(x, Lam [y]. Var y)] (Var x)) ↓[] [(x, Lam [y]. Var y)] : (Lam [y]. Var y)
apply(auto intro!: Lambda Application Variable Let
  simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-Cons fresh-Nil fresh-star-def)
done

lemma eval-test2:
  y ≠ x ⟹ n ≠ y ⟹ n ≠ x ⟹ [] : (Let [(x, Lam [y]. Var y)] (App (Var x) x)) ↓[] [(x, Lam
[y]. Var y)] : (Lam [y]. Var y)
  by (auto intro!: Lambda Application Variable Let simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base fresh-Cons
fresh-Nil fresh-star-def pure-fresh)

```

## 24.3 Better introduction rules

This variant do not require freshness.

```

lemma reds-ApplicationI:
  assumes Γ : e ↓L Δ : Lam [y]. e'
  assumes Δ : e'[y:=x] ↓L Θ : z
  shows Γ : App e x ↓L Θ : z
proof-
  obtain y' :: var where atom y' # (Γ, e, x, L, Δ, Θ, z, e') by (rule obtain-fresh)

  have a: Lam [y']. ((y' ↔ y) · e') = Lam [y]. e'
    using ⟨atom y' # →
    by (auto simp add: Abs1-eq-iff fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)

  have b: ((y' ↔ y) · e')[y':=x] = e'[y:=x]
  proof(cases x = y)
    case True
    have atom y' # e' using ⟨atom y' # → by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: True subst-swap-same subst-subst-back)
  next
    case False
    hence atom y # x by simp

    have [simp]: (y' ↔ y) · x = x using ⟨atom y # → ⟨atom y' # →
      by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)

    have ((y' ↔ y) · e')[y':=x] = (y' ↔ y) · (e'[y:=x]) by simp
    also have ... = e'[y:=x]
      using ⟨atom y # → ⟨atom y' # →
      by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair fresh-at-base subst-pres-fresh)
    finally
      show ?thesis.
  qed
  have atom y' # (Γ, e, x, L, Δ, Θ, z) using ⟨atom y' # → by (simp add: fresh-Pair)

```

```

from this assms [folded a b]
show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma reds-SmartLet: []
  atom ` domA Δ #* (Γ, L);
  Δ @ Γ : body ↓L Θ : z
] ==>
  Γ : SmartLet Δ body ↓L Θ : z
unfolding SmartLet-def
by (auto intro: reds.Let)

```

A single rule for values

```

lemma reds-isValI:
  isVal z ==> Γ : z ↓L Γ : z
by (cases z rule:isVal.cases) (auto intro: reds.intros)

```

## 24.4 Properties of the semantics

Heap entries are never removed.

```

lemma reds-doesnt-forget:
  Γ : e ↓L Δ : z ==> domA Γ ⊆ domA Δ
by(induct rule: reds.induct) auto

```

Live variables are not added to the heap.

```

lemma reds-avoids-live':
  assumes Γ : e ↓L Δ : z
  shows (domA Δ - domA Γ) ∩ set L = {}
  using assms
by(induct rule:reds.induct)
  (auto dest: map-of-domAD fresh-distinct-list simp add: fresh-star-Pair)

```

```

lemma reds-avoids-live:
  [Γ : e ↓L Δ : z;
   x ∈ set L;
   x ∉ domA Γ
  ] ==> x ∉ domA Δ
using reds-avoids-live' by blast

```

Fresh variables either stay fresh or are added to the heap.

```

lemma reds-fresh: [Γ : e ↓L Δ : z;
  atom (x::var) # (Γ, e)
] ==> atom x # (Δ, z) ∨ x ∈ (domA Δ - set L)
proof(induct rule: reds.induct)
case (Lambda Γ x e) thus ?case by auto
next
case (Application y Γ e x' L Δ Θ z e')

```

```

hence atom x # (Δ, Lam [y]. e') ∨ x ∈ domA Δ − set (x' # L) by (auto simp add:
fresh-Pair)

thus ?case
proof
  assume atom x # (Δ, Lam [y]. e')
  hence atom x # e'[y ::= x']
    using Application.preds
    by (auto intro: subst-pres-fresh simp add: fresh-Pair)
  thus ?thesis using Application.hyps(5) ⟨atom x # (Δ, Lam [y]. e')⟩ by auto
next
  assume x ∈ domA Δ − set (x' # L)
  thus ?thesis using reds-doesnt-forget[OF Application.hyps(4)] by auto
qed
next

case(Variable Γ v e L Δ z)
have atom x # Γ and atom x # v using Variable.preds(1) by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair)
from fresh-delete[OF this(1)]
have atom x # delete v Γ.
moreover
have v ∈ domA Γ using Variable.hyps(1) by (metis domA-from-set map-of-SomeD)
from fresh-map-of[OF this ⟨atom x # Γ⟩]
have atom x # the (map-of Γ v).
hence atom x # e using ⟨map-of Γ v = Some e⟩ by simp
ultimately
have atom x # (Δ, z) ∨ x ∈ domA Δ − set (v # L) using Variable.hyps(3) by (auto simp
add: fresh-Pair)
thus ?case using ⟨atom x # v⟩ by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-Cons fresh-at-base)
next

case (Bool Γ b L)
thus ?case by auto
next

case (IfThenElse Γ scrut L Δ b e1 e2 Θ z)
from ⟨atom x # (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2)⟩
have atom x # (Γ, scrut) and atom x # (e1, e2) by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair)
from IfThenElse.hyps(2)[OF this(1)]
show ?case
proof
  assume atom x # (Δ, Bool b) with ⟨atom x # (e1, e2)⟩
  have atom x # (Δ, if b then e1 else e2) by auto
  from IfThenElse.hyps(4)[OF this]
  show ?thesis.
next
assume x ∈ domA Δ − set L
with reds-doesnt-forget[OF ⟨Δ : (if b then e1 else e2) ↳ L Θ : z⟩]
show ?thesis by auto

```

```

qed
next

case (Let  $\Delta \Gamma L$  body  $\Theta z$ )
  show ?case
  proof (cases  $x \in \text{domA } \Delta$ )
    case False
      hence atom  $x \notin \Delta$  using Let.prem by(auto simp add: fresh-Pair)
      show ?thesis
        apply(rule Let.hyps(3))
        using Let.prem (atom  $x \notin \Delta$ ) False
        by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-append)
    next
    case True
      hence  $x \notin \text{set } L$ 
      using Let(1)
      by (metis fresh-PairD(2) fresh-star-def image-eqI set-not-fresh)
      with True
      show ?thesis
      using reds-doesnt-forget[OF Let.hyps(2)] by auto
  qed
qed

lemma reds-fresh-fv:  $\llbracket \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z; x \in \text{fv}(\Delta, z) \wedge (x \notin \text{domA } \Delta \vee x \in \text{set } L) \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{fv}(\Gamma, e)$ 
using reds-fresh
unfolding fv-def fresh-def
by blast

lemma new-free-vars-on-heap:
  assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
  shows  $\text{fv}(\Delta, z) - \text{domA } \Delta \subseteq \text{fv}(\Gamma, e) - \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
using reds-fresh-fv[OF assms(1)] reds-doesnt-forget[OF assms(1)] by auto

lemma reds-pres-closed:
  assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
  and  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, e) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  shows  $\text{fv}(\Delta, z) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Delta$ 
using new-free-vars-on-heap[OF assms(1)] assms(2) by auto

```

Reducing the set of variables to avoid is always possible.

```

lemma reds-smaller-L:  $\llbracket \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z; \text{set } L' \subseteq \text{set } L \rrbracket \implies \Gamma : e \Downarrow_{L'} \Delta : z$ 
proof(nominal-induct avoiding :  $L'$  rule: reds.strong-induct)
case (Lambda  $\Gamma x e L L'$ )
  show ?case
  by (rule reds.Lambda)

```

```

next
case (Application  $y \Gamma e xa L \Delta \Theta z e' L'$ )
  from Application.hyps(10)[OF Application.prems] Application.hyps(12)[OF Application.prems]
  show ?case
    by (rule reds-ApplicationI)
next
case (Variable  $\Gamma xa e L \Delta z L'$ )
  have set ( $xa \# L'$ )  $\subseteq$  set ( $xa \# L$ )
    using Variable.prems by auto
  thus ?case
    by (rule reds.Variable[OF Variable(1) Variable.hyps(3)])
next
case (Bool  $b$ )
  show ?case..
next
case (IfThenElse  $\Gamma scrut L \Delta b e_1 e_2 \Theta z L'$ )
  thus ?case by (metis reds.IfThenElse)
next
case (Let  $\Delta \Gamma L body \Theta z L'$ )
  have atom `domA  $\Delta \sharp^*(\Gamma, L')$ 
    using Let(1–3) Let.prems
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-set-subset)
  thus ?case
    by (rule reds.Let[OF - Let.hyps(4)[OF Let.prems]])
qed

```

Things are evaluated to a lambda expression, and the variable can be freely chose.

```

lemma result-evaluated:
   $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z \implies \text{isVal } z$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma e L \Delta z$  rule:reds.induct) (auto dest: reds-doesnt-forget)

```

```

lemma result-evaluated-fresh:
  assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
  obtains  $y e'$ 
  where  $z = (\text{Lam } [y]. e')$  and atom  $y \sharp (c::'a::fs) \mid b$  where  $z = \text{Bool } b$ 
proof –
  from assms
  have isVal  $z$  by (rule result-evaluated)
  hence  $(\exists y e'. z = \text{Lam } [y]. e' \wedge \text{atom } y \sharp c) \vee (\exists b. z = \text{Bool } b)$ 
    by (nominal-induct  $z$  avoiding: c rule:exp-strong-induct) auto
  thus thesis using that by blast
qed

end

```

## 25 CorrectnessOriginal.tex

```
theory CorrectnessOriginal
imports Denotational Launchbury
begin
```

This is the main correctness theorem, Theorem 2 from [Lau93].

```
theorem correctness:
assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : v$ 
and  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, e) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho} = \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho}$ 
and  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho) f|' \text{domA } \Gamma = (\{\Delta\}\varrho) f|' \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
using assms
proof(nominal-induct arbitrary:  $\varrho$  rule:reds.strong-induct)
case Lambda
  case 1 show ?case..
  case 2 show ?case..
next
case (Application  $y \Gamma e x L \Delta \Theta v e'$ )
  have Gamma-subset:  $\text{domA } \Gamma \subseteq \text{domA } \Delta$ 
    by (rule reds-doesnt-forget[OF Application.hyps(8)])
  case 1
    hence prem1:  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, e) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$  and  $x \in \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$  by auto
    moreover
      note reds-pres-closed[OF Application.hyps(8) prem1]
    moreover
      note reds-doesnt-forget[OF Application.hyps(8)]
    moreover
      have  $\text{fv}(e'[y:=x]) \subseteq \text{fv}(\text{Lam } [y]. e') \cup \{x\}$ 
        by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)
    ultimately
      have prem2:  $\text{fv}(\Delta, e'[y:=x]) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Delta$  by auto
      have *:  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x = (\{\Delta\}\varrho) x$ 
      proof(cases  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ )
        case True
          from Application.hyps(10)[OF prem1, where  $\varrho = \varrho$ ]
          have  $((\{\Gamma\}\varrho) f|' \text{domA } \Gamma) x = ((\{\Delta\}\varrho) f|' \text{domA } \Gamma) x$  by simp
          with True show ?thesis by simp
      next
        case False
          from False  $\langle x \in \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle$  reds-avoids-live[OF Application.hyps(8)]
          show ?thesis by (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
      qed have  $\llbracket \text{App } e x \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho} = (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \downarrow Fn (\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x$ 
        by simp
      also have ... =  $(\llbracket \text{Lam } [y]. e' \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho}) \downarrow Fn (\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x$ 
        using Application.hyps(9)[OF prem1] by simp
```

```

also have ... = ( $\llbracket \text{Lam } [y]. e' \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho} \downarrow Fn (\{\Delta\}\varrho) x$ 
  unfolding *..
also have ... = ( $Fn \cdot (\Lambda z. \llbracket e' \rrbracket_{(\{\Delta\}\varrho)(y := z)}) \downarrow Fn (\{\Delta\}\varrho) x$ 
  by simp
also have ... =  $\llbracket e' \rrbracket_{(\{\Delta\}\varrho)(y := (\{\Delta\}\varrho) x)}$ 
  by simp
also have ... =  $\llbracket e'[y ::= x] \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho}$ 
  unfolding ESem-subst..
also have ... =  $\llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{\Theta\}\varrho}$ 
  by (rule Application.hyps(12)[OF prem2])
finally
show  $\llbracket App e x \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho} = \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{\Theta\}\varrho}$ . show  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho) f|` domA \Gamma = (\{\Theta\}\varrho) f|` domA \Gamma$ 
  using Application.hyps(10)[OF prem1]
    env-restr-eq-subset[OF Gamma-subset Application.hyps(13)[OF prem2]]
  by (rule trans)
next
case (Variable  $\Gamma x e L \Delta v$ )
  hence [simp]: $x \in domA \Gamma$  by (metis domA-from-set map-of-SomeD)

let ? $\Gamma = delete x \Gamma$ 

case 2
have  $x \notin domA \Delta$ 
  by (rule reds-avoids-live[OF Variable.hyps(2)], simp-all)

have subset:  $domA \ ?\Gamma \subseteq domA \Delta$ 
  by (rule reds-doesnt-forget[OF Variable.hyps(2)])

let ?new =  $domA \Delta - domA \Gamma$ 
have fv (? $\Gamma, e \cup \{x\} \subseteq fv (\Gamma, Var x)$ 
  by (rule fv-delete-heap[OF map-of ? $\Gamma x = Some e$ ]))
hence prem:  $fv (?\Gamma, e) \subseteq set (x \# L) \cup domA \ ?\Gamma$  using 2 by auto
hence fv-subset:  $fv (?\Gamma, e) - domA \ ?\Gamma \subseteq - ?new$ 
  using reds-avoids-live'[OF Variable.hyps(2)] by auto

have  $domA \Gamma \subseteq (- ?new)$  by auto

have  $\{\Gamma\}\varrho = \{(x, e) \# ?\Gamma\}\varrho$ 
  by (rule HSem-reorder[OF map-of-delete-insert[symmetric, OF Variable(1)]]))
also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{(domA \ ?\Gamma)} (\{\varrho'\}\varrho'))( x := \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho'}))$ 
  by (rule iterative-HSem, simp)
also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{(domA \ ?\Gamma)} (\{\varrho'\}\varrho'))( x := \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\varrho'\}\varrho'}))$ 
  by (rule iterative-HSem', simp)
finally
have  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho)f|` (- ?new) = (...) f|` (- ?new)$  by simp
also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{domA \ \Delta} (\{\Delta\}\varrho'))( x := \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho'})) f|` (- ?new)$ 
proof (induction rule: parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x y. x f|` (- ?new) = y f|` (- ?new)$ ])
  case 1 show ?case by simp

```

```

next
  case 2 show ?case ..
next
  case ( $\beta \sigma \sigma'$ )
  hence  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{? \Gamma\} \sigma} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{? \Gamma\} \sigma'}$ 
    and ( $\{? \Gamma\} \sigma$ )  $f|` domA ? \Gamma = (\{? \Gamma\} \sigma') f|` domA ? \Gamma$ 
    using fv-subset by (auto intro: ESem-fresh-cong HSem-fresh-cong env-restr-eq-subset[ $OF - \beta\}$ ])
    from trans[ $OF this(1)$  Variable( $\beta$ )[ $OF prem$ ]] trans[ $OF this(2)$  Variable( $\beta$ )[ $OF prem$ ]]
    have  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{? \Gamma\} \sigma} = \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\} \sigma'}$ 
      and ( $\{? \Gamma\} \sigma$ )  $f|` domA ? \Gamma = (\{\Delta\} \sigma') f|` domA ? \Gamma$ .
    thus ?case
      using subset
      by (fastforce simp add: lookup-override-on-eq lookup-env-restr-eq dest: env-restr-eqD )
qed
also have ... = ( $\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{domA \Delta} (\{\Delta\} \varrho')) (x := \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\varrho'}) f|` (-?new)$ 
  by (rule arg-cong[ $OF iterative-HSem$ [symmetric],  $OF \langle x \notin domA \Delta \rangle$ ])
also have ... = ( $\{(x, v) \# \Delta\} \varrho$ )  $f|` (-?new)$ 
  by (rule arg-cong[ $OF iterative-HSem$ [symmetric],  $OF \langle x \notin domA \Delta \rangle$ ])
finally
show le: ?case by (rule env-restr-eq-subset[ $OF \langle domA \Gamma \subseteq (-?new) \rangle$ ])

have  $\llbracket Var x \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\} \varrho} = \llbracket Var x \rrbracket_{\{(x, v) \# \Delta\} \varrho}$ 
  using env-restr-eqD[ $OF le$ , where  $x = x$ ]
  by simp
also have ... =  $\llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{(x, v) \# \Delta\} \varrho}$ 
  by (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap)
finally
show  $\llbracket Var x \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\} \varrho} = \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{(x, v) \# \Delta\} \varrho}$ .
next
case ( $Bool b$ )
  case 1
  show ?case by simp
  case 2
  show ?case by simp
next
case ( $IfThenElse \Gamma scrut L \Delta b e_1 e_2 \Theta v$ )
  have Gamma-subset:  $domA \Gamma \subseteq domA \Delta$ 
  by (rule reds-doesnt-forget[ $OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)$ ])

let ?e = if b then  $e_1$  else  $e_2$ 

case 1
thm new-free-vars-on-heap[ $OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)$ ]

hence prem1:  $fv(\Gamma, scrut) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma$ 
  and prem2:  $fv(\Delta, ?e) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Delta$ 
  and  $fv ?e \subseteq domA \Gamma \cup set L$ 

```

```

using new-free-vars-on-heap[OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)] Gamma-subset by auto

have  $\llbracket (\text{scrut} ? e_1 : e_2) \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho} = B\text{-project} \cdot (\llbracket \text{scrut} \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \cdot (\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \cdot (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho})$  by simp
also have  $\dots = B\text{-project} \cdot (\llbracket \text{Bool } b \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho}) \cdot (\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \cdot (\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho})$ 
  unfolding IfThenElse.hyps(2)[OF prem1]..
also have  $\dots = \llbracket ?e \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}\varrho}$  by simp
also have  $\dots = \llbracket ?e \rrbracket_{\{\Delta\}\varrho}$ 
proof(rule ESem-fresh-cong-subset[OF fv ?e ⊆ domA Γ ∪ set L env-restr-eqI])
  fix x
  assume  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{set } L$ 
  thus  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x = (\{\Delta\}\varrho) x$ 
    proof(cases  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ )
      assume  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
      from IfThenElse.hyps(3)[OF prem1]
      have  $((\{\Gamma\}\varrho) f \upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma) x = ((\{\Delta\}\varrho) f \upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma) x$  by simp
      with  $\langle x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
    next
      assume  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
      from this  $\langle x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{set } L \rangle$  reds-avoids-live[OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)]
      show ?thesis
        by (simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
    qed
  qed
also have  $\dots = \llbracket v \rrbracket_{\{\Theta\}\varrho}$ 
  unfolding IfThenElse.hyps(5)[OF prem2]..
finally
show ?case.
thm env-restr-eq-subset
show  $(\{\Gamma\}\varrho) f \upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma = (\{\Theta\}\varrho) f \upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
using IfThenElse.hyps(3)[OF prem1]
  env-restr-eq-subset IfThenElse.hyps(6)[OF prem2]
  by (rule trans)
next
case (Let as  $\Gamma$   $L$  body  $\Delta$   $v$ )
case 1
{ fix a
  assume  $a: a \in \text{domA}$  as
  have atom  $a \notin \Gamma$ 
    by (rule Let(1)[unfolded fresh-star-def, rule-format, OF imageI[OF a]])
  hence  $a \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
    by (metis domA-not-fresh)
}
note * = this

have  $\text{fv}(\text{as} @ \Gamma, \text{body}) - \text{domA}(\text{as} @ \Gamma) \subseteq \text{fv}(\Gamma, \text{Let as body}) - \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
  by auto
with 1 have prem:  $\text{fv}(\text{as} @ \Gamma, \text{body}) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA}(\text{as} @ \Gamma)$  by auto

```

```

have f1: atom ` domA as #* Γ
  using Let(1) by (simp add: set.bn-to-atom-domA)

have [ Let as body ]{|Γ}ρ = [| body ]{|as}{|Γ}ρ
  by (simp)
also have ... = [| body ]{|as @ Γ}ρ
  by (rule arg-cong[OF HSem-merge[OF f1]])
also have ... = [| v ]{|Δ}ρ
  by (rule Let.hyps(4)[OF prem])
finally
show ?case.

have ({|Γ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ) = (|as){|Γ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ)
  apply (rule ext)
  apply (case-tac x ∈ domA as)
  apply (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other lookup-env-restr-eq *)
  done
also have ... = (|as @ Γ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ)
  by (rule arg-cong[OF HSem-merge[OF f1]])
also have ... = (|Δ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ)
  by (rule env-restr-eq-subset[OF - Let.hyps(5)[OF prem]]) simp
finally
show (|Γ}ρ) f|` domA Γ = (|Δ}ρ) f|` domA Γ.
qed

end

```

## 26 Mono-Nat-Fun.tex

```

theory Mono-Nat-Fun
imports ~~/src/HOL/Library/Infinite-Set
begin

```

The following lemma proves that a monotonous function from and to the natural numbers is either eventually constant or unbounded.

```

lemma nat-mono-characterization:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ nat
  assumes mono f
  obtains n where ⋀m . n ≤ m ⟹ f n = f m | ⋀ m . ∃ n . m ≤ f n
proof (cases finite (range f))
  case True
  from Max-in[OF True]
  obtain n where Max: f n = Max (range f) by auto
  show thesis
  proof(rule that(1))
    fix m

```

```

assume  $n \leq m$ 
hence  $f n \leq f m$  using  $\langle\text{mono } f\rangle$  by (metis monoD)
also
have  $f m \leq f n$  unfolding Max by (rule Max-ge[OF True rangeI])
finally
show  $f n = f m$ .
qed
next
case False
thus thesis by (fastforce intro: that(2) simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded-le)
qed
end

```

## 27 C.tex

```

theory C
imports  $\sim\!/src/HOL/HOLCF/HOLCF\ Mono-Nat-Fun$ 
begin

default-sort cpo

```

The initial solution to the domain equation  $C = C_{\perp}$ , i.e. the completion of the natural numbers.

```
domain C = C (lazy C)
```

```
lemma below-C:  $x \sqsubseteq C \cdot x$ 
by (induct x) auto
```

```
definition Cinf ( $C^\infty$ ) where  $C^\infty = \text{fix}\cdot C$ 
```

```
lemma C-Cinf[simp]:  $C \cdot C^\infty = C^\infty$  unfolding Cinf-def by (rule fix-eq[symmetric])
```

```
abbreviation Cpow ( $C^*$ ) where  $C^n \equiv \text{iterate } n \cdot C \cdot \perp$ 
```

```
lemma C-below-C[simp]:  $(C^i \sqsubseteq C^j) \longleftrightarrow i \leq j$ 
apply (induction i arbitrary: j)
apply simp
apply (case-tac j, auto)
done
```

```
lemma below-Cinf[simp]:  $r \sqsubseteq C^\infty$ 
apply (induct r)
apply simp-all[2]
apply (metis (full-types) C-Cinf monofun-cfun-arg)
done
```

```

lemma C-eq-Cinf[simp]:  $C^i \neq C^\infty$ 
  by (metis C-below-C Suc-n-not-le-n below-Cinf)

lemma Cinf-eq-C[simp]:  $C^\infty = C \cdot r \longleftrightarrow C^\infty = r$ 
  by (metis C.injects C-Cinf)

lemma C-eq-C[simp]:  $(C^i = C^j) \longleftrightarrow i = j$ 
  by (metis C-below-C le-antisym le-refl)

lemma case-of-C-below:  $(\text{case } r \text{ of } C \cdot y \Rightarrow x) \sqsubseteq x$ 
  by (cases r) auto

lemma C-case-below:  $C\text{-case} \cdot f \sqsubseteq f$ 
  by (metis cfun-belowI C.case-rews(2) below-C monofun-cfun-arg)

lemma C-case-bot[simp]:  $C\text{-case} \cdot \perp = \perp$ 
  apply (subst eq-bottom-iff)
  apply (rule C-case-below)
  done

lemma C-case-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge r'. r = C \cdot r' \implies f \cdot r' = g \cdot r'$ 
  shows  $C\text{-case} \cdot f \cdot r = C\text{-case} \cdot g \cdot r$ 
  using assms by (cases r) auto

lemma C-cases:
  obtains n where  $r = C^n \mid r = C^\infty$ 
proof-
  { fix m
    have  $\exists n. C\text{-take } m \cdot r = C^n$ 
    proof (rule C.finite-induct)
      have  $\perp = C^0$  by simp
      thus  $\exists n. \perp = C^n$ .
    qed
  }
  then obtain f where take:  $\bigwedge m. C\text{-take } m \cdot r = C^{f(m)}$  by metis
  have chain:  $(\lambda m. C^{f(m)})$  using ch2ch-Rep-cfunL[OF C.chain-take, where x=r, unfolded take].
  hence mono f by (auto simp add: mono-iff-le-Suc chain-def elim!:chainE)
  have r:  $r = (\bigsqcup m. C^{f(m)})$  by (metis (lifting) take C.reach lub-eq)
  from ⟨mono f⟩
  show thesis
  proof (rule nat-mono-characterization)
    fix n
  
```

```

assume  $n : \bigwedge m. n \leq m \implies f n = f m$ 
have max-in-chain  $n (\lambda m. C^{f m})$ 
  apply (rule max-in-chainI)
  apply simp
  apply (erule n)
  done
hence  $(\bigcup m. C^{f m}) = C^{f n}$  unfolding maxinch-is-thelub[ $OF \langle chain \rightarrow \rangle$ ].
thus ?thesis using that unfolding  $r$  by blast
next
  assume  $\bigwedge m. \exists n. m \leq f n$ 
  hence  $\bigwedge n. C^n \sqsubseteq r$  unfolding  $r$  by (fastforce intro: below-lub[ $OF \langle chain \rightarrow \rangle$ ])
  hence  $(\bigcup n. C^n) \sqsubseteq r$ 
    by (rule lub-below[ $OF$  chain-iterate])
  hence  $C^\infty \sqsubseteq r$  unfolding Cinf-def fix-def2.
  hence  $C^\infty = r$  using below-Cinf by (metis below-antisym)
  thus thesis using that by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma C-case-Cinf[simp]:  $C\text{-case} \cdot f \cdot C^\infty = f \cdot C^\infty$ 
  unfolding Cinf-def
  by (subst fix-eq) simp

```

end

## 28 CValue.tex

```

theory CValue
imports C
begin

domain CValue
  = CFn (lazy ( $C \rightarrow CValue$ )  $\rightarrow (C \rightarrow CValue)$ )
  | CB (lazy bool discr)

fixrec CFn-project :: CValue  $\rightarrow (C \rightarrow CValue) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow CValue)$ 
  where CFn-project · (CFn · f) · v = f · v

abbreviation CFn-project-abbr (infix  $\downarrow_{CFn}$  55)
  where f  $\downarrow_{CFn}$  v  $\equiv$  CFn-project f · v

lemma CFn-project-strict[simp]:
   $\perp \downarrow_{CFn} v = \perp$ 
   $CB \cdot b \downarrow_{CFn} v = \perp$ 
  by (fixrec-simp)+

lemma CB-below[simp]:  $CB \cdot b \sqsubseteq v \longleftrightarrow v = CB \cdot b$ 

```

```

by (cases v) auto

fixrec CB-project :: CValue → CValue → CValue → CValue where
  CB-project·(CB·db)·v1·v2 = (if undiscr db then v1 else v2)

lemma [simp]:
  CB-project·(CB·(Discr b))·v1·v2 = (if b then v1 else v2)
  CB-project·⊥·v1·v2 = ⊥
  CB-project·(CFn·f)·v1·v2 = ⊥
by fixrec-simp+

lemma CB-project-not-bot:
  CB-project·scrut·v1·v2 ≠ ⊥ ↔ (exists b. scrut = CB·(Discr b) ∧ (if b then v1 else v2) ≠ ⊥)
  apply (cases scrut)
  apply simp
  apply simp
by (metis (poly-guards-query) CB-project.simps CValue.injects(2) discr.exhaust undiscr-Discr)

```

HOLCF provides us  $CValue\text{-take}::nat \Rightarrow CValue \rightarrow CValue$ ; we want a similar function for  $C \rightarrow CValue$ .

```

abbreviation C-to-CValue-take :: nat ⇒ (C → CValue) → (C → CValue)
  where C-to-CValue-take n ≡ cfun-map·ID·(CValue-take n)

```

```

lemma C-to-CValue-chain-take: chain C-to-CValue-take
  by (auto intro: chainI cfun-belowI chainE[OF CValue.chain-take] monofun-cfun-fun)

```

```

lemma C-to-CValue-reach: (⊔ n. C-to-CValue-take n·x) = x
  by (auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: contlub-cfun-fun[OF ch2ch-Rep-cfunL[OF C-to-CValue-chain-take]] CValue.reach)

```

end

## 29 CValue-Nominal.tex

```

theory CValue-Nominal
imports CValue Nominal-Utils Nominal-HOLCF
begin

instantiation C :: pure
begin
  definition p · (c::C) = c
  instance by standard (auto simp add: permute-C-def)
end
instance C :: pcpo-pt
  by standard (simp add: pure-permute-id)

```

```

instantiation CValue :: pure
begin
  definition  $p \cdot (v::CValue) = v$ 
instance
  apply standard
  apply (auto simp add: permute-CValue-def)
  done
end

instance CValue :: pcpo-pt
  by standard (simp add: pure-permute-id)

end

```

## 30 HOLCF-Meet.tex

```

theory HOLCF-Meet
imports ~~/src/HOL/HOLCF/HOLCF
begin

```

This theory defines the  $\sqcap$  operator on HOLCF domains, and introduces a type class for domains where all finite meets exist.

### 30.1 Towards meets: Lower bounds

```

context po
begin
definition is-lb :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $>|$  55) where
   $S >| x \longleftrightarrow (\forall y \in S. x \sqsubseteq y)$ 

lemma is-lbI:  $(\exists x. x \in S \Rightarrow l \sqsubseteq x) \Rightarrow S >| l$ 
  by (simp add: is-lb-def)

lemma is-lbD:  $[|S >| l; x \in S|] \Rightarrow l \sqsubseteq x$ 
  by (simp add: is-lb-def)

lemma is-lb-empty [simp]:  $\{\} >| l$ 
  unfolding is-lb-def by fast

lemma is-lb-insert [simp]:  $(\text{insert } x A) >| y = (y \sqsubseteq x \wedge A >| y)$ 
  unfolding is-lb-def by fast

lemma is-lb-downward:  $[|S >| l; y \sqsubseteq l|] \Rightarrow S >| y$ 
  unfolding is-lb-def by (fast intro: below-trans)

```

## 30.2 Greatest lower bounds

```
definition is-glb :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $>>|$  55) where
   $S >>| x \longleftrightarrow S >| x \wedge (\forall u. S >| u \rightarrow u \sqsubseteq x)$ 
```

```
definition glb :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ( $\sqcap$ - [60] 60) where
   $glb S = (THE x. S >>| x)$ 
```

Access to the definition as inference rule

```
lemma is-glbD1:  $S >>| x ==> S >| x$ 
  unfolding is-glb-def by fast
```

```
lemma is-glbD2:  $[|S >>| x; S >| u|] ==> u \sqsubseteq x$ 
  unfolding is-glb-def by fast
```

```
lemma (in po) is-glbI:  $[|S >| x; !u. S >| u ==> u \sqsubseteq x|] ==> S >>| x$ 
  unfolding is-glb-def by fast
```

```
lemma is-glb-above-iff:  $S >>| x ==> u \sqsubseteq x \longleftrightarrow S >| u$ 
  unfolding is-glb-def is-lb-def by (metis below-trans)
```

glbs are unique

```
lemma is-glb-unique:  $[|S >>| x; S >>| y|] ==> x = y$ 
  unfolding is-glb-def is-lb-def by (blast intro: below-antisym)
```

technical lemmas about  $glb$  and  $op >>|$

```
lemma is-glb-glb:  $M >>| x ==> M >>| glb M$ 
  unfolding glb-def by (rule theI [OF - is-glb-unique])
```

```
lemma glb-eqI:  $M >>| l ==> glb M = l$ 
  by (rule is-glb-unique [OF is-glb-glb])
```

```
lemma is-glb-singleton:  $\{x\} >>| x$ 
  by (simp add: is-glb-def)
```

```
lemma glb-singleton [simp]:  $glb \{x\} = x$ 
  by (rule is-glb-singleton [THEN glb-eqI])
```

```
lemma is-glb-bin:  $x \sqsubseteq y ==> \{x, y\} >>| x$ 
  by (simp add: is-glb-def)
```

```
lemma glb-bin:  $x \sqsubseteq y ==> glb \{x, y\} = x$ 
  by (rule is-glb-bin [THEN glb-eqI])
```

```
lemma is-glb-maximal:  $[|S >| x; x \in S|] ==> S >>| x$ 
  by (erule is-glbI, erule (1) is-lbD)
```

```
lemma glb-maximal:  $[|S >| x; x \in S|] ==> glb S = x$ 
```

```

by (rule is-glb-maximal [THEN glb-eqI])

lemma glb-above:  $S >>| z \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq glb S \longleftrightarrow S >| x$ 
  by (metis glb-eqI is-glb-above-iff)
end

lemma (in cpo) Meet-insert:  $S >>| l \Rightarrow \{x, l\} >>| l2 \Rightarrow insert x S >>| l2$ 
  apply (rule is-glbI)
  apply (metis is-glb-above-iff is-glb-def is-lb-insert)
  by (metis is-glb-above-iff is-glb-def is-glb-singleton is-lb-insert)

```

Binary, hence finite meets.

```

class Finite-Meet-cpo = cpo +
  assumes binary-meet-exists:  $\exists l. l \sqsubseteq x \wedge l \sqsubseteq y \wedge (\forall z. z \sqsubseteq x \rightarrow z \sqsubseteq y \rightarrow z \sqsubseteq l)$ 
begin

lemma binary-meet-exists':  $\exists l. \{x, y\} >>| l$ 
  using binary-meet-exists[of x y]
  unfolding is-glb-def is-lb-def
  by auto

lemma finite-meet-exists:
  assumes  $S \neq \{\}$ 
  and finite  $S$ 
  shows  $\exists x. S >>| x$ 
using  $\langle S \neq \{\} \rangle$ 
apply (induct rule: finite-induct[OF ⟨finite S⟩])
apply (erule noteE, rule refl)[1]
apply (case-tac  $F = \{\}$ )
apply (metis is-glb-singleton)
apply (metis Meet-insert binary-meet-exists')
done
end

definition meet :: 'a::cpo  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infix  $\sqcap$  80) where
   $x \sqcap y = (\text{if } \exists z. \{x, y\} >>| z \text{ then } glb \{x, y\} \text{ else } x)$ 

lemma meet-def':  $(x::'a::Finite-Meet-cpo) \sqcap y = glb \{x, y\}$ 
  unfolding meet-def by (metis binary-meet-exists')

lemma meet-comm:  $(x::'a::Finite-Meet-cpo) \sqcap y = y \sqcap x$  unfolding meet-def' by (metis insert-commute)

lemma meet-bot1[simp]:
  fixes y :: 'a :: {Finite-Meet-cpo,pcpo}
  shows  $(\perp \sqcap y) = \perp$  unfolding meet-def' by (metis minimal po-class.glb-bin)
lemma meet-bot2[simp]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {Finite-Meet-cpo,pcpo}
  shows  $(x \sqcap \perp) = \perp$  by (metis meet-bot1 meet-comm)

```

```

lemma meet-below1[intro]:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  assumes x ⊑ z
  shows (x ∩ y) ⊑ z unfolding meet-def' by (metis assms binary-meet-exists' below-trans
glb-eqI is-glbD1 is-lb-insert)
lemma meet-below2[intro]:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  assumes y ⊑ z
  shows (x ∩ y) ⊑ z unfolding meet-def' by (metis assms binary-meet-exists' below-trans
glb-eqI is-glbD1 is-lb-insert)

lemma meet-above-iff:
  fixes x y z :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  shows z ⊑ x ∩ y ↔ z ⊑ x ∧ z ⊑ y
proof-
  obtain g where {x,y} >>| g by (metis binary-meet-exists')
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding meet-def' by (simp add: glb-above)
qed

lemma below-meet[simp]:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  assumes x ⊑ z
  shows (x ∩ z) = x by (metis assms glb-bin meet-def')

lemma below-meet2[simp]:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  assumes z ⊑ x
  shows (x ∩ z) = z by (metis assms below-meet meet-comm)

lemma meet-aboveI:
  fixes x y z :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  shows z ⊑ x ⇒ z ⊑ y ⇒ z ⊑ x ∩ y by (simp add: meet-above-iff)

lemma is-meetI:
  fixes x y z :: 'a :: Finite-Meet-cpo
  assumes z ⊑ x
  assumes z ⊑ y
  assumes ⋀ a. [ a ⊑ x ; a ⊑ y ] ⇒ a ⊑ z
  shows x ∩ y = z
by (metis assms below-antisym meet-above-iff below-refl)

lemma meet-assoc[simp]: ((x::'a::Finite-Meet-cpo) ∩ y) ∩ z = x ∩ (y ∩ z)
apply (rule is-meetI)
apply (metis below-refl meet-above-iff)
apply (metis below-refl meet-below2)
apply (metis meet-above-iff)
done

```

```

lemma meet-self[simp]:  $r \sqcap r = (r :: 'a :: \text{Finite-Meet-cpo})$ 
  by (metis below-refl is-meetI)

lemma [simp]:  $(r :: 'a :: \text{Finite-Meet-cpo}) \sqcap (r \sqcap x) = r \sqcap x$ 
  by (metis below-refl is-meetI meet-below1)

lemma meet-monofun1:
  fixes  $y :: 'a :: \text{Finite-Meet-cpo}$ 
  shows monofun  $(\lambda x. (x \sqcap y))$ 
  by (rule monofunI)(auto simp add: meet-above-iff)

lemma chain-meet1:
  fixes  $y :: 'a :: \text{Finite-Meet-cpo}$ 
  assumes chain  $Y$ 
  shows chain  $(\lambda i. Y i \sqcap y)$ 
  by (rule chainI) (auto simp add: meet-above-iff intro: chainI chainE[OF assms])

class cont-binary-meet = Finite-Meet-cpo +
  assumes meet-cont': chain  $Y \implies (\bigsqcup i. Y i) \sqcap y = (\bigsqcup i. Y i \sqcap y)$ 

lemma meet-cont1:
  fixes  $y :: 'a :: \text{cont-binary-meet}$ 
  shows cont  $(\lambda x. (x \sqcap y))$ 
  by (rule contI2[OF meet-monofun1]) (simp add: meet-cont')

lemma meet-cont2:
  fixes  $x :: 'a :: \text{cont-binary-meet}$ 
  shows cont  $(\lambda y. (x \sqcap y))$  by (subst meet-comm, rule meet-cont1)

lemma meet-cont[cont2cont,simp]: cont  $f \implies$  cont  $g \implies$  cont  $(\lambda x. (f x \sqcap (g x :: 'a :: \text{cont-binary-meet})))$ 
  apply (rule cont2cont-case-prod[where  $g = \lambda x. (f x, g x)$  and  $f = \lambda p x y . x \sqcap y$ , simplified])
  apply (rule meet-cont1)
  apply (rule meet-cont2)
  apply (metis cont2cont-Pair)
  done

end

```

## 31 C-Meet.tex

```

theory C-Meet
imports C HOLCF-Meet
begin

instantiation C :: Finite-Meet-cpo begin
fixrec C-meet :: C → C → C

```

**where**  $C\text{-meet}\cdot(C\cdot a)\cdot(C\cdot b) = C\cdot(C\text{-meet}\cdot a\cdot b)$

**lemma**[simp]:  $C\text{-meet}\cdot\perp\cdot y = \perp$   $C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot\perp = \perp$  **by** (fixrec-simp, cases x, fixrec-simp+)

**instance**

**apply standard**

**proof**(intro exI conjI strip)

**fix**  $x$   $y$

  {

**fix**  $t$

**have**  $(t \sqsubseteq C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y) = (t \sqsubseteq x \wedge t \sqsubseteq y)$

**proof** (induct t rule:C.take-induct)

**fix**  $n$

**show**  $(C\text{-take } n\cdot t \sqsubseteq C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y) = (C\text{-take } n\cdot t \sqsubseteq x \wedge C\text{-take } n\cdot t \sqsubseteq y)$

**proof** (induct n arbitrary: t x y rule:nat-induct)

**case** 0 **thus** ?case **by** auto

**next**

**case** ( $Suc\ n\ t\ x\ y$ )

**with** C.nchotomy[of t] C.nchotomy[of x] C.nchotomy[of y]

**show** ?case **by** fastforce

**qed**

**qed** auto

  }

**note** \* = this

**show**  $C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y \sqsubseteq x$  **using** \* **by** auto

**show**  $C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y \sqsubseteq y$  **using** \* **by** auto

**fix**  $z$

**assume**  $z \sqsubseteq x$  **and**  $z \sqsubseteq y$

**thus**  $z \sqsubseteq C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y$  **using** \* **by** auto

**qed**

**end**

**lemma**  $C\text{-meet-is-meet}: (z \sqsubseteq C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y) = (z \sqsubseteq x \wedge z \sqsubseteq y)$

**proof** (induct z rule:C.take-induct)

**fix**  $n$

**show**  $(C\text{-take } n\cdot z \sqsubseteq C\text{-meet}\cdot x\cdot y) = (C\text{-take } n\cdot z \sqsubseteq x \wedge C\text{-take } n\cdot z \sqsubseteq y)$

**proof** (induct n arbitrary: z x y rule:nat-induct)

**case** 0 **thus** ?case **by** auto

**next**

**case** ( $Suc\ n\ z\ x\ y$ ) **thus** ?case

**apply** –

**apply** (cases z, simp)

**apply** (cases x, simp)

**apply** (cases y, simp)

**apply** (fastforce simp add: cfun-below-iff)

**done**

**qed**

**qed** auto

**instance**  $C :: cont\text{-binary-meet}$

```

proof (standard, goal-cases)
  have [simp]:  $\bigwedge x y. x \sqcap y = C\text{-meet}\cdot x \cdot y$ 
    using C-meet-is-meet
    by (blast intro: is-meetI)
  case 1 thus ?case
    by (simp add: ch2ch-Rep-cfunR contlub-cfun-arg contlub-cfun-fun)
  qed

lemma [simp]:  $C \cdot r \sqcap r = r$ 
  by (auto intro: is-meetI simp add: below-C)

lemma [simp]:  $r \sqcap C \cdot r = r$ 
  by (auto intro: is-meetI simp add: below-C)

lemma [simp]:  $C \cdot r \sqcap C \cdot r' = C \cdot (r \sqcap r')$ 
  apply (rule is-meetI)
  apply (metis below-refl meet-below1 monofun-cfun-arg)
  apply (metis below-refl meet-below2 monofun-cfun-arg)
  apply (case-tac a)
  apply auto
  by (metis meet-above-iff)

end

```

## 32 C-restr.tex

```

theory C-restr
imports C C-Meet HOLCF-Utils
begin

```

### 32.1 The demand of a *C*-function

The demand is the least amount of resources required to produce a non-bottom element, if at all.

```

definition demand ::  $(C \rightarrow 'a::pcpo) \Rightarrow C$  where
  demand f = (if f · C∞ ≠ ⊥ then C(LEAST n. f · Cn ≠ ⊥) else C∞)

```

Because of continuity, a non-bottom value can always be obtained with finite resources.

```

lemma finite-resources-suffice:
  assumes  $f \cdot C^\infty \neq \perp$ 
  obtains n where  $f \cdot C^n \neq \perp$ 
proof-
  {
    assume  $\forall n. f \cdot (C^n) = \perp$ 
    hence  $f \cdot C^\infty \sqsubseteq \perp$ 
    by (auto intro: lub-below[OF ch2ch-Rep-cfunR[OF chain-iterate]])
  }

```

```

simp add: Cinf-def fix-def2 contlub-cfun-arg[OF chain-iterate])
with assms have False by simp
}
thus ?thesis using that by blast
qed

```

Because of monotonicity, a non-bottom value can always be obtained with more resources.

**lemma** more-resources-suffice:

```

assumes f·r ≠ ⊥ and r ⊑ r'
shows f·r' ≠ ⊥
using assms(1) monofun-cfun-arg[OF assms(2), where f = f]
by auto

```

**lemma** infinite-resources-suffice:

```

shows f·r ≠ ⊥ ==> f·C∞ ≠ ⊥
by (erule more-resources-suffice[OF - below-Cinf])

```

**lemma** demand-suffices:

```

assumes f·C∞ ≠ ⊥
shows f·(demand f) ≠ ⊥
apply (simp add: assms demand-def)
apply (rule finite-resources-suffice[OF assms])
apply (rule LeastI)
apply assumption
done

```

**lemma** not-bot-demand:

```

f·r ≠ ⊥ <=> demand f ≠ C∞ ∧ demand f ⊑ r

```

**proof**(intro iffI)

```

assume f·r ≠ ⊥
thus demand f ≠ C∞ ∧ demand f ⊑ r
  apply (cases r rule:C-cases)
  apply (auto intro: Least-le simp add: demand-def dest: infinite-resources-suffice)
  done

```

**next**

```

assume *: demand f ≠ C∞ ∧ demand f ⊑ r
then have f·C∞ ≠ ⊥ by (auto intro: Least-le simp add: demand-def dest: infinite-resources-suffice)
hence f·(demand f) ≠ ⊥ by (rule demand-suffices)
moreover from * have demand f ⊑ r..
ultimately
show f·r ≠ ⊥ by (rule more-resources-suffice)
qed

```

**lemma** infinity-bot-demand:

```

f·C∞ = ⊥ <=> demand f = C∞
by (metis below-Cinf not-bot-demand)

```

**lemma** demand-suffices':

```

assumes demand f = C^n
shows f · (demand f) ≠ ⊥
by (metis C-eq-Cinf assms demand-suffices infinity-bot-demand)

lemma demand-Suc-Least:
assumes [simp]: f · ⊥ = ⊥
assumes demand f ≠ C^∞
shows demand f = C^(Suc (LEAST n. f · C^n ≠ ⊥))
proof-
from assms
have demand f = C^(LEAST n. f · C^n ≠ ⊥) by (auto simp add: demand-def)
also
then obtain n where f · C^n ≠ ⊥ by (metis demand-suffices')
hence (LEAST n. f · C^n ≠ ⊥) = Suc (LEAST n. f · C^n ≠ ⊥)
apply (rule Least-Suc) by simp
finally show ?thesis.
qed

lemma demand-C-case[simp]: demand (C-case · f) = C · (demand f)
proof(cases demand (C-case · f) = C^∞)
case True
then have C-case · C^∞ = ⊥
by (metis infinity-bot-demand)
with True
show ?thesis apply auto by (metis infinity-bot-demand)
next
case False
hence demand (C-case · f) = C^(Suc (LEAST n. (C-case · f) · C^n ≠ ⊥))
by (rule demand-Suc-Least[OF C.case-rews(1)])
also have ... = C · C^(LEAST n. f · C^n ≠ ⊥) by simp
also have ... = C · (demand f)
using False unfolding demand-def by auto
finally show ?thesis.
qed

lemma demand-contravariant:
assumes f ⊑ g
shows demand g ⊑ demand f
proof(cases demand f rule:C-cases)
fix n
assume demand f = C^n
hence f · (demand f) ≠ ⊥ by (metis demand-suffices')
also note monofun-cfun-fun[OF assms]
finally have g · (demand f) ≠ ⊥ by this (intro cont2cont)
thus demand g ⊑ demand f unfolding not-bot-demand by auto
qed auto

```

## 32.2 Restricting functions with domain C

**fixrec**  $C\text{-restr} :: C \rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a::pcpo) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a)$   
**where**  $C\text{-restr}\cdot r \cdot f \cdot r' = (f \cdot (r \sqcap r'))$

**abbreviation**  $C\text{-restr-syn} :: (C \rightarrow 'a::pcpo) \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a) \text{ ( |- [111,110] 110)}$   
**where**  $f|_r \equiv C\text{-restr}\cdot r \cdot f$

**lemma** [*simp*]:  $\perp|_r = \perp$  **by** *fixrec-simp*  
**lemma** [*simp*]:  $f \cdot \perp = \perp \implies f|_{\perp} = \perp$  **by** *fixrec-simp*

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-}C\text{-restr}[simp]$ :  $(v|_{r'})|_r = v|_{(r' \sqcap r)}$   
**by** (*rule cfun-eqI*) *simp*

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-}eqD$ :  
**assumes**  $f|_r = g|_r$   
**assumes**  $r' \sqsubseteq r$   
**shows**  $f \cdot r' = g \cdot r'$   
**by** (*metis C-restr.simps assms below-refl is-meetI*)

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-}eq-lower$ :  
**assumes**  $f|_r = g|_r$   
**assumes**  $r' \sqsubseteq r$   
**shows**  $f|_{r'} = g|_{r'}$   
**by** (*metis C-restr-}C-restr assms below-refl is-meetI*)

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-below}[intro, simp]$ :  
 $x|_r \sqsubseteq x$   
**apply** (*rule cfun-belowI*)  
**apply** *simp*  
**by** (*metis below-refl meet-below2 monofun-cfun-arg*)

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-below-cong}$ :  
 $(\bigwedge r'. r' \sqsubseteq r \implies f \cdot r' \sqsubseteq g \cdot r') \implies f|_r \sqsubseteq g|_r$   
**apply** (*rule cfun-belowI*)  
**apply** *simp*  
**by** (*metis below-refl meet-below1*)

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-cong}$ :  
 $(\bigwedge r'. r' \sqsubseteq r \implies f \cdot r' = g \cdot r') \implies f|_r = g|_r$   
**apply** (*intro below-antisym C-restr-below-cong*)  
**by** (*metis below-refl*)+

**lemma**  $C\text{-restr-}C\text{-cong}$ :  
 $(\bigwedge r'. r' \sqsubseteq r \implies f \cdot (C \cdot r') = g \cdot (C \cdot r')) \implies f \cdot \perp = g \cdot \perp \implies f|_{C \cdot r} = g|_{C \cdot r}$   
**apply** (*rule C-restr-cong*)  
**by** (*case-tac r', auto*)

```

lemma C-restr-C-case[simp]:
  (C-case·f)|C·r = C-case·(f|r)
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply simp
  apply (case-tac x)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  done

lemma C-restr-bot-demand:
  assumes C·r ⊑ demand f
  shows f|r = ⊥
  proof(rule cfun-eqI)
    fix r'
    have f·(r ⊓ r') = ⊥
    proof(rule classical)
      have r ⊑ C · r by (rule below-C)
      also
      note assms
      also
      assume *: f·(r ⊓ r') ≠ ⊥
      hence demand f ⊑ (r ⊓ r') unfolding not-bot-demand by auto
      hence demand f ⊑ r by (metis below-refl meet-below1 below-trans)
      finally (below-antisym) have r = demand f by this (intro cont2cont)
      with assms
      have demand f = C∞ by (cases demand f rule:C-cases) (auto simp add: iterate-Suc[symmetric]
      simp del: iterate-Suc)
      thus f·(r ⊓ r') = ⊥ by (metis not-bot-demand)
    qed
    thus (f|r)·r' = ⊥·r' by simp
  qed

```

### 32.3 Restricting maps of C-ranged functions

**definition** env-C-restr ::  $C \rightarrow ('var::type \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a::pcpo)) \rightarrow ('var \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a))$  **where**  
 $\text{env-C-restr} = (\Lambda r f. \text{cfun-comp}(\text{C-restr}\cdot r)\cdot f)$

**abbreviation** env-C-restr-syn ::  $('var::type \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a::pcpo)) \Rightarrow C \Rightarrow ('var \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow 'a))$  (  
 $-|^{\circ} [111,110] 110$ )  
**where**  $f|^{\circ} r \equiv \text{env-C-restr}\cdot r\cdot f$

**lemma** env-C-restr-upd[simp]:  $(\varrho(x := v))|^{\circ} r = (\varrho|^{\circ} r)(x := v|_r)$   
**unfolding** env-C-restr-def **by** simp

**lemma** env-C-restr-lookup[simp]:  $(\varrho|^{\circ} r) v = \varrho v|_r$   
**unfolding** env-C-restr-def **by** simp

**lemma** env-C-restr-bot[simp]:  $\perp|^{\circ} r = \perp$

```

unfolding env-C-restr-def by auto

lemma env-C-restr-restr-below[intro]:  $\varrho|^\circ r \sqsubseteq \varrho$ 
  by (auto intro: fun-belowI)

lemma env-C-restr-env-C-restr[simp]:  $(v|^\circ_{r'})|^\circ r = v|^\circ_{(r' \sqcap r)}$ 
  unfolding env-C-restr-def by auto

lemma env-C-restr-cong:
   $(\bigwedge x r'. r' \sqsubseteq r \implies f x \cdot r' = g x \cdot r') \implies f|^\circ r = g|^\circ r$ 
  unfolding env-C-restr-def
  by (rule ext) (auto intro: C-restr-cong)

end

```

### 33 ResourcedDenotational.tex

```

theory ResourcedDenotational
imports Abstract-Denotational-Props CValue-Nominal C-restr
begin

type-synonym CEnv = var  $\Rightarrow$  ( $C \rightarrow CValue$ )

interpretation semantic-domain
   $\Lambda f . \Lambda r. CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (f \cdot (v))|_r)$ 
   $\Lambda x y. (\Lambda r. (x \cdot r \downarrow CFn y|_r) \cdot r)$ 
   $\Lambda b r. CB \cdot b$ 
   $\Lambda scrut v1 v2 r. CB\text{-project} \cdot (scrut \cdot r) \cdot (v1 \cdot r) \cdot (v2 \cdot r)$ 
  C-case.

```

```

abbreviation ESem-syn'' ( $\mathcal{N}[-]_- [60, 60] 60$ ) where  $\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho \equiv ESem e \cdot \varrho$ 
abbreviation EvalHeapSem-syn'' ( $\mathcal{N}[-]_- [0, 0] 110$ ) where  $\mathcal{N}[\Gamma]_\varrho \equiv evalHeap \Gamma (\lambda e. \mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho)$ 
abbreviation HSem-syn' ( $\mathcal{N}\{\cdot\}_- [60, 60] 60$ ) where  $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}_\varrho \equiv HSem \Gamma \cdot \varrho$ 
abbreviation HSem-bot ( $\mathcal{N}\{\cdot\} [60] 60$ ) where  $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} \equiv \mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} \perp$ 

```

Here we re-state the simplification rules, cleaned up by beta-reducing the locale parameters.

```

lemma CESem-simps:
   $\mathcal{N}[\text{Lam } [x]. e]_\varrho = (\Lambda (C \cdot r). CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho(x := v))|_r))$ 
   $\mathcal{N}[\text{App } e x]_\varrho = (\Lambda (C \cdot r). ((\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho) \cdot r \downarrow CFn \varrho x|_r) \cdot r)$ 
   $\mathcal{N}[\text{Var } x]_\varrho = (\Lambda (C \cdot r). (\varrho x) \cdot r)$ 
   $\mathcal{N}[\text{Bool } b]_\varrho = (\Lambda (C \cdot r). CB \cdot (\text{Discr } b))$ 
   $\mathcal{N}[(\text{scrut } ? e_1 : e_2)]_\varrho = (\Lambda (C \cdot r). CB\text{-project} \cdot ((\mathcal{N}[\text{scrut}]_\varrho) \cdot r) \cdot ((\mathcal{N}[e_1]_\varrho) \cdot r) \cdot ((\mathcal{N}[e_2]_\varrho) \cdot r))$ 
   $\mathcal{N}[\text{Let as body}]_\varrho = (\Lambda (C \cdot r). (\mathcal{N}[\text{body}]_{\mathcal{N}\{\text{as}\}_\varrho}) \cdot r)$ 
  by (auto simp add: eta-cfun)

```

**lemma** *CESem-bot[simp]*: $(\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_\sigma) \cdot \perp = \perp$   
**by** (*nominal-induct e arbitrary: σ rule: exp-strong-induct*) *auto*

**lemma** *CHSem-bot[simp]*: $((\mathcal{N}[\Gamma]) x) \cdot \perp = \perp$   
**by** (*cases x ∈ domA Γ*) (*auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap lookup-HSem-other*)

Sometimes we do not care much about the resource usage and just want a simpler formula.

**lemma** *CESem-simps-no-tick*:  
 $(\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{Lam } [x]. e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho(x := v)})|_r)$   
 $(\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{App } e x ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq ((\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \downarrow CFn \varrho x|_r) \cdot r$   
 $\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{Var } x ]\!]_\varrho \sqsubseteq \varrho x$   
 $(\mathcal{N}[\![ (\text{scrut } ? e_1 : e_2) ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq CB\text{-project}\cdot((\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{scrut } ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r) \cdot ((\mathcal{N}[\![ e_1 ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r) \cdot ((\mathcal{N}[\![ e_2 ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r)$   
 $\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{Let as body } ]\!]_\varrho \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[\![ \text{body} ]\!]_\varrho \mathcal{N}[\![ \text{as } ]\!]_\varrho$   
**apply** –  
**apply** (*rule below-trans[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF below-C]], simp*)  
**apply** (*rule below-trans[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF below-C]], simp*)  
**apply** (*rule cfun-belowI, rule below-trans[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF below-C]], simp*)  
**apply** (*rule below-trans[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF below-C]], simp*)  
**apply** (*rule cfun-belowI, rule below-trans[OF monofun-cfun-arg[OF below-C]], simp*)  
**done**

**lemma** *CELam-no-restr*:  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{Lam } [x]. e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho(x := v)}))$

**proof** –  
**have**  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{Lam } [x]. e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho(x := v)})|_r)$  **by** (*rule CESem-simps-no-tick*)  
**also have** ...  $\sqsubseteq CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho(x := v)}))$   
**by** (*intro cont2cont monofun-LAM below-trans[OF C-restr-below] monofun-cfun-arg below-refl fun-upd-mono*)  
**finally show** ?thesis **by** this (*intro cont2cont*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *CEApp-no-restr*:  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{App } e x ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq ((\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \downarrow CFn \varrho x) \cdot r$

**proof** –  
**have**  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ \text{App } e x ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \sqsubseteq ((\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r \downarrow CFn \varrho x|_r) \cdot r$  **by** (*rule CESem-simps-no-tick*)  
**also have**  $\varrho x|_r \sqsubseteq \varrho x$  **by** (*rule C-restr-below*)  
**finally show** ?thesis **by** this (*intro cont2cont*)  
**qed**

**end**

## 34 CorrectnessResourced.tex

**theory** *CorrectnessResourced*  
**imports** *ResourceDenotational Launchbury*  
**begin**

**theorem correctness:**

```

assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
and  $fv(\Gamma, e) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma$ 
shows  $\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[z]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho}$  and  $(\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho) f|` domA \Gamma \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho) f|` domA \Gamma$ 
using assms

proof(nominal-induct arbitrary:  $\varrho$  rule:reds.strong-induct)
case Lambda
  case 1 show ?case..
  case 2 show ?case..

next
case (Application  $y \Gamma e x L \Delta \Theta z e'$ )
  have Gamma-subset:  $domA \Gamma \subseteq domA \Delta$ 
  by (rule reds-doesnt-forget[OF Application.hyps(8)])

case 1
  hence prem1:  $fv(\Gamma, e) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Gamma$  and  $x \in set L \cup domA \Gamma$  by auto
  moreover
    note reds-pres-closed[OF Application.hyps(8) prem1]
  moreover
    note reds-doesnt-forget[OF Application.hyps(8)]
  moreover
    have  $fv(e'[y:=x]) \subseteq fv(Lam[y]. e') \cup \{x\}$ 
    by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)
  ultimately
    have prem2:  $fv(\Delta, e'[y:=x]) \subseteq set L \cup domA \Delta$  by auto

have *:  $(\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho) x$ 
proof(cases  $x \in domA \Gamma$ )
  case True
    thus ?thesis
      using fun-belowD[OF Application.hyps(10)[OF prem1], where  $\varrho_1 = \varrho$  and  $x = x$ ]
      by simp
  next
    case False
    from False  $\langle x \in set L \cup domA \Gamma \rangle$  reds-avoids-live[OF Application.hyps(8)]
    show ?thesis by (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
  qed

{
  fix r
  have  $(\mathcal{N}[App e x]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \cdot r \sqsubseteq ((\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \cdot r \downarrow CFn(\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x) \cdot r$ 
  by (rule CEAapp-no-restr)
  also have  $((\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho})) \sqsubseteq ((\mathcal{N}[Lam[y]. e']_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho}))$ 
  using Application.hyps(9)[OF prem1].
  also note  $\langle (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho) x \rangle \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho) x$ 
  also have  $(\mathcal{N}[Lam[y]. e']_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho}) \cdot r \sqsubseteq (CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[e']_{(\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho)(y := v)})))$ 
  by (rule CELam-no-restr)
  also have  $CFn \cdot (\Lambda v. (\mathcal{N}[e']_{(\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho)(y := v)})) \downarrow CFn((\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho) x) = (\mathcal{N}[e']_{(\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho)(y := (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho) x)})$ 

```

```

by simp
also have ... = ( $\mathcal{N}[\![ e[y ::= x] ]\!]_{(\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho)})$ 
  unfolding ESem-subst..
also have ...  $\sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[\![ z ]\!]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Theta\}\varrho}$ 
  using Application.hyps(12)[OF prem2].
finally
have ( $\mathcal{N}[\![ App\ e\ x ]\!]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho}) \cdot r \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}[\![ z ]\!]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Theta\}\varrho}) \cdot r$  by this (intro cont2cont) +
}
thus ?case by (rule cfun-belowI)

show ( $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho$ )  $f|` (domA \Gamma) \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Theta\}\varrho)$   $f|` (domA \Gamma)$ 
  using Application.hyps(10)[OF prem1]
    env-restr-below-subset[OF Gamma-subset Application.hyps(13)[OF prem2]]
  by (rule below-trans)
next
case (Variable  $\Gamma\ e\ L\ \Delta\ z$ )
  hence [simp]: $x \in domA \Gamma$ 
    by (metis domA-from-set map-of-SomeD)

case 2
have  $x \notin domA \Delta$ 
  by (rule reds-avoids-live[OF Variable.hyps(2)], simp-all)

have subset:  $domA (delete\ x\ \Gamma) \subseteq domA \Delta$ 
  by (rule reds-doesnt-forget[OF Variable.hyps(2)])

let ?new =  $domA \Delta - domA \Gamma$ 
have fv (delete  $x \Gamma, e$ )  $\cup \{x\} \subseteq fv (\Gamma, Var\ x)$ 
  by (rule fv-delete-heap[OF `map-of \Gamma x = Some e`])
hence prem:  $fv (delete\ x\ \Gamma, e) \subseteq set (x \# L) \cup domA (delete\ x\ \Gamma)$  using 2 by auto
hence fv-subset:  $fv (delete\ x\ \Gamma, e) - domA (delete\ x\ \Gamma) \subseteq - ?new$ 
  using reds-avoids-live'[OF Variable.hyps(2)] by auto

have  $domA \Gamma \subseteq (- ?new)$  by auto

have  $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho = \mathcal{N}\{(x,e) \# delete\ x\ \Gamma\}\varrho$ 
  by (rule HSem-reorder[OF map-of-delete-insert[symmetric, OF Variable(1)]]))
also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho' ++ (domA (delete x \Gamma))) (\mathcal{N}\{delete x \Gamma\}\varrho')) (x := \mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho'})$ 
  by (rule iterative-HSem, simp)
also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho' ++ (domA (delete x \Gamma))) (\mathcal{N}\{delete x \Gamma\}\varrho')) (x := \mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\mathcal{N}\{delete x \Gamma\}\varrho'})$ 
  by (rule iterative-HSem', simp)
finally
have ( $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho$ )  $f|` (- ?new) \sqsubseteq (...) f|` (- ?new)$  by (rule ssubst) (rule below-refl)
also have ...  $\sqsubseteq (\mu \varrho'. (\varrho' ++ domA \Delta (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho')) (x := \mathcal{N}[\![ z ]\!]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho'})) f|` (- ?new)$ 

```

```

proof (induction rule: parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x y. xf|' (- ?new) \sqsubseteq yf|' (- ?new)]$ )
  case 1 show ?case by simp
next
  case 2 show ?case ..
next
  case ( $\beta \sigma \sigma'$ )
  hence  $\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}\sigma} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}\sigma'}$ 
    and  $(\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}\sigma)f|' \text{domA}(\text{delete } x \Gamma) \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}\sigma')f|' \text{domA}(\text{delete } x \Gamma)$ 
    using fv-subset by (auto intro: ESem-fresh-cong-below HSem-fresh-cong-below env-restr-below-subset[OF - 3])
    from below-trans[OF this(1) Variable(3)[OF prem]] below-trans[OF this(2) Variable(4)[OF prem]]
    have  $\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}\sigma} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[z]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\sigma'}$ 
      and  $(\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}\sigma)f|' \text{domA}(\text{delete } x \Gamma) \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\sigma')f|' \text{domA}(\text{delete } x \Gamma).$ 
    thus ?case
      using subset
        by (auto intro!: fun-belowI simp add: lookup-override-on-eq lookup-env-restr-eq elim: env-restr-belowD)
    qed
  also have ... =  $(\mu \varrho'. (\varrho ++_{\text{domA}} \Delta (\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}\varrho'))(x := \mathcal{N}[z]_{\varrho'}))f|' (- ?new)$ 
    by (rule arg-cong[OF iterative-HSem[symmetric], OF `x ∉ domA Δ`])
  also have ... =  $(\mathcal{N}\{(x,z) \# \Delta\}\varrho)f|' (- ?new)$ 
    by (rule arg-cong[OF iterative-HSem[symmetric], OF `x ∉ domA Δ`])
  finally
  show le: ?case by (rule env-restr-below-subset[OF `domA Γ ⊆ (- ?new)`]) (intro cont2cont) +
    have  $\mathcal{N}[Var x]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho} \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho)x$  by (rule CESem-simps-no-tick)
    also have ... ⊑  $(\mathcal{N}\{(x,z) \# \Delta\}\varrho)x$ 
      using fun-belowD[OF le, where  $x = x$ ] by simp
    also have ... =  $\mathcal{N}[z]_{\mathcal{N}\{(x,z) \# \Delta\}\varrho}$ 
      by (simp add: lookup-HSem-heap)
    finally
    show  $\mathcal{N}[Var x]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}\varrho} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[z]_{\mathcal{N}\{(x,z) \# \Delta\}\varrho}$  by this (intro cont2cont) +
  next
  case (Bool b)
  case 1
  show ?case by simp
  case 2
  show ?case by simp
next
case (IfThenElse  $\Gamma$  scrut L Δ b e1 e2 Θ z)
  have Gamma-subset:  $\text{domA } \Gamma \subseteq \text{domA } \Delta$ 
    by (rule reds-doesnt-forget[OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)])
  let ?e = if b then e1 else e2
  case 1
  thm new-free-vars-on-heap[OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)]

```

```

hence prem1: fv (Γ, scrut) ⊆ set L ∪ domA Γ
  and prem2: fv (Δ, ?e) ⊆ set L ∪ domA Δ
  and fv ?e ⊆ domA Γ ∪ set L
  using new-free-vars-on-heap[OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)] Gamma-subset by auto

{
fix r
have (N[ (scrut ? e1 : e2) ]N{Γ}ρ).r ⊑ CB-project·((N[ scrut ]N{Γ}ρ).r)·((N[ e1 ]N{Γ}ρ).r)·((N[ e2 ]N{Γ}ρ).r)
  by (rule CESem-simps-no-tick)
also have ... ⊑ CB-project·((N[ Bool b ]N{Δ}ρ).r)·((N[ e1 ]N{Γ}ρ).r)·((N[ e2 ]N{Γ}ρ).r)
  by (intro monofun-cfun-fun monofun-cfun-arg IfThenElse.hyps(2)[OF prem1])
also have ... = (N[ ?e ]N{Γ}ρ).r by (cases r) simp-all
also have ... ⊑ (N[ ?e ]N{Δ}ρ).r
  proof(rule monofun-cfun-fun[OF ESem-fresh-cong-below-subset[OF <fv ?e ⊆ domA Γ ∪ set L, Env.env-restr-belowI]])]
    fix x
    assume x ∈ domA Γ ∪ set L
    thus (N{Γ}ρ) x ⊑ (N{Δ}ρ) x
    proof(cases x ∈ domA Γ)
      assume x ∈ domA Γ
      from IfThenElse.hyps(3)[OF prem1]
      have ((N{Γ}ρ) f|` domA Γ) x ⊑ ((N{Δ}ρ) f|` domA Γ) x by (rule fun-belowD)
      with <x ∈ domA Γ> show ?thesis by simp
    next
      assume x ∉ domA Γ
      from this <x ∈ domA Γ ∪ set L> reds-avoids-live[OF IfThenElse.hyps(1)]
      show ?thesis
        by (simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
    qed
  qed
  also have ... ⊑ (N[ z ]N{Θ}ρ).r
    by (intro monofun-cfun-fun monofun-cfun-arg IfThenElse.hyps(5)[OF prem2])
  finally
  have (N[ (scrut ? e1 : e2) ]N{Γ}ρ).r ⊑ (N[ z ]N{Θ}ρ).r by this (intro cont2cont)+ }
  thus ?case by (rule cfun-belowI)

show (N{Γ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ) ⊑ (N{Θ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ)
  using IfThenElse.hyps(3)[OF prem1]
  env-restr-below-subset[OF Gamma-subset IfThenElse.hyps(6)[OF prem2]]
  by (rule below-trans)
next
case (Let as Γ L body Δ z)
  case 1
  have *: domA as ∩ domA Γ = {} by (metis Let.hyps(1) fresh-distinct)

```

```

have fv (as @ Γ, body) – domA (as @ Γ) ⊆ fv (Γ, Let as body) – domA Γ
  by auto
with 1 have prem: fv (as @ Γ, body) ⊆ set L ∪ domA (as @ Γ) by auto

have f1: atom ` domA as #* Γ
  using Let(1) by (simp add: set.bn-to-atom-domA)

have N[ Let as body ]N{Γ}ρ ⊑ N[ body ]N{as}N{Γ}ρ
  by (rule CESem-simps-no-tick)
also have ... = N[ body ]N{as @ Γ}ρ
  by (rule arg-cong[OF HSem-merge[OF f1]])
also have ... ⊑ N[ z ]N{Δ}ρ
  by (rule Let.hyps(4)[OF prem])
finally
show ?case by this (intro cont2cont)+

have (N{Γ}ρ) f|` (domA Γ) = (N{as}(N{Γ}ρ)) f|` (domA Γ)
  unfolding env-restr-HSem[OF *]..
also have N{as}(N{Γ}ρ) = (N{as @ Γ}ρ)
  by (rule HSem-merge[OF f1])
also have ... f|` domA Γ ⊑ (N{Δ}ρ) f|` domA Γ
  by (rule env-restr-below-subset[OF - Let.hyps(5)[OF prem]]) simp
finally
show (N{Γ}ρ) f|` domA Γ ⊑ (N{Δ}ρ) f|` domA Γ.
qed

```

**corollary correctness-empty-env:**  
**assumes**  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$   
**and**  $f v (\Gamma, e) \subseteq \text{set } L$   
**shows**  $N[e]_{N\{\Gamma\}} \sqsubseteq N[z]_{N\{\Delta\}}$  **and**  $N\{\Gamma\} \sqsubseteq N\{\Delta\}$   
**proof –**

from assms(2) have  $f v (\Gamma, e) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$  by auto  
note corr = correctness[OF assms(1) this, where  $\varrho = \perp$ ]

show  $N[e]_{N\{\Gamma\}} \sqsubseteq N[z]_{N\{\Delta\}}$  using corr(1).

have  $N\{\Gamma\} = (N\{\Gamma\}) f|` \text{domA } \Gamma$   
 using env-restr-useless[OF HSem-edom-subset, where  $\varrho 1 = \perp$ ] by simp  
also have ... ⊑ (N{Δ}) f|` domA Γ using corr(2).  
also have ... ⊑ N{Δ} by (rule env-restr-below-itself)  
finally show  $N\{\Gamma\} \sqsubseteq N\{\Delta\}$  by this (intro cont2cont)+  
qed

end

## 35 ResourcedAdequacy.tex

```

theory ResourcedAdequacy
imports ResourcedDenotational Launchbury ALList-Utils CorrectnessResourced
begin

lemma demand-not-0: demand ( $\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho$ )  $\neq \perp$ 
proof
  assume demand ( $\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho$ ) =  $\perp$ 
  with demand-suffices [where  $n = 0$ , simplified, OF this]
  have ( $\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho$ ) $\cdot\perp \neq \perp$  by simp
  thus False by simp
qed

```

The semantics of an expression, given only  $r$  resources, will only use values from the environment with less resources.

```

lemma restr-can-restrict-env:  $(\mathcal{N}[e]_\varrho)|_{C.r} = (\mathcal{N}[e]_{\varrho|^\circ r})|_{C.r}$ 
proof(induction e arbitrary:  $\varrho r$  rule: exp-induct)
  case (Var x)
  show ?case
  proof(rule C-restr-C-cong)
    fix  $r'$ 
    assume  $r' \sqsubseteq r$ 
    have  $(\mathcal{N}[Var x]_\varrho) \cdot (C.r') = \varrho x \cdot r'$  by simp
    also have ... =  $((\varrho x)|_r) \cdot r'$  using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq r \rangle$  by simp
    also have ... =  $(\mathcal{N}[Var x]_{\varrho|^\circ r}) \cdot (C.r')$  by simp
    finally show  $(\mathcal{N}[Var x]_\varrho) \cdot (C.r') = (\mathcal{N}[Var x]_{\varrho|^\circ r}) \cdot (C.r')$ .
  qed simp
  next
  case (Lam x e)
  show ?case
  proof(rule C-restr-C-cong)
    fix  $r'$ 
    assume  $r' \sqsubseteq r$ 
    hence  $r' \sqsubseteq C.r$  by (metis below-C below-trans)
    {
      fix v
      have  $\varrho(x := v)|^\circ r = (\varrho|^\circ r)(x := v)|^\circ r$ 
        by simp
      hence  $(\mathcal{N}[e]_{\varrho(x := v)})|_{r'} = (\mathcal{N}[e]_{(\varrho|^\circ r)(x := v)})|_{r'}$ 
        by (subst (1 2) C-restr-eq-lower[OF Lam  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq C.r \rangle$ ]) simp
    }
    thus  $(\mathcal{N}[Lam[x].e]_\varrho) \cdot (C.r') = (\mathcal{N}[Lam[x].e]_{\varrho|^\circ r}) \cdot (C.r')$ 
      by simp
  qed simp
  next
  case (App e x)
  show ?case

```

```

proof (rule C-restr-C-cong)
  fix  $r'$ 
  assume  $r' \sqsubseteq r$ 
  hence  $r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r$  by (metis below-C below-trans)
  hence  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r' = (\mathcal{N}[\![ e ]\!]_{\varrho|^\circ_r}) \cdot r'$ 
    by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF App])
  thus  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ App\ e\ x ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot (C \cdot r') = (\mathcal{N}[\![ App\ e\ x ]\!]_{\varrho|^\circ_r}) \cdot (C \cdot r')$ 
    using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq r \rangle$  by simp
qed simp
next
  case (Bool b)
  show ?case by simp
next
  case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)
  show ?case
  proof (rule C-restr-C-cong)
    fix  $r'$ 
    assume  $r' \sqsubseteq r$ 
    hence  $r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r$  by (metis below-C below-trans)
      have  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ scrut ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r' = (\mathcal{N}[\![ scrut ]\!]_{\varrho|^\circ_r}) \cdot r'$ 
        using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r \rangle$  by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF IfThenElse(1)])
      moreover
        have  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ e_1 ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r' = (\mathcal{N}[\![ e_1 ]\!]_{\varrho|^\circ_r}) \cdot r'$ 
          using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r \rangle$  by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF IfThenElse(2)])
      moreover
        have  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ e_2 ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot r' = (\mathcal{N}[\![ e_2 ]\!]_{\varrho|^\circ_r}) \cdot r'$ 
          using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r \rangle$  by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF IfThenElse(3)])
      ultimately
        show  $(\mathcal{N}[\![ (\text{scrut } ?\ e_1 : e_2) ]\!]_\varrho) \cdot (C \cdot r') = (\mathcal{N}[\![ (\text{scrut } ?\ e_1 : e_2) ]\!]_{\varrho|^\circ_r}) \cdot (C \cdot r')$ 
          using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq r \rangle$  by simp
qed simp
next
  case (Let  $\Gamma$  e)

```

The lemma, lifted to heaps

```

have restr-can-restrict-env-heap :  $\bigwedge r. (\mathcal{N}\{\!\Gamma\!\}_\varrho)|^\circ r = (\mathcal{N}\{\!\Gamma\!\}_\varrho|^\circ r)|^\circ r$ 
proof (rule has-ESem.parallel-HSem-ind)
  fix  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 :: CEnv$  and  $r :: C$ 
  assume  $\varrho_1|^\circ r = \varrho_2|^\circ r$ 
  show  $(\varrho ++_{domA \Gamma} \mathcal{N}[\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho_1})|^\circ r = (\varrho|^\circ r ++_{domA \Gamma} \mathcal{N}[\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho_2})|^\circ r$ 
  proof (rule env-C-restr-cong)
    fix  $x$  and  $r'$ 
    assume  $r' \sqsubseteq r$ 
    hence  $r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r$  by (metis below-C below-trans)
    show  $(\varrho ++_{domA \Gamma} \mathcal{N}[\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho_1}) x \cdot r' = (\varrho|^\circ r ++_{domA \Gamma} \mathcal{N}[\![ \Gamma ]\!]_{\varrho_2}) x \cdot r'$ 

```

```

proof(cases  $x \in \text{dom}A \Gamma$ )
  case True
    have  $(\mathcal{N}[\text{the (map-of } \Gamma x)]_{\varrho_1}) \cdot r' = (\mathcal{N}[\text{the (map-of } \Gamma x)]_{\varrho_1|^\circ r}) \cdot r'$ 
      by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF Let(1)[OF True]  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r \rangle$ ])
    also have  $\dots = (\mathcal{N}[\text{the (map-of } \Gamma x)]_{\varrho_2|^\circ r}) \cdot r'$ 
      unfolding  $\langle \varrho_1 |^\circ r = \varrho_2 |^\circ r \rangle ..$ 
    also have  $\dots = (\mathcal{N}[\text{the (map-of } \Gamma x)]_{\varrho_2}) \cdot r'$ 
      by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF Let(1)[OF True]  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r \rangle$ , symmetric])
    finally
      show ?thesis using True by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap)
  next
    case False
    with  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq r \rangle$ 
    show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
qed simp-all

show ?case
proof (rule C-restr-C-cong)
  fix  $r'$ 
  assume  $r' \sqsubseteq r$ 
  hence  $r' \sqsubseteq C \cdot r$  by (metis below-C below-trans)

  have  $(\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}_{\varrho})|^\circ r = (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}(\varrho|^\circ r))|^\circ r$ 
    by (rule restr-can-restrict-env-heap)
  hence  $(\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}_{\varrho}}) \cdot r' = (\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}_{\varrho|^\circ r}}) \cdot r'$ 
    by (subst (1 2) C-restr-eqD[OF Let(2) ⟨r' ⊑ C · r⟩]) simp

  thus  $(\mathcal{N}[\text{Let } \Gamma e]_{\varrho}) \cdot (C \cdot r') = (\mathcal{N}[\text{Let } \Gamma e]_{\varrho|^\circ r}) \cdot (C \cdot r')$ 
    using  $\langle r' \sqsubseteq r \rangle$  by simp
  qed simp
qed

```

**lemma** *can-restrict-env*:

```

 $(\mathcal{N}[e]_{\varrho}) \cdot (C \cdot r) = (\mathcal{N}[e]_{\varrho|^\circ r}) \cdot (C \cdot r)$ 
by (rule C-restr-eqD[OF restr-can-restrict-env below-refl])

```

When an expression  $e$  terminates, then we can remove such an expression from the heap and it still terminates. This is the crucial trick to handle black-holing in the resourced semantics.

**lemma** *add-BH*:

```

assumes map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ 
assumes  $(\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}}) \cdot r' \neq \perp$ 
shows  $(\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}}) \cdot r' \neq \perp$ 
proof -
  obtain  $r$  where  $r: C \cdot r = \text{demand } (\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}})$ 

```

```

using demand-not-0 by (cases demand (N[e] N{Γ})) auto

from assms(2)
have C·r ⊑ r' unfolding r not-bot-demand by simp

from assms(1)
have [simp]: the (map-of Γ x) = e by (metis option.sel)

from assms(1)
have [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (metis domIff dom-map-of-conv-domA not-Some-eq)

def ub ≡ N{Γ} — An upper bound for the induction

have heaps: (N{Γ})|°r ⊑ N{delete x Γ} and N{Γ} ⊑ ub
proof (induction rule: HSem-bot-ind)
  fix ρ
  assume ρ|°r ⊑ N{delete x Γ}
  assume ρ ⊑ ub

  show (N[Γ] ρ)|°r ⊑ N{delete x Γ}
  proof (rule fun-belowI)
    fix y
    show ((N[Γ] ρ)|°r) y ⊑ (N{delete x Γ}) y
    proof (cases y = x)
      case True
      have ((N[Γ] ρ)|°r) x = (N[e] ρ)|r
        by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap)
      also have ... ⊑ (N[e] ub)|r
        using ⟨ρ ⊑ ub⟩ by (intro monofun-cfun-arg)
      also have ... = (N[e] N{Γ})|r
        unfolding ub-def..
      also have ... = ⊥
        using r by (rule C-restr-bot-demand[OF eq-imp-below])
      also have ... = (N{delete x Γ}) x
        by (simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
      finally
      show ?thesis unfolding True.
    next
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases y ∈ domA Γ)
      case True
      have (N[the (map-of Γ y)] ρ)|r = (N[the (map-of Γ y)] ρ|°r)|r
        by (rule C-restr-eq-lower[OF restr-can-restrict-env below-C])
      also have ... ⊑ N[the (map-of Γ y)] ρ|°r
        by (rule C-restr-below)
      also note ⟨ρ|°r ⊑ N{delete x Γ}⟩
      finally
      show ?thesis
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

using ⟨y ∈ domA Γ⟩ ⟨y ≠ x⟩
by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap lookup-HSem-heap)
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed

from ⟨ρ ⊑ ub⟩
have (N[Γ]_ρ) ⊑ (N[Γ]_ub)
  by (rule cont2monofunE[rotated]) simp
also have ... = ub
  unfolding ub-def HSem-bot-eq[symmetric]..
finally
show (N[Γ]_ρ) ⊑ ub.
qed simp-all

from assms(2)
have (N[e]_{N{Γ}}) · (C · r) ≠ ⊥
  unfolding r
  by (rule demand-suffices[OF infinite-resources-sufficient])
also
have (N[e]_{N{Γ}}) · (C · r) = (N[e]_{(N{Γ})|_r}) · (C · r)
  by (rule can-restrict-env)
also
have ... ⊑ (N[e]_{N{delete x Γ}}) · (C · r)
  by (intro monofun-cfun-arg monofun-cfun-fun_heaps )
also
have ... ⊑ (N[e]_{N{delete x Γ}}) · r'
  using ⟨C · r ⊑ r'⟩ by (rule monofun-cfun-arg)
finally
show ?thesis by this (intro cont2cont) +
qed

```

The semantics is continuous, so we can apply induction here:

```

lemma resourced-adequacy:
assumes (N[e]_{N{Γ}}) · r ≠ ⊥
shows ∃ Δ v. Γ : e ↓_S Δ : v
using assms
proof(induction r arbitrary: Γ e S rule: C.induct[case-names adm bot step])
  case adm show ?case by simp
next
  case bot
  hence False by auto
  thus ?case..
next
  case (step r)

```

```

show ?case
proof(cases e rule:exp-strong-exhaust(1)[where c = ( $\Gamma, S$ ), case-names Var App Let Lam Bool IfThenElse])
case (Var x)
let ?e = the (map-of  $\Gamma$  x)
from step.prems[unfolded Var]
have  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
by (auto intro: ccontr simp add: lookup-HSem-other)
hence map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some ?e by (rule domA-map-of-Some-the)
moreover
from step.prems[unfolded Var] ⟨map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some ?e⟩ ⟨x ∈ domA  $\Gamma$ ⟩
have  $(\mathcal{N}[?e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}}) \cdot r \neq \perp$  by (auto simp add: lookup-HSem-heap simp del: app-strict)
hence  $(\mathcal{N}[?e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\text{delete } x \Gamma\}}) \cdot r \neq \perp$  by (rule add-BH[OF ⟨map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some ?e⟩])
from step.IH[OF this]
obtain  $\Delta v$  where delete  $x \Gamma : ?e \Downarrow_x \# S \Delta : v$  by blast
ultimately
have  $\Gamma : (\text{Var } x) \Downarrow_S (x, v) \# \Delta : v$  by (rule Variable)
thus ?thesis using Var by auto
next
case (App e' x)
have finite (set  $S \cup \text{fv}(\Gamma, e')$ ) by simp
from finite-list[OF this]
obtain  $S'$  where  $S' : \text{set } S \cup \text{fv}(\Gamma, e')$ ..

from step.prems[unfolded App]
have prem:  $((\mathcal{N}[e']_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}}) \cdot r \downarrow \text{CFn } (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}) x|_r) \cdot r \neq \perp$  by (auto simp del: app-strict)
hence  $(\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}}) \cdot r \neq \perp$  by auto
from step.IH[OF this]
obtain  $\Delta v$  where lhs':  $\Gamma : e' \Downarrow_{S'} \Delta : v$  by blast

have  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, e') \subseteq \text{set } S'$  using  $S'$  by auto
from correctness-empty-env[OF lhs' this]
have correct1:  $\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}[v]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}}$  and  $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}$  by auto

from prem
have  $((\mathcal{N}[v]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}}) \cdot r \downarrow \text{CFn } (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}) x|_r) \cdot r \neq \perp$ 
by (rule not-bot-below-trans)(intro correct1 monofun-cfun-fun monofun-cfun-arg)
with result-evaluated[OF lhs']
have isLam v by (cases r, auto, cases v rule: isVal.cases, auto)
then obtain y e'' where n':  $v = (\text{Lam } [y]. e'')$  by (rule isLam-obtain-fresh)
with lhs'
have lhs:  $\Gamma : e' \Downarrow_{S'} \Delta : \text{Lam } [y]. e''$  by simp

have  $((\mathcal{N}[v]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}}) \cdot r \downarrow \text{CFn } (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}) x|_r) \cdot r \neq \perp$  by fact
also have  $(\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}) x|_r \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}) x$  by (rule C-restr-below)
also note ⟨v = -⟩
also note ⟨ $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}$ ⟩
also have  $(\mathcal{N}[\text{Lam } [y]. e'']_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}}) \cdot r \sqsubseteq \text{CFn} \cdot (\Lambda v. \mathcal{N}[e'']_{(\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\})(y := v)})$ 

```

```

    by (rule CELam-no-restr)
also have (... ↓CFn (N{Δ}) x)·r = (N[e']_{(N{Δ})(y := ((N{Δ}) x))}·r by simp
also have ... = (N[e''[y:=x]]_{N{Δ}})·r
  unfolding ESem-subst..
finally
have ... ≠ ⊥ by this (intro cont2cont cont-fun)+
then
obtain Θ v' where rhs: Δ : e''[y:=x] ↓S' Θ : v' using step.IH by blast

have Γ : App e' x ↓S' Θ : v'
  by (rule reds-ApplicationI[OF lhs rhs])
hence Γ : App e' x ↓S Θ : v'
  apply (rule reds-smaller-L) using S' by auto
thus ?thesis using App by auto
next
case (Lam v e')
  have Γ : Lam [v]. e' ↓S Γ : Lam [v]. e' ..
  thus ?thesis using Lam by blast
next
case (Bool b)
  have Γ : Bool b ↓S Γ : Bool b by rule
  thus ?thesis using Bool by blast
next
case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)

from step.premis[unfolded IfThenElse]
have prem: CB-project·((N[scrut]_{N{Γ}})·r)·((N[e1]_{N{Γ}})·r)·((N[e2]_{N{Γ}})·r) ≠ ⊥
by (auto simp del: app-strict)
then obtain b where
  is-CB: (N[scrut]_{N{Γ}})·r = CB·(Discr b)
  and not-bot2: ((N[(if b then e1 else e2)]_{N{Γ}})·r) ≠ ⊥
  unfolding CB-project-not-bot by (auto split: if-splits)

have finite (set S ∪ fv (Γ, scrut)) by simp
from finite-list[OF this]
obtain S' where S': set S' = set S ∪ fv (Γ, scrut)..
```

from is-CB have (N[scrut]\_{N{Γ}})·r ≠ ⊥ by simp

from step.IH[OF this]

obtain Δ v where lhs': Γ : scrut ↓S' Δ : v by blast

then have isVal v by (rule result-evaluated)

have fv (Γ, scrut) ⊆ set S' using S' by simp

from correctness-empty-env[OF lhs' this]

have correct1: N[scrut]\_{N{Γ}} ⊑ N[v]\_{N{Δ}} and correct2: N{Γ} ⊑ N{Δ} by auto

from correct1

```

have ( $\mathcal{N}[\cdot \text{ scrut } \cdot]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}} \cdot r \sqsubseteq (\mathcal{N}[v]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}} \cdot r)$  by (rule monofun-cfun-fun))
with is-CB
have ( $\mathcal{N}[v]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}} \cdot r = CB \cdot (\text{Discr } b)$ ) by simp
with isVal v
have  $v = \text{Bool } b$  by (cases v rule: isVal.cases) (case-tac r, auto)+

from not-bot2  $\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}$ 
have ( $\mathcal{N}[(\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2)]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}} \cdot r \neq \perp$ 
      by (rule not-bot-below-trans[OF - monofun-cfun-fun[OF monofun-cfun-arg]])
from step.IH[OF this]
obtain  $\Theta v'$  where rhs:  $\Delta : (\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2) \Downarrow_{S'} \Theta : v'$  by blast

from lhs'[unfolded v = -] rhs
have  $\Gamma : (\text{scrut } ? e_1 : e_2) \Downarrow_{S'} \Theta : v'$  by rule
hence  $\Gamma : (\text{scrut } ? e_1 : e_2) \Downarrow_S \Theta : v'$ 
      apply (rule reds-smaller-L) using S' by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding IfThenElse by blast
next
case (Let  $\Delta e'$ )
  from step.prem[unfolded Let(2)]
  have prem: ( $\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\}} \mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} \cdot r \neq \perp$ 
             by (simp del: app-strict))
  also
    have atom `domA  $\Delta \#* \Gamma$  using Let(1) by (simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
    hence  $\mathcal{N}\{\Delta\} \mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\} = \mathcal{N}\{\Delta @ \Gamma\}$  by (rule HSem-merge)
  finally
    have ( $\mathcal{N}[e]_{\mathcal{N}\{\Delta @ \Gamma\}} \cdot r \neq \perp$ .
  then
    obtain  $\Theta v$  where  $\Delta @ \Gamma : e' \Downarrow_S \Theta : v$  using step.IH by blast
    hence  $\Gamma : \text{Let } \Delta e' \Downarrow_S \Theta : v$ 
          by (rule reds.Let[OF Let(1)])
    thus ?thesis using Let by auto
qed
qed

end

```

## 36 ValueSimilarity.tex

```

theory ValueSimilarity
imports Value CValue Pointwise
begin

```

This theory formalizes Section 3 of [SGHHOM11]. Their domain  $D$  is our type  $Value$ , their domain  $E$  is our type  $CValue$  and  $A$  corresponds to  $C \rightarrow CValue$ .

In our case, the construction of the domains was taken care of by the HOLCF package

([Huf12]), so where [SGHHOM11] refers to elements of the domain approximations  $D_n$  resp.  $E_n$ , these are just elements of *Value* resp. *CValue* here. Therefore the  $n$ -*injection*  $\phi_n^E : E_n \rightarrow E$  is the identity here.

The projections correspond to the take-functions generated by the HOLCF package:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \psi_n^E : E \rightarrow E_n & \text{corresponds to} & C\text{-Value-take}: nat \Rightarrow C\text{-Value} \rightarrow C\text{-Value} \\ \psi_n^A : A \rightarrow A_n & \text{corresponds to} & C\text{-to-}C\text{-Value-take}: nat \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow C\text{-Value}) \rightarrow C \rightarrow C\text{-Value} \\ \psi_n^D : D \rightarrow D_n & \text{corresponds to} & Value\text{-take}: nat \Rightarrow Value \rightarrow Value. \end{array}$$

The syntactic overloading of  $e(a)(c)$  to mean either  $\text{Ap}_{E_n}^\perp$  or  $\text{AP}_E^\perp$  turns into our non-overloaded  $- \downarrow CFn \dashv\vdash C\text{-Value} \Rightarrow (C \rightarrow C\text{-Value}) \Rightarrow C \rightarrow C\text{-Value}$ .

To have our presentation closer to [SGHHOM11], we introduce some notation:

**notation** *Value-take* ( $\psi^D_-$ )  
**notation** *C-to-CValue-take* ( $\psi^A_-$ )  
**notation** *CValue-take* ( $\psi^E_-$ )

### 36.1 A note about section 2.3

Section 2.3 of [SGHHOM11] contains equations (2) and (3) which do not hold in general. We demonstrate that fact here using our corresponding definition, but the counter-example carries over to the original formulation. Lemma (2) is a generalisation of (3) to the resourced semantics, so the counter-example for (3) is the simpler and more educating:

```
lemma counter-example:
  assumes Equation (3):  $\bigwedge n d d'. \psi^D_n(d \downarrow F_n d') = \psi^D_{Suc n}(d \downarrow F_n \psi^D_{n'} d')$ 
  shows False
proof-
  def n == 1::nat
  def d == F_n · (Λ e. (e ∉ F_n ⊥))
  def d' == F_n · (Λ e. F_n · (Λ e. ⊥))
  have F_n · (Λ e. ⊥) = ψ^D_n · (d ∉ F_n d')
    by (simp add: d-def d'-def n-def cfun-map-def)
  also
  have ... = ψ^D_{Suc n}(d ∉ F_n ψ^D_{n'} d')
    using Equation (3).
  also have ... = ⊥
    by (simp add: d-def d'-def n-def)
  finally show False by simp
qed
```

For completeness, and to avoid making false assertions, the counter-example to equation (2):

lemma counter-example2:

```

assumes Equation (2):  $\bigwedge n e a c. \psi^E n \cdot ((e \downarrow CFn a) \cdot c) = (\psi^E_{Suc n} e \downarrow CFn \psi^A n \cdot a) \cdot c$ 
shows False
proof-
def  $n == 1::nat$ 
def  $e == CFn \cdot (\Lambda e r. (e \cdot r \downarrow CFn \perp) \cdot r)$ 
def  $a == \Lambda \_ \_. CFn \cdot (\Lambda \_ \_. CFn \cdot (\Lambda \_ \_. \perp)) :: C \rightarrow CValue$ 
fix  $c :: C$ 
have  $CFn \cdot (\Lambda \_ \_. \perp) = \psi^E n \cdot ((e \downarrow CFn a) \cdot c)$ 
  by (simp add: e-def a-def n-def cfun-map-def)
also
have  $\dots = (\psi^E_{Suc n} e \downarrow CFn \psi^A n \cdot a) \cdot c$ 
  using Equation (2).
also have  $\dots = \perp$ 
  by (simp add: e-def a-def n-def)
finally show False by simp
qed

```

A suitable substitute for the lemma can be found in 4.3.5 (1) in [AO93], which in our setting becomes the following (note the extra invocation of  $\psi^D_n$  on the left hand side):

```

lemma Abramsky 4,3,5 (1):
 $\psi^D n \cdot (d \downarrow Fn \psi^D n \cdot d') = \psi^D_{Suc n} d \downarrow Fn \psi^D n \cdot d'$ 
by (cases d) (auto simp add: Value.take-take)

```

The problematic equations are used in the proof of the only-if direction of proposition 9 in [SGHHOM11]. It can be fixed by applying take-induction, which inserts the extra call to  $\psi^D_n$  in the right spot.

## 36.2 Working with *Value* and *CValue*

Combined case distinguishing and induction rules.

```

lemma value-CValue-cases:
obtains
 $x = \perp y = \perp |$ 
 $f \text{ where } x = Fn \cdot f y = \perp |$ 
 $g \text{ where } x = \perp y = CFn \cdot g |$ 
 $f g \text{ where } x = Fn \cdot f y = CFn \cdot g |$ 
 $b_1 \text{ where } x = B \cdot (Discr b_1) y = \perp |$ 
 $b_1 g \text{ where } x = B \cdot (Discr b_1) y = CFn \cdot g |$ 
 $b_1 b_2 \text{ where } x = B \cdot (Discr b_1) y = CB \cdot (Discr b_2) |$ 
 $f b_2 \text{ where } x = Fn \cdot f y = CB \cdot (Discr b_2) |$ 
 $b_2 \text{ where } x = \perp y = CB \cdot (Discr b_2)$ 
by (metis CValue.exhaust Discr-undiscr Value.exhaust)

```

```

lemma Value-CValue-take-induct:
assumes adm (case-prod P)
assumes  $\bigwedge n. P (\psi^D n \cdot x) (\psi^A n \cdot y)$ 
shows P x y

```

**proof-**

```

have case-prod P ( $\bigsqcup n. (\psi^D_n \cdot x, \psi^A_n \cdot y)$ )
  by (rule admD[OF ↗adm (case-prod P) ↗ch2ch-Pair[OF ch2ch-Rep-cfunL[OF Value.chain-take]
  ch2ch-Rep-cfunL[OF C-to-CValue-chain-take]]])
    (simp add: assms(2))
  hence case-prod P (x,y)
    by (simp add: lub-Pair[OF ch2ch-Rep-cfunL[OF Value.chain-take] ch2ch-Rep-cfunL[OF
  C-to-CValue-chain-take]])
      Value.reach C-to-CValue-reach)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

### 36.3 Restricted similarity is defined recursively

The base case

```

inductive similar'-base :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  CValue  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bot-similar'-base[simp,intro]: similar'-base  $\perp \perp$ 

```

```

inductive-cases [elim!]:
  similar'-base x y

```

The inductive case

```

inductive similar'-step :: (Value  $\Rightarrow$  CValue  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  CValue  $\Rightarrow$  bool for s where
  bot-similar'-step[intro!]: similar'-step s  $\perp \perp$  |
  bool-similar'-step[intro]: similar'-step s (B·b) (CB·b) |
  Fun-similar'-step[intro]: ( $\bigwedge x y . s x (y \cdot C^\infty) \implies s (f \cdot x) (g \cdot y \cdot C^\infty)$ )  $\implies$  similar'-step s (Fn·f)
  (CFn·g)

```

```

inductive-cases [elim!]:
  similar'-step s x  $\perp$ 
  similar'-step s  $\perp$  y
  similar'-step s (B·f) (CB·g)
  similar'-step s (Fn·f) (CFn·g)

```

We now create the restricted similarity relation, by primitive recursion over  $n$ .

This cannot be done using an inductive definition, as it would not be monotone.

```

fun similar' where
  similar' 0 = similar'-base |
  similar' (Suc n) = similar'-step (similar' n)
declare similar'.simps[simp del]

```

```

abbreviation similar'-syn (-  $\triangleleft\triangleright_$  - [50,50,50] 50)
  where similar'-syn x n y  $\equiv$  similar' n x y

```

```

lemma similar'-botI[intro!,simp]:  $\perp \triangleleft\triangleright_n \perp$ 
  by (cases n) (auto simp add: similar'.simps)

```

```

lemma similar'-FnI[intro]:
assumes ⋀x y. x ⊜n y · C∞ ⇒ f · x ⊜n g · y · C∞
shows Fn · f ⊜Suc n CFn · g
using assms by (auto simp add: similar'.simp)

lemma similar'-FnE[elim!]:
assumes Fn · f ⊜Suc n CFn · g
assumes (⋀x y. x ⊜n y · C∞ ⇒ f · x ⊜n g · y · C∞) ⇒ P
shows P
using assms by (auto simp add: similar'.simp)

lemma bot-or-not-bot':
x ⊜n y ⇒ (x = ⊥ ↔ y = ⊥)
by (cases n) (auto simp add: similar'.simp elim: similar'-base.cases similar'-step.cases)

lemma similar'-bot[elim-format, elim!]:
⊥ ⊜n x ⇒ x = ⊥
y ⊜n ⊥ ⇒ y = ⊥
by (metis bot-or-not-bot')+ 

lemma similar'-typed[simp]:
¬ B · b ⊜n CFn · g
¬ Fn · f ⊜n CB · b
by (cases n, auto simp add: similar'.simp elim: similar'-base.cases similar'-step.cases)+

lemma similar'-bool[simp]:
B · b1 ⊜Suc n CB · b2 ↔ b1 = b2
by (auto simp add: similar'.simp elim: similar'-base.cases similar'-step.cases)

```

### 36.4 Moving up and down the similarity relations

These correspond to Lemma 7 in [SGHHOM11].

```

lemma similar'-down: d ⊜Suc n e ⇒ ψD n · d ⊜n ψE n · e
and similar'-up: d ⊜n e ⇒ ψD n · d ⊜Suc n ψE n · e
proof (induction n arbitrary: d e)
  case (Suc n) case 1 with Suc
  show ?case
    by (cases d e rule:value-CValue-cases) auto
next
  case (Suc n) case 2 with Suc
  show ?case
    by (cases d e rule:value-CValue-cases) auto
qed auto

```

A generalisation of the above, doing multiple steps at once.

```

lemma similar'-up-le: n ≤ m ⇒ ψD n · d ⊜n ψE n · e ⇒ ψD n · d ⊜m ψE n · e
by (induction rule: dec-induct )

```

```

(auto dest: similar'-up simp add: Value.take-take CValue.take-take min-absorb2)

lemma similar'-down-le:  $n \leq m \implies \psi^D_{m \cdot d} \Leftrightarrow_m \psi^E_{m \cdot e} \implies \psi^D_{n \cdot d} \Leftrightarrow_n \psi^E_{n \cdot e}$ 
  by (induction rule: inc-induct )
    (auto dest: similar'-down simp add: Value.take-take CValue.take-take min-absorb1)

lemma similar'-take:  $d \Leftrightarrow_n e \implies \psi^D_{n \cdot d} \Leftrightarrow_n \psi^E_{n \cdot e}$ 
  apply (drule similar'-up)
  apply (drule similar'-down)
  apply (simp add: Value.take-take CValue.take-take)
  done

```

## 36.5 Admissibility

A technical prerequisite for induction is admissibility of the predicate, i.e. that the predicate holds for the limit of a chain, given that it holds for all elements.

```

lemma similar'-base-adm: adm ( $\lambda x. \text{similar}'\text{-base} (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x)$ )
proof (rule admI, goal-cases)
  case (1 Y)
  then have  $Y = (\lambda \_. \perp)$  by (metis prod.exhaust fst-eqD inst-prod-pcpo similar'-base.simps
  snd-eqD)
  thus ?case by auto
qed

lemma similar'-step-adm:
  assumes adm ( $\lambda x. s (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x)$ )
  shows adm ( $\lambda x. \text{similar}'\text{-step } s (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x)$ )
proof (rule admI, goal-cases)
  case prems: (1 Y)
  from <chain Y>
  have chain ( $\lambda i. \text{fst } (Y i)$ ) by (rule ch2ch-fst)
  thus ?case
  proof(cases rule: Value-chainE)
    case bot
      hence *:  $\bigwedge i. \text{fst } (Y i) = \perp$  by metis
      with prems(2)[unfolded split-beta]
      have  $\bigwedge i. \text{snd } (Y i) = \perp$  by auto
      hence  $Y = (\lambda i. (\perp, \perp))$  using * by (metis surjective-pairing)
      thus ?thesis by auto
    next
    case (B n b)
      hence  $\forall i. \text{fst } (Y (i + n)) = B \cdot b$  by (metis add.commute not-add-less1)
      with prems(2)
      have  $\forall i. Y (i + n) = (B \cdot b, CB \cdot b)$ 
        apply auto
        apply (erule-tac  $x = i + n$  in allE)
        apply (erule-tac  $x = i$  in allE)
        apply (erule similar'-step.cases)
  qed

```

```

apply auto
by (metis fst-conv old.prod.exhaust snd-conv)
hence similar'-step s (fst (L i. Y (i + n))) (snd (L i. Y (i + n))) by auto
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: lub-range-shift[OF <chain Y>])
next
fix n
fix Y'
assume chain Y' and (λi. fst (Y i)) = (λm. (if m < n then ⊥ else Fn·(Y' (m - n))))
hence Y': L i. fst (Y (i + n)) = Fn·(Y' i) by (metis add-diff-cancel-right' not-add-less2)
with prems(2)[unfolded split-beta]
have L i. ∃ g'. snd (Y (i + n)) = CFn·g'
  by -(erule-tac x = i + n in allE, auto elim!: similar'-step.cases)
then obtain Y'': L i. snd (Y (i + n)) = CFn·(Y'' i) by metis
from prems(1) have L i. Y i ⊑ Y (Suc i)
  by (simp add: po-class.chain-def)
then have *: L i. Y (i + n) ⊑ Y (Suc i + n)
  by simp
have chain Y''
  apply (rule chainI)
  apply (rule iffD1[OF CValue.inverts(1)])
  apply (subst (1 2) Y''[symmetric])
  apply (rule snd-monofun)
  apply (rule *)
done

have similar'-step s (Fn·(L i. (Y' i))) (CFn · (L i. Y'' i))
proof (rule Fun-similar'-step)
fix x y
from prems(2) Y' Y''
have L i. similar'-step s (Fn·(Y' i)) (CFn·(Y'' i)) by metis
moreover
assume s x (y · C∞)
ultimately
have L i. s (Y' i · x) (Y'' i · y · C∞) by auto
hence case-prod s (L i. ((Y' i) · x, (Y'' i) · y · C∞))
  apply -
  apply (rule admD[OF adm-case-prod[where P = λ- . s, OF assms]])
  apply (simp add: <chain Y'> <chain Y''>)
  apply simp
done
thus s ((L i. Y' i) · x) ((L i. Y'' i) · y · C∞)
  by (simp add: lub-Pair ch2ch-Rep-cfunL contlub-cfun-fun <chain Y'> <chain Y''>)
qed
hence similar'-step s (fst (L i. Y (i + n))) (snd (L i. Y (i + n)))
  by (simp add: Y' Y'')
  cont2contlubE[OF cont-fst chain-shift[OF prems(1)]]  cont2contlubE[OF cont-snd
chain-shift[OF prems(1)]]
  contlub-cfun-arg[OF <chain Y''>] contlub-cfun-arg[OF <chain Y'>])

```

```

thus similar'-step s (fst (⊔ i. Y i)) (snd (⊔ i. Y i))
  by (simp add: lub-range-shift[OF `chain Y`])
qed
qed

lemma similar'-adm: adm (λx. fst x ⊲⊳n snd x)
  by (induct n) (auto simp add: similar'.simps intro: similar'-base-adm similar'-step-adm)

lemma similar'-admI: cont f ⟹ cont g ⟹ adm (λx. f x ⊲⊳n g x)
  by (rule adm-subst[OF - similar'-adm, where t = λx. (f x, g x), simplified]) auto

```

## 36.6 The real similarity relation

This is the goal of the theory: A relation between *Value* and *CValue*.

**definition** similar :: *Value* ⇒ *CValue* ⇒ bool (**infix** ⊲⊳ 50) **where**  
 $x \text{ ⊲⊳ } y \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \psi^D_n \cdot x \text{ ⊲⊳}_n \psi^E_n \cdot y)$

**lemma** similarI:  
 $(\bigwedge n. \psi^D_n \cdot x \text{ ⊲⊳}_n \psi^E_n \cdot y) \implies x \text{ ⊲⊳ } y$   
**unfolding** similar-def **by** blast

**lemma** similarE:  
 $x \text{ ⊲⊳ } y \implies \psi^D_n \cdot x \text{ ⊲⊳}_n \psi^E_n \cdot y$   
**unfolding** similar-def **by** blast

**lemma** similar-bot[simp]:  $\perp \text{ ⊲⊳ } \perp$  **by** (auto intro: similarI)

**lemma** similar-bool[simp]:  $B \cdot b \text{ ⊲⊳ } CB \cdot b$   
**by** (rule similarI, case-tac n, auto)

**lemma** [elim-format, elim!]:  $x \text{ ⊲⊳ } \perp \implies x = \perp$   
**unfolding** similar-def  
**apply** (cases x)  
**apply** auto  
**apply** (erule-tac x = Suc 0 in allE, auto)+  
**done**

**lemma** [elim-format, elim!]:  $x \text{ ⊲⊳ } CB \cdot b \implies x = B \cdot b$   
**unfolding** similar-def  
**apply** (cases x)  
**apply** auto  
**apply** (erule-tac x = Suc 0 in allE, auto)+  
**done**

**lemma** [elim-format, elim!]:  $\perp \text{ ⊲⊳ } y \implies y = \perp$   
**unfolding** similar-def  
**apply** (cases y)

```

apply auto
apply (erule-tac x = Suc 0 in allE, auto) +
done

lemma [elim-format, elim!]: B·b  $\Leftrightarrow$  y  $\Longrightarrow$  y = CB·b
  unfolding similar-def
  apply (cases y)
  apply auto
  apply (erule-tac x = Suc 0 in allE, auto) +
done

lemma take-similar'-similar:
  assumes x  $\Leftrightarrow_n$  y
  shows  $\psi^D_{n\cdot x} \Leftrightarrow \psi^E_{n\cdot y}$ 
proof(rule similarI)
  fix m
  from assms
  have  $\psi^D_{n\cdot x} \Leftrightarrow_n \psi^E_{n\cdot y}$  by (rule similar'-take)
  moreover
  have  $n \leq m \vee m \leq n$  by auto
  ultimately
  show  $\psi^D_{m\cdot(\psi^D_{n\cdot x})} \Leftrightarrow_m \psi^E_{m\cdot(\psi^E_{n\cdot y})}$ 
    by (auto elim: similar'-up-le similar'-down-le dest: similar'-take
           simp add: min-absorb2 min-absorb1 Value.take-take CValue.take-take)
qed

lemma bot-or-not-bot:
   $x \Leftrightarrow y \Longrightarrow (x = \perp \longleftrightarrow y = \perp)$ 
  by (cases x y rule:value-CValue-cases) auto

lemma bool-or-not-bool:
   $x \Leftrightarrow y \Longrightarrow (x = B\cdot b) \longleftrightarrow (y = CB\cdot b)$ 
  by (cases x y rule:value-CValue-cases) auto

lemma slimilar-bot-cases[consumes 1, case-names bot bool Fn]:
  assumes x  $\Leftrightarrow$  y
  obtains x =  $\perp$  y =  $\perp$  |
    b where x = B·(Discr b) y = CB·(Discr b) |
    f g where x = Fn·f y = CFn · g
  using assms
  by (metis CValue.exhaust Value.exhaust bool-or-not-bool bot-or-not-bot Discr.exhaust)

lemma similar-adm: adm ( $\lambda x. \text{fst } x \Leftrightarrow \text{snd } x$ )
  unfolding similar-def
  by (intro adm-lemmas similar'-admI cont2cont)

lemma similar-admI: cont f  $\Longrightarrow$  cont g  $\Longrightarrow$  adm ( $\lambda x. f x \Leftrightarrow g x$ )
  by (rule adm-subst[OF - similar-adm, where t =  $\lambda x. (f x, g x)$ , simplified]) auto

```

Having constructed the relation we can now show that it indeed is the desired relation, relating  $\perp$  with  $\perp$  and functions with functions, if they take related arguments to related values. This corresponds to Proposition 9 in [SGHHOM11].

```

lemma similar-nice-def:  $x \Leftrightarrow y \longleftrightarrow (x = \perp \wedge y = \perp \vee (\exists b. x = B \cdot (\text{Discr } b) \wedge y = CB \cdot (\text{Discr } b)) \vee (\exists f g. x = Fn \cdot f \wedge y = CFn \cdot g \wedge (\forall a b. a \Leftrightarrow b \cdot C^\infty \longrightarrow f \cdot a \Leftrightarrow g \cdot b \cdot C^\infty)))$ 
  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)
proof
  assume ?L
  thus ?R
  proof (cases x y rule:slimilarnice-cases)
    case bot thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case bool thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Fn f g)
    note ‹?L›[unfolded Fn]
    have  $\forall a b. a \Leftrightarrow b \cdot C^\infty \longrightarrow f \cdot a \Leftrightarrow g \cdot b \cdot C^\infty$ 
    proof(intro impI allI)
      fix a b
      assume a  $\Leftrightarrow b \cdot C^\infty$ 

      show  $f \cdot a \Leftrightarrow g \cdot b \cdot C^\infty$ 
      proof(rule similarI)
        fix n
        have adm  $(\lambda(b, a). \psi^D_{n \cdot} (f \cdot b) \Leftrightarrow_n \psi^E_{n \cdot} (g \cdot a \cdot C^\infty))$ 
          by (intro adm-case-prod similar'-admI cont2cont)
        thus  $\psi^D_{n \cdot} (f \cdot a) \Leftrightarrow_n \psi^E_{n \cdot} (g \cdot b \cdot C^\infty)$ 
        proof (induct a b rule: Value-CValue-take-induct[consumes 1])

```

This take induction is required to avoid the wrong equation shown above.

```

fix m

from ‹a  $\Leftrightarrow b \cdot C^\infty\psi^D_{m \cdot} a \Leftrightarrow_m \psi^E_{m \cdot} (b \cdot C^\infty)$  by (rule similarE)
hence  $\psi^D_{m \cdot} a \Leftrightarrow_{\max m n} \psi^E_{m \cdot} (b \cdot C^\infty)$  by (rule similar'-up-le[rotated]) auto
moreover
from ‹Fn \cdot f  $\Leftrightarrow$  CFn \cdot g›
  have  $\psi^D_{\text{Suc}(\max m n)} (Fn \cdot f) \Leftrightarrow_{\text{Suc}(\max m n)} \psi^E_{\text{Suc}(\max m n)} (CFn \cdot g)$  by (rule
similarE)
ultimately
have  $\psi^D_{\max m n} (f \cdot (\psi^D_{\max m n} (\psi^D_{m \cdot} a))) \Leftrightarrow_{\max m n} \psi^E_{\max m n} (g \cdot (\psi^A_{\max m n} (\psi^A_{m \cdot} b)) \cdot C^\infty)$ 
  by auto
hence  $\psi^D_{\max m n} (f \cdot (\psi^D_{m \cdot} a)) \Leftrightarrow_{\max m n} \psi^E_{\max m n} (g \cdot (\psi^A_{m \cdot} b) \cdot C^\infty)$ 
  by (simp add: Value.take-take cfun-map-map CValue.take-take ID-def eta-cfun
min-absorb2 min-absorb1)
thus  $\psi^D_{n \cdot} (f \cdot (\psi^D_{m \cdot} a)) \Leftrightarrow_n \psi^E_{n \cdot} (g \cdot (\psi^A_{m \cdot} b) \cdot C^\infty)$ 
  by (rule similar'-down-le[rotated]) auto
qed

```

```

qed
qed
thus ?thesis unfolding Fn by simp
qed
next
assume ?R
thus ?L
proof(elim conjE disjE exE ssubst)
  show ⊥ ⇔ ⊥ by simp
next
fix b
show B·(Discr b) ⇔ CB·(Discr b) by simp
next
fix f g
assume imp: ∀ a b. a ⇔ b·C∞ → f·a ⇔ g·b·C∞
show Fn·f ⇔ CFn·g
proof (rule similarI)
  fix n
  show ψD n·(Fn·f) ⇔n ψE n·(CFn·g)
  proof(cases n)
    case 0 thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    { fix x y
      assume x ⇔n y·C∞
      hence ψD n·x ⇔ ψE n·(y·C∞) by (rule take-similar'-similar)
      hence f·(ψD n·x) ⇔ g·(ψA n·y)·C∞ using imp by auto
      hence ψD n·(f·(ψD n·x)) ⇔n ψE n·(g·(ψA n·y)·C∞)
        by (rule similarE)
    }
    with Suc
    show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma similar-FnI[intro]:
  assumes ⋀x y. x ⇔ y·C∞ → f·x ⇔ g·y·C∞
  shows Fn·f ⇔ CFn·g
  by (metis assms similar-nice-def)

lemma similar-FnD[elim!]:
  assumes Fn·f ⇔ CFn·g
  assumes x ⇔ y·C∞
  shows f·x ⇔ g·y·C∞
  using assms
  by (subst (asm) similar-nice-def) auto

```

```

lemma similar-FnE[elim!]:
  assumes Fn·f  $\Leftrightarrow$  CFn·g
  assumes ( $\bigwedge x y. x \Leftrightarrow y \cdot C^\infty \Rightarrow f \cdot x \Leftrightarrow g \cdot y \cdot C^\infty$ )  $\Rightarrow$  P
  shows P
by (metis assms similar-FnD)

```

### 36.7 The similarity relation lifted pointwise to functions.

```

abbreviation fun-similar :: ('a::type  $\Rightarrow$  Value)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  (C  $\rightarrow$  CValue))  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\Leftrightarrow^*$  50) where
  fun-similar  $\equiv$  pointwise ( $\lambda x y. x \Leftrightarrow y \cdot C^\infty$ )

```

```

lemma fun-similar-fmap-bottom[simp]:  $\perp \Leftrightarrow^* \perp$ 
  by auto

```

```

lemma fun-similarE[elim]:
  assumes m  $\Leftrightarrow^*$  m'
  assumes ( $\bigwedge x. (m \ x) \Leftrightarrow (m' \ x) \cdot C^\infty$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Q
  shows Q
  using assms unfolding pointwise-def by blast
end

```

## 37 Denotational-Related.tex

```

theory Denotational-Related
imports Denotational RessourcesDenotational ValueSimilarity
begin

```

Given the similarity relation it is straight-forward to prove that the standard and the resourced denotational semantics produce similar results. (Theorem 10 in [SGHHOM11]).

```

theorem denotational-semantics-similar:
  assumes  $\varrho \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma$ 
  shows  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N} \llbracket e \rrbracket_\sigma) \cdot C^\infty$ 
  using assms
proof(induct e arbitrary:  $\varrho \sigma$  rule:exp-induct)
  case (Var v)
  from Var have  $\varrho v \Leftrightarrow (\sigma v) \cdot C^\infty$  by cases auto
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Lam v e)
  { fix x y
    assume x  $\Leftrightarrow y \cdot C^\infty$ 
    with  $\varrho \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma$ 
    have  $\varrho(v := x) \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma(v := y)$ 
      by (auto 1 4)
    hence  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho(v := x)} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N} \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma(v := y)}) \cdot C^\infty$ 
  }

```

```

    by (rule Lam.hyps)
}
thus ?case by auto
next
  case (App e v  $\varrho$   $\sigma$ )
  hence App':  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma}) \cdot C^{\infty}$  by auto
  thus ?case
  proof (cases rule: similar-bot-cases)
    case (Fn f g)
    from  $\langle \varrho \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma \rangle$ 
    have  $\varrho v \Leftrightarrow (\sigma v) \cdot C^{\infty}$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using Fn App' by auto
  qed auto
next
  case (Bool b)
  thus  $\llbracket \text{Bool } b \rrbracket_{\varrho} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket \text{Bool } b \rrbracket_{\sigma}) \cdot C^{\infty}$  by auto
next
  case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)
  hence IfThenElse':
     $\llbracket \text{scrut} \rrbracket_{\varrho} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket \text{scrut} \rrbracket_{\sigma}) \cdot C^{\infty}$ 
     $\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{\varrho} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{\sigma}) \cdot C^{\infty}$ 
     $\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{\varrho} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{\sigma}) \cdot C^{\infty}$  by auto
  from IfThenElse'(1)
  show ?case
  proof (cases rule: similar-bot-cases)
    case (bool b)
    thus ?thesis using IfThenElse' by auto
  qed auto
next
  case (Let as e  $\varrho$   $\sigma$ )
  have  $\{as\}_{\varrho} \Leftrightarrow^* \mathcal{N}\{as\}_{\sigma}$ 
  proof (rule parallel-HSem-ind-different-ESem[OF pointwise-adm[OF similar-admI] fun-similar-fmap-bottom])
    fix  $\varrho' :: var \Rightarrow Value$  and  $\sigma' :: var \Rightarrow C \rightarrow CValue$ 
    assume  $\varrho' \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma'$ 
    show  $\varrho \parallel_{domA} as \llbracket as \rrbracket_{\varrho'} \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma \parallel_{domA} as evalHeap as (\lambda e. \mathcal{N}\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\sigma'})$ 
    proof(rule pointwiseI, goal-cases)
      case (1 x)
      show ?case using  $\langle \varrho \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma \rangle$ 
      by (auto simp add: lookup-override-on-eq lookupEvalHeap elim: Let(1)[OF - (  $\varrho' \Leftrightarrow^* \sigma'$  )])
    )
    qed
  qed auto
  hence  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{as\}_{\varrho}} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}\{as\}_{\sigma}}) \cdot C^{\infty}$  by (rule Let(2))
  thus ?case by simp
qed

```

**corollary** evalHeap-similar:

$$\bigwedge y z. y \Leftrightarrow^* z \implies \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_y \Leftrightarrow^* \mathcal{N}\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_z$$

by (rule pointwiseI)

```

(case-tac  $x \in \text{dom}A \Gamma$ , auto simp add: lookupEvalHeap denotational-semantics-similar)

theorem heaps-similar:  $\{\Gamma\} \Leftrightarrow^* \mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}$ 
  by (rule parallel-HSem-ind-different-ESem[OF pointwise-adm[OF similar-admI]])
    (auto simp add: evalHeap-similar)

end

```

## 38 Adequacy.tex

```

theory Adequacy
imports ResourcedAdequacy Denotational-Related
begin

theorem adequacy:
  assumes  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}} \neq \perp$ 
  shows  $\exists \Delta v. \Gamma : e \Downarrow_S \Delta : v$ 
proof -
  have  $\{\Gamma\} \Leftrightarrow^* \mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}$  by (rule heaps-similar)
  hence  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}} \Leftrightarrow (\mathcal{N}\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}}) \cdot C^\infty$  by (rule denotational-semantics-similar)
  from bot-or-not-bot[OF this] assms
  have  $(\mathcal{N}\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{N}\{\Gamma\}}) \cdot C^\infty \neq \perp$  by metis
  thus ?thesis by (rule resourced-adequacy)
qed

end

```

## 39 BalancedTraces.tex

```

theory BalancedTraces
imports Main
begin

locale traces =
  fixes step :: 'c => 'c => bool (infix  $\Rightarrow$  50)
begin

abbreviation steps (infix  $\Rightarrow^*$  50) where  $steps \equiv step^{**}$ 

inductive trace :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  'c list  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  trace-nil[iff]: trace final [] final
  | trace-cons[intro]: trace conf' T final  $\Longrightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf'  $\Longrightarrow$  trace conf (conf' # T) final

inductive-cases trace-consE: trace conf (conf' # T) final

lemma trace-induct-final[consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons]:

```

```

trace x1 x2 final  $\implies P$  final [] final  $\implies (\bigwedge \text{conf}' T \text{ conf. trace conf}' T \text{ final} \implies P \text{ conf}' T$ 
final  $\implies \text{conf} \Rightarrow \text{conf}' \implies P \text{ conf } (\text{conf}' \# T) \text{ final} \implies P \text{ x1 x2 final}$ 
by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

```

**lemma** build-trace:

$c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies \exists T. \text{trace } c T c'$

**proof**(induction rule: converse-rtranclp-induct)

have trace  $c' [] c'.$

thus  $\exists T. \text{trace } c' T c'.$

**next**

fix  $y z$

**assume**  $y \Rightarrow z$

**assume**  $\exists T. \text{trace } z T c'$

**then obtain**  $T$  where trace  $z T c'.$

**with**  $\langle y \Rightarrow z \rangle$

have trace  $y (z \# T) c'$  by auto

thus  $\exists T. \text{trace } y T c'$  by blast

**qed**

**lemma** destruct-trace:  $\text{trace } c T c' \implies c \Rightarrow^* c'$

**by** (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

**lemma** traceWhile:

**assumes** trace  $c_1 T c_4$

**assumes**  $P c_1$

**assumes**  $\neg P c_4$

**obtains**  $T_1 c_2 c_3 T_2$

**where**  $T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2$  **and** trace  $c_1 T_1 c_2$  **and**  $\forall x \in \text{set } T_1. P x$  **and**  $P c_2$  **and**  $c_2 \Rightarrow c_3$  **and**  $\neg P c_3$  **and** trace  $c_3 T_2 c_4$

**proof**–

from assms

have  $\exists T_1 c_2 c_3 T_2. (T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2 \wedge \text{trace } c_1 T_1 c_2 \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } T_1. P x) \wedge P c_2 \wedge c_2 \Rightarrow c_3 \wedge \neg P c_3 \wedge \text{trace } c_3 T_2 c_4)$

**proof**(induction)

case trace-nil thus ?case by auto

**next**

case (trace-cons conf' T end conf)

thus ?case

**proof** (cases P conf')

case True

from trace-cons.IH[ $OF \text{ True } \neg P \text{ end}$ ]

obtain  $T_1 c_2 c_3 T_2$  where  $T = T_1 @ c_3 \# T_2 \wedge \text{trace conf}' T_1 c_2 \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } T_1. P x) \wedge P c_2 \wedge c_2 \Rightarrow c_3 \wedge \neg P c_3 \wedge \text{trace } c_3 T_2 \text{ end}$  by auto

with True

have  $\text{conf}' \# T = (\text{conf}' \# T_1) @ c_3 \# T_2 \wedge \text{trace conf } (\text{conf}' \# T_1) c_2 \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{conf}' \# T_1). P x) \wedge P c_2 \wedge c_2 \Rightarrow c_3 \wedge \neg P c_3 \wedge \text{trace } c_3 T_2 \text{ end}$  by (auto intro: trace-cons)

thus ?thesis by blast

**next**

case False with trace-cons

```

have conf' # T = [] @ conf' # T ∧ (∀ x ∈ set []. P x) ∧ P conf ∧ conf ⇒ conf' ∧ ¬ P
conf' ∧ trace conf' T end by auto
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by (auto intro: that)
qed

lemma traces-list-all:
trace c T c' ⇒ P c' ⇒ (∀ c c'. c ⇒ c' ⇒ P c' ⇒ P c) ⇒ (∀ x ∈ set T. P x) ∧ P c
by (induction rule:trace.induct) auto

lemma trace-nil[simp]: trace c [] c' ↔ c = c'
by (metis list.distinct(1) trace.cases traces.trace-nil)

end

definition extends :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool (infix ≤ 50) where
S ≤ S' = (Ǝ S''. S' = S'' @ S)

lemma extends-refl[simp]: S ≤ S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-cons[simp]: S ≤ x # S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-append[simp]: S ≤ L @ S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-not-cons[simp]: ¬(x # S) ≤ S unfolding extends-def by auto
lemma extends-trans[trans]: S ≤ S' ⇒ S' ≤ S'' ⇒ S ≤ S'' unfolding extends-def by
auto

locale balance-trace = traces +
fixes stack :: 'a ⇒ 'a list
assumes one-step-only: c ⇒ c' ⇒ (stack c) = (stack c') ∨ (Ǝ x. stack c' = x # stack c) ∨
(Ǝ x. stack c = x # stack c')
begin

inductive bal :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
balI[intro]: trace c T c' ⇒ ∀ c' ∈ set T. stack c ≤ stack c' ⇒ stack c' = stack c ⇒ bal c T
c'

inductive-cases balE: bal c T c'

lemma bal-nil[simp]: bal c [] c' ↔ c = c'
by (auto elim: balE trace.cases)

lemma bal-stackD: bal c T c' ⇒ stack c' = stack c by (auto dest: balE)

lemma stack-passes-lower-bound:
assumes c3 ⇒ c4
assumes stack c2 ≤ stack c3
assumes ¬ stack c2 ≤ stack c4

```

```

shows stack c3 = stack c2 and stack c4 = tl (stack c2)
proof-
  from one-step-only[OF assms(1)]
  have stack c3 = stack c2 ∧ stack c4 = tl (stack c2)
  proof(elim disjE exE)
    assume stack c3 = stack c4 with assms(2,3)
    have False by auto
    thus ?thesis..
  next
    fix x
    note <stack c2 ⪯ stack c3>
    also
      assume stack c4 = x # stack c3
      hence stack c3 ⪯ stack c4 by simp
    finally
      have stack c2 ⪯ stack c4.
      with assms(3) show ?thesis..
  next
    fix x
    assume c3: stack c3 = x # stack c4
    with assms(2)
    obtain L where L: x # stack c4 = L @ stack c2 unfolding extends-def by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases L)
      case Nil with c3 L have stack c3 = stack c2 by simp
      moreover
        from Nil c3 L have stack c4 = tl (stack c2) by (cases stack c2) auto
        ultimately
        show ?thesis..
    next
      case (Cons y L')
      with L have stack c4 = L' @ stack c2 by simp
      hence stack c2 ⪯ stack c4 by simp
      with assms(3) show ?thesis..
    qed
  thus stack c3 = stack c2 and stack c4 = tl (stack c2) by auto
qed

```

```

lemma bal-consE:
  assumes bal c1 (c2 # T) c5
  and c2: stack c2 = s # stack c1
  obtains T1 c3 c4 T2
  where T = T1 @ c4 # T2 and bal c2 T1 c3 and c3 ⇒ c4 bal c4 T2 c5
  using assms(1)
  proof(rule balE)

```

```
assume c5: stack c5 = stack c1
```

```

assume  $T : \forall c' \in set(c_2 \# T). stack c_1 \lesssim stack c'$ 

assume  $trace c_1 (c_2 \# T) c_5$ 
hence  $c_1 \Rightarrow c_2$  and  $trace c_2 T c_5$  by (auto elim: trace-consE)

note ⟨trace c2 T c5⟩
moreover
have  $stack c_2 \lesssim stack c_2$  by simp
moreover
have  $\neg (stack c_2 \lesssim stack c_5)$  unfolding c5 c2 by simp
ultimately
obtain  $T_1 c_3 c_4 T_2$ 
where  $T = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and  $trace c_2 T_1 c_3$  and  $\forall c' \in set T_1. stack c_2 \lesssim stack c'$ 
      and  $stack c_2 \lesssim stack c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  and  $\neg stack c_2 \lesssim stack c_4$  and  $trace c_4 T_2 c_5$ 
      by (rule traceWhile)

show ?thesis
proof (rule that)
  show  $T = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  by fact

  from ⟨ $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$ ⟩ ⟨ $stack c_2 \lesssim stack c_3$ ⟩  $\neg stack c_2 \lesssim stack c_4$ 
  have  $stack c_3 = stack c_2$  and  $c_2' : stack c_4 = tl(stack c_2)$  by (rule stack-passes-lower-bound)+

  from ⟨ $trace c_2 T_1 c_3$ ⟩  $\forall a \in set T_1. stack c_2 \lesssim stack a$  this(1)
  show bal c2 T1 c3..

  show  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  by fact

  have  $c_4 : stack c_4 = stack c_1$  using c2 c2' by simp

  note ⟨ $trace c_4 T_2 c_5$ ⟩
  moreover
  have  $\forall a \in set T_2. stack c_4 \lesssim stack a$  using c4 T  $\langle T = \_\_ \rangle$  by auto
  moreover
  have  $stack c_5 = stack c_4$  unfolding c4 c5..
  ultimately
  show bal c4 T2 c5..
  qed
  qed

end

end

```

## 40 SestoftConf.tex

```
theory SestoftConf
```

```

imports Terms Substitution
begin

datatype stack-elem = Alts exp exp | Arg var | Upd var | Dummy var

instantiation stack-elem :: pt
begin
definition  $\pi \cdot x = (\text{case } x \text{ of } (\text{Alts } e1 e2) \Rightarrow \text{Alts } (\pi \cdot e1) (\pi \cdot e2) \mid (\text{Arg } v) \Rightarrow \text{Arg } (\pi \cdot v) \mid (\text{Upd } v) \Rightarrow \text{Upd } (\pi \cdot v) \mid (\text{Dummy } v) \Rightarrow \text{Dummy } (\pi \cdot v))$ 
instance
  by standard (auto simp add: permute-stack-elem-def split:stack-elem.split)
end

lemma Alts-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{Alts } e1 e2) = \text{Alts } (\pi \cdot e1) (\pi \cdot e2)$ 
and Arg-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{Arg } v) = \text{Arg } (\pi \cdot v)$ 
and Upd-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{Upd } v) = \text{Upd } (\pi \cdot v)$ 
and Dummy-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{Dummy } (\pi \cdot v)$ 
by (auto simp add: permute-stack-elem-def split:stack-elem.split)

lemma supp-Alts[simp]:  $\text{supp } (\text{Alts } e1 e2) = \text{supp } e1 \cup \text{supp } e2$  unfolding supp-def by (auto
simp add: Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)
lemma supp-Arg[simp]:  $\text{supp } (\text{Arg } v) = \text{supp } v$  unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma supp-Upd[simp]:  $\text{supp } (\text{Upd } v) = \text{supp } v$  unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma supp-Dummy[simp]:  $\text{supp } (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{supp } v$  unfolding supp-def by auto
lemma fresh-Alts[simp]:  $a \notin \text{Alts } e1 e2 = (a \notin e1 \wedge a \notin e2)$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-star-Alts[simp]:  $a \notin* \text{Alts } e1 e2 = (a \notin* e1 \wedge a \notin* e2)$  unfolding fresh-star-def
by auto
lemma fresh-Arg[simp]:  $a \notin \text{Arg } v = a \notin v$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-Upd[simp]:  $a \notin \text{Upd } v = a \notin v$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fresh-Dummy[simp]:  $a \notin \text{Dummy } v = a \notin v$  unfolding fresh-def by auto
lemma fv-Alts[simp]:  $\text{fv } (\text{Alts } e1 e2) = \text{fv } e1 \cup \text{fv } e2$  unfolding fv-def by auto
lemma fv-Arg[simp]:  $\text{fv } (\text{Arg } v) = \text{fv } v$  unfolding fv-def by auto
lemma fv-Upd[simp]:  $\text{fv } (\text{Upd } v) = \text{fv } v$  unfolding fv-def by auto
lemma fv-Dummy[simp]:  $\text{fv } (\text{Dummy } v) = \text{fv } v$  unfolding fv-def by auto

instance stack-elem :: fs
  by standard (case-tac x, auto simp add: finite-supp)

type-synonym stack = stack-elem list

fun ap :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  ap [] = {}
| ap (Alts e1 e2 # S) = ap S
| ap (Arg x # S) = insert x (ap S)
| ap (Upd x # S) = ap S
| ap (Dummy x # S) = ap S
fun upds :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  upds [] = {}
| upds (Alts e1 e2 # S) = upds S

```

```

| upds (Upd x # S) = insert x (upds S)
| upds (Arg x # S) = upds S
| upds (Dummy x # S) = upds S
fun dummies :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var set where
  dummies [] = {}
| dummies (Alts e1 e2 # S) = dummies S
| dummies (Upd x # S) = dummies S
| dummies (Arg x # S) = dummies S
| dummies (Dummy x # S) = insert x (dummies S)
fun flattn :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  flattn [] = []
| flattn (Alts e1 e2 # S) = fv-list e1 @ fv-list e2 @ flattn S
| flattn (Upd x # S) = x # flattn S
| flattn (Arg x # S) = x # flattn S
| flattn (Dummy x # S) = x # flattn S
fun upds-list :: stack  $\Rightarrow$  var list where
  upds-list [] = []
| upds-list (Alts e1 e2 # S) = upds-list S
| upds-list (Upd x # S) = x # upds-list S
| upds-list (Arg x # S) = upds-list S
| upds-list (Dummy x # S) = upds-list S

lemma set-upds-list[simp]:
  set (upds-list S) = upds S
  by (induction S rule: upds-list.induct) auto

lemma ups-fv-subset: upds S  $\subseteq$  fv S
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma fresh-distinct-ups: atom ` V  $\sharp*$  S  $\implies$  V  $\cap$  upds S = {}
  by (auto dest!: fresh-distinct-fv set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])
lemma ap-fv-subset: ap S  $\subseteq$  fv S
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma dummies-fv-subset: dummies S  $\subseteq$  fv S
  by (induction S rule: dummies.induct) auto

lemma fresh-flattn[simp]: atom (a::var)  $\sharp$  flattn S  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom a  $\sharp$  S
  by (induction S rule: flattn.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Nil fresh-Cons fresh-append fresh-fv[OF finite-fv])
lemma fresh-star-flattn[simp]: atom ` (as:: var set)  $\sharp*$  flattn S  $\longleftrightarrow$  atom ` as  $\sharp*$  S
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
lemma fresh-upds-list[simp]: atom a  $\sharp$  S  $\implies$  atom (a::var)  $\sharp$  upds-list S
  by (induction S rule: upds-list.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Nil fresh-Cons fresh-append fresh-fv[OF finite-fv])
lemma fresh-star-upds-list[simp]: atom ` (as:: var set)  $\sharp*$  S  $\implies$  atom ` (as:: var set)  $\sharp*$  upds-list S
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

lemma upds-append[simp]: upds (S@S') = upds S  $\cup$  upds S'
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto

```

```

lemma upds-map-Dummy[simp]: upds (map Dummy l) = {}
  by (induction l) auto

lemma upds-list-append[simp]: upds-list (S@S') = upds-list S @ upds-list S'
  by (induction S rule: upds.induct) auto
lemma upds-list-map-Dummy[simp]: upds-list (map Dummy l) = []
  by (induction l) auto

lemma dummies-append[simp]: dummies (S@S') = dummies S ∪ dummies S'
  by (induction S rule: dummies.induct) auto
lemma dummies-map-Dummy[simp]: dummies (map Dummy l) = set l
  by (induction l) auto

lemma map-Dummy-inj[simp]: map Dummy l = map Dummy l'  $\longleftrightarrow$  l = l'
  apply (induction l arbitrary: l')
  apply (case-tac [|] l')
  apply auto
  done

type-synonym conf = (heap × exp × stack)

inductive boring-step where
  isVal e  $\implies$  boring-step ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)

fun restr-stack :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  stack
  where restr-stack V [] = []
    | restr-stack V (Alts e1 e2 # S) = Alts e1 e2 # restr-stack V S
    | restr-stack V (Arg x # S) = Arg x # restr-stack V S
    | restr-stack V (Upd x # S) = (if x ∈ V then Upd x # restr-stack V S else restr-stack V S)
    | restr-stack V (Dummy x # S) = Dummy x # restr-stack V S

lemma restr-stack-cong:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{upds } S \implies x \in V \longleftrightarrow x \in V'$ )  $\implies$  restr-stack V S = restr-stack V' S
  by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma upds-restr-stack[simp]: upds (restr-stack V S) = upds S  $\cap$  V
  by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-restrict-stack[intro]:
  a #* S  $\implies$  a #* restr-stack V S
  by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

lemma restr-stack-restr-stack[simp]:
  restr-stack V (restr-stack V' S) = restr-stack (V  $\cap$  V') S
  by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma Upd-eq-restr-stackD:

```

```

assumes Upd  $x \# S = \text{restr-stack } V S'$ 
shows  $x \in V$ 
using arg-cong[where  $f = \text{upds}$ , OF assms]
by auto
lemma Upd-eq-restr-stackD2:
assumes  $\text{restr-stack } V S' = \text{Upd } x \# S$ 
shows  $x \in V$ 
using arg-cong[where  $f = \text{upds}$ , OF assms]
by auto

lemma restr-stack-noop[simp]:
 $\text{restr-stack } V S = S \longleftrightarrow \text{upds } S \subseteq V$ 
by (induction  $V S$  rule: restr-stack.induct)
(auto dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)

```

## 40.1 Invariants of the semantics

```

inductive invariant :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where  $(\bigwedge x y. \text{rel } x y \implies I x \implies I y) \implies \text{invariant rel } I$ 

```

```
lemmas invariant.intros[case-names step]
```

```

lemma invariantE:
  invariant rel I  $\implies$  rel x y  $\implies$  I x  $\implies$  I y by (auto elim: invariant.cases)

```

```

lemma invariant-starE:
  rtranclp rel x y  $\implies$  invariant rel I  $\implies$  I x  $\implies$  I y
  by (induction rule: rtranclp.induct) (auto elim: invariantE)

```

```

lemma invariant-True:
  invariant rel ( $\lambda$  -. True)
  by (auto intro: invariant.intros)

```

```

lemma invariant-conj:
  invariant rel I1  $\implies$  invariant rel I2  $\implies$  invariant rel ( $\lambda x. I1 x \wedge I2 x$ )
  by (auto simp add: invariant.simps)

```

```

lemma rtranclp-invariant-induct[consumes 3, case-names base step]:
  assumes  $r^{**} a b$ 
  assumes invariant r I
  assumes I a
  assumes P a
  assumes  $(\bigwedge y z. r^{**} a y \implies r y z \implies I y \implies I z \implies P y \implies P z)$ 
  shows P b
proof-
  from assms(1,3)
  have P b and I b

```

```

proof(induction)
  case base
    from ⟨P a⟩ show P a.
    from ⟨I a⟩ show I a.
  next
    case (step y z)
      with ⟨I a⟩ have P y and I y by auto

      from assms(2) ⟨r y z⟩ ⟨I y⟩
      show I z by (rule invariantE)

      from ⟨r** a y⟩ ⟨r y z⟩ ⟨I y⟩ ⟨I z⟩ ⟨P y⟩
      show P z by (rule assms(5))
  qed
  thus P b by –
  qed

fun closed :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where closed ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  fv ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cup$  upds S

fun heap-upds-ok where heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  domA  $\Gamma \cap$  upds S = {}  $\wedge$  distinct (upds-list S)

abbreviation heap-upds-ok-conf :: conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where heap-upds-ok-conf c  $\equiv$  heap-upds-ok (fst c, snd (snd c))

lemma heap-upds-oke: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\implies$   $x \in$  domA  $\Gamma \implies x \notin$  upds S
  by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-Nil[simp]: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, []$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-app1: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\implies$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Arg } x \# S$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-app2: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Arg } x \# S$ )  $\implies$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-alts1: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )  $\implies$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ ) by auto
lemma heap-upds-ok-alts2: heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ )  $\implies$  heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ ) by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-append:
  assumes domA  $\Delta \cap$  upds S = {}
  assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )
  shows heap-upds-ok ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, S$ )
  using assms
  unfolding heap-upds-ok.simps
  by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-let:
  assumes atom ` domA  $\Delta \sharp* S$ 
  assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )
  shows heap-upds-ok ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, S$ )
  using assms(2) fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(1)]

```

```

by (auto intro: heap-upds-ok-append dest: set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-stack:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  by (auto)

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-stack':
   $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  by (metis Domain.DomainI domA-def fst-eq-Domain heap-upds-ok-to-stack map-of-SomeD)

lemma heap-upds-ok-delete:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, S)$ 
  by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-restrictA:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{restrictA } V \Gamma, S)$ 
  by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-restr-stack:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\text{restr-stack } V S)$ 
  apply auto
  by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-to-heap:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } ((x, e) \# \Gamma, S)$ 
  by auto

lemma heap-upds-ok-reorder:
   $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } ((x, e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, S)$ 
  by (intro heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack)

lemma heap-upds-ok-upd:
   $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, \text{Upd } x \# S) \implies x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \wedge x \notin \text{upds } S$ 
  by auto

lemmas heap-upds-ok-intros[intro] =
  heap-upds-ok-to-heap heap-upds-ok-to-stack heap-upds-ok-to-stack' heap-upds-ok-reorder
  heap-upds-ok-app1 heap-upds-ok-app2 heap-upds-ok-alts1 heap-upds-ok-alts2 heap-upds-ok-delete
  heap-upds-ok-restrictA heap-upds-ok-restr-stack
  heap-upds-ok-let
lemmas heap-upds-ok.simps[simp del]

end

```

## 41 Sestoft.tex

```

theory Sestoft
imports SestoftConf
begin

inductive step :: conf ⇒ conf ⇒ bool (infix ⇒ 50) where
| app1: (Γ, App e x, S) ⇒ (Γ, e , Arg x # S)
| app2: (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S) ⇒ (Γ, e[y ::= x] , S)
| var1: map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒ (delete x Γ, e , Upd x # S)
| var2: x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ isVal e ⇒ (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒ ((x,e)# Γ, e , S)
| let1: atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ⇒ atom ` domA Δ #* S
    ⇒ (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒ (Δ@Γ, e , S)
| if1: (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⇒ (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
| if2: (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⇒ (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)

abbreviation steps (infix ⇒* 50) where steps ≡ step**

lemma SmartLet-stepI:
atom ` domA Δ #* Γ ⇒ atom ` domA Δ #* S ⇒ (Γ, SmartLet Δ e, S) ⇒* (Δ@Γ, e , S)
unfolding SmartLet-def by (auto intro: let1)

lemma lambda-var: map-of Γ x = Some e ⇒ isVal e ⇒ (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒* ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e , S)
by (rule rtranclp-trans[OF r-into-rtranclp r-into-rtranclp])
(auto intro: var1 var2)

lemma let1-closed:
assumes closed (Γ, Let Δ e, S)
assumes domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}
assumes domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}
shows (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒ (Δ@Γ, e , S)
proof
from ⟨domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}⟩ and ⟨domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}⟩
have domA Δ ∩ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) = {} by auto
with ⟨closed ⟩
have domA Δ ∩ fv (Γ, S) = {} by auto
hence atom ` domA Δ #* (Γ, S)
by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fv-def fresh-def)
thus atom ` domA Δ #* Γ and atom ` domA Δ #* S by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
qed

```

An induction rule that skips the annoying case of a lambda taken off the heap

```

lemma step-invariant-induction[consumes 4, case-names app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1
if2 refl trans]:
assumes c ⇒* c'
assumes ¬ boring-step c'
assumes invariant op ⇒ I

```

```

assumes I c
assumes app1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma e x S . I (\Gamma, App e x, S) \implies P (\Gamma, App e x, S)$  ( $\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S$ )
assumes app2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma y e x S . I (\Gamma, Lam [y]. e, Arg x \# S) \implies P (\Gamma, Lam [y]. e, Arg x \# S)$  ( $\Gamma, e[y := x], S$ )
assumes thunk:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . map\text{-}of \Gamma x = Some e \implies \neg isVal e \implies I (\Gamma, Var x, S) \implies P (\Gamma, Var x, S)$  ( $delete x \Gamma, e, Upd x \# S$ )
assumes lamvar:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . map\text{-}of \Gamma x = Some e \implies isVal e \implies I (\Gamma, Var x, S) \implies P (\Gamma, Var x, S)$  ( $((x,e) \# delete x \Gamma, e, S)$ )
assumes var2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma x e S . x \notin domA \Gamma \implies isVal e \implies I (\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S) \implies P (\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S)$  ( $((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ )
assumes let1:  $\bigwedge \Delta \Gamma e S . atom ` domA \Delta \#* \Gamma \implies atom ` domA \Delta \#* S \implies I (\Gamma, Let \Delta e, S) \implies P (\Gamma, Let \Delta e, S)$  ( $\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S$ )
assumes if1:  $\bigwedge \Gamma scrut e1 e2 S . I (\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) \implies P (\Gamma, scrut ? e1 : e2, S)$  ( $\Gamma, scrut, Alts e1 e2 \# S$ )
assumes if2:  $\bigwedge \Gamma b e1 e2 S . I (\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S) \implies P (\Gamma, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 \# S)$  ( $\Gamma, if b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S$ )
assumes refl:  $\bigwedge c . P c c$ 
assumes trans[trans]:  $\bigwedge c c' c'' . c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \implies P c c' \implies P c' c'' \implies P c c''$ 
shows P c c'
proof-
from assms(1,3,4)
have P c c' ∨ (boring-step c' ∧ (∀ c''. c' ⇒ c'' → P c c''))
proof(induction rule: rtranclp-invariant-induct)
case base
have P c c by (rule refl)
thus ?case..
next
case (step y z)
from step(5)
show ?case
proof
assume P c y
note t = trans[OF ⟨c ⇒* y⟩ r-into-rtranclp[where r = step, OF ⟨y ⇒ z⟩]]
from ⟨y ⇒ z⟩
show ?thesis
proof (cases)
case app1 hence P y z using assms(5) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
with ⟨P c y⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)
next
case app2 hence P y z using assms(6) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
with ⟨P c y⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)
next
case (var1 Γ x e S)
show ?thesis
proof (cases isVal e)
case False with var1 have P y z using assms(7) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
with ⟨P c y⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)

```

```

next
  case True
    have *:  $y \Rightarrow^* ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$  using var1 True lambda-var by metis

    have boring-step ( $\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S$ ) using True ..
  moreover
    have  $P y ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$  using var1 assms(8) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
    with ⟨ $P c y$ ⟩ have  $P c ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$  by (rule trans[OF ⟨ $c \Rightarrow^* y$ ⟩ *])  

    ultimately
      show ?thesis using var1(2,3) True by (auto elim!: step.cases)
  qed
next
  case var2 hence  $P y z$  using assms(9) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
  with ⟨ $P c y$ ⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)
next
  case let1 hence  $P y z$  using assms(10) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
  with ⟨ $P c y$ ⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)
next
  case if1 hence  $P y z$  using assms(11) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
  with ⟨ $P c y$ ⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)
next
  case if2 hence  $P y z$  using assms(12) ⟨I y⟩ by metis
  with ⟨ $P c y$ ⟩ show ?thesis by (metis t)
qed
next
  assume boring-step  $y \wedge (\forall c''. y \Rightarrow c'' \longrightarrow P c c'')$ 
  with ⟨ $y \Rightarrow z$ ⟩
  have  $P c z$  by blast
  thus ?thesis..
qed
qed
with assms(2)
show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma step-induction[consumes 2, case-names app1 app2 thunk lamvar var2 let1 if1 if2 refl trans]:
  assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$ 
  assumes  $\neg \text{boring-step } c'$ 
  assumes  $\text{app}_1: \bigwedge \Gamma e x S . P(\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S) \quad (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S)$ 
  assumes  $\text{app}_2: \bigwedge \Gamma y e x S . P(\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \# S) \quad (\Gamma, e[y ::= x], S)$ 
  assumes  $\text{thunk}: \bigwedge \Gamma x e S . \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies \neg \text{isVal } e \implies P(\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \quad (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 
  assumes  $\text{lamvar}: \bigwedge \Gamma x e S . \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \implies \text{isVal } e \implies P(\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \quad ((x,e) \# \text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes  $\text{var}_2: \bigwedge \Gamma x e S . x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{isVal } e \implies P(\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) \quad ((x,e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  assumes  $\text{let}_1: \bigwedge \Delta \Gamma e S . \text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Delta \nparallel \Gamma \implies \text{atom} ` \text{domA } \Delta \nparallel S \implies P(\Gamma, \text{Let } \Delta$ 

```

```

 $e, S) (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S)$ 
assumes  $\text{if}_1: \bigwedge \Gamma \text{ scrut } e_1 e_2 S. P (\Gamma, \text{scrut} ? e_1 : e_2, S) (\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e_1 e_2 \# S)$ 
assumes  $\text{if}_2: \bigwedge \Gamma b e_1 e_2 S. P (\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e_1 e_2 \# S) (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2, S)$ 
assumes  $\text{refl}: \bigwedge c. P c c$ 
assumes  $\text{trans}[\text{trans}]: \bigwedge c c' c''. c \Rightarrow^* c' \Rightarrow c'' \Rightarrow P c c' \Rightarrow P c' c'' \Rightarrow P c c''$ 
shows  $P c c'$ 
by (rule step-invariant-induction[OF - - invariant-True, simplified, OF assms])

```

## 41.1 Equivariance

```

lemma step-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\text{step } x y \Rightarrow \text{step } (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y)$ 
  apply (induction rule: step.induct)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (rule permute-boolE[where  $p = -\pi$ ], simp add: permute-minus-self)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  apply (perm-simp, rule step.intros)
  done

```

## 41.2 Invariants

```

lemma closed-invariant:
  invariant step closed
proof
  fix  $c c'$ 
  assume  $c \Rightarrow c'$  and closed  $c$ 
  thus closed  $c'$ 
  by (induction rule: step.induct) (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq dest!: set-mp[OF fv-delete-subset]
  dest: set-mp[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset])
qed

lemma heap-upds-ok-invariant:
  invariant step heap-upds-ok-conf
proof
  fix  $c c'$ 
  assume  $c \Rightarrow c'$  and heap-upds-ok-conf  $c$ 
  thus heap-upds-ok-conf  $c'$ 
  by (induction rule: step.induct) auto
qed

end

```

## 42 SestoftCorrect.tex

```

theory SestoftCorrect
imports BalancedTraces Launchbury Sestoft
begin

lemma lemma-2:
assumes  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : z$ 
and  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, e, S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
shows  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, S)$ 
using assms
proof(induction arbitrary: S rule:reds.induct)
case (Lambda  $\Gamma x e L$ )
show ?case..
next
case (Application  $y \Gamma e x L \Delta \Theta z e'$ )
from  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
have prem1:  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$  by simp
from prem1 reds-pres-closed[ $\text{OF } \langle \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : \text{Lam } [y]. e' \rangle$ ] reds-doesnt-forget[ $\text{OF } \langle \Gamma : e \Downarrow_L \Delta : \text{Lam } [y]. e' \rangle$ ]
have prem2:  $\text{fv}(\Delta, e'[y ::= x], S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Delta$  by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)
have  $(\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S)$ ..
also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Delta, \text{Lam } [y]. e', \text{Arg } x \# S)$  by (rule Application.IH(1)[OF prem1])
also have ...  $\Rightarrow (\Delta, e'[y ::= x], S)$ ..
also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Theta, z, S)$  by (rule Application.IH(2)[OF prem2])
finally show ?case.
next
case (Variable  $\Gamma x e L \Delta z S$ )
from Variable(2)
have isVal z by (rule result-evaluated)

have  $x \notin \text{domA } \Delta$  by (rule reds-avoids-live[ $\text{OF } \text{Variable}(2)$ , where  $x = x$ ]) simp-all
from  $\text{fv}(\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \subseteq \text{set } L \cup \text{domA } \Gamma$ 
have prem:  $\text{fv}(\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S) \subseteq \text{set } (x \# L) \cup \text{domA } (\text{delete } x \Gamma)$ 
by (auto dest: set-mp[ $\text{OF } \text{fv-delete-subset}$ ] set-mp[ $\text{OF } \text{map-of-Some-fv-subset}$ [ $\text{OF } \langle \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \rangle$ ]])
from  $\langle \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \rangle$ 
have  $(\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) \Rightarrow (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ ..
also have ...  $\Rightarrow^* (\Delta, z, \text{Upd } x \# S)$  by (rule Variable.IH[ $\text{OF } \text{prem}$ ])
also have ...  $\Rightarrow ((x, z) \# \Delta, z, S)$  using  $\langle x \notin \text{domA } \Delta \rangle \langle \text{isVal } z \rangle$  by (rule var2)
finally show ?case.
next
case (Bool  $\Gamma b L S$ )
show ?case..

```

```

next
case (IfThenElse  $\Gamma$  scrut  $L \Delta b e_1 e_2 \Theta z S$ )
  have ( $\Gamma$ , scrut ?  $e_1 : e_2$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts  $e_1 e_2 \#S$ )..
  also
  from IfThenElse.prems
  have prem1: fv ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts  $e_1 e_2 \#S$ )  $\subseteq$  set  $L \cup \text{domA}$   $\Gamma$  by auto
  hence ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts  $e_1 e_2 \#S$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Delta$ , Bool  $b$ , Alts  $e_1 e_2 \#S$ )
    by (rule IfThenElse.IH)
  also
  have ( $\Delta$ , Bool  $b$ , Alts  $e_1 e_2 \#S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\Delta$ , if b then e1 else e2,  $S$ )..
  also
  from prem1 reds-pres-closed[OF IfThenElse(1)] reds-doesnt-forget[OF IfThenElse(1)]
  have prem2: fv ( $\Delta$ , if b then e1 else e2,  $S$ )  $\subseteq$  set  $L \cup \text{domA}$   $\Delta$  by auto
  hence ( $\Delta$ , if b then e1 else e2,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Theta, z, S$ ) by (rule IfThenElse.IH(2))
  finally
  show ?case.
next
case (Let as  $\Gamma L \text{ body } \Delta z S$ )
  from Let(4)
  have prem: fv (as @  $\Gamma$ , body,  $S$ )  $\subseteq$  set  $L \cup \text{domA}$  (as @  $\Gamma$ ) by auto

  from Let(1)
  have atom`domA as`#*`Gamma by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
  moreover
  from Let(1)
  have domA as`cap`fv`Gamma, L` = {}
    by (rule fresh-distinct-fv)
  hence domA as`cap`set`L`cup`domA`Gamma` = {}
    by (auto dest: set-mp[OF domA-fv-subset])
  with Let(4)
  have domA as`cap`fv`S` = {}
    by auto
  hence atom`domA as`#*`S
    by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fv-def fresh-def)
  ultimately
  have ( $\Gamma$ , Terms.Let as body,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (as@ $\Gamma$ , body,  $S$ )..
  also have ...  $\Rightarrow^*$  ( $\Delta, z, S$ )
    by (rule Let.IH[OF prem])
  finally show ?case.
qed

```

**type-synonym** *trace* = *conf list*

**fun** *stack :: conf*  $\Rightarrow$  *stack* **where** *stack* ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) =  $S$

**interpretation** *traces step*.

**abbreviation** *trace-syn* (-  $\Rightarrow^*_- - [50,50,50] 50$ ) **where** *trace-syn*  $\equiv$  *trace*

```

lemma conf-trace-induct-final[consumes 1, case-names trace-nil trace-cons]:
   $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* T \text{ final} \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma e S. \text{final} = (\Gamma, e, S) \implies P \Gamma e S \sqsubseteq (\Gamma, e, S)) \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma e S T \Gamma' e' S'. (\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* T \text{ final} \implies P \Gamma' e' S' T \text{ final} \implies (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow (\Gamma', e', S') \implies P \Gamma e S ((\Gamma', e', S') \# T) \text{ final} \implies P \Gamma e S T \text{ final}$ 
  by (induction  $(\Gamma, e, S)$  T final arbitrary:  $\Gamma e S$  rule: trace-induct-final) auto

interpretation balance-trace step stack
  apply standard
  apply (erule step.cases)
  apply auto
  done

abbreviation bal-syn (-  $\Rightarrow^{b*} - - [50,50,50]$  50) where bal-syn  $\equiv$  bal

lemma isVal-stops:
  assumes isVal e
  assumes  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  shows  $T = []$ 
  using assms
  apply -
  apply (erule balE)
  apply (erule trace.cases)
  apply simp
  apply auto
  apply (auto elim!: step.cases)
  done

lemma Ball-subst[simp]:
   $(\forall p \in \text{set } (\Gamma[y::h=x]). f p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall p \in \text{set } \Gamma. \text{case } p \text{ of } (z, e) \Rightarrow f(z, e[y::=x]))$ 
  by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma lemma-3:
  assumes  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S)$ 
  assumes isVal z
  shows  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list } S} \Delta : z$ 
  using assms
  proof(induction T arbitrary:  $\Gamma e S \Delta z$  rule: measure-induct-rule[where  $f = \text{length}$ ])
    case (less T  $\Gamma e S \Delta z$ )
    from  $\langle (\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^{b*} T (\Delta, z, S) \rangle$ 
    have  $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* T (\Delta, z, S)$  and  $\forall c' \in \text{set } T. S \lesssim \text{stack } c'$  unfolding bal.simps by auto
    from this(1)
    show ?case
    proof(cases)
      case trace-nil
        from ⟨isVal z⟩ trace-nil show ?thesis by (auto intro: reds-isValI)
      next
      case (trace-cons conf' T')

```

```

from ⟨T = conf' # T'⟩ and ∀ c'∈set T. S ≤ stack c' have S ≤ stack conf' by auto

from ⟨(Γ, e, S) ⇒ conf'⟩
show ?thesis
proof(cases)
case (app1 e x)
obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
where T' = T1 @ c4 # T2 and prem1: (Γ, e, Arg x # S) ⇒b* T1 c3 and c3 ⇒ c4 and
prem2: c4 ⇒b* T2 (Δ, z, S)
by (rule bal-conse[OF ⟨bal - T →[unfolded app1 trace-cons]⟩]) (simp, rule)

from ⟨T = -> T' = -> have length T1 < length T and length T2 < length T by auto

from prem1 have stack c3 = Arg x # S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
from prem2 have stack c4 = S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
note ⟨c3 ⇒ c4⟩
ultimately
obtain Δ' y e' where c3 = (Δ', Lam [y]. e', Arg x # S) and c4 = (Δ', e'[y ::= x], S)
by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

from less(1)[OF ⟨length T1 < length T⟩ prem1[unfolded ⟨c3 = -> c4 = ->⟩]]
have Γ : e ↓upds-list S Δ' : Lam [y]. e' by simp
moreover
from less(1)[OF ⟨length T2 < length T⟩ prem2[unfolded ⟨c3 = -> c4 = ->⟩] (isVal z)]
have Δ' : e'[y ::= x] ↓upds-list S Δ : z by simp
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding app1
by (rule reds-ApplicationI)
next
case (app2 y e x S')
from ⟨conf' ==> S = - # S'⟩ (S ≤ stack conf')
have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
thus ?thesis..
next
case (var1 x e)
obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
where T' = T1 @ c4 # T2 and prem1: (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒b* T1 c3 and c3 ⇒
c4 and prem2: c4 ⇒b* T2 (Δ, z, S)
by (rule bal-conse[OF ⟨bal - T →[unfolded var1 trace-cons]⟩]) (simp, rule)

from ⟨T = -> T' = -> have length T1 < length T and length T2 < length T by auto

from prem1 have stack c3 = Upd x # S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
from prem2 have stack c4 = S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover

```

```

note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
ultimately
obtain  $\Delta' z'$  where  $c_3 = (\Delta', z', \text{Upd } x \# S)$  and  $c_4 = ((x,z')\#\Delta', z', S)$  and  $\text{isVal}_{z'}$ 
by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

from  $\langle \text{isVal } z' \rangle$  and prem2[unfolded  $\langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$ ]
have  $T_2 = []$  by (rule isVal-stops)
with prem2  $\langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$ 
have  $z' = z$  and  $\Delta = (x,z)\#\Delta'$  by auto

from less(1)[OF length T1 < length T] prem1[unfolded  $\langle c_3 = \dashv \rangle$   $\langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$   $\langle z' = \dashv \rangle$ ]  $\langle \text{isVal}_{z'} \rangle$ 
have delete x  $\Gamma : e \Downarrow_x \# \text{upds-list } S \Delta' : z$  by simp
with map-of - - =  $\dashv$ 
show ?thesis unfolding var1(1)  $\langle \Delta = \dashv \rangle$  by rule
next
case (var2 x S')
from  $\langle \text{conf}' = \dashv \rangle$   $\langle S = - \# S' \rangle$   $\langle S \lesssim \text{stack conf}' \rangle$ 
have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
thus ?thesis..
next
case (if1 scrut e1 e2)
obtain T1 c3 c4 T2
where  $T' = T_1 @ c_4 \# T_2$  and prem1: ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\Rightarrow^{b*} T_1 c_3$  and  $c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$  and prem2:  $c_4 \Rightarrow^{b*} T_2 (\Delta, z, S)$ 
by (rule bal-conse[OF bal - T  $\dashv$ [unfolded if1 trace-cons]]) (simp, rule)

from  $\langle T = \dashv \rangle$   $\langle T' = \dashv \rangle$  have length T1 < length T and length T2 < length T by auto

from prem1 have stack c3 = Alts e1 e2 # S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
from prem2 have stack c4 = S by (auto dest: bal-stackD)
moreover
note  $\langle c_3 \Rightarrow c_4 \rangle$ 
ultimately
obtain  $\Delta' b$  where  $c_3 = (\Delta', \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e_1 e_2 \# S)$  and  $c_4 = (\Delta', (\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2), S)$ 
by (auto elim!: step.cases simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

from less(1)[OF length T1 < length T] prem1[unfolded  $\langle c_3 = \dashv \rangle$   $\langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$ ]  $\langle \text{isVal-Bool} \rangle$ 
have  $\Gamma : \text{scrut} \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list } S} \Delta' : \text{Bool } b$  by simp
moreover
from less(1)[OF length T2 < length T] prem2[unfolded  $\langle c_4 = \dashv \rangle$ ]  $\langle \text{isVal}_{z'} \rangle$ 
have  $\Delta' : (\text{if } b \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2) \Downarrow_{\text{upds-list } S} \Delta : z$ .
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding if1 by (rule reds.IfThenElse)
next
case (if2 b e1 e2 S')

```

```

from <conf' = -> <S = - # S'> <S ≤ stack conf'>
have False by (auto simp add: extends-def)
thus ?thesis..
next
case (let1 as e)
from <T = conf' # T'> have length T' < length T by auto
moreover
have (as @ Γ, e, S) ⇒b* T' (Δ, z, S)
  using trace-cons <conf' = -> ∀ c'∈set T. S ≤ stack c' by fastforce
moreover
note <isVal z>
ultimately
have as @ Γ : e ∈ upds-list S Δ : z by (rule less)
moreover
from <atom ‘domA as #* Γ> <atom ‘domA as #* S>
have atom ‘domA as #* (Γ, upds-list S) by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair)
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding let1 by (rule reds.Let[rotated])
qed
qed
qed

lemma dummy-stack-extended:
set S ⊆ Dummy ‘UNIV ⇒ x ∉ Dummy ‘UNIV ⇒ (S ≤ x # S') ↔ S ≤ S'
apply (auto simp add: extends-def)
apply (case-tac S'')
apply auto
done

lemma[simp]: Arg x ∉ range Dummy Upd x ∉ range Dummy Alts e1 e2 ∉ range Dummy by
auto

lemma dummy-stack-balanced:
assumes set S ⊆ Dummy ‘UNIV
assumes (Γ, e, S) ⇒* (Δ, z, S)
obtains T where (Γ, e, S) ⇒b* T (Δ, z, S)
proof-
  from build-trace[OF assms(2)]
  obtain T where (Γ, e, S) ⇒* T (Δ, z, S).. 
  moreover
  hence ∀ c'∈set T. stack (Γ, e, S) ≤ stack c'
    by (rule conjunct1[OF traces-list-all])
    (auto elim: step.cases simp add: dummy-stack-extended[OF <set S ⊆ Dummy ‘UNIV>])
  ultimately
  have (Γ, e, S) ⇒b* T (Δ, z, S)
    by (rule ballI) simp
  thus ?thesis by (rule that)
qed

```

```
end
```

## 43 Arity.tex

```
theory Arity
imports HOLCF-Join-Classes Lifting
begin

typedef Arity = UNIV :: nat set
morphisms Rep-Arity to-Arity by auto

setup-lifting type-definition-Arity

instantiation Arity :: po
begin
lift-definition below-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity ⇒ bool is λ x y . y ≤ x.

instance
apply standard
apply ((transfer, auto)+)
done
end

instance Arity :: chfin
proof
fix S :: nat ⇒ Arity
assume chain S
have LeastM Rep-Arity (λx. x ∈ range S) ∈ range S
by (rule LeastM-natI) auto
then obtain n where n: S n = LeastM Rep-Arity (λx. x ∈ range S) by auto
have max-in-chain n S
proof(rule max-in-chainI)
fix j
assume n ≤ j hence S n ⊑ S j using ⟨chain S⟩ by (metis chain-mono)
also
have Rep-Arity (S n) ≤ Rep-Arity (S j)
unfolding n image-def
by (metis (lifting, full-types) LeastM-nat-lemma UNIV-I mem-Collect-eq)
hence S j ⊑ S n by transfer
finally
show S n = S j.
qed
thus ∃ n. max-in-chain n S..
qed

instance Arity :: cpo ..
```

```

lift-definition inc-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity is Suc.
lift-definition pred-Arity :: Arity ⇒ Arity is (λ x . x - 1).

lemma inc-Arity-cont[simp]: cont inc-Arity
  apply (rule chfindom-monofun2cont)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (transfer, simp)
done

lemma pred-Arity-cont[simp]: cont pred-Arity
  apply (rule chfindom-monofun2cont)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (transfer, simp)
done

definition inc :: Arity → Arity where
  inc = (Λ x. inc-Arity x)

definition pred :: Arity → Arity where
  pred = (Λ x. pred-Arity x)

lemma inc-inj[simp]: inc·n = inc·n' ↔ n = n'
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma pred-inc[simp]: pred·(inc·n) = n
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-below-inc[simp]: inc·a ⊑ inc·b ↔ a ⊑ b
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-below-below-pred[elim]:
  inc·a ⊑ b ==> a ⊑ pred · b
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma Rep-Arity-inc[simp]: Rep-Arity (inc·a') = Suc (Rep-Arity a')
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

instantiation Arity :: zero
begin
lift-definition zero-Arity :: Arity is 0.
instance..
end

instantiation Arity :: one
begin
lift-definition one-Arity :: Arity is 1.
instance ..
end

```

```

lemma one-is-inc-zero:  $1 = inc \cdot 0$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def, transfer, simp)

lemma inc-not-0[simp]:  $inc \cdot n = 0 \longleftrightarrow False$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma pred-0[simp]:  $pred \cdot 0 = 0$ 
  by (simp add: inc-def pred-def, transfer, simp)

lemma Arity-ind:  $P 0 \implies (\bigwedge n. P n \implies P (inc \cdot n)) \implies P n$ 
  apply (simp add: inc-def)
  apply transfer
  by (rule nat.induct)

lemma Arity-total:
  fixes x y :: Arity
  shows  $x \sqsubseteq y \vee y \sqsubseteq x$ 
  by transfer auto

instance Arity :: Finite-Join-cpo
proof
  fix x y :: Arity
  show compatible x y by (metis Arity-total compatibleI)
qed

lemma Arity-zero-top[simp]:  $(x :: Arity) \sqsubseteq 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-above-top[simp]:  $0 \sqsubseteq (a :: Arity) \longleftrightarrow a = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-zero-join[simp]:  $(x :: Arity) \sqcup 0 = 0$ 
  by transfer simp
lemma Arity-zero-join2[simp]:  $0 \sqcup (x :: Arity) = 0$ 
  by transfer simp

lemma Arity-up-zero-join[simp]:  $(x :: Arity_{\perp}) \sqcup up \cdot 0 = up \cdot 0$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma Arity-up-zero-join2[simp]:  $up \cdot 0 \sqcup (x :: Arity_{\perp}) = up \cdot 0$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma up-zero-top[simp]:  $x \sqsubseteq up \cdot (0 :: Arity)$ 
  by (cases x) auto
lemma Arity-above-up-top[simp]:  $up \cdot 0 \sqsubseteq (a :: Arity_{\perp}) \longleftrightarrow a = up \cdot 0$ 
  by (metis Arity-up-zero-join2 join-self-below(4))

lemma Arity-exhaust:  $(y = 0 \implies P) \implies (\bigwedge x. y = inc \cdot x \implies P) \implies P$ 
  by (metis Abs-cfun-inverse2 Arity.inc-def Rep-Arity-inverse inc-Arity.abs-eq inc-Arity-cont)

```

```
list-decode.cases zero-Arity-def)
```

```
end
```

## 44 AEnv.tex

```
theory AEnv
imports Arity Vars Env
begin
```

```
type-synonym AEnv = var ⇒ Arity⊥
```

```
end
```

## 45 Arity-Nominal.tex

```
theory Arity—Nominal
imports Arity Nominal—HOLCF
begin
```

```
lemma join-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (x \sqcup (y :: 'a :: \{Finite-Join-cpo, cont-pt\})) = (\pi \cdot x) \sqcup (\pi \cdot y)$ 
  by (rule is-joinI[symmetric]) (auto simp add: perm-below-to-right)
```

```
instantiation Arity :: pure
begin
```

```
  definition  $p \cdot (a :: Arity) = a$ 
  instance
```

```
    apply standard
    apply (auto simp add: permute-Arity-def)
    done
  end
```

```
instance Arity :: cont-pt by standard (simp add: pure-permute-id)
instance Arity :: pure-cont-pt ..
```

```
end
```

## 46 ArityAnalysisSig.tex

```
theory ArityAnalysisSig
```

```

imports Terms AEnv Arity-Nominal Nominal-HOLCF Substitution
begin

locale ArityAnalysis =
  fixes Aexp :: exp ⇒ Arity → AEnv
begin
  abbreviation Aexp-syn (A.)where Aa e ≡ Aexp e·a
  abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn (A⊥_)where A⊥a e ≡ fup·(Aexp e)·a
end

locale ArityAnalysisHeap =
  fixes Aheap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → AEnv

locale EdomArityAnalysis = ArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-edom: edom (Aa e) ⊆ fv e
begin
  lemma fup-Aexp-edom: edom (A⊥a e) ⊆ fv e
    by (cases a) (auto dest:set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
  lemma Aexp-fresh-bot[simp]: assumes atom v # e shows Aa e v = ⊥
    proof-
      from assms have v ∉ fv e by (metis fv-not-fresh)
      with Aexp-edom have v ∉ edom (Aa e) by auto
      thus ?thesis unfolding edom-def by simp
    qed
end

locale ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt = ArityAnalysisHeap +
  assumes Aheap-eqvt[eqvt]: π · Aheap = Aheap
end

```

## 47 ArityAnalysisAbinds.tex

```

theory ArityAnalysisAbinds
imports ArityAnalysisSig
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin

```

### 47.1 Lifting arity analysis to recursive groups

```

definition ABind :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ (AEnv → AEnv)
  where ABInd v e = (Λ ae. fup·(Aexp e)·(ae v))

```

```

lemma ABind-eq[simp]: ABind v e · ae = A⊥ae v e
  unfolding ABind-def by (simp add: cont-fun)

fun ABinds :: heap ⇒ (AEnv → AEnv)
  where ABinds [] = ⊥
    | ABinds ((v,e)#binds) = ABind v e ∙ ABinds (delete v binds)

lemma ABinds-strict[simp]: ABinds Γ·⊥=⊥
  by (induct Γ rule: ABinds.induct) auto

lemma Abinds-reorder1: map-of Γ v = Some e ⇒ ABinds Γ = ABind v e ∙ ABinds (delete v Γ)
  by (induction Γ rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: delete-twist)

lemma ABind-below-ABinds: map-of Γ v = Some e ⇒ ABind v e ⊑ ABinds Γ
  by (metis HOLCF-Join-Classes.join-above1 ArityAnalysis.Abinds-reorder1)

lemma Abinds-reorder: map-of Γ = map-of Δ ⇒ ABinds Γ = ABinds Δ
proof (induction Γ arbitrary: Δ rule: ABinds.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 v e Γ Δ)
    from `map-of ((v, e) # Γ) = map-of Δ`
    have `map-of ((v, e) # Γ)(v := None) = (map-of Δ)(v := None)` by simp
    hence `map-of (delete v Γ) = map-of (delete v Δ)` unfolding delete-set-none by simp
    hence `ABinds (delete v Γ) = ABinds (delete v Δ)` by (rule 2)
    moreover
      from `map-of ((v, e) # Γ) = map-of Δ`
      have `map-of Δ v = Some e` by (metis map-of-Cons-code(2))
      hence `ABinds Δ = ABind v e ∙ ABinds (delete v Δ)` by (rule Abinds-reorder1)
    ultimately
      show ?case by auto
qed

lemma Abinds-env-cong: (Λ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ ae x = ae' x) ⇒ ABinds Δ·ae = ABinds Δ·ae'
  by (induct Δ rule: ABinds.induct) auto

lemma Abinds-env-restr-cong: ae f|` domA Δ = ae' f|` domA Δ ⇒ ABinds Δ·ae = ABinds Δ·ae'
  by (rule Abinds-env-cong) (metis env-restr-eqD)

lemma ABinds-env-restr[simp]: ABinds Δ·(ae f|` domA Δ) = ABinds Δ·ae
  by (rule Abinds-env-restr-cong) simp

lemma Abinds-join-fresh: ae' ` (domA Δ) ⊆ {⊥} ⇒ ABinds Δ·(ae ∙ ae') = (ABinds Δ·ae)

```

```

by (rule Abinds-env-cong) auto

lemma ABinds-delete-bot: ae x = ⊥ ⟹ ABinds (delete x Γ)·ae = ABinds Γ·ae
  by (induction Γ rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: delete-twist)

lemma ABinds-restr-fresh:
  assumes atom `S #* Γ
  shows ABinds Γ·ae f|` (– S) = ABinds Γ·(ae f|` (– S)) f|` (– S)
  using assms
  apply (induction Γ rule:ABinds.induct)
  apply simp
  apply (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp simp add: env-restr-join fresh-star-Pair fresh-star-Cons
fresh-star-delete)
  apply (subst lookup-env-restr)
  apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) ComplI fresh-at-base(2) fresh-star-def imageI)
  apply simp
done

lemma ABinds-restr:
  assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
  shows ABinds Γ·ae f|` S = ABinds Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
  using assms
  by (induction Γ rule:ABinds.induct) (fastforce simp del: fun-meet-simp simp add: env-restr-join)+

lemma ABinds-restr-subst:
  assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x',e) ∈ set Γ ⟹ Aexp e[x::=y]·a f|` S = Aexp e·a f|` S
  assumes x ∉ S
  assumes y ∉ S
  assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
  shows ABinds Γ[x::h=y]·ae f|` S = ABinds Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
  using assms
  apply (induction Γ rule:ABinds.induct)
  apply (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp join-comm simp add: env-restr-join)
  apply (rule arg-cong2[where f = join])
  apply (case-tac ae v)
  apply (auto dest: set-mp[OF set-delete-subset])
done

lemma Abinds-append-disjoint: domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {} ⟹ ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·ae = ABinds
Δ·ae ∪ ABinds Γ·ae
proof (induct Δ rule: ABinds.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 v e Δ)
  from 2(2)
  have v ∉ domA Γ and domA (delete v Δ) ∩ domA Γ = {} by auto
  from 2(1)[OF this(2)]
  have ABinds (delete v Δ @ Γ)·ae = ABinds (delete v Δ)·ae ∪ ABinds Γ·ae.
  moreover

```

```

have delete v  $\Gamma = \Gamma$  by (metis `v ∉ domA  $\Gamma` delete-not-domA)
ultimately
show ABinds (((v, e) #  $\Delta) @ \Gamma`·ae = ABinds ((v, e) #  $\Delta)·ae \sqcup ABinds \Gamma`·ae
  by auto
qed

lemma ABinds-restr-subset:  $S \subseteq S' \implies ABinds (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma)`·ae \sqsubseteq ABinds (\text{restrictA } S' \Gamma)`·ae
  by (induct \Gamma rule: ABinds.induct)
    (auto simp add: join-below-iff restr-delete-twist intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2])

lemma ABinds-restrict-edom: ABinds (\text{restrictA } (edom ae) \Gamma)`·ae = ABinds \Gamma`·ae
  by (induct \Gamma rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: edom-def restr-delete-twist)

lemma ABinds-restrict-below: ABinds (\text{restrictA } S \Gamma)`·ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma`·ae
  by (induct \Gamma rule: ABinds.induct)
    (auto simp add: join-below-iff restr-delete-twist intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2] simp del: fun-meet-simp join-comm)
  end

lemma ABinds-delete-below: ABinds (delete x \Gamma)`·ae \sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma`·ae
  by (induct \Gamma rule: ABinds.induct)
    (auto simp add: join-below-iff delete-twist[where x = x] elim: below-trans simp del: fun-meet-simp)
  end

lemma ABind-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{ArityAnalysis.ABind Aexp } v e) = \text{ArityAnalysis.ABind } (\pi \cdot Aexp)$ 
  ( $\pi \cdot v$ ) ( $\pi \cdot e$ )
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfolding ArityAnalysis.ABind-eq
  by perm-simp rule

lemma ABinds-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds Aexp } \Gamma) = \text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds } (\pi \cdot Aexp)$ 
  ( $\pi \cdot \Gamma$ )
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  apply (induction \Gamma rule: ArityAnalysis.ABinds.induct)
  apply (simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps)
  apply (simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps)
  apply perm-simp
  apply simp
  done

lemma Abinds-cong[fundef-cong]:
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \text{ set heap2} \implies \text{aexp1 } e = \text{aexp2 } e) ; \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds aexp1 heap1} = \text{ArityAnalysis.ABinds aexp2 heap2}$ 
proof (induction heap1 arbitrary:heap2 rule:ArityAnalysis.ABinds.induct)
  case 1
  thus ?case by (auto simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps)
  next
  case prems: ( $\lambda v e. \text{as heap2}$ )$$$$ 
```

```

have snd ` set (delete v as) ⊆ snd ` set as by (rule dom-delete-subset)
also have ... ⊆ snd ` set ((v, e) # as) by auto
also note prems(3)
finally
have (Λe. e ∈ snd ` set (delete v as) ⇒ aexp1 e = aexp2 e) by -(rule prems, auto)
from prems prems(1)[OF this refl] show ?case
  by (auto simp add: ArityAnalysis.ABinds.simps ArityAnalysis.ABind-def)
qed

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin

lemma fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh: atom v # e ⇒ (fup·(Aexp e)·a) v = ⊥
  by (cases a) auto

lemma edom-AnalBinds: edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ⊆ fv Γ
  by (induction Γ rule: ABind.induct)
    (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp dest: set-mp[OF fup-Aexp-edom] dest: set-mp[OF fv-delete-subset])
end

end

```

## 48 ArityAnalysisSpec.tex

```

theory ArityAnalysisSpec
imports ArityAnalysisAbinds
begin

locale SubstArityAnalysis = EdomArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-subst-restr:  $x \notin S \Rightarrow y \notin S \Rightarrow (Aexp e[x:=y] \cdot a) f|` S = (Aexp e \cdot a) f|` S$ 

locale ArityAnalysisSafe = SubstArityAnalysis +
  assumes Aexp-Var: up · n ⊑ (Aexp (Var x) · n) x
  assumes Aexp-App: Aexp e · (inc · n) ⊔ esing x · (up · 0) ⊑ Aexp (App e x) · n
  assumes Aexp-Lam: env-delete y (Aexp e · (pred · n)) ⊑ Aexp (Lam [y]. e) · n
  assumes Aexp-IfThenElse: Aexp scrut · 0 ⊔ Aexp e1 · a ⊔ Aexp e2 · a ⊑ Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) · a

locale ArityAnalysisHeapSafe = ArityAnalysisSafe + ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt +
  assumes edom-Aheap: edom (Aheap Γ e · a) ⊑ domA Γ
  assumes Aheap-subst:  $x \notin \text{dom } A \Gamma \Rightarrow y \notin \text{dom } A \Gamma \Rightarrow Aheap \Gamma[x:h=y] e[x:=y] = Aheap \Gamma e$ 

locale ArityAnalysisLetSafe = ArityAnalysisHeapSafe +
  assumes Aexp-Let: ABinds Γ · (Aheap Γ e · a) ⊔ Aexp e · a ⊑ Aheap Γ e · a ⊔ Aexp (Let Γ e) · a

locale ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
  assumes Aheap-heap3:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \Rightarrow (Aheap \Gamma e · a) x = up · 0$ 

```

```

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin
lemma Aexp-subst-upd: (Aexp e[y:=x]·n) ⊑ (Aexp e·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)
proof-
  have Aexp e[y:=x]·n f|‘(−{x,y}) = Aexp e·n f|‘(−{x,y}) by (rule Aexp-subst-restr) auto

  show ?thesis
  proof (rule fun-belowI)
    fix x'
    have x' = x ∨ x' = y ∨ x' ∈ (−{x,y}) by auto
    thus (Aexp e[y:=x]·n) x' ⊑ ((Aexp e·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) x'
      proof(elim disjE)
        assume x' ∈ (−{x,y})
        moreover
          have Aexp e[y:=x]·n f|‘(−{x,y}) = Aexp e·n f|‘(−{x,y}) by (rule Aexp-subst-restr)
        auto
        note fun-cong[OF this, where x = x']
        ultimately
          show ?thesis by auto
      next
        assume x' = x
        thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        assume x' = y
        thus ?thesis
          using [[simp-trace]]
          by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed

lemma Aexp-subst: Aexp (e[y:=x])·a ⊑ env-delete y ((Aexp e)·a) ⊔ esing x·(up·0)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Aexp-subst-upd])
  apply (rule fun-belowI)
  apply auto
  done
end

context ArityAnalysisSafe
begin

lemma Aexp-Var-singleton: esing x · (up·n) ⊑ Aexp (Var x) · n
  by (simp add: Aexp-Var)

lemma fup-Aexp-Var: esing x · n ⊑ fup·(Aexp (Var x))·n
  by (cases n) (simp-all add: Aexp-Var)
end

```

```

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin
lemma Aheap-nonrec:
  assumes nonrec  $\Delta$ 
  shows  $Aexp e \cdot a f|` domA \Delta \sqsubseteq Aheap \Delta e \cdot a$ 
proof-
  have ABinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp e \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a$  by (rule Aexp-Let)
  note env-restr-mono[where  $S = domA \Delta$ , OF this]
  moreover
  from assms
  have ABinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) f|` domA \Delta = \perp$ 
    by (rule nonrecE) (auto simp add: fv-def fresh-def dest!: set-mp[OF fup-Aexp-edom])
  moreover
  have Aheap  $\Delta e \cdot a f|` domA \Delta = Aheap \Delta e \cdot a$ 
    by (rule env-restr-useless[OF edom-Aheap])
  moreover
  have  $(Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a) f|` domA \Delta = \perp$ 
    by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
  ultimately
  show  $Aexp e \cdot a f|` domA \Delta \sqsubseteq Aheap \Delta e \cdot a$ 
    by (simp add: env-restr-join)
qed
end

end

```

## 49 TrivialArityAnal.tex

```

theory TrivialArityAnal
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Env-Nominal
begin

definition Trivial-Aexp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  AEnv
  where Trivial-Aexp  $e = (\Lambda n. (\lambda x. up \cdot 0) f|` fv e)$ 

lemma Trivial-Aexp-simp: Trivial-Aexp  $e \cdot n = (\lambda x. up \cdot 0) f|` fv e$ 
  unfolding Trivial-Aexp-def by simp

lemma edom-Trivial-Aexp[simp]: edom (Trivial-Aexp  $e \cdot n$ ) = fv  $e$ 
  by (auto simp add: edom-def env-restr-def Trivial-Aexp-def)

lemma Trivial-Aexp-eq[iff]: Trivial-Aexp  $e \cdot n = Trivial-Aexp e' \cdot n' \longleftrightarrow fv e = (fv e' :: var set)$ 
  apply (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp env-restr-def)
  apply (metis up-defined)+
```

**done**

**lemma** *below-Trivial-Aexp[simp]*:  $(ae \sqsubseteq \text{Trivial-Aexp } e \cdot n) \longleftrightarrow \text{edom ae} \subseteq \text{fv } e$   
**by** (auto dest:fun-belowD intro!: fun-belowI simp add: Trivial-Aexp-def env-restr-def edom-def split;if-splits)

**interpretation** ArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp.  
**interpretation** EdomArityAnalysis Trivial-Aexp  
by standard simp

**interpretation** ArityAnalysisSafe Trivial-Aexp  
**proof**

```
fix n x
show up·n ⊑ (Trivial-Aexp (Var x)·n) x
  by (simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp)
next
fix e x n
show Trivial-Aexp e·(inc·n) ∪ esing x·(up·0) ⊑ Trivial-Aexp (App e x)·n
  by (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: Trivial-Aexp-def env-restr-def )
next
fix y e n
show env-delete y (Trivial-Aexp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ Trivial-Aexp (Lam [y]. e)·n
  by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp env-delete-restr Diff-eq inf-commute)
next
fix x y :: var and S e a
assume x ∉ S and y ∉ S
thus Trivial-Aexp e[x:=y]·a f|` S = Trivial-Aexp e·a f|` S
  by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp fv-subst-eq intro!: arg-cong[where f = λ S. env-restr S e for e])
next
fix scrut e1 a e2
show Trivial-Aexp scrut·0 ∪ Trivial-Aexp e1·a ∪ Trivial-Aexp e2·a ⊑ Trivial-Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a
  by (auto intro: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp join-below-iff )
qed
```

**definition** Trivial-Aheap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → AEnv **where**  
 $\text{Trivial-Aheap } \Gamma e = (\Lambda a. (\lambda x. up·0) f|` \text{domA } \Gamma)$

**lemma** *Trivial-Aheap-eqvt[eqvt]*:  $\pi \cdot (\text{Trivial-Aheap } \Gamma e) = \text{Trivial-Aheap } (\pi \cdot \Gamma) (\pi \cdot e)$   
**unfolding** Trivial-Aheap-def  
**apply** perm-simp  
**apply** (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)  
**done**

**lemma** *Trivial-Aheap-simp*:  $\text{Trivial-Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a = (\lambda x. up·0) f|` \text{domA } \Gamma$

```

unfolding Trivial-Aheap-def by simp

lemma Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv: fup·(Trivial-Aexp e)·a ⊑ (λ x . up·0) f|` fv e
  by (cases a)(auto simp add: Trivial-Aexp-simp)

lemma Trivial-Abinds-below-fv: ABinds Γ·ae ⊑ (λ x . up·0) f|` fv Γ
  by (induction Γ rule:ABinds.induct)
    (auto simp add: join-below-iff intro!: below-trans[OF Trivial-fup-Aexp-below-fv] env-restr-mono2
      elim: below-trans dest: set-mp[OF fv-delete-subset] simp del: fun-meet-simp)

interpretation ArityAnalysisLetSafe Trivial-Aexp Trivial-Aheap
proof
  fix π
  show π · Trivial-Aheap = Trivial-Aheap by perm-simp rule
next
  fix Γ e ae show edom (Trivial-Aheap Γ e·ae) ⊆ domA Γ
    by (simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp)
next
  fix Γ :: heap and e and a
  show ABinds Γ·(Trivial-Aheap Γ e·a) ⊑ Trivial-Aexp e·a ⊑ Trivial-Aheap Γ e·a ⊑ Trivial-Aexp
    (Terms.Let Γ e)·a
    by (auto simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp Trivial-Aexp-simp join-below-iff env-restr-join2 intro!:
      env-restr-mono2 below-trans[OF Trivial-Abinds-below-fv])
next
  fix x y :: var and Γ :: heap and e
  assume x ∉ domA Γ and y ∉ domA Γ
  thus Trivial-Aheap Γ[x:=y] e[x:=y] = Trivial-Aheap Γ e
    by (auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: Trivial-Aheap-simp)
qed

end

```

## 50 Cardinality-Domain.tex

```

theory Cardinality-Domain
imports HOLCF-Utils
begin

type-synonym oneShot = one
abbreviation notOneShot :: oneShot where notOneShot ≡ ONE
abbreviation oneShot :: oneShot where oneShot ≡ ⊥

type-synonym two = oneShot_⊥
abbreviation many :: two where many ≡ up·notOneShot
abbreviation once :: two where once ≡ up·oneShot
abbreviation none :: two where none ≡ ⊥

lemma many-max[simp]: a ⊑ many by (cases a) auto

```

```

lemma two-conj: c = many ∨ c = once ∨ c = none by (metis Exh-Up one-neq-iiffs(1))

lemma two-cases[case-names many once none]:
  obtains c = many | c = once | c = none using two-conj by metis

definition two-pred where two-pred = (Λ x. if x ⊑ once then ⊥ else x)

lemma two-pred-simp: two-pred · c = (if c ⊑ once then ⊥ else c)
  unfolding two-pred-def
  apply (rule beta-cfun)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply (auto elim: below-trans)
  done

lemma two-pred-simps[simp]:
  two-pred · many = many
  two-pred · once = none
  two-pred · none = none
by (simp-all add: two-pred-simp)

lemma two-pred-below-arg: two-pred · f ⊑ f
  by (auto simp add: two-pred-simp)

lemma two-pred-none: two-pred · c = none ↔ c ⊑ once
  by (auto simp add: two-pred-simp)

definition record-call where record-call x = (Λ ce. (λ y. if x = y then two-pred · (ce y) else ce y))

lemma record-call-simp: (record-call x · f) x' = (if x = x' then two-pred · (f x') else f x')
  unfolding record-call-def by auto

lemma record-call[simp]: (record-call x · f) x = two-pred · (f x)
  unfolding record-call-simp by auto

lemma record-call-other[simp]: x' ≠ x ==> (record-call x · f) x' = f x'
  unfolding record-call-simp by auto

lemma record-call-below-arg: record-call x · f ⊑ f
  unfolding record-call-def
  by (auto intro!: fun-belowI two-pred-below-arg)

definition two-add :: two → two → two
  where two-add = (Λ x. (Λ y. if x ⊑ ⊥ then y else (if y ⊑ ⊥ then x else many)))

lemma two-add-simp: two-add · x · y = (if x ⊑ ⊥ then y else (if y ⊑ ⊥ then x else many))
  unfolding two-add-def
  apply (subst beta-cfun)

```

```

apply (rule cont2cont)
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)[1]
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)[8]
apply (rule beta-cfun)
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto elim: below-trans)[1]
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply auto
done

lemma two-pred-two-add-once: c ⊑ two-pred · (two-add · once · c)
  by (cases c rule: two-cases) (auto simp add: two-add-simp)

end

```

## 51 CardinalityAnalysisSig.tex

```

theory CardinalityAnalysisSig
imports Arity AEnv Cardinality-Domain SestoftConf
begin

locale CardinalityPrognosis =
  fixes prognosis :: AEnv ⇒ Arity list ⇒ Arity ⇒ conf ⇒ (var ⇒ two)

locale CardinalityHeap =
  fixes cHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → (var ⇒ two)
end

```

## 52 ConstOn.tex

```

theory ConstOn
imports Main
begin

definition const-on :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  where const-on f S x = ( ∀ y ∈ S . f y = x)

lemma const-onI[intro]: ( ∀ y. y ∈ S ⇒ f y = x) ⇒ const-on f S x
  by (simp add: const-on-def)

lemma const-onD[dest]: const-on f S x ⇒ y ∈ S ⇒ f y = x
  by (simp add: const-on-def)

```

```

lemma const-on-insert[simp]: const-on f (insert x S) y  $\longleftrightarrow$  const-on f S y  $\wedge$  f x = y
  by auto

lemma const-on-union[simp]: const-on f (S  $\cup$  S') y  $\longleftrightarrow$  const-on f S y  $\wedge$  const-on f S' y
  by auto

lemma const-on-subset[elim]: const-on f S y  $\implies$  S'  $\subseteq$  S  $\implies$  const-on f S' y
  by auto

end

```

## 53 CardinalityAnalysisSpec.tex

```

theory CardinalityAnalysisSpec
imports ArityAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSig ConstOn
begin

locale CardinalityPrognosisEdom = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes edom-prognosis:
    edom (prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, S))  $\subseteq$  fv  $\Gamma \cup$  fv e  $\cup$  fv S

locale CardinalityPrognosisShape = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes prognosis-env-cong: ae f|` domA  $\Gamma$  = ae' f|` domA  $\Gamma$   $\implies$  prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = prognosis ae' as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)
  assumes prognosis-reorder: map-of  $\Gamma$  = map-of  $\Delta$   $\implies$  prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S) = prognosis ae as u ( $\Delta$ , e, S)
  assumes prognosis-ap: const-on (prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)) (ap S) many
  assumes prognosis-upd: prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)
  assumes prognosis-not-called: ae x =  $\perp$   $\implies$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
  assumes prognosis-called: once  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S) x

locale CardinalityPrognosisApp = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes prognosis-App: prognosis ae as (inc·a) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Arg x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , App e x, S)

locale CardinalityPrognosisLam = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes prognosis-subst-Lam: prognosis ae as (pred·a) ( $\Gamma$ , e[y::=x], S)  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S)

locale CardinalityPrognosisVar = CardinalityPrognosis +
  assumes prognosis-Var-lam: map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e  $\implies$  ae x = up·u  $\implies$  isVal e  $\implies$  prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma$ , e, S)  $\sqsubseteq$  record-call x · (prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S))
  assumes prognosis-Var-thunk: map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e  $\implies$  ae x = up·u  $\implies$   $\neg$  isVal e  $\implies$  prognosis ae as u (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)  $\sqsubseteq$  record-call x · (prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma$ , Var x,

```

```

 $S))$ 
assumes prognosis-Var2:  $\text{isVal } e \implies x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \implies \text{prognosis ae as } 0 \ ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$ 
 $\sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as } 0 \ (\Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse = CardinalityPrognosis +
assumes prognosis-IfThenElse:  $\text{prognosis ae (a\#as) } 0 \ (\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis}$ 
 $\text{ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{scrut ? } e1 : e2, S)$ 
assumes prognosis-Alts:  $\text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae (a\#as)}$ 
 $0 \ (\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisLet = CardinalityPrognosis + CardinalityHeap + ArityAnalysisHeap +
assumes prognosis-Let:
 $\text{atom } ' \text{domA } \Delta \#* \Gamma \implies \text{atom } ' \text{domA } \Delta \#* S \implies \text{edom ae} \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S \implies \text{prognosis}$ 
 $(\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a \sqcup \text{ae}) \text{ as a } (\Delta @ \Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{cHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a \sqcup \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Terms.Let } \Delta$ 
 $e, S)$ 

locale CardinalityHeapSafe = CardinalityHeap + ArityAnalysisHeap +
assumes Aheap-heap3:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies \text{many} \sqsubseteq (\text{cHeap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x \implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x =$ 
 $\text{up}\cdot 0$ 
assumes edom-cHeap:  $\text{edom } (\text{cHeap } \Delta \ e \cdot a) = \text{edom } (\text{Aheap } \Delta \ e \cdot a)$ 

locale CardinalityPrognosisSafe =
CardinalityPrognosisEdom +
CardinalityPrognosisShape +
CardinalityPrognosisApp +
CardinalityPrognosisLam +
CardinalityPrognosisVar +
CardinalityPrognosisLet +
CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse +
CardinalityHeapSafe +
ArityAnalysisLetSafe

end

```

## 54 ArityAnalysisStack.tex

```

theory ArityAnalysisStack
imports SestoftConf ArityAnalysisSig
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin
fun AEstack :: Arity list  $\Rightarrow$  stack  $\Rightarrow$  AEnv
where
 $AEstack - [] = \perp$ 
 $| AEstack (a\#as) (\text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S) = Aexp e1 \cdot a \sqcup Aexp e2 \cdot a \sqcup AEstack \text{ as } S$ 
 $| AEstack \text{ as } (\text{Upd } x \# S) = \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up}\cdot 0) \sqcup AEstack \text{ as } S$ 

```

```

| AEstack as (Arg x # S) = esing x·(up·0) ⊔ AEstack as S
| AEstack as (- # S) = AEstack as S
end

context EdomArityAnalysis
begin
lemma edom-AEstack: edom (AEstack as S) ⊆ fv S
  by (induction as S rule: AEstack.induct) (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp dest!: set-mp[OF
Aexp-edom])
end

end

```

## 55 NoCardinalityAnalysis.tex

```

theory NoCardinalityAnalysis
imports CardinalityAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisStack
begin

locale NoCardinalityAnalysis = ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
  assumes Aheap-thunk:  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \ x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
begin

definition a2c :: Arity $\perp$  → two where a2c = ( $\Lambda \ a$ . if  $a \sqsubseteq \perp$  then  $\perp$  else many)
lemma a2c-simp: a2c·a = (if  $a \sqsubseteq \perp$  then  $\perp$  else many)
  unfolding a2c-def by (rule beta-cfun[OF cont-if-else-above]) auto

lemma a2c-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot a2c = a2c$ 
  unfolding a2c-def
  apply perm-simp
  apply (rule Abs-cfun-eqvt)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply auto
  done

definition ae2ce :: AEnv ⇒ (var ⇒ two) where ae2ce ae x = a2c·(ae x)

lemma ae2ce-cont: cont ae2ce
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def)
lemmas cont-compose[OF ae2ce-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma ae2ce-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot ae2ce \ ae \ x = ae2ce \ (\pi \cdot ae) \ (\pi \cdot x)$ 
  unfolding ae2ce-def by perm-simp rule

lemma ae2ce-to-env-restr: ae2ce ae = ( $\lambda \ . \ many$ ) f|` edom ae
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def lookup-env-restr-eq edom-def a2c-simp)

```

```

lemma edom-ae2ce[simp]: edom (ae2ce ae) = edom ae
  unfolding edom-def
  by (auto simp add: ae2ce-def a2c-simp)

definition cHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → (var ⇒ two)
  where cHeap Γ e = (Λ a. ae2ce (Aheap Γ e·a))
lemma cHeap-simp[simp]: cHeap Γ e·a = ae2ce (Aheap Γ e·a)
  unfolding cHeap-def by simp

sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap.

sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
  apply standard
  apply (erule Aheap-thunk)
  apply simp
  done

fun prognosis where
  prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) = ((λ-. many) f|` (edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) ∪
  edom (AEstack as S)))

lemma record-all-noop[simp]:
  record-call x·((λ-. many) f|` S) = (λ-. many) f|` S
  by (auto simp add: record-call-def lookup-env-restr-eq)

lemma const-on-restr-constI[intro]:
  S' ⊆ S ⟹ const-on ((λ -. x) f|` S) S' x
  by fastforce

lemma ap-subset-edom-AEstack: ap S ⊆ edom (AEstack as S)
  by (induction as S rule:AEstack.induct) (auto simp del: fun-meet-simp)

sublocale CardinalityPrognosis prognosis.

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case 1
  thus ?case by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong)
  next
  case 2
  thus ?case by (simp cong: Abinds-reorder)
  next
  case 3
  thus ?case by (auto dest: set-mp[OF ap-subset-edom-AEstack])
  next
  case 4
  thus ?case by (auto intro: env-restr-mono2 )

```

```

next
  case ( $\lambda ae x. ae \cdot a \Gamma e S$ )
  from  $\langle ae x = \perp \rangle$ 
  have  $ABinds(\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae = ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (rule ABinds-delete-bot)
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case ( $\lambda ae as a \Gamma x S$ )
  from  $Aexp\text{-Var}[\text{where } n = a \text{ and } x = x]$ 
  have  $(Aexp(Var x) \cdot a) x \neq \perp$  by auto
  hence  $x \in edom(Aexp(Var x) \cdot a)$  by (simp add: edomIff)
  thus ?case by simp
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case 1
  thus ?case
    using edom-mono[OF Aexp-App] by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case ( $\lambda ae as a \Gamma e y x S$ )
  have  $edom(Aexp[e[y:=x]] \cdot (\text{pred}\cdot a)) \subseteq insert x (edom(\text{env-delete } y (Aexp e \cdot (\text{pred}\cdot a))))$ 
  by (auto dest: set-mp[OF edom-mono[OF Aexp-subst]] )
  also have ...  $\subseteq insert x (edom(Aexp(Lam[y]. e) \cdot a))$ 
  using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Lam] by auto
  finally show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case prems: 1
  thus ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Abinds-reorder1[OF prems(1)])
next
  case prems: 2
  thus ?case
    by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 simp add: Abinds-reorder1[OF prems(1)])
    (metis Aexp-Var edomIff not-up-less-UU)
next
  case ( $\lambda e x. ae \cdot a \Gamma e S$ )
  have  $fup(Aexp e) \cdot (ae x) \sqsubseteq Aexp e \cdot 0$  by (cases ae x) (auto intro: monofun-cfun-arg)
  from edom-mono[OF this]
  show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2 dest: set-mp[OF edom-mono[OF ABinds-delete-below]])
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case ( $\lambda ae a as \Gamma scrut e1 e2 S$ )

```

```

have edom (Aexp scrut·0 ⊔ Aexp e1·a ⊔ Aexp e2·a) ⊆ edom (Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a)
  by (rule edom-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse])
thus ?case
  by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
next
  case (2 ae as a Γ b e1 e2 S)
  show ?case by (auto intro!: env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap Aheap
proof (standard, goal-cases)
  case prems: (1 Δ Γ S ae e a as)

  from set-mp[OF prems(3)] fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF prems(2)]
  have ae f|` domA Δ = ⊥
    by (auto dest: set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])
  hence [simp]: ABinds Δ·(ae ⊔ Aheap Δ e·a) = ABinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a) by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong add: env-restr-join)

  from fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)]
  have Aheap Δ e·a f|` domA Γ = ⊥ by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])
  hence [simp]: ABinds Γ·(ae ⊔ Aheap Δ e·a) = ABinds Γ·ae by (simp cong: Abinds-env-restr-cong add: env-restr-join)

  have edom (ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·(Aheap Δ e·a ⊔ ae)) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) = edom (ABinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a)
    by (simp add: Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF prems(1)]] Un-commute)
  also have ... = edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (ABinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a) ⊔ Aexp e·a)
    by force
  also have ... ⊆ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aheap Δ e·a ⊔ Aexp (Let Δ e)·a)
    using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let] by force
  also have ... = edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a)
    by auto
  finally
  have edom (ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·(Aheap Δ e·a ⊔ ae)) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) ⊆ edom (Aheap Δ e·a)
    ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a).
  hence edom (ABinds (Δ @ Γ)·(Aheap Δ e·a ⊔ ae)) ∪ edom (Aexp e·a) ∪ edom (AEstack as S) ⊆ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ edom (ABinds Γ·ae) ∪ edom (Aexp (Let Δ e)·a) ∪ edom (AEstack as S) by auto
  thus ?case by (simp add: ae2ce-to-env-restr env-restr-join2 Un-assoc[symmetric] env-restr-mono2)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
  by standard (auto dest: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom] set-mp[OF ap-fv-subset] set-mp[OF edom-AnalBinds]
    set-mp[OF edom-AEstack])

```

**sublocale** *CardinalityPrognosisSafe* prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp..

```

end

end
```

## 56 TransformTools.tex

```

theory TransformTools
imports Nominal-HOLCF Terms Substitution Env
begin

default-sort type

fun lift-transform :: ('a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp) ⇒ ('a⊥ ⇒ exp ⇒ exp)
  where lift-transform t Ibottom e = e
    | lift-transform t (Iup a) e = t a e

lemma lift-transform-simps[simp]:
  lift-transform t ⊥ e = e
  lift-transform t (up·a) e = t a e
  apply (metis inst-up-pcpo lift-transform.simps(1))
  apply (simp add: up-def cont-Iup)
  done

lemma lift-transform-eqvt[eqvt]: π · lift-transform t a e = lift-transform (π · t) (π · a) (π · e)
  by (cases a) simp-all

lemma lift-transform-fun-cong[fundef-cong]:
  (Λ a. t1 a e1 = t2 a e1) ⟹ a1 = a2 ⟹ e1 = e2 ⟹ lift-transform t1 a1 e1 = lift-transform t2 a2 e2
  by (cases (t2,a2,e2) rule: lift-transform.cases) auto

lemma subst-lift-transform:
  assumes Λ a. (t a e)[x := y] = t a (e[x := y])
  shows (lift-transform t a e)[x := y] = lift-transform t a (e[x := y])
  using assms by (cases a) auto

definition
  map-transform :: ('a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp) ⇒ (var ⇒ 'a⊥) ⇒ heap ⇒ heap
  where map-transform t ae = map-ran (λ x e . lift-transform t (ae x) e)

lemma map-transform-eqvt[eqvt]: π · map-transform t ae = map-transform (π · t) (π · ae)
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma domA-map-transform[simp]: domA (map-transform t ae Γ) = domA Γ
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma length-map-transform[simp]: length (map-transform t ae xs) = length xs
```

```

unfolding map-transform-def map-ran-def by simp

lemma map-transform-delete:
  map-transform t ae (delete x Γ) = delete x (map-transform t ae Γ)
  unfolding map-transform-def by (simp add: map-ran-delete)

lemma map-transform-restrA:
  map-transform t ae (restrictA S Γ) = restrictA S (map-transform t ae Γ)
  unfolding map-transform-def by (auto simp add: map-ran-restrictA)

lemma delete-map-transform-env-delete:
  delete x (map-transform t (env-delete x ae) Γ) = delete x (map-transform t ae Γ)
  unfolding map-transform-def by (induction Γ) auto

lemma map-transform-Nil[simp]:
  map-transform t ae [] = []
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma map-transform-Cons:
  map-transform t ae ((x,e) # Γ) = (x, lift-transform t (ae x) e) # (map-transform t ae Γ)
  unfolding map-transform-def by simp

lemma map-transform-append:
  map-transform t ae (Δ @ Γ) = map-transform t ae Δ @ map-transform t ae Γ
  unfolding map-transform-def by (simp add: map-ran-append)

lemma map-transform-fundef-cong[fundef-cong]:
  ( $\bigwedge x e a. (x,e) \in set m1 \implies t1 a e = t2 a e$ )  $\implies ae1 = ae2 \implies m1 = m2 \implies map-transform t1 ae1 m1 = map-transform t2 ae2 m2$ 
  by (induction m2 arbitrary: m1)
  (fastforce simp add: map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons intro!: lift-transform-fun-cong) +

lemma map-transform-cong:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in domA m1 \implies ae x = ae' x$ )  $\implies m1 = m2 \implies map-transform t ae m1 = map-transform t ae' m2$ 
  unfolding map-transform-def by (auto intro!: map-ran-cong dest: domA-from-set)

lemma map-of-map-transform: map-of (map-transform t ae Γ) x = map-option (lift-transform t (ae x)) (map-of Γ x)
  unfolding map-transform-def by (simp add: map-ran-conv)

lemma supp-map-transform-step:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x e a. (x,e) \in set \Gamma \implies supp (t a e) \subseteq supp e$ 
  shows supp (map-transform t ae Γ)  $\subseteq supp \Gamma$ 
  using assms
    apply (induction Γ)
    apply (auto simp add: supp-Nil supp-Cons map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons supp-Pair
pure-supp)
    apply (case-tac ae a)

```

```

apply (fastforce) +
done

lemma subst-map-transform:
assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x',e) : set Γ ⟹ (t a e)[x := y] = t a (e[x := y])
shows (map-transform t ae Γ)[x := y] = map-transform t ae (Γ[x := y])
using assms
apply (induction Γ)
apply (auto simp add: map-transform-Nil map-transform-Cons)
apply (subst subst-lift-transform)
apply auto
done

locale supp-bounded-transform =
fixes trans :: 'a::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
assumes supp-trans: supp (trans a e) ⊆ supp e
begin
lemma supp-lift-transform: supp (lift-transform trans a e) ⊆ supp e
  by (cases (trans, a, e) rule:lift-transform.cases) (auto dest!: set-mp[OF supp-trans])

lemma supp-map-transform: supp (map-transform trans ae Γ) ⊆ supp Γ
unfolding map-transform-def
  by (induction Γ) (auto simp add: supp-Pair supp-Cons dest!: set-mp[OF supp-lift-transform])

lemma fresh-transform[intro]: a # e ⟹ a # trans n e
  by (auto simp add: fresh-def) (auto dest!: set-mp[OF supp-trans])

lemma fresh-star-transform[intro]: a #* e ⟹ a #* trans n e
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

lemma fresh-map-transform[intro]: a # Γ ⟹ a # map-transform trans ae Γ
  unfolding fresh-def using supp-map-transform by auto

lemma fresh-star-map-transform[intro]: a #* Γ ⟹ a #* map-transform trans ae Γ
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
end

end

```

## 57 AbstractTransform.tex

```

theory AbstractTransform
imports Terms TransformTools
begin

locale AbstractAnalProp =
fixes PropApp :: 'a ⇒ 'a::cont-pt

```

```

fixes PropLam :: 'a ⇒ 'a
fixes AnalLet :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b::cont-pt
fixes PropLetBody :: 'b ⇒ 'a
fixes PropLetHeap :: 'b ⇒ var ⇒ 'a⊥
fixes PropIfScrut :: 'a ⇒ 'a
assumes PropApp-eqvt: π · PropApp ≡ PropApp
assumes PropLam-eqvt: π · PropLam ≡ PropLam
assumes AnalLet-eqvt: π · AnalLet ≡ AnalLet
assumes PropLetBody-eqvt: π · PropLetBody ≡ PropLetBody
assumes PropLetHeap-eqvt: π · PropLetHeap ≡ PropLetHeap
assumes PropIfScrut-eqvt: π · PropIfScrut ≡ PropIfScrut

locale AbstractAnalPropSubst = AbstractAnalProp +
  assumes AnalLet-subst: x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ y ∉ domA Γ ⇒ AnalLet (Γ[x::h=y]) (e[x::=y])
  a = AnalLet Γ e a

locale AbstractTransform = AbstractAnalProp +
  constrains AnalLet :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ 'a::pure-cont-pt ⇒ 'b::cont-pt
  fixes TransVar :: 'a ⇒ var ⇒ exp
  fixes TransApp :: 'a ⇒ exp ⇒ var ⇒ exp
  fixes TransLam :: 'a ⇒ var ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  fixes TransLet :: 'b ⇒ heap ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
  assumes TransVar-eqvt: π · TransVar = TransVar
  assumes TransApp-eqvt: π · TransApp = TransApp
  assumes TransLam-eqvt: π · TransLam = TransLam
  assumes TransLet-eqvt: π · TransLet = TransLet
  assumes SuppTransLam: supp (TransLam a v e) ⊆ supp e − supp v
  assumes SuppTransLet: supp (TransLet b Γ e) ⊆ supp (Γ, e) − atom ` domA Γ
begin
  nominal-function transform where
    transform a (App e x) = TransApp a (transform (PropApp a) e) x
    | transform a (Lam [x]. e) = TransLam a x (transform (PropLam a) e)
    | transform a (Var x) = TransVar a x
    | transform a (Let Γ e) = TransLet (AnalLet Γ e a)
      (map-transform transform (PropLetHeap (AnalLet Γ e a)) Γ)
      (transform (PropLetBody (AnalLet Γ e a)) e)
    | transform a (Bool b) = (Bool b)
    | transform a (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (transform (PropIfScrut a) scrut ? transform a e1 : transform a e2)
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]
    show ?case
      unfolding eqvt-def transform-graph-aux-def
      apply rule
      apply perm-simp
      apply (rule refl)
      done

```

```

next
  case prems: ( $\lambda P x$ )
  show ?case
  proof (cases x)
    fix a b
    assume x = (a, b)
    thus ?case
      using prems
      apply (cases b rule: Terms.exp-strong-exhaust)
      apply auto
      done
  qed
next
  case prems: ( $\lambda a x e a' x' e'$ )
  from prems(5)
  have a' = a and Lam [x]. e = Lam [x']. e' by simp-all
  from this(2)
  show ?case
  unfolding a' = a
  proof(rule eqvt-lam-case)
    fix  $\pi :: \text{perm}$ 

    have supp (TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)))  $\subseteq$  supp (Lam [x]. e)
    apply (rule subset-trans[OF SuppTransLam])
    apply (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-Pair supp-at-base pure-supp exp-assn.fsupp
dest!: set-mp[OF supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(1)], rotated])
    done
  moreover
  assume supp  $\pi \sharp*$  (Lam [x]. e)
  ultimately
  have *: supp  $\pi \sharp*$  TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)) by (auto simp add:
fresh-star-def fresh-def)

  note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]

  have TransLam a ( $\pi \cdot x$ ) (transform-sumC (PropLam a,  $\pi \cdot e$ ))
  = TransLam a ( $\pi \cdot x$ ) (transform-sumC ( $\pi \cdot$  (PropLam a, e)))
  by perm-simp rule
  also have ... = TransLam a ( $\pi \cdot x$ ) ( $\pi \cdot$  transform-sumC (PropLam a, e))
  unfolding eqvt-at-apply'[OF prems(1)] ..
  also have ... =  $\pi \cdot$  (TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)))
  by simp
  also have ... = TransLam a x (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e))
  by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
  finally show TransLam a ( $\pi \cdot x$ ) (transform-sumC (PropLam a,  $\pi \cdot e$ )) = TransLam a x
  (transform-sumC (PropLam a, e)) by simp
  qed
next

```

```

case prems: (19 a as body a' as' body')
note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]

from supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(1)]
have  $\bigwedge x e a. (x, e) \in \text{set as} \implies \text{supp}(\text{transform-sumC}(a, e)) \subseteq \text{supp } e$ 
by (auto simp add: exp-assn.fsupp supp-Pair pure-supp)
hence supp-map:  $\bigwedge ae. \text{supp}(\text{map-transform}(\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC}(x_0, x_1)) ae as) \subseteq \text{supp as}$ 
by (rule supp-map-transform-step)

from prems(9)
have  $a' = a$  and Terms.Let as body = Terms.Let as' body' by simp-all
from this(2)
show ?case
unfolding  $\langle a' = a \rangle$ 
proof (rule eqvt-let-case)
have supp ( $\text{TransLet}(\text{AnalLet as body } a)$ ) ( $\text{map-transform}(\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC}(x_0, x_1))$ ) ( $\text{PropLetHeap}(\text{AnalLet as body } a)$ ) as ( $\text{transform-sumC}(\text{PropLetBody}(\text{AnalLet as body } a), \text{body})) \subseteq \text{supp}(\text{Let as body})$ 
by (auto simp add: Let-supp supp-Pair pure-supp exp-assn.fsupp
dest!: set-mp[OF supp-eqvt-at[OF prems(2)], rotated] set-mp[OF SuppTransLet]
set-mp[OF supp-map])
moreover
fix  $\pi :: \text{perm}$ 
assume supp  $\pi \sharp*$  Terms.Let as body
ultimately
have  $*: \text{supp } \pi \sharp* \text{TransLet}(\text{AnalLet as body } a)$  ( $\text{map-transform}(\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC}(x_0, x_1))$ ) ( $\text{PropLetHeap}(\text{AnalLet as body } a)$ ) as ( $\text{transform-sumC}(\text{PropLetBody}(\text{AnalLet as body } a), \text{body}))$ 
by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-def)

have TransLet ( $\text{AnalLet}(\pi \cdot \text{as})$ ) ( $\pi \cdot \text{body}$ ) a ( $\text{map-transform}(\lambda x_0 x_1. (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC})(x_0, x_1))$ ) ( $\text{PropLetHeap}(\text{AnalLet}(\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a)$ ) ( $\pi \cdot \text{as})$ ) (( $\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC}$ ) ( $\text{PropLetBody}(\text{AnalLet}(\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a), \pi \cdot \text{body})) =  

 $\pi \cdot \text{TransLet}(\text{AnalLet as body } a)$  ( $\text{map-transform}(\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC}(x_0, x_1))$ ) ( $\text{PropLetHeap}(\text{AnalLet as body } a)$ ) as ( $\text{transform-sumC}(\text{PropLetBody}(\text{AnalLet as body } a), \text{body}))$ 
by (simp del: Let-eq-iff Pair-eqvt add: eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(2)])
also have ... = TransLet ( $\text{AnalLet as body } a$ ) ( $\text{map-transform}(\lambda x_0 x_1. \text{transform-sumC}(x_0, x_1))$ ) ( $\text{PropLetHeap}(\text{AnalLet}(\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a)$ ) ( $\pi \cdot \text{as})$ ) ( $\pi \cdot \text{body}) a))$  ( $\pi \cdot \text{as})$ ) ( $= \text{map-transform}(\lambda x xa. (\pi \cdot \text{transform-sumC})(x, xa))$ ) ( $\text{PropLetHeap}(\text{AnalLet}(\pi \cdot \text{as}) (\pi \cdot \text{body}) a))$  ( $\pi \cdot \text{as})$ )
apply (rule map-transform-fundef-cong[OF - refl refl])$ 
```

```

apply (subst (asm) set-eqvt[symmetric])
apply (subst (asm) mem-permute-set)
apply (auto simp add: permute-self dest: eqvt-at-apply"[OF prems(1)[where aa = (−
π · a) for a], where p = π, symmetric])
done
moreover
have (π · transform-sumC) (PropLetBody (AnalLet (π · as) (π · body) a), π · body) =
transform-sumC (PropLetBody (AnalLet (π · as) (π · body) a), π · body)
using eqvt-at-apply"[OF prems(2), where p = π] by perm-simp
ultimately
show TransLet (AnalLet (π · as) (π · body) a) (map-transform (λx0 x1. transform-sumC (x0,
x1)) (PropLetHeap (AnalLet (π · as) (π · body) a)) (π · as)) (transform-sumC (PropLetBody
(AnalLet (π · as) (π · body) a), π · body)) =
TransLet (AnalLet as body a) (map-transform (λx0 x1. transform-sumC (x0, x1))
(PropLetHeap (AnalLet as body a)) as) (transform-sumC (PropLetBody (AnalLet as body a),
body)) by metis
qed
qed auto
nominal-termination by lexicographic-order

lemma supp-transform: supp (transform a e) ⊆ supp e
proof-
note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] AnalLet-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw] TransVar-eqvt[eqvt] TransApp-eqvt[eqvt] TransLam-eqvt[eqvt] TransLet-eqvt[eqvt]
note transform.eqvt[eqvt]
show ?thesis
apply (rule supp-fun-app-eqvt)
apply (rule eqvtI)
apply perm-simp
apply (rule reflexive)
done
qed

lemma fv-transform: fv (transform a e) ⊆ fv e
unfolding fv-def by (auto dest: set-mp[OF supp-transform])

end

locale AbstractTransformSubst = AbstractTransform + AbstractAnalPropSubst +
assumes TransVar-subst: (TransVar a v)[x ::= y] = (TransVar a v[x ::= y])
assumes TransApp-subst: (TransApp a e v)[x ::= y] = (TransApp a e[x ::= y] v[x ::= y])
assumes TransLam-subst: atom v # (x,y) ==> (TransLam a v e)[x ::= y] = (TransLam a v[x
::= y] e[x ::= y])
assumes TransLet-subst: atom ` domA Γ #* (x,y) ==> (TransLet b Γ e)[x ::= y] = (TransLet
b Γ[x ::= y] e[x ::= y])
begin
lemma subst-transform: (transform a e)[x ::= y] = transform a e[x ::= y]
proof (nominal-induct e avoiding: x y arbitrary: a rule: exp-strong-induct-set)
case (Let Δ body x y)

```

```

hence *:  $x \notin \text{dom}A \Delta y \notin \text{dom}A \Delta$  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-at-base)
hence  $\text{AnalLet } \Delta[x::h=y] \text{ body}[x::=y] a = \text{AnalLet } \Delta \text{ body } a$  by (rule AnalLet-subst)
with Let
show ?case
apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair TransLet-subst simp del: Let-eq-iff)
apply (rule fun-cong[OF arg-cong[where f = TransLet b for b]])
apply (rule subst-map-transform)
apply simp
done
qed (simp-all add: TransVar-subst TransApp-subst TransLam-subst)
end

locale AbstractTransformBound = AbstractAnalProp + supp-bounded-transform +
constrains PropApp :: 'a ⇒ 'a::pure-cont-pt
constrains PropLetHeap :: 'b::cont-pt ⇒ var ⇒ 'a_⊥
constrains trans :: 'c::cont-pt ⇒ exp ⇒ exp
fixes PropLetHeapTrans :: 'b ⇒ var ⇒ 'c_⊥
assumes PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt: π • PropLetHeapTrans = PropLetHeapTrans
assumes TransBound-eqvt: π • trans = trans
begin
sublocale AbstractTransform PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap PropIfScrut
(λ a. Var)
(λ a. App)
(λ a. Terms.Lam)
(λ b Γ e . Let (map-transform trans (PropLetHeapTrans b) Γ) e)
proof goal-cases
case 1
note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
PropIfScrut-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
Analyse-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeapTrans-eqvt[eqvt] TransBound-eqvt[eqvt]
show ?case
apply standard
apply ((perm-simp, rule)+)[4]
apply (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp supp-at-base)[1]
apply (auto simp add: Let-supp supp-Pair supp-at-base dest: set-mp[OF supp-map-transform])[1]
done
qed

lemma isLam-transform[simp]:
isLam (transform a e) ↔ isLam e
by (induction e rule:isLam.induct) auto

lemma isVal-transform[simp]:
isVal (transform a e) ↔ isVal e
by (induction e rule:isLam.induct) auto
end

```

```

locale AbstractTransformBoundSubst = AbstractAnalPropSubst + AbstractTransformBound +
assumes TransBound-subst: (trans a e)[x::=y] = trans a e[x::=y]
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformSubst PropApp PropLam AnalLet PropLetBody PropLetHeap
  PropIfScrut
    (λ a. Var)
    (λ a. App)
    (λ a. Terms.Lam)
    (λ b Γ e . Let (map-transform trans (PropLetHeapTrans b) Γ) e)
  proof goal-cases
    case 1
    note PropApp-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLam-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetBody-eqvt[eqvt-raw] PropLetHeap-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
    PropIfScrut-eqvt[eqvt-raw]
      TransBound-eqvt[eqvt]
    show ?case
      apply standard
      apply simp-all[3]
      apply (simp del: Let-eq-iff)
      apply (rule arg-cong[where f = λ x. Let x y for y])
      apply (rule subst-map-transform)
      apply (simp add: TransBound-subst)
      done
    qed
  end
end

```

## 58 EtaExpansion.tex

```

theory EtaExpansion
imports Terms Substitution
begin

definition fresh-var :: exp ⇒ var where
  fresh-var e = (SOME v. v ∉ fv e)

lemma fresh-var-not-free:
  fresh-var e ∉ fv e
proof –
  obtain v :: var where atom v # e by (rule obtain-fresh)
  hence v ∉ fv e by (metis fv-not-fresh)
  thus ?thesis unfolding fresh-var-def by (rule someI)
qed

lemma fresh-var-fresh[simp]:
  atom (fresh-var e) # e

```

```

by (metis fresh-var-not-free fv-not-fresh)

lemma fresh-var-subst[simp]:
  e[fresh-var e::=x] = e
  by (metis fresh-var-fresh subst-fresh-noop)

fun eta-expand :: nat ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  eta-expand 0 e = e
  | eta-expand (Suc n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))

lemma eta-expand-eqvt[eqvt]:
  π · (eta-expand n e) = eta-expand (π · n) (π · e)
  apply (induction n arbitrary: e π)
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair permute-pure)
  apply (metis fresh-at-base-permI fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-var-fresh subst-fresh-noop subst-swap-same)
  done

lemma fresh-eta-expand[simp]: a # eta-expand n e ↔ a # e
  apply (induction n arbitrary: e)
  apply (simp add: fresh-Pair)
  apply (clarify simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
  by (metis fresh-var-fresh)

lemma subst-eta-expand: (eta-expand n e)[x := y] = eta-expand n (e[x := y])
proof (induction n arbitrary: e)
case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
case (Suc n)
obtain z :: var where atom z # (e, fresh-var e, x, y) by (rule obtain-fresh)

  have (eta-expand (Suc n) e)[x:=y] = (Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))[x:=y] by simp
  also have ... = (Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e z))[x:=y]
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[where y' = z])
    using (atom z # →)
    by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair eta-expand-eqvt pure-fresh permute-pure flip-fresh-fresh intro!: eqvt-fresh-cong2[where f = eta-expand, OF eta-expand-eqvt])
  also have ... = Lam [z]. (eta-expand n (App e z))[x:=y]
    using (atom z # → by simp)
  also have ... = Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e[z:=y] z) unfolding Suc.IH..
  also have ... = Lam [z]. eta-expand n (App e[x:=y] z)
    using (atom z # → by simp)
  also have ... = Lam [fresh-var (e[x:=y])]. eta-expand n (App e[x:=y] (fresh-var (e[x:=y])))
    apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[where y' = fresh-var (e[x:=y])])
    using (atom z # →)
    by (auto simp add: fresh-Pair eqvt-fresh-cong2[where f = eta-expand, OF eta-expand-eqvt] pure-fresh eta-expand-eqvt flip-fresh-fresh subst-pres-fresh simp del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  also have ... = eta-expand (Suc n) e[x:=y] by simp
finally show ?case.

```

```

qed

lemma isLam-eta-expand:
  isLam e ==> isLam (eta-expand n e) and n > 0 ==> isLam (eta-expand n e)
  by (induction n) auto

lemma isVal-eta-expand:
  isVal e ==> isVal (eta-expand n e) and n > 0 ==> isVal (eta-expand n e)
  by (induction n) auto

end

```

## 59 EtaExpansionSafe.tex

```

theory EtaExpansionSafe
imports EtaExpansion Sestoft
begin

theorem eta-expansion-safe:
  assumes set T ⊆ range Arg
  shows (Γ, eta-expand (length T) e, T@S) ⇒* (Γ, e, T@S)
  using assms
proof(induction T arbitrary: e)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons se T)
  from Cons(2) obtain x where se = Arg x by auto
  from Cons have prem: set T ⊆ range Arg by simp
  have (Γ, eta-expand (Suc (length T)) e, Arg x # T @ S) = (Γ, Lam [fresh-var e]. eta-expand
    (length T) (App e (fresh-var e)), Arg x # T @ S) by simp
  also have ... ⇒ (Γ, (eta-expand (length T) (App e (fresh-var e)))[fresh-var e ::= x], T @ S)
  by (rule app2)
  also have ... = (Γ, (eta-expand (length T) (App e x)), T @ S) unfolding subst-eta-expand
  by simp
  also have ... ⇒* (Γ, App e x, T @ S) by (rule Cons.IH[OF prem])
  also have ... ⇒ (Γ, e, Arg x # T @ S) by (rule app1)
  finally show ?case using `se = -` by simp
qed

fun arg-prefix :: stack ⇒ nat where
  arg-prefix [] = 0
| arg-prefix (Arg x # S) = Suc (arg-prefix S)
| arg-prefix (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
| arg-prefix (Upd x # S) = 0
| arg-prefix (Dummy x # S) = 0

```

```

theorem eta-expansion-safe':
  assumes n ≤ arg-prefix S
  shows (Γ, eta-expand n e, S) ⇒* (Γ, e, S)
proof-
  from assms
  have set (take n S) ⊆ range Arg and length (take n S) = n
  apply (induction S arbitrary: n rule: arg-prefix.induct)
  apply auto
  apply (case-tac n, auto)+
  done
  hence S = take n S @ drop n S by (metis append-take-drop-id)
  with eta-expansion-safe[OF _ ⊆ _] ⟨length _ = _⟩
  show ?thesis by metis
qed

end

```

## 60 ArityStack.tex

```

theory ArityStack
imports Arity SestoftConf
begin

fun Astack :: stack ⇒ Arity
  where Astack [] = 0
    | Astack (Arg x # S) = inc·(Astack S)
    | Astack (Alts e1 e2 # S) = 0
    | Astack (Upd x # S) = 0
    | Astack (Dummy x # S) = 0

lemma Astack-restr-stack-below:
  Astack (restr-stack V S) ⊑ Astack S
  by (induction V S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma Astack-map-Dummy[simp]:
  Astack (map Dummy l) = 0
  by (induction l) auto

lemma Astack-append-map-Dummy[simp]:
  Astack S' = 0 ⇒ Astack (S @ S') = Astack S
  by (induction S rule: Astack.induct) auto

end

```

## 61 ArityEtaExpansion.tex

```
theory ArityEtaExpansion
imports EtaExpansion Arity-Nominal TransformTools
begin

lift-definition Aeta-expand :: Arity ⇒ exp ⇒ exp is eta-expand.

lemma Aeta-expand-eqvt[eqvt]: π • Aeta-expand a e = Aeta-expand (π • a) (π • e)
  apply (cases a)
  apply simp
  apply transfer
  apply simp
done

lemma Aeta-expand-0[simp]: Aeta-expand 0 e = e
  by transfer simp

lemma Aeta-expand-inc[simp]: Aeta-expand (inc•n) e = (Lam [fresh-var e]. Aeta-expand n (App e (fresh-var e)))
  apply (simp add: inc-def)
  by transfer simp

lemma subst-Aeta-expand:
  (Aeta-expand n e)[x:=y] = Aeta-expand n e[x:=y]
  by transfer (rule subst-eta-expand)

lemma isLam-Aeta-expand: isLam e ==> isLam (Aeta-expand a e)
  by transfer (rule isLam-eta-expand)

lemma isVal-Aeta-expand: isVal e ==> isVal (Aeta-expand a e)
  by transfer (rule isVal-eta-expand)

lemma Aeta-expand-fresh[simp]: a # Aeta-expand n e = a # e by transfer simp
lemma Aeta-expand-fresh-star[simp]: a #* Aeta-expand n e = a #* e by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)

interpretation supp-bounded-transform Aeta-expand
  apply standard
  using Aeta-expand-fresh
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-def)
done

end
```

## 62 ArityEtaExpansionSafe.tex

```
theory ArityEtaExpansionSafe
```

```

imports EtaExpansionSafe ArityStack ArityEtaExpansion
begin

lemma Aeta-expand-safe:
  assumes Astack S ⊑ a
  shows (Γ, Aeta-expand a e, S) ⇒* (Γ, e, S)
proof-
  have arg-prefix S = Rep-Arity (Astack S)
  by (induction S arbitrary: a rule: arg-prefix.induct) (auto simp add: Arity.zero-Arity.rep-eq[symmetric])
  also
  from assms
  have Rep-Arity a ≤ Rep-Arity (Astack S) by (metis below-Arity.rep-eq)
  finally
  show ?thesis
  by transfer (rule eta-expansion-safe')
qed

end

```

## 63 ArityTransform.tex

```

theory ArityTransform
imports ArityAnalysisSig AbstractTransform ArityEtaExpansionSafe
begin

context ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt
begin
sublocale AbstractTransformBound
  λ a . inc·a
  λ a . pred·a
  λ Δ e a . (a, Aheap Δ e·a)
  fst
  snd
  λ _ . 0
  Aeta-expand
  snd
apply standard
apply (((rule eq-reflection)?, perm-simp, rule)+)
done

abbreviation transform-syn (T_) where T a ≡ transform a

lemma transform-simps:
  T a (App e x) = App (T inc·a e) x
  T a (Lam [x]. e) = Lam [x]. T pred·a e
  T a (Var x) = Var x

```

```

 $\mathcal{T}_a (\text{Let } \Gamma e) = \text{Let} (\text{map-transform } A\text{eta-expand} (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) (\text{map-transform} (\lambda a. \mathcal{T}_a) (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma)) (\mathcal{T}_a e)$ 
 $\mathcal{T}_a (\text{Bool } b) = \text{Bool } b$ 
 $\mathcal{T}_a (\text{scrut? } e1 : e2) = (\mathcal{T}_0 \text{ scrut? } \mathcal{T}_a e1 : \mathcal{T}_a e2)$ 
  by simp-all
end

end

```

## 64 ArityConsistent.tex

```

theory ArityConsistent
imports ArityAnalysisSpec ArityStack ArityAnalysisStack
begin

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin

type-synonym astate = (AEnv × Arity × Arity list)

inductive stack-consistent :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ bool
  where
    stack-consistent [] []
  | Astack S ⊑ a ⇒ stack-consistent as S ⇒ stack-consistent (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S)
  | stack-consistent as S ⇒ stack-consistent as (Upd x # S)
  | stack-consistent as S ⇒ stack-consistent as (Arg x # S)
inductive-simps stack-consistent-foo[simp]:
  stack-consistent [] [] stack-consistent (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) stack-consistent as (Upd x # S) stack-consistent as (Arg x # S)
inductive-cases [elim!]: stack-consistent as (Alts e1 e2 # S)

inductive a-consistent :: astate ⇒ conf ⇒ bool where
  a-consistentI:
    edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S
    ⇒ Astack S ⊑ a
    ⇒ (ABinds Γ · ae ⊎ Aexp e · a ⊎ AEstack as S) f|` (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae
    ⇒ stack-consistent as
    ⇒ a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
  inductive-cases a-consistentE: a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)

lemma a-consistent-restrictA:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
  assumes edom ae ⊆ V
  shows a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA V Γ, e, S)
proof-
  have domA Γ ∩ V ∪ upds S ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S by auto
  note * = below-trans[OF env-restr-mono2[OF this]]

```

```

show a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA V Γ, e, S)
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps env-restr-join join-below-iff ABinds-restrict-edom
        intro: * below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF ABinds-restrict-below]])
qed

lemma a-consistent-edom-subsetD:
  a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)  $\implies$  edom ae  $\subseteq$  domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S
  by (rule a-consistentE)

lemma a-consistent-stackD:
  a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)  $\implies$  Astack S  $\sqsubseteq$  a
  by (rule a-consistentE)

lemma a-consistent-app1:
  a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, App e x, S)  $\implies$  a-consistent (ae, inc·a, as) (Γ, e, Arg x # S)
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps
        dest!: below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-App]]
        elim: below-trans)

lemma a-consistent-app2:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, (Lam [y]. e), Arg x # S)
  shows a-consistent (ae, (pred·a), as) (Γ, e[y:=x], S)
proof-
  have Aexp (e[y:=x])·(pred·a) f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S)  $\sqsubseteq$  (env-delete y ((Aexp e)·(pred·a))  $\sqcup$ 
  esing x·(up·0)) f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S) by (rule env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-subst])
  also have ... = env-delete y ((Aexp e)·(pred·a)) f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S)  $\sqcup$  esing x·(up·0)
  f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S) by (simp add: env-restr-join)
  also have env-delete y ((Aexp e)·(pred·a))  $\sqsubseteq$  Aexp (Lam [y]. e)·a by (rule Aexp-Lam)
  also have ... f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ae using assms by (auto simp add: join-below-iff
  env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  also have esing x·(up·0) f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ae using assms
  by (cases x $\in$ edom ae) (auto simp add: env-restr-join join-below-iff a-consistent.simps)
  also have ae  $\sqcup$  ae = ae by simp
  finally
  have Aexp (e[y:=x])·(pred·a) f|‘ (domA Γ  $\cup$  upds S)  $\sqsubseteq$  ae by this simp-all
  thus ?thesis using assms
  by (auto elim: below-trans edom-mono simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
qed

lemma a-consistent-thunk-0:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S)
  assumes map-of Γ x = Some e
  assumes ae x = up·0
  shows a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S)
proof-
  from assms(2)

```

```

have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA} \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

from assms(3)
have [simp]:  $x \in \text{edom ae}$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)

have  $x \in \text{domA} \Gamma$  by (metis assms(2) domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
hence [simp]:  $\text{insert } x (\text{domA} \Gamma - \{x\} \cup \text{upds } S) = (\text{domA} \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)$ 
  by auto

from Abinds-reorder1[ $\text{OF } \langle \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \rangle \langle ae x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$ ]
have ABinds (delete x  $\Gamma$ ) $\cdot ae \sqcup Aexp e \cdot 0 = ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (auto intro: join-comm)
moreover have ( $\dots \sqcup AEstack as S$ )  $f|` (\text{domA} \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$ 
  using assms(1) by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
ultimately have ((ABinds (delete x  $\Gamma$ )) $\cdot ae \sqcup Aexp e \cdot 0 \sqcup AEstack as S$ )  $f|` (\text{domA} \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by simp
then
show ?thesis
  using  $\langle ae x = up \cdot 0 \rangle$  assms(1)
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
qed

lemma a-consistent-thunk-once:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Var x, S)
assumes map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ 
assumes [simp]:  $ae x = up \cdot u$ 
assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ , S)
shows a-consistent (env-delete x ae, u, as) (delete x  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
proof -
from assms(2)
have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA} \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

from assms(1) have  $Aexp (\text{Var } x) \cdot a f|` (\text{domA} \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
from fun-belowD[where x = x, OF this]
have ( $Aexp (\text{Var } x) \cdot a$ )  $x \sqsubseteq up \cdot u$  by simp
from below-trans[ $\text{OF } Aexp \text{-Var this}$ ]
have a  $\sqsubseteq u$  by simp

from heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ , S)
have  $x \notin \text{upds } S$  by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps elim!: heap-upds-okE)
hence [simp]:  $(-\{x\} \cap (\text{domA} \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S)) = (\text{domA} \Gamma - \{x\} \cup \text{upds } S)$  by auto

have Astack S  $\sqsubseteq u$  using assms(1)  $\langle a \sqsubseteq u \rangle$ 
  by (auto elim: below-trans simp add: a-consistent.simps)

from Abinds-reorder1[ $\text{OF } \langle \text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e \rangle \langle ae x = up \cdot u \rangle$ ]
have ABinds (delete x  $\Gamma$ ) $\cdot ae \sqcup Aexp e \cdot u = ABinds \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (auto intro: join-comm)
moreover
have ( $\dots \sqcup AEstack as S$ )  $f|` (\text{domA} \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S) \sqsubseteq ae$ 

```

```

using assms(1) by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
ultimately
have ((ABinds (delete x Γ))·ae ⊢ Aexp e·u ⊢ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae by
simp
hence ((ABinds (delete x Γ))·(env-delete x ae) ⊢ Aexp e·u ⊢ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪
upds S) ⊑ ae
by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join elim: below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF
monofun-cfun-arg[OF env-delete-below-arg]]])
hence env-delete x (((ABinds (delete x Γ))·(env-delete x ae) ⊢ Aexp e·u ⊢ AEstack as S) f|‘
(domA Γ ∪ upds S)) ⊑ env-delete x ae
by (rule env-delete-mono)
hence (((ABinds (delete x Γ))·(env-delete x ae) ⊢ Aexp e·u ⊢ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA (delete
x Γ) ∪ upds S)) ⊑ env-delete x ae
by (simp add: env-delete-restr)
then
show ?thesis
using ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⟨Astack S ⊑ u⟩ assms(1)
by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps elim : below-trans)
qed

lemma a-consistent-lamvar:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S)
assumes map-of Γ x = Some e
assumes [simp]: ae x = up·u
shows a-consistent (ae, u, as) ((x,e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
proof-
have [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (metis assms(2) domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
have [simp]: insert x (domA Γ ∪ upds S) = (domA Γ ∪ upds S)
by auto

from assms(1) have Aexp (Var x)·a f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae by (auto simp add:
join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
from fun-belowD[where x = x, OF this]
have (Aexp (Var x)·a) x ⊑ up·u by simp
from below-trans[OF Aexp-Var this]
have a ⊑ u by simp

have Astack S ⊑ u using assms(1) ⟨a ⊑ u⟩
by (auto elim: below-trans simp add: a-consistent.simps)

from Abinds-reorder1[OF ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩] ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
have ABinds ((x,e) # delete x Γ)·ae ⊢ Aexp e·u = ABinds Γ·ae by (auto intro: join-comm)
moreover
have (... ⊢ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) ⊑ ae
using assms(1) by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
ultimately
have ((ABinds ((x,e) # delete x Γ))·ae ⊢ Aexp e·u ⊢ AEstack as S) f|‘ (domA Γ ∪ upds S)
⊑ ae by simp
then

```

```

show ?thesis
  using `ae x = up·u` `Astack S ⊑ u` assms(1)
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
qed

lemma
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , e, Upd x # S)
  shows a-consistent-var2: a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) #  $\Gamma$ , e, S)
    and a-consistent-UpdD: ae x = up·0a = 0
    using assms
    by (auto simp add: join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps
      elim:below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF ABinds-delete-below]]))

lemma a-consistent-let:
  assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Let  $\Delta$  e, S)
  assumes atom ` domA  $\Delta \not\models \Gamma$ 
  assumes atom ` domA  $\Delta \not\models S$ 
  assumes edom ae ∩ domA  $\Delta = \{\}$ 
  shows a-consistent (Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a ⊔ ae, a, as) ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ , e, S)
proof-

```

First some boring stuff about scope:

```

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge S. S \subseteq \text{domA } \Delta \implies \text{ae } f|` S = \perp$  using assms(4) by auto
have [simp]: ABinds  $\Delta$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a ⊔ ae) = ABinds  $\Delta$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)
  by (rule Abinds-env-restr-cong) (simp add: env-restr-join)

have [simp]: Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a f|` domA  $\Gamma = \perp$ 
  using fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]
  by (auto intro: env-restr-empty dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])

have [simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a ⊔ ae) = ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·ae
  by (rule Abinds-env-restr-cong) (simp add: env-restr-join)

have [simp]: ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·ae f|` (domA  $\Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) = ABinds  $\Gamma$ ·ae f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(2)]
  by (auto intro: env-restr-cong dest!: set-mp[OF edom-AnalBinds])

have [simp]: AEstack as S f|` (domA  $\Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) = AEstack as S f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(3)]
  by (auto intro: env-restr-cong dest!: set-mp[OF edom-AEstack])

have [simp]: Aexp (Let  $\Delta$  e)·a f|` (domA  $\Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) = Aexp (Terms.Let  $\Delta$  e)·a f|` (domA  $\Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ )
  by (rule env-restr-cong) (auto dest!: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])

have [simp]: Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a f|` (domA  $\Delta \cup \text{domA } \Gamma \cup \text{upds } S$ ) = Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a
  by (rule env-restr-useless) (auto dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])

```

```

have ((ABinds  $\Gamma$ ) $\cdot$ ae  $\sqcup$  AStack as S)  $f \mid` (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$  using assms(1) by (auto
simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)
moreover
have Aexp (Let  $\Delta$   $e$ ) $\cdot$ a f  $\mid` (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$  using assms(1) by (auto simp add:
a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)
moreover
have ABinds  $\Delta$  $\cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$ )  $\sqcup$  Aexp e·a  $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$   $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Delta$   $e$ ) $\cdot$ a by (rule
Aexp-Let)
ultimately
have (ABinds ( $\Delta @ \Gamma$ ) $\cdot$ (Aheap  $\Delta$   $e \cdot a$   $\sqcup$  ae)  $\sqcup$  Aexp e·a  $\sqcup$  AStack as S)  $f \mid` (domA (\Delta @ \Gamma)$ 
 $\cup upds S) \sqsubseteq Aheap \Delta e \cdot a \sqcup ae$ 
by (auto 4 4 simp add: env-restr-join Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]]
join-below-iff
simp del: join-comm
elim: below-trans below-trans[OF env-restr-mono])
moreover
note fresh-distinct[OF assms(2)]
moreover
from fresh-distinct-fv[OF assms(3)]
have domA  $\Delta \cap upds S = \{\}$  by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])
ultimately
show ?thesis using assms(1)
by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap] intro: heap-upds-ok-append)
qed

```

```

lemma a-consistent-if1:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut ? e1 : e2, S)
shows a-consistent (ae, 0, a#as) ( $\Gamma$ , scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
proof –
from assms
have Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) $\cdot$ a f  $\mid` (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$  by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps
env-restr-join join-below-iff)
hence (Aexp scrut ? e1 : e2 $\cdot$ a  $\sqcup$  Aexp e2·a)  $f \mid` (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) \sqsubseteq ae$ 
by (rule below-trans[OF env-restr-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse]])
thus ?thesis
using assms
by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)
qed

```

```

lemma a-consistent-if2:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, a'#as') ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)
shows a-consistent (ae, a', as') ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)
using assms by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps join-below-iff env-restr-join)

```

```

lemma a-consistent-alts-on-stack:
assumes a-consistent (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)
obtains a' as' where as = a' # as' a = 0
using assms by (auto simp add: a-consistent.simps)

```

```

lemma closed-a-consistent:
  fv e = ({::}var set) ==> a-consistent (⊥, 0, []) ([] , e, [])
  by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot a-consistent.simps dest!: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
end
end

```

## 65 ArityTransformSafe.tex

```

theory ArityTransformSafe
imports ArityTransform ArityConsistent ArityAnalysisSpec ArityEtaExpansionSafe Abstract-
Transform ConstOn
begin

locale CardinalityArityTransformation = ArityAnalysisLetSafeNoCard
begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformBoundSubst
    λ a . inc·a
    λ a . pred·a
    λ Δ e a . (a, Aheap Δ e·a)
    fst
    snd
    λ -. 0
    Aeta-expand
    snd
  apply standard
  apply (simp add: Aheap-subst)
  apply (rule subst-Aeta-expand)
  done

  abbreviation ccTransform where ccTransform ≡ transform

  lemma supp-transform: supp (transform a e) ⊆ supp e
    by (induction rule: transform.induct)
      (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp Let-supp dest!: set-mp[OF supp-map-transform] set-mp[OF
      supp-map-transform-step] )
  interpretation supp-bounded-transform transform
    by standard (auto simp add: fresh-def supp-transform)

  fun transform-alts :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ stack
    where
      transform-alts - [] = []
      | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
    # transform-alts as S
      | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

```

```

lemma transform-alts-Nil[simp]: transform-alts [] S = S
  by (induction S) auto

lemma Astack-transform-alts[simp]:
  Astack (transform-alts as S) = Astack S
  by (induction rule: transform-alts.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-transform-alts[intro]: a #* S ==> a #* transform-alts as S
  by (induction as S rule: transform-alts.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

fun a-transform :: astate => conf => conf
where a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S) =
  (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae Γ),
   ccTransform a e,
   transform-alts as S)

fun restr-conf :: var set => conf => conf
where restr-conf V (Γ, e, S) = (restrictA V Γ, e, restr-stack V S)

inductive consistent :: astate => conf => bool where
  consistentI[intro!]:
    a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
    ==> (Λ x. x ∈ thunks Γ ==> ae x = up·0)
    ==> consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)
inductive-cases consistentE[elim!]: consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S)

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv e = ({ }::var set)
  shows consistent (⊥, 0, []) ([] , e, [])
  by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot closed-a-consistent[OF assms])

lemma arity-transform-safe:
  fixes c c'
  assumes c =>* c' and ¬ boring-step c' and heap-upds-ok-conf c and consistent (ae,a,as)
  c
  shows ∃ ae' a' as'. consistent (ae',a',as') c' ∧ a-transform (ae,a,as) c =>* a-transform
  (ae',a',as') c'
  using assms(1,2) heap-upds-ok-invariant assms(3-)
  proof(induction c c' arbitrary: ae a as rule:step-invariant-induction)
  case (app1 Γ e x S)
    from app1 have consistent (ae, inc·a, as) (Γ, e, Arg x # S)
    by (auto intro: a-consistent-app1)
    moreover
    have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, App e x, S) => a-transform (ae, inc·a, as) (Γ, e, Arg x # S)
    by simp rule
    ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
  next

```

```

case (app2  $\Gamma$   $y$   $e$   $x$   $S$ )
  have consistent (ae, pred·a, as) ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e[y:=x]$ ,  $S$ ) using app2
    by (auto 4 3 intro: a-consistent-app2)
  moreover
    have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Lam [y].  $e$ , Arg  $x \# S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, pred · a, as)
    ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e[y:=x]$ ,  $S$ ) by (simp add: subst-transform[symmetric]) rule
  ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (thunk  $\Gamma$   $x$   $e$   $S$ )
  hence  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$  by auto
  hence [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (rule set-mp[OF thunks-domA])

from <heap-upds-ok-conf ( $\Gamma$ , Var  $x$ ,  $S$ )>
have  $x \notin \text{upds } S$  by (auto dest!: heap-upds-okE)

have  $x \in \text{edom ae}$  using thunk by auto
have ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0$  using thunk < $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ > by (auto)

have a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete  $x \Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x \# S$ ) using thunk <ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ >
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-0 simp del: restr-delete)
hence consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete  $x \Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x \# S$ ) using thunk <ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ >
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
moreover

from <map-of  $\Gamma$   $x = \text{Some } e$ > <ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot 0$ >
have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae  $\Gamma$ ))  $x = \text{Some}$ 
  (transform 0  $e$ )
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
  with (isVal  $e$ )
have a-transform (ae, a, as) ( $\Gamma$ , Var  $x$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  a-transform (ae, 0, as) (delete  $x \Gamma$ ,  $e$ , Upd  $x$ 
   $\# S$ )
  by (auto simp add: map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist intro!: step.intros simp del:
  restr-delete)
  ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (lamvar  $\Gamma$   $x$   $e$   $S$ )
  from lamvar(1) have [simp]:  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$  by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

  have up·a  $\sqsubseteq$  (Aexp (Var  $x$ )·a f|` (domA  $\Gamma$   $\cup$  upds  $S$ ))  $x$ 
    by (simp) (rule Aexp-Var)
  also from lamvar have Aexp (Var  $x$ )·a f|` (domA  $\Gamma$   $\cup$  upds  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  ae by (auto simp add:
  join-below-iff env-restr-join a-consistent.simps)
  finally
  obtain u where ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot u$  by (cases ae  $x$ ) (auto simp add: edom-def)
  hence  $x \in \text{edom ae}$  by (auto simp add: edomIff)

  have a-consistent (ae, u, as) (( $x, e$ )  $\#$  delete  $x \Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ ) using lamvar <ae  $x = \text{up}\cdot u$ >
```

```

by (auto intro!: a-consistent-lamvar simp del: restr-delete)
hence consistent (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
  using lamvar by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons restr-delete-twist elim: below-trans)
moreover

from ⟨a-consistent - -⟩
have Astack (transform-alts as S) ⊑ u by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

{
from ⟨isValid e⟩
have isValid (transform u e) by simp
hence isValid (Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) by (rule isValid-Aeta-expand)
moreover
from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨isValid (transform u e)⟩
  have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae Γ)) x = Some
(Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
    by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
ultimately
have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒*
  ((x, Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) # delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae
(map-transform transform ae Γ)), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as S)
  by (auto intro: lambda-var simp del: restr-delete)
also have ... = ((map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae ((x, e) #
delete x Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as S)
  using ⟨ae x = up · u⟩ ⟨isValid (transform u e)⟩
  by (simp add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-delete del: restr-delete)
also(subst[rotated]) have ... ⇒* a-transform (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
  by (simp add: restr-delete-twist) (rule Aeta-expand-safe[OF ⟨Astack - ⊑ u⟩])
finally(rtranclp-trans)
have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒* a-transform (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x
Γ, e, S).
}
ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (var₂ Γ x e S)
from var₂
have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by auto
from a-consistent-UpdD[OF this]
have ae x = up·0 and a = 0.

have a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
  using var₂ by (auto intro!: a-consistent-var₂)
hence consistent (ae, 0, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
  using var₂ ⟨a = 0⟩
  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim: below-trans)
moreover
have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, 0, as) ((x, e) # Γ, e,
S)
  using ⟨ae x = up·0⟩ ⟨a = 0⟩ var₂

```

```

by (auto intro!: step.intros simp add: map-transform-Cons)
ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (let1 Δ Γ e S)
  let ?ae = Aheap Δ e · a

  have domA Δ ∩ upds S = {} using fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)] by (auto dest: set-mp[OF
  ups-fv-subset])
    hence *:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{upds } S \implies x \notin \text{edom } ?ae$  by (auto simp add: dest!: set-mp[OF
  edom-Aheap])
    have restr-stack-simp2: restr-stack (edom (?ae ⊔ ae)) S = restr-stack (edom ae) S
      by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong dest!: *)

  have edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-edom-subsetD)
  from set-mp[OF this] fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
  have edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {} by (auto dest: set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])

  {
    { fix x e'
      assume x ∈ thunks Γ
      with let1
      have (?ae ⊔ ae) x = up · 0 by auto
    }
    moreover
    { fix x e'
      assume x ∈ thunks Δ
      hence (?ae ⊔ ae) x = up · 0 by (auto simp add: Aheap-heap3)
    }
    moreover
    have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Let Δ e, S)
      using let1 by auto
    hence a-consistent (?ae ⊔ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
      using let1(1,2) ⟨edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}⟩
      by (auto intro!: a-consistent-let simp del: join-comm)
    ultimately
    have consistent (?ae ⊔ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
      by auto
    }
    moreover
    {
      have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{domA } \Gamma \implies x \notin \text{edom } ?ae$ 
        using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
        by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])
      hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊔ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊔ ae) Γ)
        = map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae Γ)
        by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
    moreover
  
```

```

from `edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S`
have ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ x ∉ edom ae
  using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
  by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF upds-fv-subset])
hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊒ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊒ ae) Δ)
  = map-transform Aeta-expand ?ae (map-transform transform ?ae Δ)
  by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
ultimately

  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒ a-transform (?ae ⊒ ae, a, as) (Δ @ Γ,
e, S)
    using restr-stack-simp2 let1(1,2)
    apply (auto simp add: map-transform-append restrictA-append restr-stack-simp2[simplified]
map-transform-restrA)
      apply (rule step.let1)
      apply (auto dest: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])
      done
  }
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (if1 Γ scrut e1 e2 S)
  have consistent (ae, 0, a#as) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
    using if1 by (auto dest: a-consistent-if1)
  moreover
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, 0, a#as) (Γ, scrut,
Alts e1 e2 # S)
    by (auto intro: step.intros)
  ultimately
  show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (if2 Γ b e1 e2 S)
  hence a-consistent (ae, a, as) (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) by auto
  then obtain a' as' where [simp]: as = a' # as' a = 0
    by (rule a-consistent-alts-on-stack)

  have consistent (ae, a', as') (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S)
    using if2 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-if2)
  moreover
  have a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⇒ a-transform (ae, a', as') (Γ,
if b then e1 else e2, S)
    by (auto intro: step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False, simplified])
  ultimately
  show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case refl thus ?case by auto
next
  case (trans c c' c'')

```

```

from trans(3)[OF trans(5)]
  obtain ae' a' as' where consistent (ae', a', as') c' and *: a-transform (ae, a, as) c =>*
a-transform (ae', a', as') c' by blast
  from trans(4)[OF this(1)]
    obtain ae'' a'' as'' where consistent (ae'', a'', as'') c'' and **: a-transform (ae', a', as')
c' =>* a-transform (ae'', a'', as'') c'' by blast
  from this(1) rtranclp-trans[OF * **]
  show ?case by blast
qed
end

end

```

## 66 Set-Cpo.tex

```

theory Set-Cpo
imports ~~/src/HOL/HOLCF/HOLCF
begin

default-sort type

instantiation set :: (type) below
begin
  definition below-set where op ⊑ = op ⊆
instance..
end

instance set :: (type) po
  by standard (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma is-lub-set:
  S <<| ∪ S
  by(auto simp add: is-lub-def below-set-def is-ub-def)

lemma lub-set: lub S = ∪ S
  by (metis is-lub-set lub-eqI)

instance set :: (type) cpo
  by standard (rule exI, rule is-lub-set)

lemma minimal-set: {} ⊑ S
  unfolding below-set-def by simp

instance set :: (type) pcpo
  by standard (rule+, rule minimal-set)

lemma set-contI:
  assumes ⋀ Y. chain Y ==> f (LJ i. Y i) = ∪ (f ` range Y)

```

```

shows cont f
proof(rule contI)
fix Y :: nat ⇒ 'a
assume chain Y
hence f (⊔ i. Y i) = ⊔ (f ` range Y) by (rule assms)
also have ... = ⊔ (range (λi. f (Y i))) by simp
finally
show range (λi. f (Y i)) <<| f (⊔ i. Y i) using is-lub-set by metis
qed

lemma set-set-contI:
assumes ⋀ S. f (⊔ S) = ⊔ (f ` S)
shows cont f
by (metis set-contI assms is-lub-set lub-eqI)

lemma adm-subseteq[simp]:
assumes cont f
shows adm (λa. f a ⊆ S)
by (rule admI)(auto simp add: cont2contlubE[OF assms] lub-set)

lemma adm-Ball[simp]: adm (λS. ∀ x∈S. P x)
by (auto intro!: admI simp add: lub-set)

lemma finite-subset-chain:
fixes Y :: nat ⇒ 'a set
assumes chain Y
assumes S ⊆ UNION UNIV Y
assumes finite S
shows ∃ i. S ⊆ Y i
proof-
from assms(2)
have ∀ x ∈ S. ∃ i. x ∈ Y i by auto
then obtain f where f: ∀ x ∈ S. x ∈ Y (f x) by metis

def i ≡ Max (f ` S)
from finite S
have finite (f ` S) by simp
hence ∀ x ∈ S. f x ≤ i unfolding i-def by auto
with chain-mono[OF chain Y]
have ∀ x ∈ S. Y (f x) ⊆ Y i by (auto simp add: below-set-def)
with f
have S ⊆ Y i by auto
thus ?thesis..
qed

lemma diff-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
fixes S' :: 'a set
shows cont (λS. S - S')
by (rule set-set-contI) simp

```

```
end
```

## 67 Env-Set-Cpo.tex

```
theory Env-Set-Cpo
imports Env Set-Cpo
begin

lemma cont-edom[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont (λ f. edom f)
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (auto simp add: edom-def)
  apply (metis ch2ch-fun lub-eq-bottom-iff lub-fun)
  apply (metis ch2ch-fun lub-eq-bottom-iff lub-fun)
  done

end
```

## 68 CoCallGraph.tex

```
theory CoCallGraph
imports Vars HOLCF-Join-Classes HOLCF-Utils Set-Cpo
begin

default-sort type

typedef CoCalls = {G :: (var × var) set. sym G}
morphisms Rep-CoCall Abs-CoCall
by (auto intro: exI[where x = {}] symI)

setup-lifting type-definition-CoCalls

instantiation CoCalls :: po
begin
lift-definition below-CoCalls :: CoCalls ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool is op ⊆.
instance
  apply standard
  apply ((transfer, auto)+)
  done
end

lift-definition coCallsLub :: CoCalls set ⇒ CoCalls is λ S. ∪ S
by (auto intro: symI elim: symE)

lemma coCallsLub-is-lub: S <<| coCallsLub S
```

```

proof (rule is-lubI)
  show  $S <| coCallsLub S$ 
    by (rule is-ubI, transfer, auto)
next
  fix  $u$ 
  assume  $S <| u$ 
  hence  $\forall x \in S. x \sqsubseteq u$  by (auto dest: is-ubD)
  thus  $coCallsLub S \sqsubseteq u$  by transfer auto
qed

instance CoCalls :: cpo
proof
  fix  $S :: nat \Rightarrow CoCalls$ 
  show  $\exists x. range S <<| x$  using coCallsLub-is-lub..
qed

lemma ccLubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls) Union lub
proof-
  have  $lub = coCallsLub$ 
    apply (rule)
    apply (rule lub-eqI)
    apply (rule coCallsLub-is-lub)
    done
  with coCallsLub.transfer
  show ?thesis by metis
qed

lift-definition is-cc-lub :: CoCalls set => CoCalls => bool is  $(\lambda S x . x = Union S)$ .

lemma ccis-lubTransfer[transfer-rule]: (rel-set pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls ==> op =)  

 $(\lambda S x . x = Union S) op <<|$ 
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge x xa . is-cc-lub x xa \longleftrightarrow xa = coCallsLub x$  by transfer auto
  hence is-cc-lub = op <<|
  apply -
  apply (rule, rule)
  by (metis coCallsLub-is-lub is-lub-unique)
  thus ?thesis using is-cc-lub.transfer by simp
qed

lift-definition coCallsJoin :: CoCalls => CoCalls => CoCalls is  $op \cup$   

  by (rule sym-Un)

lemma ccJoinTransfer[transfer-rule]: (pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls ==> pcr-CoCalls)  $op \cup$   

 $op \sqcup$ 
proof-
  have  $op \sqcup = coCallsJoin$ 
    apply (rule)
    apply rule

```

```

apply (rule lub-is-join)
unfolding is-lub-def is-ub-def
apply transfer
apply auto
done
with coCallsJoin.transfer
show ?thesis by metis
qed

lift-definition ccEmpty :: CoCalls is {} by (auto intro: symI)

lemma ccEmpty-below[simp]: ccEmpty ⊑ G
  by transfer auto

instance CoCalls :: pcpo
proof
  have ∀ y . ccEmpty ⊑ y by transfer simp
  thus ∃ x. ∀ y. (x::CoCalls) ⊑ y..
qed

lemma ccBotTransfer[transfer-rule]: pcr-CoCalls {} ⊥
proof-
  have ⋀ x. ccEmpty ⊑ x by transfer simp
  hence ccEmpty = ⊥ by (rule bottomI)
  thus ?thesis using ccEmpty.transfer by simp
qed

lemma cc-lub-below-iff:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  shows lub X ⊑ G ↔ (∀ G'∈X. G' ⊑ G)
  by transfer auto

lift-definition ccField :: CoCalls ⇒ var set is Field.

lemma ccField-nil[simp]: ccField ⊥ = {}
  by transfer auto

lift-definition
  inCC :: var ⇒ var ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool (---∈- [1000, 1000, 900] 900)
  is λ x y s. (x,y) ∈ s.

abbreviation
  notInCC :: var ⇒ var ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool (---∉- [1000, 1000, 900] 900)
  where x--y∉S ≡ ¬ x--y∈S

lemma notInCC-bot[simp]: x--y∈⊥ ↔ False
  by transfer auto

lemma below-CoCallsI:

```

$(\bigwedge x y. x--y \in G \implies x--y \in G') \implies G \sqsubseteq G'$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *CoCalls-eqI*:  
 $(\bigwedge x y. x--y \in G \longleftrightarrow x--y \in G') \implies G = G'$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *in-join[simp]*:  
 $x--y \in (G \sqcup G') \longleftrightarrow x--y \in G \vee x--y \in G'$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *in-lub[simp]*:  $x--y \in (\text{lub } S) \longleftrightarrow (\exists G \in S. x--y \in G)$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *in-CoCallsLubI*:  
 $x--y \in G \implies G \in S \implies x--y \in \text{lub } S$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *adm-not-in[simp]*:  
**assumes** *cont t*  
**shows** *adm* ( $\lambda a. x--y \notin t a$ )  
**by** (*rule admI*) (*auto simp add: cont2contlubE[OF assms]*)

**lift-definition** *cc-delete* :: *var*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls*  
**is**  $\lambda z. \text{Set.filter}(\lambda(x,y). x \neq z \wedge y \neq z)$   
**by** (*auto intro!: symI elim: symE*)

**lemma** *ccField-cc-delete*: *ccField* (*cc-delete* *x* *S*)  $\subseteq$  *ccField* *S*  $- \{x\}$   
**by transfer** (*auto simp add: Field-def*)

**lift-definition** *ccProd* :: *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *var set*  $\Rightarrow$  *CoCalls* (**infixr** *G*  $\times$  90)  
**is**  $\lambda S1 S2. S1 \times S2 \cup S2 \times S1$   
**by** (*auto intro!: symI elim: symE*)

**lemma** *ccProd-empty[simp]*:  $\{\} \text{ } G \times S = \perp$  **by transfer auto**

**lemma** *ccProd-empty'[simp]*:  $S \text{ } G \times \{\} = \perp$  **by transfer auto**

**lemma** *ccProd-union2[simp]*:  $S \text{ } G \times (S' \cup S'') = S \text{ } G \times S' \sqcup S \text{ } G \times S''$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *ccProd-Union2[simp]*:  $S \text{ } G \times \bigcup S' = (\bigsqcup X \in S'. \text{ccProd } S \text{ } X)$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *ccProd-Union2'[simp]*:  $S \text{ } G \times (\bigcup X \in S'. f \text{ } X) = (\bigsqcup X \in S'. \text{ccProd } S \text{ } (f \text{ } X))$   
**by transfer auto**

**lemma** *in-ccProd[simp]*:  $x--y \in (S \text{ } G \times S') = (x \in S \wedge y \in S' \vee x \in S' \wedge y \in S)$   
**by transfer auto**

```

lemma ccProd-union1[simp]:  $(S' \cup S'') G \times S = S' G \times S \sqcup S'' G \times S$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-insert2:  $S G \times \text{insert } x S' = S G \times \{x\} \sqcup S G \times S'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-insert1:  $\text{insert } x S' G \times S = \{x\} G \times S \sqcup S' G \times S$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-mono1:  $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S' G \times S \sqsubseteq S'' G \times S$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-mono2:  $S' \subseteq S'' \implies S G \times S' \sqsubseteq S G \times S''$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-mono:  $S \subseteq S' \implies T \subseteq T' \implies S G \times T \sqsubseteq S' G \times T'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-comm:  $S G \times S' = S' G \times S$  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-belowI:
   $(\bigwedge x y. x \in S \implies y \in S' \implies x - y \in G) \implies S G \times S' \sqsubseteq G$ 
  by transfer (auto elim: symE)

lift-definition cc-restr :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls
  is  $\lambda S. \text{Set.filter } (\lambda (x,y). x \in S \wedge y \in S)$ 
  by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE)

abbreviation cc-restr-sym (infixl  $G|` 110$ ) where  $G G|` S \equiv \text{cc-restr } S G$ 

lemma elem-cc-restr[simp]:  $x - y \in (G G|` S) = (x - y \in G \wedge x \in S \wedge y \in S)$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccField-cc-restr:  $\text{ccField } (G G|` S) \subseteq \text{ccField } G \cap S$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma cc-restr-empty:  $\text{ccField } G \subseteq - S \implies G G|` S = \perp$ 
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: Field-def)
  apply (drule DomainI)
  apply (drule (1) set-mp)
  apply simp
  done

lemma cc-restr-empty-set[simp]:  $\text{cc-restr } \{\} G = \perp$ 
  by transfer auto

```

```

lemma cc-restr-noop[simp]: ccField G ⊆ S ==> cc-restr S G = G
  by transfer (force simp add: Field-def dest: DomainI RangeI elim: set-mp)

lemma cc-restr-bot[simp]: cc-restr S ⊥ = ⊥
  by simp

lemma ccRestr-ccDelete[simp]: cc-restr (-{x}) G = cc-delete x G
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-join[simp]:
  cc-restr S (G ∪ G') = cc-restr S G ∪ cc-restr S G'
  by transfer auto

lemma cont-cc-restr: cont (cc-restr S)
  apply (rule contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  done

lemmas cont-compose[OF cont-cc-restr, cont2cont, simp]

lemma cc-restr-mono1:
  S ⊆ S' ==> cc-restr S G ⊑ cc-restr S' G by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-mono2:
  G ⊑ G' ==> cc-restr S G ⊑ cc-restr S G' by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-below-arg:
  cc-restr S G ⊑ G by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-lub[simp]:
  cc-restr S (lub X) = (⊔ G ∈ X. cc-restr S G) by transfer auto

lemma elem-to-ccField: x -- y ∈ G ==> x ∈ ccField G ∧ y ∈ ccField G
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccField-to-elem: x ∈ ccField G ==> ∃ y. x -- y ∈ G
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def dest: symD)

lemma cc-restr-intersect: ccField G ∩ ((S - S') ∪ (S' - S)) = {} ==> cc-restr S G = cc-restr S' G
  by (rule CoCalls-eqI) (auto dest: elem-to-ccField)

lemma cc-restr-cc-restr[simp]: cc-restr S (cc-restr S' G) = cc-restr (S ∩ S') G
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-twist: cc-restr S (cc-restr S' G) = cc-restr S' (cc-restr S G)
  by transfer auto

```

```

lemma cc-restr-cc-delete-twist: cc-restr x (cc-delete S G) = cc-delete S (cc-restr x G)
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-ccProd[simp]:
  cc-restr S (ccProd S1 S2) = ccProd (S1 ∩ S) (S2 ∩ S)
  by transfer auto

lemma ccProd-below-cc-restr:
  ccProd S S' ⊑ cc-restr S'' G ←→ ccProd S S' ⊑ G ∧ (S = {} ∨ S' = {} ∨ S ⊆ S'' ∧ S' ⊆ S'')
  by transfer auto

lemma cc-restr-eq-subset: S ⊆ S' ⇒ cc-restr S' G = cc-restr S' G2 ⇒ cc-restr S G = cc-restr S G2
  by transfer' (auto simp add: Set.filter-def)

definition ccSquare (-2 [80] 80)
  where S2 = ccProd S S

lemma ccField-ccSquare[simp]: ccField (S2) = S
  unfolding ccSquare-def by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma below-ccSquare[iff]: (G ⊑ S2) = (ccField G ⊆ S)
  unfolding ccSquare-def by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma cc-restr-ccSquare[simp]: (S2) G|` S = (S' ∩ S)2
  unfolding ccSquare-def by auto

lemma ccSquare-empty[simp]: {}2 = ⊥
  unfolding ccSquare-def by simp

lift-definition ccNeighbors :: var ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var set
  is λ x G. {y .(y,x) ∈ G ∨ (x,y) ∈ G}.

lemma ccNeighbors-bot[simp]: ccNeighbors x ⊥ = {} by transfer auto

lemma cont-ccProd1:
  cont (λ S. ccProd S S')
  apply (rule contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply (subst lub-set)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  done

lemma cont-ccProd2:
  cont (λ S'. ccProd S S')
  apply (rule contI)

```

```

apply (thin-tac chain -)
apply (subst lub-set)
apply transfer
apply auto
done

lemmas cont-compose2[OF cont-ccProd1 cont-ccProd2, simp, cont2cont]

lemma cont-ccNeighbors[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont ( $\lambda y. ccNeighbors x y$ )
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (thin-tac chain -)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
done

lemma ccNeighbors-join[simp]:  $ccNeighbors x (G \sqcup G') = ccNeighbors x G \cup ccNeighbors x G'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccNeighbors-ccProd:
   $ccNeighbors x (ccProd S S') = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S' \text{ else } \{\}) \cup (\text{if } x \in S' \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccNeighbors-ccSquare:
   $ccNeighbors x (ccSquare S) = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } S \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  unfolding ccSquare-def by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)

lemma ccNeighbors-cc-restr[simp]:
   $ccNeighbors x (cc-restr S G) = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } ccNeighbors x G \cap S \text{ else } \{\})$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma ccNeighbors-mono:
   $G \sqsubseteq G' \implies ccNeighbors x G \subseteq ccNeighbors x G'$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma subset-ccNeighbors:
   $S \subseteq ccNeighbors x G \longleftrightarrow ccProd \{x\} S \sqsubseteq G$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: sym-def)

lemma elem-ccNeighbors[simp]:
   $y \in ccNeighbors x G \longleftrightarrow (y - x \in G)$ 
  by transfer (auto simp add: sym-def)

lemma ccNeighbors-ccField:
   $ccNeighbors x G \subseteq ccField G$  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccNeighbors-disjoint-empty[simp]:

```

```

 $ccNeighbors x G = \{\} \longleftrightarrow x \notin ccField G$ 
by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

instance CoCalls :: Join-cpo
by standard (metis coCallsLub-is-lub)

lemma ccNeighbors-lub[simp]:  $ccNeighbors x (\text{lub } Gs) = \text{lub } (ccNeighbors x ` Gs)$ 
by transfer (auto simp add: lub-set)

inductive list-pairs :: 'a list ⇒ ('a × 'a) ⇒ bool
where  $list-pairs xs p \implies list-pairs (x#xs) p$ 
       $| y \in set xs \implies list-pairs (x#xs) (x,y)$ 

lift-definition ccFromList :: var list ⇒ CoCalls is  $\lambda xs. \{(x,y). list-pairs xs (x,y) \vee list-pairs xs (y,x)\}$ 
by (auto intro: symI)

lemma ccFromList-Nil[simp]:  $ccFromList [] = \perp$ 
by transfer (auto elim: list-pairs.cases)

lemma ccFromList-Cons[simp]:  $ccFromList (x#xs) = ccProd \{x\} (set xs) \sqcup ccFromList xs$ 
by transfer (auto elim: list-pairs.cases intro: list-pairs.intros)

lemma ccFromList-append[simp]:  $ccFromList (xs@ys) = ccFromList xs \sqcup ccFromList ys \sqcup$ 
 $ccProd (set xs) (set ys)$ 
by (induction xs) (auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs])

lemma ccFromList-filter[simp]:
 $ccFromList (\text{filter } P xs) = cc-restr \{x. P x\} (ccFromList xs)$ 
by (induction xs) (auto simp add: Collect-conj-eq)

lemma ccFromList-replicate[simp]:  $ccFromList (\text{replicate } n x) = (\text{if } n \leq 1 \text{ then } \perp \text{ else } ccProd \{x\} \{x\})$ 
by (induction n) auto

definition ccLinear :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ bool
where  $ccLinear S G = (\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x - y \notin G)$ 

lemma ccLinear-bottom[simp]:
 $ccLinear S \perp$ 
unfolding ccLinear-def by simp

lemma ccLinear-empty[simp]:
 $ccLinear \{\} G$ 
unfolding ccLinear-def by simp

lemma ccLinear-lub[simp]:
 $ccLinear S (\text{lub } X) = (\forall G \in X. ccLinear S G)$ 
unfolding ccLinear-def by auto

```

```

lemma ccLinear-cc-restr[intro]:
  ccLinear S G  $\implies$  ccLinear S (cc-restr S' G)
  unfolding ccLinear-def by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-join[simp]:
  ccLinear S (G  $\sqcup$  G')  $\longleftrightarrow$  ccLinear S G  $\wedge$  ccLinear S G'
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-ccProd[simp]:
  ccLinear S (ccProd S1 S2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  S1  $\cap$  S = {}  $\vee$  S2  $\cap$  S = {}
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-mono1: ccLinear S' G  $\implies$  S  $\subseteq$  S'  $\implies$  ccLinear S G
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccLinear-mono2: ccLinear S G'  $\implies$  G  $\sqsubseteq$  G'  $\implies$  ccLinear S G
  unfolding ccLinear-def
  by transfer auto

lemma ccField-join[simp]:
  ccField (G  $\sqcup$  G') = ccField G  $\cup$  ccField G' by transfer auto

lemma ccField-lub[simp]:
  ccField (lub S) =  $\bigcup$ (ccField ` S) by transfer auto

lemma ccField-ccProd:
  ccField (ccProd S S') = (if S = {} then {} else if S' = {} then {} else S  $\cup$  S')
  by transfer (auto simp add: Field-def)

lemma ccField-ccProd-subset:
  ccField (ccProd S S')  $\subseteq$  S  $\cup$  S'
  by (simp add: ccField-ccProd)

lemma cont-ccField[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ccField
  by (rule set-contI) auto

end

```

## 69 CoCallAnalysisSig.tex

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSig
imports Terms Arity CoCallGraph
begin

locale CoCallAnalysis =
  fixes ccExp :: exp ⇒ Arity → CoCalls
begin
  abbreviation ccExp-syn (G_)
    where G_a ≡ (λe. ccExp e · a)
  abbreviation ccExp-bot-syn (G⊥_)
    where G⊥_a ≡ (λe. fup · (ccExp e) · a)
end

locale CoCallAnalyisHeap =
  fixes ccHeap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → CoCalls
end

```

## 70 AList-Utils-HOLCF.tex

```

theory AList_Utils_HOLCF
imports HOLCF_Utils HOLCF_Join_Classes AList_Utils
begin

syntax
  -BLubMap :: [pttrn, pttrn, 'a ⟶ 'b, 'b] ⇒ 'b ((3⊓ /-/ ↗ /-/ ∈ /-/ ./ -) [0,0,0, 10] 10)

translations
  ⊓ k ↦ v ∈ m. e == CONST lub (CONST mapCollect (λk v . e) m)

lemma below-lubmapI[intro]:
  m k = Some v ==> (e k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo) ⊑ (⊔ k ↦ v ∈ m. e k v)
  unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

lemma lubmap-belowI[intro]:
  (A k v . m k = Some v ==> (e k v :: 'a :: Join-cpo) ⊑ u) ==> (⊔ k ↦ v ∈ m. e k v) ⊑ u
  unfolding mapCollect-def by auto

lemma lubmap-const-bottom[simp]:
  (⊔ k ↦ v ∈ m. ⊥) = (⊥ :: 'a :: Join-cpo)
  by (cases m = empty) auto

lemma lubmap-map-upd[simp]:
  fixes e :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('c :: Join-cpo)
  shows (⊔ k ↦ v ∈ m (k' ↦ v'). e k v) = e k' v' ∪ (⊔ k ↦ v ∈ m (k' := None). e k v)
  by simp

```

```

lemma lubmap-below-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k v. m k = \text{Some } v \implies f1 k v \sqsubseteq (f2 k v :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo})$ 
  shows  $(\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f1 k v) \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f2 k v)$ 
  apply (rule lubmap-belowI)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF assms], assumption)
  apply (rule below-lubmapI, assumption)
  done

lemma cont2cont-lubmap[simp, cont2cont]:
  assumes  $(\bigwedge k v. \text{cont } (f k v))$ 
  shows  $\text{cont } (\lambda x. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. (f k v x) :: 'a :: \text{Join-cpo})$ 
  proof (rule contI2)
    show monofun  $(\lambda x. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f k v x)$ 
    apply (rule monofunI)
    apply (rule lubmap-below-cong)
    apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF assms])
    done
  next
    fix Y :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'd
    assume chain Y
    assume chain  $(\lambda i. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f k v (Y i))$ 

    show  $(\bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f k v (\bigsqcup i. Y i)) \sqsubseteq (\bigsqcup i. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f k v (Y i))$ 
    apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF assms (chain Y)])
    apply (rule lubmap-belowI)
    apply (rule lub-mono[OF ch2ch-cont[OF assms (chain Y)] (chain  $(\lambda i. \bigsqcup k \mapsto v \in m. f k v (Y i))$ )])
    apply (erule below-lubmapI)
    done
  qed
end

```

## 71 CoCallGraph-Nominal.tex

```

theory CoCallGraph–Nominal
imports CoCallGraph Nominal–HOLCF
begin

instantiation CoCalls :: pt
begin
lift-definition permute-CoCalls :: perm  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls is permute
  by (auto intro!: symI elim: symE simp add: mem-permute-set)
instance

```

```

apply standard
apply (transfer, simp) +
done
end

instance CoCalls :: cont-pt
  apply standard
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply transfer
  apply (metis (full-types) True-eqvt subset-eqvt)
  apply (thin-tac chain -) +
  apply transfer
  apply simp
done

lemmas lub-eqvt[OF exists-lub, simp, eqvt]

lemma cc-restr-perm:
  fixes G :: CoCalls
  assumes supp p #* S and [simp]: finite S
  shows cc-restr S (p ∙ G) = cc-restr S G
  using assms
  apply -
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: mem-permute-set)
  apply (subst (asm) perm-supp-eq, simp add: supp-minus-perm, metis (full-types) fresh-def
fresh-star-def supp-set-elem-finite) +
  apply assumption
  apply (subst perm-supp-eq, simp add: supp-minus-perm, metis (full-types) fresh-def fresh-star-def
supp-set-elem-finite) +
  apply assumption
done

lemma inCC-eqvt[eqvt]: π ∙ (x -- y ∈ G) = (π ∙ x) -- (π ∙ y) ∈ (π ∙ G)
  by transfer auto
lemma cc-restr-eqvt[eqvt]: π ∙ cc-restr S G = cc-restr (π ∙ S) (π ∙ G)
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)
lemma ccProd-eqvt[eqvt]: π ∙ ccProd S S' = ccProd (π ∙ S) (π ∙ S')
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)
lemma ccSquare-eqvt[eqvt]: π ∙ ccSquare S = ccSquare (π ∙ S)
  unfolding ccSquare-def
  by perm-simp rule
lemma ccNeighbors-eqvt[eqvt]: π ∙ ccNeighbors S G = ccNeighbors (π ∙ S) (π ∙ G)
  by transfer (perm-simp, rule)

```

end

## 72 CoCallAnalysisBinds.tex

```

theory CoCallAnalysisBinds
imports CoCallAnalysisSig AEnv AList-Utils-HOLCF Arity-Nominal CoCallGraph-Nominal
begin

context CoCallAnalysis
begin
definition ccBind :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls)
  where ccBind v e = (Λ (ae, G). if (v -- v ∉ G) ∨ ¬ isVal e then cc-restr (fv e) (fup · (ccExp e) · (ae v)) else ccSquare (fv e))

lemma ccBind-eq:
  ccBind v e · (ae, G) = (if v -- v ∉ G ∨ ¬ isVal e then G ⊥ ae v e G | ` fv e else (fv e)²)
  unfolding ccBind-def
  apply (rule cfun-beta-Pair)
  apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (auto dest: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr])[1]

  apply (case-tac p, auto, transfer, auto)[1]
  apply (rule adm-subst[OF cont-snd])
  apply (rule admI, thin-tac chain -, transfer, auto)
  done

lemma ccBind-strict[simp]: ccBind v e · ⊥ = ⊥
  by (auto simp add: inst-prod-pcpo ccBind-eq simp del: Pair-strict)

lemma ccField-ccBind: ccField (ccBind v e · (ae, G)) ⊆ fv e
  by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr])

definition ccBinds :: heap ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls)
  where ccBinds Γ = (Λ i. (⊔ v ↦ e ∈ map-of Γ. ccBind v e · i))

lemma ccBinds-eq:
  ccBinds Γ · i = (⊔ v ↦ e ∈ map-of Γ. ccBind v e · i)
  unfolding ccBinds-def
  by simp

lemma ccBinds-strict[simp]: ccBinds Γ · ⊥ = ⊥
  unfolding ccBinds-eq
  by (cases Γ = []) simp-all

lemma ccBinds-strict'[simp]: ccBinds Γ · (⊥, ⊥) = ⊥

```

```

by (metis CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-strict Pair-bottom-iff)

lemma ccBinds-reorder1:
assumes map-of Γ v = Some e
shows ccBinds Γ = ccBind v e ∪ ccBinds (delete v Γ)
proof-
from assms
have map-of Γ = map-of ((v,e) # delete v Γ) by (metis map-of-delete-insert)
thus ?thesis
by (auto intro: cfun-eqI simp add: ccBinds-eq delete-set-none)
qed

lemma ccBinds-Nil[simp]:
ccBinds [] = ⊥
unfolding ccBinds-def by simp

lemma ccBinds-Cons[simp]:
ccBinds ((x,e) # Γ) = ccBind x e ∪ ccBinds (delete x Γ)
by (subst ccBinds-reorder1[where v = x and e = e]) auto

lemma ccBind-below-ccBinds: map-of Γ x = Some e ==> ccBind x e · ae ⊑ (ccBinds Γ · ae)
by (auto simp add: ccBinds-eq)

lemma ccField-ccBinds: ccField (ccBinds Γ · (ae,G)) ⊆ fv Γ
by (auto simp add: ccBinds-eq dest: set-mp[OF ccField-ccBind] intro: set-mp[OF map-of-Some-fv-subset])

definition ccBindsExtra :: heap ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls)
where ccBindsExtra Γ = (Λ i. snd i ∪ ccBinds Γ · i ∪ (⊔ x ↦ e ∈ map-of Γ. ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors x (snd i)))))

lemma ccBindsExtra-simp: ccBindsExtra Γ · i = snd i ∪ ccBinds Γ · i ∪ (⊔ x ↦ e ∈ map-of Γ. ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors x (snd i)))
unfolding ccBindsExtra-def by simp

lemma ccBindsExtra-eq: ccBindsExtra Γ · (ae,G) =
G ∪ ccBinds Γ · (ae,G) ∪ (⊔ x ↦ e ∈ map-of Γ. fv e G × ccNeighbors x G)
unfolding ccBindsExtra-def by simp

lemma ccBindsExtra-strict[simp]: ccBindsExtra Γ · ⊥ = ⊥
by (auto simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp inst-prod-pcpo simp del: Pair-strict)

lemma ccField-ccBindsExtra:
ccField (ccBindsExtra Γ · (ae,G)) ⊆ fv Γ ∪ ccField G
by (auto simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp elem-to-ccField
dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-ccBinds] set-mp[OF ccField-ccProd-subset] map-of-Some-fv-subset)

end

lemma ccBind-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallAnalysis.ccBind cccExp x e) = CoCallAnalysis.ccBind (π

```

```

•  $\text{cccExp}(\pi \cdot x)(\pi \cdot e)$ 
proof-
{
  fix  $\pi$   $ae$   $G$ 
  have  $\pi \cdot ((\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind}\ \text{cccExp}\ x\ e) \cdot (ae, G)) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind}(\pi \cdot \text{cccExp})(\pi \cdot x)(\pi \cdot e) \cdot (\pi \cdot ae, \pi \cdot G)$ 
    unfolding  $\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind-eq}$ 
    by perm-simp (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
}
thus ?thesis by (auto intro: cfun-eqvtI)
qed

lemma  $\text{ccBinds-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]$ :  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds}\ \text{cccExp}\ \Gamma) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds}(\pi \cdot \text{cccExp})(\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfolding  $\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-eq}$ 
  apply (perm-simp)
  apply rule
  done

lemma  $\text{ccBindsExtra-eqvt}[\text{eqvt}]$ :  $\pi \cdot (\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra}\ \text{cccExp}\ \Gamma) = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra}(\pi \cdot \text{cccExp})(\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
  by (rule cfun-eqvtI) (simp add: CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra-def)

lemma  $\text{ccBind-cong}[\text{fundef-cong}]$ :
   $\text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e \implies \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind}\ \text{cccexp1}\ x\ e = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBind}\ \text{cccexp2}\ x\ e$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  apply (case-tac xa)
  apply (auto simp add: CoCallAnalysis.ccBind-eq)
  done

lemma  $\text{ccBinds-cong}[\text{fundef-cong}]$ :
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \text{ set heap2} \implies \text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds}\ \text{cccexp1}\ \text{heap1} = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds}\ \text{cccexp2}\ \text{heap2}$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  unfolding  $\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBinds-eq}$ 
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
  apply (rule arg-cong[OF ccBind-cong])
  apply auto
  by (metis imageI map-of-SomeD snd-conv)

lemma  $\text{ccBindsExtra-cong}[\text{fundef-cong}]$ :
   $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in \text{snd} \text{ set heap2} \implies \text{cccexp1 } e = \text{cccexp2 } e); \text{heap1} = \text{heap2} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra}\ \text{cccexp1}\ \text{heap1} = \text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra}\ \text{cccexp2}\ \text{heap2}$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqI)
  unfolding  $\text{CoCallAnalysis.ccBindsExtra-simp}$ 
  apply (rule arg-cong2[OF ccBinds-cong mapCollect-cong])

```

```
apply simp+
done
```

```
end
```

## 73 ArityAnalysisFix.tex

```
theory ArityAnalysisFix
imports ArityAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisAbinds
begin

context ArityAnalysis
begin

definition Afix :: heap ⇒ (AEnv → AEnv)
  where Afix Γ = (Λ ae. (μ ae'. ABinds Γ · ae' ⊔ ae))

lemma Afix-eq: Afix Γ · ae = (μ ae'. (ABinds Γ · ae') ⊔ ae)
  unfolding Afix-def by simp

lemma Afix-strict[simp]: Afix Γ · ⊥ = ⊥
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (rule fix-eqI) auto

lemma Afix-least-below: ABinds Γ · ae' ⊑ ae' ⇒ ae ⊑ ae' ⇒ Afix Γ · ae ⊑ ae'
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (auto intro: fix-least-below)

lemma Afix-unroll: Afix Γ · ae = ABinds Γ · (Afix Γ · ae) ⊔ ae
  unfolding Afix-eq
  apply (subst fix-eq)
  by simp

lemma Abinds-below-Afix: ABinds Δ ⊑ Afix Δ
  apply (rule cfun-belowI)
  apply (simp add: Afix-eq)
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
  apply (rule monofun-cfun-arg)
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  done

lemma Afix-above-arg: ae ⊑ Afix Γ · ae
  by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

lemma Abinds-Afix-below[simp]: ABinds Γ · (Afix Γ · ae) ⊑ Afix Γ · ae
  apply (subst Afix-unroll) back
  apply simp
```

**done**

```
lemma Afix-reorder: map-of Γ = map-of Δ ==> Afix Γ = Afix Δ
  by (intro cfun-eqI)(simp add: Afix-eq cong: Abinds-reorder)

lemma Afix-repeat-singleton: (μ xa. Afix Γ·(esing x·(n ⊔ xa x) ⊔ ae)) = Afix Γ·(esing x·n ⊔ ae)
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  defer
  apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
  apply (intro monofun-cfun-arg join-mono below-refl join-above1)

  apply (rule fix-least-below, simp)
  apply (rule Afix-least-below, simp)
  apply (intro join-below below-refl iffD2[OF esing-below-iff] below-trans[OF - fun-belowD[OF
  Afix-above-arg]] below-trans[OF - Afix-above-arg] join-above1)
  apply simp
  done

lemma Afix-join-fresh: ae' ` (domA Δ) ⊆ {⊥} ==> Afix Δ·(ae ⊔ ae') = (Afix Δ·ae) ⊔ ae'
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  apply (rule Afix-least-below)
  apply (subst Abinds-join-fresh, simp)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Abinds-Afix-below join-above1])
  apply (rule join-below)
  apply (rule below-trans[OF Afix-above-arg join-above1])
  apply (rule join-above2)
  apply (rule join-below[OF monofun-cfun-arg [OF join-above1]])
  apply (rule below-trans[OF join-above2 Afix-above-arg])
  done

lemma Afix-restr-fresh:
  assumes atom ` S #: Γ
  shows Afix Γ·ae f|` (− S) = Afix Γ·(ae f|` (− S)) f|` (− S)
  unfolding Afix-eq
proof (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . x f|` (− S) = y f|` (− S)], goal-cases)
  case 1
  show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  show ?case ..
next
  case prems: (3 aeL aeR)
  have (ABinds Γ·aeL ⊔ ae) f|` (− S) = ABinds Γ·aeL f|` (− S) ⊔ ae f|` (− S) by (simp
  add: env-restr-join)
```

```

also have ... = ABinds Γ·(aeL f|` (− S)) f|` (− S) ⊢ ae f|` (− S) by (rule arg-cong[OF
ABinds-restr-fresh[OF assms]])
also have ... = ABinds Γ·(aeR f|` (− S)) f|` (− S) ⊢ ae f|` (− S) unfolding prems ..
also have ... = ABinds Γ·aeR f|` (− S) ⊢ ae f|` (− S) by (rule arg-cong[OF ABinds-restr-fresh[OF
assms, symmetric]])
also have ... = (ABinds Γ·aeR ⊢ ae f|` (− S)) f|` (− S) by (simp add: env-restr-join)
finally show ?case by simp
qed

lemma Afix-restr:
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows Afix Γ·ae f|` S = Afix Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
unfolding Afix-eq
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . x f|` S = y f|` S])
apply simp
apply rule
apply (auto simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (metis ABinds-restr[OF assms, symmetric])
done

lemma Afix-restr-subst':
assumes ⋀ x' e a. (x',e) ∈ set Γ ==> Aexp e[x:=y]·a f|` S = Aexp e·a f|` S
assumes x ∉ S
assumes y ∉ S
assumes domA Γ ⊆ S
shows Afix Γ[x:=y]·ae f|` S = Afix Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
unfolding Afix-eq
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ x y . x f|` S = y f|` S])
apply simp
apply rule
apply (auto simp add: env-restr-join)
apply (subst ABinds-restr-subst[OF assms]) apply assumption
apply (subst ABinds-restr[OF assms(4)]) back
apply simp
done

lemma Afix-subst-approx:
assumes ⋀ v n. v ∈ domA Γ ==> Aexp (the (map-of Γ v))[y:=x]·n ⊑ (Aexp (the (map-of Γ
v))·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)
assumes x ∉ domA Γ
assumes y ∉ domA Γ
shows Afix Γ[y:=x]·(ae(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) ⊑ (Afix Γ·ae)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)
unfolding Afix-eq
proof (rule parallel-fix-ind[where P = λ aeL aeR . aeL ⊑ aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)], goal-cases)
  case 1
    show ?case by simp
  next
    case 2

```

```

show ?case..
next
  case (3 aeL aeR)
    hence ABinds Γ[y::h=x]·aeL ⊑ ABinds Γ[y::h=x]·(aeR (y := ⊥, x := up·0)) by (rule
monofun-cfun-arg)
    also have ... ⊑ (ABinds Γ·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)
      using assms
  proof (induction rule: ABinds.induct, goal-cases)
    case 1
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case prems: (2 v e Γ)
    have ⋀n. Aexp e[y::=x]·n ⊑ (Aexp e·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0) using prems(2)[where v = v]
  by auto
    hence IH1: ⋀ n. fup·(Aexp e[y::=x])·n ⊑ (fup·(Aexp e)·n)(y := ⊥, x := up·0) by (case-tac
n) auto

    have ABinds (delete v Γ)[y::h=x]·(aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) ⊑ (ABinds (delete v Γ)·aeR)(y
:= ⊥, x := up·0)
      apply (rule prems) using prems(2,3,4) by fastforce+
      hence IH2: ABinds (delete v Γ[y::h=x])·(aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) ⊑ (ABinds (delete v
Γ)·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)
        unfolding subst-heap-delete.

    have [simp]: (aeR(y := ⊥, x := up·0)) v = aeR v using prems(3,4) by auto

    show ?case by (simp del: fun-upd-apply join-comm) (rule join-mono[OF IH1 IH2])
qed
finally have ABinds Γ[y::h=x]·aeL ⊑ (ABinds Γ·aeR)(y := ⊥, x := up·0)
  by this simp
thus ?case
  by (auto simp add: join-below-iff elim: below-trans)
qed

end

lemma Afix-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (ArityAnalysis.Afix Aexp Γ) = ArityAnalysis.Afix (π · Aexp) (π ·
Γ)
  unfolding ArityAnalysis.Afix-def
  by perm-simp (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)

lemma Afix-cong[fundef-cong]:
  [[ (⋀ e. e ∈ snd ` set heap2 ==> aexp1 e = aexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 ]
  ==> ArityAnalysis.Afix aexp1 heap1 = ArityAnalysis.Afix aexp2 heap2
  unfolding ArityAnalysis.Afix-def by (metis Abinds-cong)

context EdomArityAnalysis

```

```

begin

lemma Afix-edom: edom (Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $\subseteq fv \Gamma \cup edom ae$ 
  unfolding Afix-eq
  by (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda ae'. edom ae' \subseteq fv \Gamma \cup edom ae$ ] )
    (auto dest: set-mp[OF edom-AnalBinds])

lemma ABinds-lookup-fresh:
  atom  $v \notin \Gamma \implies (ABinds \Delta \cdot ae) v = \perp$ 
  by (induct  $\Gamma$  rule: ABinds.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-Cons fresh-Pair fup-Aexp-lookup-fresh
  fresh-delete)

lemma Afix-lookup-fresh:
  assumes atom  $v \notin \Gamma$ 
  shows (Afix  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $v = ae v$ 
  apply (rule below-antisym)
  apply (subst Afix-eq)
  apply (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda ae'. ae' v \sqsubseteq ae v$ ])
  apply (auto simp add: ABinds-lookup-fresh[OF assms] fun-belowD[OF Afix-above-arg])
  done

lemma Afix-comp2join-fresh:
  atom ` (domA  $\Delta$ ) \#*  $\Gamma \implies ABinds \Delta \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot ae) = ABinds \Delta \cdot ae$ 
  proof (induct  $\Delta$  rule: ABinds.induct)
    case 1 show ?case by (simp add: Afix-above-arg del: fun-meet-simp)
  next
    case (2  $v e \Delta$ )
    from 2(2)
    have atom  $v \notin \Gamma$  and atom ` domA (delete  $v \Delta$ ) \#*  $\Gamma$ 
      by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
    from 2(1)[OF this(2)]
    show ?case by (simp del: fun-meet-simp add: Afix-lookup-fresh[OF `atom v \notin \Gamma`])
  qed

lemma Afix-append-fresh:
  assumes atom ` domA  $\Delta \#* \Gamma$ 
  shows Afix  $(\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae = Afix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Delta \cdot ae)$ 
  proof (rule below-antisym)
    show *: Afix  $(\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae \sqsubseteq Afix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Delta \cdot ae)$ 
    apply (rule Afix-least-below)
    apply (simp add: Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]] Afix-comp2join-fresh[OF
    assms])
    apply (rule below-trans[OF join-mono[OF Abinds-Afix-below Abinds-Afix-below]])
    apply (simp-all add: Afix-above-arg below-trans[OF Afix-above-arg Afix-above-arg])
    done
  next
    show Afix  $\Gamma \cdot (Afix \Delta \cdot ae) \sqsubseteq Afix (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae$ 
    proof (rule Afix-least-below)
      show ABinds  $\Gamma \cdot (Afix (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae) \sqsubseteq Afix (\Delta @ \Gamma) \cdot ae$ 
    qed
  qed
end

```

```

apply (rule below-trans[OF - Abinds-Afix-below])
apply (subst Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]])
apply simp
done
have ABinds Δ·(Afix (Δ @ Γ)·ae) ⊑ Afix (Δ @ Γ)·ae
  apply (rule below-trans[OF - Abinds-Afix-below])
  apply (subst Abinds-append-disjoint[OF fresh-distinct[OF assms]])
  apply simp
done
thus Afix Δ·ae ⊑ Afix (Δ @ Γ)·ae
  apply (rule Afix-least-below)
  apply (rule Afix-above-arg)
done
qed
qed

lemma Afix-e-to-heap:
  Afix (delete x Γ)·(fup·(Aexp e)·n ⊒ ae) ⊑ Afix ((x, e) # delete x Γ)·(esing x·n ⊒ ae)
    apply (simp add: Afix-eq)
    apply (rule fix-least-below, simp)
    apply (intro join-below)
    apply (subst fix-eq, simp)
    apply (subst fix-eq, simp)

    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule monofun-cfun-arg)
    apply (subst fix-eq, simp)

    apply (subst fix-eq, simp) back apply (simp add: below-trans[OF - join-above2])
done

lemma Afix-e-to-heap':
  Afix (delete x Γ)·(Aexp e·n) ⊑ Afix ((x, e) # delete x Γ)·(esing x·(up·n))
using Afix-e-to-heap[where ae = ⊥ and n = up·n] by simp
end

end

```

## 74 CoCallFix.tex

```

theory CoCallFix
imports CoCallAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisBinds ArityAnalysisSig Env-Nominal ArityAnalysisFix
begin

```

```

locale CoCallArityAnalysis =
  fixes cccExp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv × CoCalls)
begin

definition Aexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv)
  where Aexp e = (Λ a. fst (cccExp e · a))

sublocale ArityAnalysis Aexp.

abbreviation Aexp-syn' (A_) where A_a ≡ (λ e. Aexp e · a)
abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn' (A⊥_) where A⊥_a ≡ (λ e. fup·(Aexp e) · a)

lemma Aexp-eq:
  A_a e = fst (cccExp e · a)
  unfolding Aexp-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

lemma fup-Aexp-eq:
  fup·(Aexp e) · a = fst (fup·(cccExp e) · a)
  by (cases a)(simp-all add: Aexp-eq)

definition CCexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls) where CCexp Γ = (Λ a. snd (cccExp Γ · a))
lemma CCexp-eq:
  CCexp e · a = snd (cccExp e · a)
  unfolding CCexp-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

lemma fup-CCexp-eq:
  fup·(CCexp e) · a = snd (fup·(cccExp e) · a)
  by (cases a)(simp-all add: CCexp-eq)

sublocale CoCallAnalysis CCexp.

definition CCfix :: heap ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls) → CoCalls
  where CCfix Γ = (Λ aeG. (μ G'. ccBindsExtra Γ · (fst aeG , G') ⊔ snd aeG))

lemma CCfix-eq:
  CCfix Γ · (ae, G) = (μ G'. ccBindsExtra Γ · (ae, G') ⊔ G)
  unfolding CCfix-def
  by simp

lemma CCfix-unroll: CCfix Γ · (ae, G) = ccBindsExtra Γ · (ae, CCfix Γ · (ae, G)) ⊔ G
  unfolding CCfix-eq
  apply (subst fix-eq)
  apply simp
  done

lemma fup-ccExp-restr-subst':

```

```

assumes  $\wedge$  a.  $cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e[x::=y] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
shows  $cc\text{-restr } S (fup \cdot (CCexp e[x::=y]) \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } S (fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot a)$ 
using assms
by (cases a) (auto simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr simp add: cc-restr-cc-restr[symmetric])

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr-subst':
assumes  $\wedge$   $x' e a. (x',e) \in set \Gamma \implies cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e[x::=y] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
assumes  $x \notin S$ 
assumes  $y \notin S$ 
assumes  $domA \subseteq S$ 
shows  $cc\text{-restr } S (ccBindsExtra \Gamma[x::h=y] \cdot (ae, G))$ 
=  $cc\text{-restr } S (ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G))$ 
apply (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq ccBind-eq Int-absorb2[OF assms(4)] fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)])
apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = op  $\sqcup$ ] refl arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
apply (subgoal-tac k  $\in S$ )
apply (auto intro: fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]] simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] ccSquare-def)[1]
apply (metis assms(4) contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
apply (subgoal-tac k  $\in S$ )
apply (auto intro: fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]]
simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)] ccSquare-def
cc-restr-twist[where S = S] simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr)[1]
apply (subst fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]], assumption)
apply (simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)])
apply (subst fup-ccExp-restr-subst'[OF assms(1)[OF map-of-SomeD]], assumption)
apply (simp add: fv-subst-int[OF assms(3,2)] fv-subst-int2[OF assms(3,2)])
apply (metis assms(4) contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
done

lemma ccBindsExtra-restr:
assumes  $domA \subseteq S$ 
shows  $cc\text{-restr } S (ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) = cc\text{-restr } S (ccBindsExtra \Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G))$ 
using assms
apply (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq ccBind-eq Int-absorb2)
apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = op  $\sqcup$ ] refl arg-cong[OF mapCollect-cong])
apply (subgoal-tac k  $\in S$ )
apply simp
apply (metis contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
apply (subgoal-tac k  $\in S$ )
apply simp
apply (metis contra-subsetD domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
done

lemma CCfix-restr:
assumes  $domA \subseteq S$ 
shows  $cc\text{-restr } S (CCfix \Gamma \cdot (ae, G)) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCfix \Gamma \cdot (ae f|` S, cc\text{-restr } S G))$ 

```

```

unfolding CCfix-def
apply simp
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x y . cc\text{-restr } S x = cc\text{-restr } S y$ ])
apply simp
apply rule
apply simp
apply (subst (1 2) ccBindsExtra-restr[OF assms])
apply (auto)
done

lemma ccField-CCfix:
shows ccField (CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (ae, G)$ )  $\subseteq fv \Gamma \cup ccField G$ 
unfolding CCfix-def
apply simp
apply (rule fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x . ccField x \subseteq fv \Gamma \cup ccField G$ ])
apply (auto dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-ccBindsExtra])
done

lemma CCfix-restr-subst':
assumes  $\bigwedge x' e. (x', e) \in set \Gamma \implies cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e[x:=y] \cdot a) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
assumes  $x \notin S$ 
assumes  $y \notin S$ 
assumes  $domA \Gamma \subseteq S$ 
shows  $cc\text{-restr } S (CCfix \Gamma[x:=y] \cdot (ae, G)) = cc\text{-restr } S (CCfix \Gamma \cdot (ae f|^S, cc\text{-restr } S G))$ 
unfolding CCfix-def
apply simp
apply (rule parallel-fix-ind[where  $P = \lambda x y . cc\text{-restr } S x = cc\text{-restr } S y$ ])
apply simp
apply rule
apply simp
apply (subst ccBindsExtra-restr-subst'[OF assms], assumption)
apply (subst ccBindsExtra-restr[OF assms(4)]) back
apply (auto)
done

end

lemma Aexp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp cccExp e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma CCexp-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp cccExp e) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma CCfix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix$ 

```

```

 $(\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-def by perm-simp (simp-all add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
lemma ccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
 $\llbracket (\wedge e. e \in snd \cdot set heap2 \implies ccexp1 e = ccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix\;ccexp1\;heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix\;ccexp2\;heap2$ 
unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-def
apply (rule arg-cong) back
apply (rule ccBindsExtra-cong)
apply (auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp-def)
done

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin
definition cccFix :: heap  $\Rightarrow ((AEnv \times CoCalls) \rightarrow (AEnv \times CoCalls))$ 
where cccFix  $\Gamma = (\Lambda i. (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} thunks \Gamma), CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} (thunks \Gamma)), snd i)))$ 

lemma cccFix-eq:
 $cccFix \Gamma \cdot i = (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} thunks \Gamma), CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (fst i \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} (thunks \Gamma)), snd i))$ 
unfolding cccFix-def
by (rule beta-cfun)(intro cont2cont)
end

lemma cccFix-eqvt[eqvt]:  $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\;ccExp \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\;(\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI) unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-eq by perm-simp rule

lemma cccFix-cong[fundef-cong]:
 $\llbracket (\wedge e. e \in snd \cdot set heap2 \implies ccexp1 e = ccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\;ccexp1\;heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\;ccexp2\;heap2$ 
unfolding CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-def
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply auto
apply (rule arg-cong[OF Afix-cong], auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-def)[1]
apply (rule arg-cong2[OF ccFix-cong Afix-cong ])
apply (auto simp add: CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp-def)
done

```

## 74.1 The non-recursive case

```

definition ABind-nonrec :: var  $\Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow AEnv \times CoCalls \rightarrow Arity_{\perp}$ 
where
 $ABind\text{-nonrec } x\;e = (\Lambda i. (if\;isVal\;e \vee x--x \notin (snd\;i)\;then\;fst\;i\;x\;else\;up \cdot 0))$ 

lemma ABind-nonrec-eq:

```

```

 $ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot (ae, G) = (\text{if } isVal\ e \vee x = x \notin G \text{ then } ae\ x \text{ else } up \cdot 0)$ 
unfolding  $ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$ 
apply (subst beta-cfun)
apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply auto
by (metis in-join join-self-below(4))

lemma  $ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt[eqvt]: \pi \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e) = ABind\text{-}nonrec\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
apply (case-tac xa, simp)
unfolding  $ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq$ 
by perm-simp rule

lemma  $ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}above\text{-}arg:$ 
 $ae\ x \sqsubseteq ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot (ae, G)$ 
unfolding  $ABind\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq$  by auto

definition  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec$  where
 $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ esing\ x \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i))$ 

lemma  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp:$ 
 $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i = esing\ x \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i)$ 
unfolding  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$  by simp

lemma  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}lookup[simp]:$ 
 $(Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i)\ x = ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i$ 
unfolding  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp$  by simp

lemma  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eqvt'[eqvt]:$ 
 $\pi \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e) = Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ (\pi \cdot x)\ (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
unfolding  $Aheap\text{-}nonrec\text{-}simp$ 
by (perm-simp, rule)

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin

definition  $Afix\text{-}nonrec$ 
where  $Afix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ fup \cdot (Aexp\ e) \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i) \sqcup fst\ i)$ 

lemma  $Afix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}eq[simp]:$ 
 $Afix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i = fup \cdot (Aexp\ e) \cdot (ABind\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i) \sqcup fst\ i$ 
unfolding  $Afix\text{-}nonrec\text{-}def$ 
by (rule beta-cfun) simp

definition  $CCfix\text{-}nonrec$ 
where  $CCfix\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e = (\Lambda\ i.\ ccBind\ x\ e \cdot (Aheap\text{-}nonrec\ x\ e \cdot i, snd\ i) \sqcup ccProd\ (fv\ e) (ccNeighbours\ x\ (snd\ i) - (\text{if } isVal\ e \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{x\})) \sqcup snd\ i)$ 

```

```

lemma CCfix-nonrec-eq[simp]:
  CCfix-nonrec x e · i = ccBind x e · (Aheap-nonrec x e · i, snd i) ⊢ ccProd (fv e) (ccNeighbors
x (snd i) – (if isVal e then {} else {x})) ⊢ snd i
  unfoldng CCfix-nonrec-def
  by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

definition cccFix-nonrec :: var ⇒ exp ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → (AEnv × CoCalls))
  where cccFix-nonrec x e = (Λ i. (Afix-nonrec x e · i , CCfix-nonrec x e · i))

lemma cccFix-nonrec-eq[simp]:
  cccFix-nonrec x e · i = (Afix-nonrec x e · i , CCfix-nonrec x e · i)
  unfoldng cccFix-nonrec-def
  by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

end

lemma AFix-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec cccExp x e) = CoCallAr-
ityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec (π · cccExp) (π · x) (π · e)
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfoldng CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix-nonrec-eq
  by perm-simp rule

lemma CCFix-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec cccExp x e) = Co-
CallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec (π · cccExp) (π · x) (π · e)
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfoldng CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix-nonrec-eq
  by perm-simp rule

lemma cccFix-nonrec-eqvt[eqvt]: π · (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec cccExp x e) = Co-
CallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec (π · cccExp) (π · x) (π · e)
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfoldng CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-nonrec-eq
  by perm-simp rule

```

## 74.2 Combining the cases

```

context CoCallArityAnalysis
begin

definition cccFix-choose :: heap ⇒ ((AEnv × CoCalls) → (AEnv × CoCalls))
  where cccFix-choose Γ = (if nonrec Γ then case-prod cccFix-nonrec (hd Γ) else cccFix Γ)

lemma cccFix-choose-simp1[simp]:
  ¬ nonrec Γ ==> cccFix-choose Γ = cccFix Γ
  unfoldng cccFix-choose-def by simp

lemma cccFix-choose-simp2[simp]:

```

```

 $x \notin fv e \implies cccFix\text{-choose} [(x,e)] = cccFix\text{-nonrec} x e$ 
unfolding  $cccFix\text{-choose}\text{-def}$   $nonrec\text{-def}$  by  $auto$ 

end

lemma  $cccFix\text{-choose}\text{-eqvt}[eqvt]: \pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-choose} cccExp \Gamma) = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-choose} (\pi \cdot cccExp) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)$ 
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-choose}\text{-def}$ 
apply ( $cases nonrec \pi$   $rule: eqvt\text{-cases}[where x = \Gamma]$ )
apply ( $perm\text{-simp}$ ,  $rule$ )
apply  $simp$ 
apply ( $erule nonrecE$ )
apply ( $simp$ )

apply  $simp$ 
done

lemma  $cccFix\text{-nonrec}\text{-cong}[fundef\text{-cong}]:$ 
 $cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e \implies CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-nonrec} cccexp1 x e = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-nonrec} cccexp2 x e$ 
apply ( $rule cfun\text{-eqI}$ )
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-nonrec}\text{-eq}$ 
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.Afix\text{-nonrec}\text{-eq}$ 
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.CCfix\text{-nonrec}\text{-eq}$ 
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.fup\text{-Aexp}\text{-eq}$ 
apply ( $simp$   $only:$ )
apply ( $rule arg\text{-cong}[OF ccBind\text{-cong}]$ )
apply  $simp$ 
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.CCexp\text{-def}$ 
apply  $simp$ 
done

lemma  $cccFix\text{-choose}\text{-cong}[fundef\text{-cong}]:$ 
 $\llbracket (\bigwedge e. e \in snd ` set heap2 \implies cccexp1 e = cccexp2 e); heap1 = heap2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-choose} cccexp1 heap1 = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-choose} cccexp2 heap2$ 
unfolding  $CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix\text{-choose}\text{-def}$ 
apply ( $rule cfun\text{-eqI}$ )
apply ( $auto elim!: nonrecE$ )
apply ( $rule arg\text{-cong}[OF cccFix\text{-nonrec}\text{-cong}], auto$ )
apply ( $rule arg\text{-cong}[OF cccFix\text{-cong}], auto$ )[1]
done

end

```

## 75 CoCallAnalysisImpl.tex

```
theory  $CoCallAnalysisImpl$ 
```

```

imports Arity-Nominal Nominal-HOLCF Env-Nominal Env-Set-Cpo Env-HOLCF CoCallFix
begin

fun combined-restrict :: var set ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls) ⇒ (AEnv × CoCalls)
  where combined-restrict S (env, G) = (env f|` S, cc-restr S G)

lemma fst-combined-restrict[simp]:
  fst (combined-restrict S p) = fst p f|` S
  by (cases p, simp)

lemma snd-combined-restrict[simp]:
  snd (combined-restrict S p) = cc-restr S (snd p)
  by (cases p, simp)

lemma combined-restrict-eqvt[eqvt]:
  shows π · combined-restrict S p = combined-restrict (π · S) (π · p)
  by (cases p) auto

lemma combined-restrict-cont:
  cont (λx. combined-restrict S x)
proof-
  have cont (λ(env, G). combined-restrict S (env, G)) by simp
  then show ?thesis by (simp only: case-prod-eta)
qed
lemmas cont-compose[OF combined-restrict-cont, cont2cont, simp]

lemma combined-restrict-perm:
  assumes supp π #* S and [simp]: finite S
  shows combined-restrict S (π · p) = combined-restrict S p
proof(cases p)
  fix env :: AEnv and G :: CoCalls
  assume p = (env, G)
  moreover
  from assms
  have env-restr S (π · env) = env-restr S env by (rule env-restr-perm)
  moreover
  from assms
  have cc-restr S (π · G) = cc-restr S G by (rule cc-restr-perm)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by simp
qed

definition predCC :: var set ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls) ⇒ (Arity → CoCalls)
  where predCC S f = (Λ a. if a ≠ 0 then cc-restr S (f · (pred · a)) else ccSquare S)

lemma predCC-eq:
  shows predCC S f · a = (if a ≠ 0 then cc-restr S (f · (pred · a)) else ccSquare S)
  unfolding predCC-def
  apply (rule beta-cfun)

```

```

apply (rule cont-if-else-above)
apply (auto dest: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr])
done

lemma predCC-eqvt[eqvt, simp]:  $\pi \cdot (\text{predCC } S f) = \text{predCC } (\pi \cdot S) (\pi \cdot f)$ 
  apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
  unfolding predCC-eq
  by perm-simp rule

lemma cc-restr-predCC:
  cc-restr S (predCC S' f·n) = (predCC (S' ∩ S) (Λ n. cc-restr S (f·n)))·n
  unfolding predCC-eq
  by (auto simp add: inf-commute ccSquare-def)

lemma cc-restr-predCC'[simp]:
  cc-restr S (predCC S f·n) = predCC S f·n
  unfolding predCC-eq by simp

nominal-function
cCCexp :: exp ⇒ (Arity → AEnv × CoCalls)
where
  cCCexp (Var x) = (Λ n . (esing x · (up · n), ⊥))
  | cCCexp (Lam [x]. e) = (Λ n . combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp e·(pred·n)), predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (Λ a. snd(cCCexp e·a))·n))
  | cCCexp (App e x) = (Λ n . (fst (cCCexp e·(inc·n)) ∪ (esing x · (up · 0)), snd (cCCexp e·(inc·n)) ∪ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e))))
  | cCCexp (Let Γ e) = (Λ n . combined-restrict (fv (Let Γ e)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp Γ · (cCCexp e·n)))
  | cCCexp (Bool b) = ⊥
  | cCCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) = (Λ n. (fst (cCCexp scrut·0) ∪ fst (cCCexp e1·n) ∪ fst (cCCexp e2·n),
    snd (cCCexp scrut·0) ∪ (snd (cCCexp e1·n) ∪ snd (cCCexp e2·n)) ∪ ccProd (edom (fst (cCCexp scrut·0)) (edom (fst (cCCexp e1·n)) ∪ edom (fst (cCCexp e2·n))))))
proof goal-cases
  case 1
  show ?case
    unfolding eqvt-def cCCexp-graph-aux-def
    apply rule
    apply (perm-simp)
    apply (simp add: Abs-cfun-eqvt)
    done
  next
  case 3
  thus ?case by (metis Terms.exp-strong-exhaust)
  next
  case prems: (10 x e x' e')
  from prems(9)
  show ?case

```

```

proof(rule eqvt-lam-case)
  fix  $\pi :: perm$ 
  assume  $*: supp (-\pi) \#* (fv (Lam [x]. e) :: var set)$ 
  {
    fix  $n$ 
    have combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp-sumC ( $\pi \cdot e$ ) · (pred ·  $n$ )), predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) ( $\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot a)) \cdot n$ )
      = combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (- $\pi \cdot (fst (cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (pred \cdot n)), predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot a)) \cdot n))$ )
        by (rule combined-restrict-perm[symmetric, OF *]) simp
    also have ... = combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp-sumC e · (pred ·  $n$ )), predCC (- $\pi \cdot fv (Lam [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC e \cdot a)) \cdot n$ )
      by (perm-simp, simp add: eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(1)] pemute-minus-self Abs-cfun-eqvt)
    also have - $\pi \cdot fv (Lam [x]. e) = (fv (Lam [x]. e) :: var set)$  by (rule perm-supp-eq[OF *])
    also note calculation
  }
  thus ( $\Lambda n. combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot (pred \cdot n)), predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot e) \cdot a)) \cdot n))$ 
    = ( $\Lambda n. combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp-sumC e \cdot (pred \cdot n)), predCC (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (\Lambda a. snd(cCCexp-sumC e \cdot a)) \cdot n))$ ) by simp
  qed
next
  case prems: (19  $\Gamma$  body  $\Gamma'$  body')
  from prems(9)
  show ?case
  proof (rule eqvt-let-case)
    fix  $\pi :: perm$ 
    assume  $*: supp (-\pi) \#* (fv (Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body) :: var set)$ 

    {
      fix  $n$ 
      have combined-restrict (fv (Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC ( $\pi \cdot \Gamma$ ) · (cCCexp-sumC ( $\pi \cdot body$ ) ·  $n$ ))
        = combined-restrict (fv (Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body)) (- $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \cdot (cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot body) \cdot n)))$ )
          by (rule combined-restrict-perm[OF *, symmetric]) simp
      also have - $\pi \cdot (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot \Gamma) \cdot (cCCexp-sumC (\pi \cdot body) \cdot n)) =$ 
        CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose (- $\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC$ )  $\Gamma \cdot ((-\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC) body \cdot n)$ 
          by (simp add: pemute-minus-self)
      also have CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose (- $\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC$ )  $\Gamma = CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose cCCexp-sumC \Gamma$ 
        by (rule cccFix-choose-cong[OF eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(1)] refl])
      also have (- $\pi \cdot cCCexp-sumC$ ) body = cCCexp-sumC body
        by (rule eqvt-at-apply[OF prems(2)])
      also note calculation
    }
    thus ( $\Lambda n. combined-restrict (fv (Terms.Let  $\Gamma$  body)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose$ 
```

```

cCCexp-sumC (π · Γ) · (cCCexp-sumC (π · body) · n)) =
  (Λ n. combined-restrict (fv (Terms.Let Γ body)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose
  cCCexp-sumC Γ · (cCCexp-sumC body · n))) by (simp only:)
qed
qed auto

```

**nominal-termination** (*eqvt*) by *lexicographic-order*

```

locale CoCallAnalysisImpl
begin
sublocale CoCallArityAnalysis cCCexp.
sublocale ArityAnalysis Aexp.

abbreviation Aexp-syn'' (A-) where Aa e ≡ Aexp e · a
abbreviation Aexp-bot-syn'' (A⊥-) where A⊥a e ≡ fup · (Aexp e) · a

abbreviation ccExp-syn'' (G-) where Ga e ≡ CCexp e · a
abbreviation ccExp-bot-syn'' (G⊥-) where G⊥a e ≡ fup · (CCexp e) · a

lemma cCCexp-eq[simp]:
  cCCexp (Var x) · n = (esing x · (up · n), ⊥)
  cCCexp (Lam [x]. e) · n = combined-restrict (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (fst (cCCexp e · (pred · n)), predCC
  (fv (Lam [x]. e)) (Λ a. snd(cCCexp e · a)) · n)
  cCCexp (App e x) · n = (fst (cCCexp e · (inc · n)) ∪ (esing x · (up · 0)), snd (cCCexp
  e · (inc · n)) ∪ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)))
  cCCexp (Let Γ e) · n = combined-restrict (fv (Let Γ e)) (CoCallArityAnalysis.cccFix-choose
  cCCexp Γ · (cCCexp e · n))
  cCCexp (Bool b) · n = ⊥
  cCCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) · n = (fst (cCCexp scrut · 0) ∪ fst (cCCexp e1 · n) ∪ fst (cCCexp
  e2 · n),
  snd (cCCexp scrut · 0) ∪ (snd (cCCexp e1 · n) ∪ snd (cCCexp e2 · n)) ∪ ccProd (edom (fst
  (cCCexp scrut · 0))) (edom (fst (cCCexp e1 · n)) ∪ edom (fst (cCCexp e2 · n))))
by (simp-all)
declare cCCexp.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma Aexp-pre-simps:
  Aa (Var x) = esing x · (up · a)
  Aa (Lam [x]. e) = Aexp e · (pred · a) f|` fv (Lam [x]. e)
  Aa (App e x) = Aexp e · (inc · a) ∪ esing x · (up · 0)
  ¬ nonrec Γ ==>
    Aa (Let Γ e) = (Afix Γ · (Aa e ∪ (λ-. up · 0) f|` thunks Γ)) f|` (fv (Let Γ e))
  x ∉ fv e ==>
    Aa (let x be e in exp) =
      (fup · (Aexp e) · (ABind-nonrec x e · (Aa exp, CCexp exp · a)) ∪ Aa exp)
      f|` (fv (let x be e in exp))
  Aa (Bool b) = ⊥
  Aa (scrut ? e1 : e2) = A0 scrut ∪ Aa e1 ∪ Aa e2

```

**by** (simp add: cccFix-eq Aexp-eq fup-Aexp-eq CCexp-eq fup-CCexp-eq)+

**lemma** CCexp-pre-simps:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & CCexp(Var x) \cdot n = \perp \\
 & CCexp(Lam[x].e) \cdot n = predCC(fv(Lam[x].e)) (CCexp e) \cdot n \\
 & CCexp(App e x) \cdot n = CCexp e \cdot (inc \cdot n) \sqcup ccProd\{x\} (insert x (fv e)) \\
 \neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies & \\
 & CCexp(Let \Gamma e) \cdot n = cc-restr(fv(Let \Gamma e)) \\
 & (CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot n \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid` thunks \Gamma), CCexp e \cdot n)) \\
 x \notin fv e \implies & CCexp(let x be e in exp) \cdot n = \\
 & cc-restr(fv(let x be e in exp)) \\
 & (ccBind x e \cdot (Aheap-nonrec x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n), CCexp exp \cdot n)) \\
 & \sqcup ccProd(fv e) (ccNeighbors x (CCexp exp \cdot n) - (if isVal e then \{\} else \{x\})) \sqcup CCexp \\
 & exp \cdot n \\
 & CCexp(Bool b) \cdot n = \perp \\
 & CCexp(scrut ? e1 : e2) \cdot n = \\
 & CCexp scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup \\
 & (CCexp e1 \cdot n \sqcup CCexp e2 \cdot n) \sqcup \\
 & ccProd(edom(Aexp scrut \cdot 0)) (edom(Aexp e1 \cdot n) \cup edom(Aexp e2 \cdot n))
 \end{aligned}$$

**by** (simp add: cccFix-eq Aexp-eq fup-Aexp-eq CCexp-eq fup-CCexp-eq predCC-eq)+

**lemma**

shows ccField-CCexp: ccField(CCexp e \cdot a) ⊆ fv e and Aexp-edom': edom(Aa e) ⊆ fv e  
**apply** (induction e arbitrary: a rule: exp-induct-rec)  
**apply** (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps predCC-eq Aexp-pre-simps dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr]  
set-mp[OF ccField-ccProd-subset])  
**apply** fastforce+  
**done**

**lemma** cc-restr-CCexp[simp]:

$$cc-restr(fv e) (CCexp e \cdot a) = CCexp e \cdot a$$

**by** (rule cc-restr-noop[OF ccField-CCexp])

**lemma** ccField-fup-CCexp:

$$ccField(fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot n) \subseteq fv e$$

**by** (cases n) (auto dest: set-mp[OF ccField-CCexp])

**lemma** cc-restr-fup-ccExp-useless[simp]: cc-restr(fv e) (fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot n) = fup \cdot (CCexp e) \cdot n

**by** (rule cc-restr-noop[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])

**sublocale** EdomArityAnalysis Aexp **by** standard (rule Aexp-edom')

**lemma** CCexp-simps[simp]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{G}_a(Var x) &= \perp \\
 \mathcal{G}_0(Lam[x].e) &= (fv(Lam[x].e))^2 \\
 \mathcal{G}_{inc \cdot a}(Lam[x].e) &= cc-delete x (\mathcal{G}_a e) \\
 \mathcal{G}_a(App e x) &= \mathcal{G}_{inc \cdot a} e \sqcup \{x\} G \times insert x (fv e) \\
 \neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \mathcal{G}_a & (Let \Gamma e) =
 \end{aligned}$$

```

(CCfix  $\Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} thunks \Gamma), \mathcal{G}_a e)) G \mid^{\cdot} (- domA \Gamma)$ 
 $x \notin fv e' \implies \mathcal{G}_a (let x be e' in e) =$ 
  cc-delete  $x$ 
    (ccBind  $x e' \cdot (Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e' \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e, \mathcal{G}_a e), \mathcal{G}_a e)$ 
      $\sqcup fv e' G \times (ccNeighbors x (\mathcal{G}_a e) - (if isVal e' then \{\} else \{x\})) \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a e$ )
 $\mathcal{G}_a (Bool b) = \perp$ 
 $\mathcal{G}_a (scrut ? e1 : e2) =$ 
   $\mathcal{G}_0 scrut \sqcup (\mathcal{G}_a e1 \sqcup \mathcal{G}_a e2) \sqcup$ 
  edom ( $\mathcal{A}_0 scrut$ )  $G \times (edom (\mathcal{A}_a e1) \cup edom (\mathcal{A}_a e2))$ 
by (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps Diff-eq cc-restr-cc-restr[symmetric] predCC-eq
  simp del: cc-restr-cc-restr cc-restr-join
  intro!: cc-restr-noop
  dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-delete] set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr] set-mp[OF ccField-CCexp]
  set-mp[OF ccField-CCfix] set-mp[OF ccField-ccBind] set-mp[OF ccField-ccProd-subset]
elem-to-ccField
)

```

**definition** Aheap **where**

```

Aheap  $\Gamma e = (\Lambda a. if nonrec \Gamma then (case\text{-prod } Aheap\text{-nonrec } (hd \Gamma)) \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a, CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
 $else (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} thunks \Gamma)) f \mid^{\cdot} domA \Gamma)$ 

```

**lemma** Aheap-simp1[simp]:

```

 $\neg nonrec \Gamma \implies Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a = (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^{\cdot} thunks \Gamma)) f \mid^{\cdot} domA \Gamma$ 
unfolding Aheap-def by simp

```

**lemma** Aheap-simp2[simp]:

```

 $x \notin fv e' \implies Aheap [(x, e')] e \cdot a = Aheap\text{-nonrec } x e' \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a, CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
unfolding Aheap-def by (simp add: nonrec-def)

```

**lemma** Aheap-eqvt'[eqvt]:

```

 $\pi \cdot (Aheap \Gamma e) = Aheap (\pi \cdot \Gamma) (\pi \cdot e)$ 
apply (rule cfun-eqvtI)
apply (cases nonrec  $\pi$  rule: eqvt-cases[where  $x = \Gamma$ ])
apply simp
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (erule nonrecE)
apply simp
apply (perm-simp, rule)
apply simp
apply (perm-simp, rule)
done

```

**sublocale** ArityAnalysisHeap Aheap.

**sublocale** ArityAnalysisHeapEqvt Aheap

**proof**

```

fix  $\pi$  show  $\pi \cdot Aheap = Aheap$ 
  by perm-simp rule

```

**qed**

**lemma** *Aexp-lam-simp*:  $\text{Aexp}(\text{Lam}[x]. e) \cdot n = \text{env-delete } x (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n))$   
**proof**–

have  $\text{Aexp}(\text{Lam}[x]. e) \cdot n = \text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n) f|` (\text{fv } e - \{x\})$  **by** (*simp add: Aexp-pre-simps*)  
also have ... =  $\text{env-delete } x (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n)) f|` (\text{fv } e - \{x\})$  **by** *simp*  
also have ... =  $\text{env-delete } x (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot n))$   
    **by** (*rule env-restr-useless*) (*auto dest: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom]*)  
finally **show** ?thesis.

**qed**

**lemma** *Aexp-Let-simp1*:

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{Let } \Gamma e) = (\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f|` \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f|` (- \text{domA } \Gamma)$   
**unfolding** *Aexp-pre-simps*  
**by** (*rule env-restr-cong*) (*auto simp add: dest!: set-mp[OF Afix-edom] set-mp[OF Aexp-edom] set-mp[OF thunks-domA]*)

**lemma** *Aexp-Let-simp2*:

$x \notin \text{fv } e \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{let } x \text{ be } e \text{ in } \text{exp}) = \text{env-delete } x (\mathcal{A}^\perp \text{ABind-nonrec } x e \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a \text{ exp}, \text{CCexp } \text{exp} \cdot a) e \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a \text{ exp})$   
**unfolding** *Aexp-pre-simps* *env-delete-restr*  
**by** (*rule env-restr-cong*) (*auto dest!: set-mp[OF fup-Aexp-edom] set-mp[OF Aexp-edom]*)

**lemma** *Aexp-simps[simp]*:

$\mathcal{A}_a(\text{Var } x) = \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot a)$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{Lam}[x]. e) = \text{env-delete } x (\mathcal{A}_{\text{pred} \cdot a} e)$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{App } e x) = \text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a) \sqcup \text{esing } x \cdot (\text{up} \cdot 0)$   
 $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{Let } \Gamma e) =$   
     $(\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f|` \text{thunks } \Gamma)) f|` (- \text{domA } \Gamma)$   
 $x \notin \text{fv } e' \implies \mathcal{A}_a(\text{let } x \text{ be } e' \text{ in } e) =$   
     $\text{env-delete } x (\mathcal{A}^\perp \text{ABind-nonrec } x e' \cdot (\mathcal{A}_a e, \mathcal{G}_a e) e' \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e)$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{Bool } b) = \perp$   
 $\mathcal{A}_a(\text{scrut } ? e1 : e2) = \mathcal{A}_0 \text{ scrut} \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e1 \sqcup \mathcal{A}_a e2$   
**by** (*simp-all add: Aexp-lam-simp Aexp-Let-simp1 Aexp-Let-simp2, simp-all add: Aexp-pre-simps*)

**end**

**end**

## 76 CallArityEnd2End.tex

**theory** *CallArityEnd2End*  
**imports** *ArityTransform CoCallAnalysisImpl*  
**begin**

```

locale CallArityEnd2End
begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl.

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var e = x  $\implies$  x  $\notin$  fv e
  by (metis fresh-var-not-free)

lemma example1:
  fixes e :: exp
  fixes f g x y z :: var
  assumes Aexp-e:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp\ e \cdot a = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot a) \sqcup esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot a)$ 
  assumes ccExp-e:  $\bigwedge a. CCexp\ e \cdot a = \perp$ 
  assumes [simp]: transform 1 e = e
  assumes isVal e
  assumes disj: y  $\neq$  f y  $\neq$  g x  $\neq$  y z  $\neq$  f z  $\neq$  g y  $\neq$  x
  assumes fresh: atom z  $\notin$  e
  shows transform 1 (let y be App (Var f) g in (let x be e in (Var x))) =
    let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))
proof-
  from arg-cong[where f = edom, OF Aexp-e]
  have x  $\in$  fv e by simp (metis Aexp-edom' insert-subset)
  hence [simp]:  $\neg$  nonrec [(x,e)]
    by (simp add: nonrec-def)

  from (isVal e)
  have [simp]: thunks [(x, e)] = {}
    by (simp add: thunks-Cons)

  have [simp]: CCfix [(x, e)].(esing x.(up.1)  $\sqcup$  esing y.(up.1),  $\perp$ ) =  $\perp$ 
    unfolding CCfix-def
    apply (simp add: fix-bottom-iff ccBindsExtra-simp)
    apply (simp add: ccBind-eq disj ccExp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Afix [(x, e)].(esing x.(up.1)) = esing x.(up.1)  $\sqcup$  esing y.(up.1)
    unfolding Afix-def
    apply simp
    apply (rule fix-eqI)
    apply (simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    apply (case-tac z x)
    apply (auto simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Aheap [(y, App (Var f) g)] (let x be e in Var x).1 = esing y.((Aexp (let x be e in Var x).1) y)
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq pure-fresh fresh-at-base disj)

  have [simp]: (Aexp (let x be e in Var x).1) = esing y.(up.1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

```

```

have [simp]: Aheap [(x, e)] (Var x) · 1 = esing x · (up · 1)
  by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

have 1: 1 = inc · 0 apply (simp add: inc-def) apply transfer apply simp done

have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 (App (Var f) g) = (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z)
  apply (simp add: 1 del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var (App (Var f) g)])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj intro!: flip-fresh-fresh elim!:
fresh-var-eqE)
  done

have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 e = (Lam [z]. App e z)
  apply (simp add: 1 del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var e])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj fresh intro!: flip-fresh-fresh
elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
  done

show ?thesis
  by (simp del: Let-eq-iff add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-Nil disj[symmetric])
qed

end
end

```

## 77 SestoftGC.tex

```

theory SestoftGC
imports Sestoft
begin

inductive gc-step :: conf ⇒ conf ⇒ bool (infix ⇒G 50) where
  normal: c ⇒ c' ⟹ c ⇒G c'
| dropUpd: (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒G (Γ, e, S @ [Dummy x])

lemmas gc-step-intros[intro] =
  normal[OF step.intros(1)] normal[OF step.intros(2)] normal[OF step.intros(3)]
  normal[OF step.intros(4)] normal[OF step.intros(5)] dropUpd

abbreviation gc-steps (infix ⇒G* 50) where gc-steps ≡ gc-step**
lemmas converse-rtrancp-into-rtrancp[of gc-step, OF - r-into-rtrancp, trans]

lemma var-onceI:
  assumes map-of Γ x = Some e
  shows (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G* (delete x Γ, e, S@[Dummy x])

```

```

proof-
  from assms
  have ( $\Gamma$ ,  $\text{Var } x$ ,  $S$ )  $\Rightarrow_G (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, \text{Upd } x \# S)$ ..
  moreover
  have ...  $\Rightarrow_G (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S @ [\text{Dummy } x])$ ..
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by (rule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[OF - r-into-rtranclp])
qed

lemma normal-trans:  $c \Rightarrow^* c' \implies c \Rightarrow_{G^*} c'$ 
  by (induction rule:rtranclp-induct)
    (simp, metis normal_rtranclp.rtranclp-into-rtrancl)

fun to-gc-conf :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
  where to-gc-conf  $r$  ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ ) = (restrictA (– set  $r$ )  $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ , restr-stack (– set  $r$ )  $S$  @ (map Dummy (rev  $r$ )))

lemma restr-stack-map-Dummy[simp]: restr-stack  $V$  (map Dummy  $l$ ) = map Dummy  $l$ 
  by (induction  $l$ ) auto

lemma restr-stack-append[simp]: restr-stack  $V$  ( $l @ l'$ ) = restr-stack  $V l$  @ restr-stack  $V l'$ 
  by (induction  $l$  rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma to-gc-conf-append[simp]:
  to-gc-conf ( $r @ r'$ )  $c$  = to-gc-conf  $r$  (to-gc-conf  $r'$   $c$ )
  by (cases  $c$ ) auto

lemma to-gc-conf-eqE[elim!]:
  assumes to-gc-conf  $r$   $c$  = ( $\Gamma$ ,  $e$ ,  $S$ )
  obtains  $\Gamma'$   $S'$  where  $c$  = ( $\Gamma'$ ,  $e$ ,  $S'$ ) and  $\Gamma$  = restrictA (– set  $r$ )  $\Gamma'$  and  $S$  = restr-stack (– set  $r$ )  $S'$  @ map Dummy (rev  $r$ )
  using assms by (cases  $c$ ) auto

fun safe-hd :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a option
  where safe-hd ( $x \# -$ ) = Some  $x$ 
    | safe-hd [] = None

lemma safe-hd-None[simp]: safe-hd  $xs$  = None  $\longleftrightarrow$   $xs = []$ 
  by (cases  $xs$ ) auto

abbreviation r-ok :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where r-ok  $r$   $c$   $\equiv$  set  $r \subseteq \text{domA } (\text{fst } c) \cup \text{upds } (\text{snd } c)$ 

lemma subset-bound-invariant:
  invariant step (r-ok  $r$ )
proof
  fix  $x$   $y$ 

```

```

assume  $x \Rightarrow y$  and  $r\text{-ok } r\ x$  thus  $r\text{-ok } r\ y$ 
  by (induction) auto
qed

lemma safe-hd-restr-stack[simp]:
  Some  $a = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } V\ (a \# S)) \longleftrightarrow \text{restr-stack } V\ (a \# S) = a \# \text{restr-stack } V\ S$ 
  apply (cases  $a$ )
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  apply (thin-tac  $P\ a$  for  $P$ )
  apply (induction  $S$  rule: restr-stack.induct)
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  done

lemma sestoUnGCStack:
  assumes heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ )
  obtains  $\Gamma' S'$  where
     $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
     $\text{to-gc-conf } r\ (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{to-gc-conf } r\ (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
     $\neg \text{isValid } e \vee \text{safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (-\text{set } r)\ S')$ 
  proof-
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases isValid  $e$ )
    case False
      thus ?thesis using assms by -(rule that, auto)
  next
    case True
    from that assms
    show ?thesis
    apply (atomize-elim)
    proof(induction  $S$  arbitrary:  $\Gamma$ )
      case Nil thus ?case by (fastforce)
    next
      case (Cons  $s\ S$ )
      show ?case
      proof(cases Some  $s = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (-\text{set } r)\ (s \# S))$ )
        case True
        thus ?thesis
          using ⟨isValid  $e$ ⟩ ⟨heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, s \# S$ )⟩
          apply auto
          apply (intro exI conjI)
          apply (rule rtranclp.intros(1))
          apply auto
          done
      next
        case False
        then obtain  $x$  where [simp]:  $s = \text{Upd } x$  and [simp]:  $x \in \text{set } r$ 
          by(cases  $s$ ) (auto split: if-splits)

```

```

from <heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma$ ,  $s \# S$ )>  $\langle s = Upd\ x \rangle$ 
have [simp]:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$  and heap-upds-ok (( $x, e$ )  $\# \Gamma, S$ )
by (auto dest: heap-upds-okE)

have ( $\Gamma, e, s \# S \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma, e, Upd\ x \# S)$ ) unfolding  $\langle s = \dots \rangle$ 
also have ...  $\Rightarrow ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S)$  by (rule step.var2[ $OF \langle x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma \rangle \langle \text{isVal } e \rangle$ ])
also
from Cons.IH[ $OF \langle \text{heap-upds-ok} ((x, e) \# \Gamma, S) \rangle$ ]
obtain  $\Gamma' S'$  where  $((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
and res:  $\text{to-gc-conf } r ((x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S) = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
 $(\neg \text{isVal } e \vee \text{safe-hd } S' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack } (- \text{set } r) S'))$ 
by blast
note this(1)
finally
have ( $\Gamma, e, s \# S \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ .
thus ?thesis using res by auto
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma perm-exI-trivial:
 $P\ x\ x \implies \exists\ \pi.\ P(\pi \cdot x)\ x$ 
by (rule exI[where  $x = 0:\text{perm}$ ]) auto

lemma upds-list-restr-stack[simp]:
 $\text{upds-list } (\text{restr-stack } V\ S) = \text{filter } (\lambda\ x.\ x \in V)\ (\text{upds-list } S)$ 
by (induction S rule: restr-stack.induct) auto

lemma heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf:
 $\text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma, S) \implies \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma, e, S) = (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \implies \text{heap-upds-ok } (\Gamma'', S'')$ 
by (auto simp add: heap-upds-ok.simps)

lemma delete-restrictA-conv:
 $\text{delete } x\ \Gamma = \text{restrictA } (-\{x\})\ \Gamma$ 
by (induction  $\Gamma$ ) auto

lemma sesoftUnGCstep:
assumes  $\text{to-gc-conf } r\ c \Rightarrow_G d$ 
assumes heap-upds-ok-conf c
assumes closed c
and r-ok r c
shows  $\exists\ r'\ c'. c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r'\ c' \wedge r\text{-ok } r'\ c'$ 
proof-
obtain  $\Gamma\ e\ S$  where  $c = (\Gamma, e, S)$  by (cases c) auto
with assms
have heap-upds-ok ( $\Gamma, S$ ) and closed ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) and r-ok r ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) by auto
from sesoftUnGCStack[ $OF \text{this}(1)$ ]
obtain  $\Gamma' S'$  where

```

```

 $(\Gamma, e, S) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
and  $*: to-gc-conf r (\Gamma, e, S) = to-gc-conf r (\Gamma', e, S')$ 
and  $disj: \neg isVal e \vee safe-hd S' = safe-hd (restr-stack (- set r) S')$ 
by metis

from invariant-starE[ $OF \dashv \Rightarrow^* \rightarrow heap-upds-ok-invariant$ ]  $\langle heap-upds-ok (\Gamma, S) \rangle$ 
have  $heap-upds-ok (\Gamma', S')$  by auto

from invariant-starE[ $OF \dashv \Rightarrow^* \rightarrow closed-invariant \langle closed (\Gamma, e, S) \rangle$ ]
have  $closed (\Gamma', e, S')$  by auto

from invariant-starE[ $OF \dashv \Rightarrow^* \rightarrow subset-bound-invariant \langle r-ok r (\Gamma, e, S) \rangle$ ]
have  $r-ok r (\Gamma', e, S')$  by auto

from assms(1)[unfolded  $c = - \rightarrow *$ ]
have  $\exists r' \Gamma'' e'' S''. (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge d = to-gc-conf r' (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge r-ok r' (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
proof(cases rule: gc-step.cases)
  case normal
  hence  $\exists \Gamma'' e'' S''. (\Gamma', e, S') \Rightarrow (\Gamma'', e'', S'') \wedge d = to-gc-conf r (\Gamma'', e'', S'')$ 
  proof(cases rule: step.cases)
    case app1
    thus ?thesis
      apply auto
      apply (intro exI conjI)
      apply (rule step.intros)
      apply auto
      done
  next
    case (app2  $\Gamma y ea x S$ )
    thus ?thesis
      using disj
      apply (cases S')
      apply auto
      apply (intro exI conjI)
      apply (rule step.intros)
      apply auto
      done
  next
    case var1
    thus ?thesis
      apply auto
      apply (intro exI conjI)
      apply (rule step.intros)
      apply (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)
      done
  next
    case var2
    thus ?thesis

```

```

using disj
apply (cases S')
apply auto
apply (intro exI conjI)
apply (rule step.intros)
apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
done
next
case (let1 Δ'' Γ'' S'' e')
from <closed (Γ', e, S')> let1
have closed (Γ', Let Δ'' e', S') by simp
from fresh-distinct[OF let1(3)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(4)]
have domA Δ'' ∩ domA Γ'' = {} and domA Δ'' ∩ upds S'' = {} and domA Δ'' ∩
dummies S'' = {}
by (auto dest: set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset] set-mp[OF dummies-fv-subset])
moreover
from let1(1)
have domA Γ' ∪ upds S' ⊆ domA Γ'' ∪ upds S'' ∪ dummies S''
by auto
ultimately
have disj: domA Δ'' ∩ domA Γ' = {} domA Δ'' ∩ upds S' = {}
by auto
from <domA Δ'' ∩ dummies S'' = {}> let1(1)
have domA Δ'' ∩ set r = {} by auto
hence [simp]: restrictA (– set r) Δ'' = Δ''
by (auto intro: restrictA-noop)
from let1(1–3)
show ?thesis
apply auto
apply (intro exI[where x = r] exI[where x = Δ'' @ Γ'] exI[where x = S'] conjI)
apply (rule let1-closed[OF <closed (Γ', Let Δ'' e', S')> disj])
apply (auto simp add: restrictA-append)
done
next
case if1
thus ?thesis
apply auto
apply (intro exI[where x = 0::perm] exI conjI)
unfolding permute-zero
apply (rule step.intros)
apply (auto)
done
next
case if2
thus ?thesis

```

```

using disj
apply (cases S')
apply auto
apply (intro exI exI conjI)
apply (rule step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False, simplified])
  apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
  apply (intro exI conjI)
apply (rule step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False, simplified])
  apply (auto split: if-splits dest: Upd-eq-restr-stackD2)
done
qed
with invariantE[OF subset-bound-invariant - ⟨r-ok r (Γ', e, S')⟩]
show ?thesis by blast
next
case (dropUpd Γ'' e'' x S'')
  from ⟨to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')⟩
  have x ∉ set r by (auto dest!: arg-cong[where f = upds])
  from ⟨heap-upds-ok (Γ', S')⟩ and ⟨to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')⟩
  have heap-upds-ok (Γ'', Upd x # S'') by (rule heap-upd-ok-to-gc-conf)
  hence [simp]: x ∉ domA Γ'' x ∉ upds S'' by (auto dest: heap-upds-ok-upd)
  have to-gc-conf (x # r) (Γ', e, S') = to-gc-conf ([x]@ r) (Γ', e, S') by simp
  also have ... = to-gc-conf [x] (to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S')) by (rule to-gc-conf-append)
  also have ... = to-gc-conf [x] (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'') unfolding ⟨to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = ...
  ->..
  also have ... = (Γ'', e'', S''@[Dummy x]) by (auto intro: restrictA-noop)
  also have ... = d using d = - by simp
  finally have to-gc-conf (x # r) (Γ', e, S') = d.
  moreover
  from ⟨to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')⟩
  have x ∈ upds S' by (auto dest!: arg-cong[where f = upds])
  with ⟨r-ok r (Γ', e, S')⟩
  have r-ok (x # r) (Γ', e, S') by auto
  moreover
  note ⟨to-gc-conf r (Γ', e, S') = (Γ'', e'', Upd x # S'')⟩
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by fastforce

qed
then obtain r' Γ'' e'' S''
  where (Γ', e, S') ⇒* (Γ'', e'', S'')
  and d = to-gc-conf r' (Γ'', e'', S'')
  and r-ok r' (Γ'', e'', S'')
  by metis

from ⟨(Γ, e, S) ⇒* (Γ', e, S')⟩ and ⟨(Γ', e, S') ⇒* (Γ'', e'', S'')⟩
have (Γ, e, S) ⇒* (Γ'', e'', S'') by (rule rtranclp-trans)
with ⟨d = - ⟩⟨r-ok r' - ⟩

```

```

show ?thesis unfolding `c = ->` by auto
qed

lemma sestoftUnGC:
assumes (to-gc-conf r c)  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  d and heap-upds-ok-conf c and closed c and r-ok r c
shows  $\exists r' c'. c \Rightarrow^* c' \wedge d = \text{to-gc-conf } r' c' \wedge \text{r-ok } r' c'$ 
using assms
proof(induction rule: rtranclp-induct)
  case base
  thus ?case by blast
next
  case (step d' d'')
  then obtain r' c' where c  $\Rightarrow^*$  c' and d' = to-gc-conf r' c' and r-ok r' c' by auto
  from invariant-starE[OF ` ->* -> heap-upds-ok-invariant] `heap-upds-ok ->
  have heap-upds-ok-conf c'.
  from invariant-starE[OF ` ->* -> closed-invariant] `closed ->
  have closed c'.
  from step `d' = to-gc-conf r' c'`
  have to-gc-conf r' c'  $\Rightarrow_G$  d'' by simp
  from this `heap-upds-ok-conf c'` `closed c'` `r-ok r' c'`
  have  $\exists r'' c''. c' \Rightarrow^* c'' \wedge d'' = \text{to-gc-conf } r'' c'' \wedge \text{r-ok } r'' c''$ 
    by (rule sestoftUnGCstep)
  then obtain r'' c'' where c'  $\Rightarrow^*$  c'' and d'' = to-gc-conf r'' c'' and r-ok r'' c'' by auto
  from `c'  $\Rightarrow^* c''` `c  $\Rightarrow^* c'` 
  have c  $\Rightarrow^* c''$  by auto
  with `d'' = -> r-ok r'' c''` 
  show ?case by blast
qed

lemma dummies-unchanged-invariant:
invariant step ( $\lambda (\Gamma, e, S). \text{dummies } S = V$ ) (is invariant - ?I)
proof
  fix c c'
  assume c  $\Rightarrow c'$  and ?I c
  thus ?I c' by (induction) auto
qed

lemma sestoftUnGC':
assumes ([] , e , [])  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  ( $\Gamma, e', \text{map Dummy } r$ )
assumes isVal e'
assumes fv e = ({})::var set
shows  $\exists \Gamma''. ([] , e , []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', []) \wedge \Gamma = \text{restrictA } (-\text{set } r) \Gamma'' \wedge \text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma''$ 
proof-
  from sestoftUnGC[where r = [] and c = ([] , e , []) , simplified , OF assms(1,3)]$$ 
```

```

obtain  $r' \Gamma' S'$ 
  where  $\langle[], e, []\rangle \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S')$ 
    and  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA}(-\text{set } r') \Gamma'$ 
    and  $\text{map Dummy } r = \text{restr-stack}(-\text{set } r') S' @ \text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r')$ 
    and  $\text{r-ok } r' (\Gamma', e', S')$ 
    by auto

from invariant-starE[ $OF \langle[], e, []\rangle \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S')$  dummies-unchanged-invariant]
have dummies  $S' = \{\}$  by auto
with  $\langle\text{map Dummy } r = \text{restr-stack}(-\text{set } r') S' @ \text{map Dummy } (\text{rev } r')\rangle$ 
have restr-stack  $(-\text{set } r') S' = []$  and [simp]:  $r = \text{rev } r'$ 
by (induction  $S'$  rule: restr-stack.induct) (auto split: if-splits)

from invariant-starE[ $OF \dashv \Rightarrow^* \dashv \text{heap-upds-ok-invariant}$ ]
have heap-upds-ok  $(\Gamma', S')$  by auto

from ⟨isValid e'⟩ sestoftUnGCStack[where e = e', OF ⟨heap-upds-ok  $(\Gamma', S')\rangle$  ]
obtain  $\Gamma'' S''$ 
  where  $(\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')$ 
    and  $\text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma', e', S') = \text{to-gc-conf } r (\Gamma'', e', S'')$ 
    and  $\text{safe-hd } S'' = \text{safe-hd } (\text{restr-stack}(-\text{set } r) S'')$ 
    by metis

from this (2,3) ⟨restr-stack  $(-\text{set } r') S' = []\rangle$ 
have  $S'' = []$  by auto

from ⟨⟨[], e, []⟩⟩  $\Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', e', S')$  and ⟨⟨ $\Gamma', e', S'\rangle \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')\rangle$  and ⟨ $S'' = []\rangle$ 
have ⟨⟨[], e, []⟩⟩  $\Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', []\rangle)$  by auto
moreover
have  $\Gamma = \text{restrictA}(-\text{set } r) \Gamma''$  using ⟨to-gc-conf r - =  $\dashv$  ⟩ by auto
moreover
from invariant-starE[ $OF \langle(\Gamma', e', S') \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma'', e', S'')\rangle$  subset-bound-invariant ⟨r-ok  $r' (\Gamma'; e', S')\rangle$ ]
have  $\text{set } r \subseteq \text{domA } \Gamma''$  using ⟨ $S'' = []\rangle$  by auto
ultimately
show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

```

## 78 CardArityTransformSafe.tex

```

theory CardArityTransformSafe
imports ArityTransform CardinalityAnalysisSpec AbstractTransform Sestoft SestoftGC ArityEta-
ExpansionSafe ArityAnalysisStack ArityConsistent
begin

context CardinalityPrognosisSafe

```

```

begin
  sublocale AbstractTransformBoundSubst
    λ a . inc·a
    λ a . pred·a
    λ Δ e a . (a, Aheap Δ e·a)
    fst
    snd
    λ _. 0
    Aeta-expand
    snd
  apply standard
  apply (simp add: Aheap-subst)
  apply (rule subst-Aeta-expand)
  done

abbreviation ccTransform where ccTransform ≡ transform

lemma supp-transform: supp (transform a e) ⊆ supp e
  by (induction rule: transform.induct)
  (auto simp add: exp-assn.supp Let-supp dest!: set-mp[OF supp-map-transform] set-mp[OF
  supp-map-transform-step] )
interpretation supp-bounded-transform transform
  by standard (auto simp add: fresh-def supp-transform)

type-synonym tstate = (AEnv × (var ⇒ two) × Arity × Arity list × var list)

fun transform-alts :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ stack
  where
    transform-alts - [] = []
    | transform-alts (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Alts (ccTransform a e1) (ccTransform a e2))
# transform-alts as S
    | transform-alts as (x # S) = x # transform-alts as S

lemma transform-alts-Nil[simp]: transform-alts [] S = S
  by (induction S) auto

lemma Astack-transform-alts[simp]:
  Astack (transform-alts as S) = Astack S
  by (induction rule: transform-alts.induct) auto

lemma fresh-star-transform-alts[intro]: a #: S ⇒ a #: transform-alts as S
  by (induction as S rule: transform-alts.induct) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)

fun a-transform :: astate ⇒ conf ⇒ conf
  where a-transform (ae, a, as) (Γ, e, S) =
    (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae Γ),
     ccTransform a e,
     transform-alts as S)

```

```

fun restr-conf :: var set  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
  where restr-conf  $V$  ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = (restrictA  $V \Gamma, e, restr-stack V S$ )

fun add-dummies-conf :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
  where add-dummies-conf  $l$  ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = ( $\Gamma, e, S @ map Dummy (rev l)$ )

fun conf-transform :: tstate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  conf
  where conf-transform ( $ae, ce, a, as, r$ )  $c$  = add-dummies-conf  $r$  (( $a$ -transform ( $ae, a, as$ ) (restr-conf ( $- set r$ )  $c$ )))

inductive consistent :: tstate  $\Rightarrow$  conf  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  consistentI[intro!]:
    a-consistent ( $ae, a, as$ ) (restr-conf ( $- set r$ ) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ))
     $\implies$  edom  $ae = edom ce$ 
     $\implies$  prognosis  $ae as a (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq ce$ 
     $\implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma \implies \text{many} \sqsubseteq ce x \implies ae x = up \cdot 0)$ 
     $\implies set r \subseteq (domA \Gamma \cup upds S) - edom ce$ 
     $\implies$  consistent ( $ae, ce, a, as, r$ ) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )
inductive-cases consistentE[elim!]: consistent ( $ae, ce, a, as$ ) ( $\Gamma, e, S$ )

lemma closed-consistent:
  assumes fv  $e = (\{\} :: var set)$ 
  shows consistent ( $\perp, \perp, 0, [], []$ ) ( $[], e, []$ )
proof-
  from assms
  have edom (prognosis  $\perp [] 0 ([][], e, []) = \{\}$ 
  by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF edom-prognosis])
  thus ?thesis
  by (auto simp add: edom-empty-iff-bot closed-a-consistent[OF assms])
qed

lemma card-arity-transform-safe:
  fixes  $c c'$ 
  assumes  $c \Rightarrow^* c'$  and  $\neg$  boring-step  $c'$  and heap-upds-ok-conf  $c$  and consistent ( $ae, ce, a, as, r$ )
   $c$ 
  shows  $\exists ae' ce' a' as' r'. consistent (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' \wedge conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c \Rightarrow_G^* conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c'$ 
  using assms(1,2) heap-upds-ok-invariant assms(3-)
  proof(induction  $c c'$  arbitrary:  $ae ce a as r$  rule:step-invariant-induction)
  case (app1  $\Gamma e x S$ )
    have prognosis  $ae as (inc \cdot a) (\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S) \sqsubseteq prognosis ae as a (\Gamma, App e x, S)$  by (rule prognosis-App)
    with app1 have consistent ( $ae, ce, inc \cdot a, as, r$ ) ( $\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S$ )
      by (auto intro: a-consistent-app1 elim: below-trans)
    moreover
      have conf-transform ( $ae, ce, a, as, r$ ) ( $\Gamma, App e x, S$ )  $\Rightarrow_G conf-transform (ae, ce, inc \cdot a, as, r)$  ( $\Gamma, e, Arg x \# S$ )
        by simp rule
    ultimately

```

```

show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (app2 Γ y e x S)
  have prognosis ae as (pred · a) (Γ, e[y ::= x], S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, (Lam [y]. e), Arg x
# S)
    by (rule prognosis-subst-Lam)
  then
    have consistent (ae, ce, pred · a, as, r) (Γ, e[y ::= x], S) using app2
      by (auto 4 3 intro: a-consistent-app2 elim: below-trans)
  moreover
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Lam [y]. e, Arg x # S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae,
ce, pred · a, as, r) (Γ, e[y ::= x], S) by (simp add: subst-transform[symmetric]) rule
  ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (thunk Γ x e S)
  hence x ∈ thunks Γ by auto
  hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (rule set-mp[OF thunks-domA])

from thunk have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊑ ce by auto
from below-trans[OF prognosis-called fun-belowD[OF this] ]
have [simp]: x ∈ edom ce by (auto simp add: edom-def)
hence [simp]: x ∉ set r using thunk by auto

from heap-upds-ok-conf (Γ, Var x, S)
have x ∉ upds S by (auto dest!: heap-upds-oke)

have x ∈ edom ae using thunk by auto
then obtain u where ae x = upd u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

show ?case
proof(cases ce x rule:two-cases)
  case none
  with ⟨x ∈ edom ce⟩ have False by (auto simp add: edom-def)
  thus ?thesis..
next
  case once

from ⟨prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊑ ce⟩
have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x ⊑ once
  using once by (metis (mono-tags) fun-belowD)
hence x ∉ ap S using prognosis-ap[of ae as a Γ (Var x) S] by auto

from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = upd u⟩ ⟨¬ isVal e⟩
have *: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a
(Γ, Var x, S))
  by (rule prognosis-Var-thunk)

```

```

from ⟨prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) x ⊑ once⟩
have (record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))) x = none
  by (simp add: two-pred-none)
hence **: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) x = none using fun-belowD[OF
*, where x = x] by auto

have eq: prognosis (env-delete x ae) as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) = prognosis ae as u
(delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S)
  by (rule prognosis-env-cong) simp

have [simp]: restr-stack (– set r – {x}) S = restr-stack (– set r) S
  using ⟨x ∉ upds S⟩ by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong)

have prognosis (env-delete x ae) as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ env-delete x ce
  unfolding eq
  using ** below-trans[OF below-trans[OF * Cfun.monofun-cfun-arg[OF ⟨prognosis ae as
a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊑ ce⟩]] record-call-below-arg]
  by (rule below-env-deleteI)
moreover

have *: a-consistent (env-delete x ae, u, as) (delete x (restrictA (– set r) Γ), e, restr-stack
(– set r) S)
  using thunk ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-once simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately

have consistent (env-delete x ae, env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S)
using thunk
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist Compl-insert elim:below-trans )
moreover

from *
have **: Astack (transform-alts as (restr-stack (– set r) S) @ map Dummy (rev r) @
[Dummy x]) ⊑ u by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

{
  from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ once
  have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
(– set r) Γ))) x = Some (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
  hence conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G
    add-dummies-conf r (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae
(restrictA (– set r) Γ))), Aeta-expand u (ccTransform u e), Upd x # transform-alts as
(restr-stack (– set r) S))
  by (auto simp add: map-transform-delete delete-map-transform-env-delete insert-absorb
restr-delete-twist simp del: restr-delete)
also
  have ... ⇒G* add-dummies-conf (x # r) (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae

```

```

(map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA (- set r) Γ)), Aeta-expand u (ccTransform u e),
transform-alts as (restr-stack (- set r) S))
  apply (rule r-into-rtranclp)
  apply (simp add: append-assoc[symmetric] del: append-assoc)
  apply (rule dropUpd)
  done
also
  have ... ⇒G* add-dummies-conf (x # r) (delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae
(map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA (- set r) Γ)), ccTransform u e, transform-alts as
(restr-stack (- set r) S)))
    by simp (intro normal-trans Aeta-expand-safe **)
  also(rtranclp-trans)
    have ... = conf-transform (env-delete x ae, env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x Γ, e,
Upd x # S)
    by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong simp add: map-transform-delete[symmetric] restr-delete-twist
Compl-insert)
    finally(back-subst)
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G* conf-transform (env-delete x ae,
env-delete x ce, u, as, x # r) (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S).
  }
ultimately
show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)

next
case many

from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ (¬ isVal e)
have prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ,
Var x, S))
  by (rule prognosis-Var-thunk)
  also note record-call-below-arg
  finally
    have *: prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S)
by this simp-all

have ae x = up·0 using thunk many ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩ by (auto)
hence u = 0 using ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ by simp

have prognosis ae as 0 (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ ce using *[unfolded ⟨u=0⟩] thunk
by (auto elim: below-trans)
moreover
have a-consistent (ae, 0, as) (delete x (restrictA (- set r) Γ), e, Upd x # restr-stack (-
set r) S) using thunk ⟨ae x = up·0⟩
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-thunk-0 simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately
have consistent (ae, ce, 0, as, r) (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) using thunk ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
(u = 0)
  by (auto simp add: restr-delete-twist)

```

moreover

```
from ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·0⟩ many
have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform ccTransform ae (restrictA
(– set r) Γ))) x = Some (transform 0 e)
  by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
with ⟨¬ isVal e⟩
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae, ce, 0, as, r)
(delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S)
  by (auto intro: gc-step.intros simp add: map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist intro!:
step.intros simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately
show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
qed
next
case (lamvar Γ x e S)
from lamvar(1) have [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)

from lamvar have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) ⊑ ce by auto
from below-trans[OF prognosis-called fun-belowD[OF this] ]
have [simp]: x ∈ edom ce by (auto simp add: edom-def)
then obtain c where ce x = up·c by (cases ce x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

from lamvar
have [simp]: x ∉ set r by auto

then have x ∈ edom ae using lamvar by auto
then obtain u where ae x = up·u by (cases ae x) (auto simp add: edom-def)

have prognosis ae as u ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S) = prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S)
  using ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ by (auto intro!: prognosis-reorder)
also have ... ⊑ record-call x · (prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))
  using ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ ⟨isVal e⟩ by (rule prognosis-Var-lam)
also have ... ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S) by (rule record-call-below-arg)
finally have *: prognosis ae as u ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x,
S) by this simp-all
moreover
have a-consistent (ae, u, as) ((x, e) # delete x (restrictA (– set r) Γ), e, restr-stack (– set
r) S) using lamvar ⟨ae x = up·u⟩
  by (auto intro!: a-consistent-lamvar simp del: restr-delete)
ultimately
have consistent (ae, ce, u, as, r) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S)
  using lamvar edom-mono[OF *] by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons restr-delete-twist elim:
below-trans)
moreover
from ⟨a-consistent - -⟩
have **: Astack (transform-alts as (restr-stack (– set r) S) @ map Dummy (rev r)) ⊑ u
```

```

by (auto elim: a-consistent-stackD)

{
from `isValid e
have isValid (transform u e) by simp
hence isValid (Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) by (rule isValid-Aeta-expand)
moreover
from `map-of Γ x = Some e` `ae x = up · u` `ce x = up · c` `isValid (transform u e)`
have map-of (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (– set r) Γ))) x = Some (Aeta-expand u (transform u e))
    by (simp add: map-of-map-transform)
ultimately
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G*
    add-dummies-conf r ((x, Aeta-expand u (transform u e)) # delete x (map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (– set r) Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as (restr-stack (– set r) S))
    by (auto intro!: normal-trans[OF lambda-var] simp add: map-transform-delete simp del: restr-delete)
also have ... = add-dummies-conf r ((map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae ((x,e) # delete x (restrictA (– set r) Γ))), Aeta-expand u (transform u e), transform-alts as (restr-stack (– set r) S)))
using `ae x = up · u` `ce x = up · c` `isValid (transform u e)`
by (simp add: map-transform-Cons map-transform-delete restr-delete-twist del: restr-delete)
also(subst[rotated]) have ... ⇒G* conf-transform (ae, ce, u, as, r) ((x, e) # delete x Γ, e,
S)
    by (simp add: restr-delete-twist) (rule normal-trans[OF Aeta-expand-safe[OF ** ]])
finally(rtranclp-trans)
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Var x, S) ⇒G* conf-transform (ae, ce, u, as, r)
((x, e) # delete x Γ, e, S).
}
ultimately show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case (var₂ Γ x e S)
show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ set r)
case [simp]: False

from var₂
have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set r) Γ, e, Upd x # restr-stack (– set r) S)
by auto
from a-consistent-UpdD[OF this]
have ae x = up · 0 and a = 0.

from `isValid e` `x ∉ domA Γ`
have *: prognosis ae as 0 ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as 0 (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by
(rule prognosis-Var2)
moreover
have a-consistent (ae, a, as) ((x, e) # restrictA (– set r) Γ, e, restr-stack (– set r) S)
using var₂ by (auto intro!: a-consistent-var₂)

```

```

ultimately
have consistent (ae, ce, 0, as, r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
  using var2 ⟨a = 0⟩
  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim: below-trans)
moreover
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae, ce, 0,
as, r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
  using ⟨ae x = upd.0⟩ ⟨a = 0⟩ var2
  by (auto intro: gc-step.intros simp add: map-transform-Cons)
ultimately show ?thesis by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
case True
hence ce x = ⊥ using var2 by (auto simp add: edom-def)
hence x ∉ edom ce by (simp add: edomIff)
hence x ∉ edom ae using var2 by auto
hence [simp]: ae x = ⊥ by (auto simp add: edom-def)

note ⟨x ∈ set r⟩[simp]

have prognosis ae as a ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a ((x, e) # Γ, e, Upd x # S)
by (rule prognosis-upd)
also have ... ⊑ prognosis ae as a (delete x ((x,e) # Γ), e, Upd x # S)
  using ⟨ae x = ⊥⟩ by (rule prognosis-not-called)
also have delete x ((x,e) # Γ) = Γ using ⟨x ∉ domA Γ⟩ by simp
finally
have *: prognosis ae as a ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) ⊑ prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, Upd x # S) by
this simp
then
have consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S) using var2
  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons elim:below-trans a-consistent-var2)
moreover
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, e, Upd x # S) = conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as,
r) ((x, e) # Γ, e, S)
  by (auto simp add: map-transform-restrA[symmetric])
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (fastforce del: consistentI consistentE simp del:conf-transform.simps)
qed
next
case (let1 Δ e S)
let ?ae = Aheap Δ e·a
let ?ce = cHeap Δ e·a

have domA Δ ∩ upds S = {} using fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)] by (auto dest: set-mp[OF
ups-fv-subset])
hence *: ⋀ x. x ∈ upds S ⟹ x ∉ edom ?ae by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: set-mp[OF
edom-Aheap])
have restr-stack-simp2: restr-stack (edom (?ae ∪ ae)) S = restr-stack (edom ae) S
  by (auto intro: restr-stack-cong dest!: *)

```

```

have edom ce = edom ae using let1 by auto

have edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-edom-subsetD)
from set-mp[OF this] fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
have edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {} by (auto dest: set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])

from ⟨edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}⟩
have [simp]: edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∩ edom ae = {} by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])

from fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
have [simp]: restrictA (edom ae ∪ edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) Γ = restrictA (edom ae) Γ
    by (auto intro: restrictA-cong dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])

have set r ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S using let1 by auto
have [simp]: restrictA (– set r) Δ = Δ
    apply (rule restrictA-noop)
    apply auto
    by (metis IntI UnE ⟨set r ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S⟩ ⟨domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}⟩ ⟨domA Δ ∩
        upds S = {}⟩ contra-subsetD empty-iff)

{
have edom (?ae ⊎ ae) = edom (?ce ⊎ ce)
    using let1(4) by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap)
moreover
{ fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Γ
    hence x ∉ edom ?ce using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
    by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap] set-mp[OF thunks-domA])
    hence [simp]: ?ce x = ⊥ unfolding edomIff by auto

    assume many ⊑ (?ce ⊎ ce) x
    with let1 ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩
    have (?ae ⊎ ae) x = up · 0 by auto
}
moreover
{ fix x e'
    assume x ∈ thunks Δ
    hence x ∉ domA Γ and x ∉ upds S
        using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-fv[OF let1(2)]
        by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF thunks-domA] set-mp[OF ups-fv-subset])
    hence x ∉ edom ce using ⟨edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S⟩ ⟨edom ce = edom ae⟩ by auto
    hence [simp]: ce x = ⊥ by (auto simp add: edomIff)

    assume many ⊑ (?ce ⊎ ce) x with ⟨x ∈ thunks Δ⟩
    have (?ae ⊎ ae) x = up · 0 by (auto simp add: Aheap-heap3)
}
moreover
{

```

```

from let1(1,2) <edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S>
have prognosis (?ae ⊎ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ ?ce ⊎ prognosis ae as a (Γ, Let Δ e, S)
by (rule prognosis-Let)
also have prognosis ae as a (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⊑ ce using let1 by auto
finally have prognosis (?ae ⊎ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ ?ce ⊎ ce by this simp
}
moreover

have a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set r) Γ, Let Δ e, restr-stack (– set r) S)
using let1 by auto
hence a-consistent (?ae ⊎ ae, a, as) (Δ @ restrictA (– set r) Γ, e, restr-stack (– set r)
S)
using let1(1,2) <edom ae ∩ domA Δ = {}>
by (auto intro!: a-consistent-let simp del: join-comm)
hence a-consistent (?ae ⊎ ae, a, as) (restrictA (– set r) (Δ @ Γ), e, restr-stack (– set r)
S)
by (simp add: restrictA-append)
moreover
have set r ⊑ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) – edom ce using let1 by auto
hence set r ⊑ (domA Γ ∪ upds S) – edom (?ce ⊎ ce)
apply (rule order-trans)
using <domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}> <domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}>
apply (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])
done
ultimately
have consistent (?ae ⊎ ae, ?ce ⊎ ce, a, as, r) (Δ @ Γ, e, S) by auto
}
moreover
{
have ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⇒ x ∉ edom ?ae ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Γ ⇒ x ∉ edom ?ce
using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)]
by (auto simp add: edom-cHeap dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap])
hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊎ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊎ ae)
(restrictA (– set r) Γ))
= map-transform Aeta-expand ae (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (– set r) Γ))
by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
moreover

from <edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S> <edom ce = edom ae>
have ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ x ∉ edom ce and ⋀ x. x ∈ domA Δ ⇒ x ∉ edom ae
using fresh-distinct[OF let1(1)] fresh-distinct-ups[OF let1(2)] by auto
hence map-transform Aeta-expand (?ae ⊎ ae) (map-transform transform (?ae ⊎ ae)
(restrictA (– set r) Δ))
= map-transform Aeta-expand ?ae (map-transform transform ?ae (restrictA (– set r)
Δ))
by (auto intro!: map-transform-cong restrictA-cong simp add: edomIff)
moreover

from <domA Δ ∩ domA Γ = {}> <domA Δ ∩ upds S = {}>

```

```

have atom ` domA Δ #* set r
  by (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-at-base fresh-finite-set-at-base dest!: set-mp[OF
  (set r ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S)])
  hence atom ` domA Δ #* map Dummy (rev r)
    apply -
    apply (rule eqvt-fresh-star-cong1 [where f = map Dummy], perm-simp, rule)
    apply (rule eqvt-fresh-star-cong1 [where f = rev], perm-simp, rule)
    apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-def fresh-set)
    done
  ultimately

  have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, Let Δ e, S) ⇒G conf-transform (?ae ⊎ ae, ?ce
  ⊎ ce, a, as, r) (Δ @ Γ, e, S)
    using restr-stack-simp2 let1(1,2) `edom ce = edom ae
    apply (auto simp add: map-transform-append restrictA-append edom-cHeap restr-stack-simp2[simplified]
  )
    apply (rule normal)
    apply (rule step.let1)
    apply (auto intro: normal step.let1 dest: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap] simp add: fresh-star-list)
    done
  }

  ultimately
  show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case (if1 Γ scrut e1 e2 S)
  have prognosis ae as a (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⊑ ce using if1 by auto
  hence prognosis ae (a#as) 0 (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊑ ce
    by (rule below-trans[OF prognosis-IfThenElse])
  hence consistent (ae, ce, 0, a#as, r) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
    using if1 by (auto dest: a-consistent-if1)
  moreover
    have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) (Γ, scrut ? e1 : e2, S) ⇒G conf-transform (ae, ce,
    0, a#as, r) (Γ, scrut, Alts e1 e2 # S)
      by (auto intro: normal step.intros)
    ultimately
    show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
  next
    case (if2 Γ b e1 e2 S)
    hence a-consistent (ae, a, as) (restrictA (− set r) Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # restr-stack (− set
    r) S) by auto
      then obtain a' as' where [simp]: as = a' # as' a = 0
        by (rule a-consistent-alts-on-stack)

      {
        have prognosis ae (a'#as') 0 (Γ, Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S) ⊑ ce using if2 by auto
          hence prognosis ae as' a' (Γ, if b then e1 else e2, S) ⊑ ce by (rule below-trans[OF
          prognosis-Alts])
          then

```

```

have consistent (ae, ce, a', as', r) ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)
  using if2 by (auto dest!: a-consistent-if2)
}
moreover
have conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) ( $\Gamma$ , Bool b, Alts e1 e2 # S)  $\Rightarrow_G$  conf-transform (ae,
ce, a', as', r) ( $\Gamma$ , if b then e1 else e2, S)
  by (auto intro: normal step.if2[where b = True, simplified] step.if2[where b = False,
simplified])
ultimately
show ?case by (blast del: consistentI consistentE)
next
  case refl thus ?case by force
next
  case (trans c c' c'')
    from trans(3)[OF trans(5)]
    obtain ae' ce' a' as' r'
      where consistent (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' and *: conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c  $\Rightarrow_G^*$ 
conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' by blast
      from trans(4)[OF this(1)]
      obtain ae'' ce'' a'' as'' r''
        where consistent (ae'', ce'', a'', as'', r'') c'' and **: conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r')
c'  $\Rightarrow_G^*$  conf-transform (ae'', ce'', a'', as'', r'') c'' by blast
        from this(1) rtranclp-trans[OF **]
        show ?case by blast
    qed
  end
end

```

## 79 CoCallAritySig.tex

```

theory CoCallAritySig
imports ArityAnalysisSig CoCallAnalysisSig
begin

locale CoCallArity = CoCallAnalysis + ArityAnalysis

```

## 80 CoCallAnalysisSpec.tex

```

theory CoCallAnalysisSpec
imports CoCallAritySig ArityAnalysisSpec
begin

hide-const Multiset.single

```

```

locale CoCallArityEdom = CoCallArity + EdomArityAnalysis

locale CoCallAritySafe = CoCallArity + CoCallAnalysisHeap + ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
assumes ccExp-App: ccExp e·(inc·a) ⊑ ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e)) ⊑ ccExp (App e x)·a
assumes ccExp-Lam: cc-restr (fv (Lam [y]. e)) (ccExp e·(pred·n)) ⊑ ccExp (Lam [y]. e)·n
assumes ccExp-subst: x ∉ S ⇒ y ∉ S ⇒ cc-restr S (ccExp e[y:=x]·a) ⊑ cc-restr S (ccExp e·a)
assumes ccExp-pap: isVal e ⇒ ccExp e·0 = ccSquare (fv e)
assumes ccExp-Let: cc-restr (−domA Γ) (ccHeap Γ e·a) ⊑ ccExp (Let Γ e)·a
assumes ccExp-IfThenElse: ccExp scrut·0 ⊑ (ccExp e1·a ⊑ ccExp e2·a) ⊑ ccProd (edom (Aexp scrut·0)) (edom (Aexp e1·a) ∪ edom (Aexp e2·a)) ⊑ ccExp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a
assumes ccHeap-Exp: ccExp e·a ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes ccHeap-Heap: map-of Δ x = Some e' ⇒ (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⇒ ccExp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes ccHeap-Extra-Edges:
map-of Δ x = Some e' ⇒ (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⇒ ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) − {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
assumes aHeap-thunks-rec: ¬ nonrec Γ ⇒ x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a) ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
assumes aHeap-thunks-nonrec: nonrec Γ ⇒ x ∈ thunks Γ ⇒ x--x ∈ ccExp e·a ⇒ (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0

end

```

## 81 ArityAnalysisFixProps.tex

```

theory ArityAnalysisFixProps
imports ArityAnalysisFix ArityAnalysisSpec
begin

context SubstArityAnalysis
begin

lemma Afix-restr-subst:
assumes x ∉ S
assumes y ∉ S
assumes domA Γ ⊑ S
shows Afix Γ[x::h=y]·ae f|` S = Afix Γ·(ae f|` S) f|` S
by (rule Afix-restr-subst'[OF Aexp-subst-restr[OF assms(1,2)] assms])
end

end

```

## 82 CoCallImplSafe.tex

```

theory CoCallImplSafe
imports CoCallAnalysisImpl CoCallAnalysisSpec ArityAnalysisFixProps
begin

locale CoCallImplSafe
begin
sublocale CoCallAnalysisImpl.

lemma ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr: (ccNeighbors x G ∩ S) = ccNeighbors x (cc-restr (insert x S)
G) ∩ S
  by transfer auto

lemma
  assumes x ∈ S and y ∈ S
  shows CCexp-subst: cc-restr S (CCexp e[y:=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp e·a)
    and Aexp-restr-subst: (Aexp e[y:=x]·a) f|` S = (Aexp e·a) f|` S
using assms
proof (nominal-induct e avoiding: x y arbitrary: a S rule: exp-strong-induct-rec-set)
  case (Var b v)
  case 1 show ?case by auto
  case 2 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (App e v)
  case 1
    with App show ?case
    by (auto simp add: Int-insert-left fv-subst-int simp del: join-comm intro: join-mono)
  case 2
    with App show ?case
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join simp del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (Lam v e)
  case 1
    with Lam
    show ?case
    by (auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps cc-restr-predCC Diff-Int-distrib2 fv-subst-int env-restr-join
env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] simp del: CCexp-simps)
  case 2
    with Lam
    show ?case
    by (auto simp add: env-restr-join env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] simp del: fun-meet-simp)
next
  case (Let Γ e x y)
  hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ y ∈ domA Γ
    by (metis (erased, hide-lams) bn-subst domA-not-fresh fresh-def fresh-star-at-base fresh-star-def
obtain-fresh subst-is-fresh(2))+

note Let(1,2)[simp]

```

```

from Let(3)
have  $\neg \text{nonrec } (\Gamma[y::h=x])$  by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

case [simp]: 1
have cc-restr ( $S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma$ ) (CCfix  $\Gamma[y::h=x]$  · (Afix  $\Gamma[y::h=x]$  · (Aexp  $e[y::=x]$  ·  $a \sqcup (\lambda\_. \text{up}\cdot 0)$   $f|` \text{thunks } \Gamma$ ), CCexp  $e[y::=x]$  ·  $a)) =$ 
    cc-restr ( $S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma$ ) (CCfix  $\Gamma$  · (Afix  $\Gamma$  · (Aexp  $e$  ·  $a \sqcup (\lambda\_. \text{up}\cdot 0)$   $f|` \text{thunks } \Gamma$ ), CCexp  $e$  ·  $a))$ 
apply (subst CCfix-restr-subst')
apply (erule Let(4))
apply auto[5]
apply (subst CCfix-restr) back
apply simp
apply (subst Afix-restr-subst')
apply (erule Let(5))
apply auto[5]
apply (subst Afix-restr) back
apply simp
apply (simp only: env-restr-join)
apply (subst Let(7))
apply auto[2]
apply (subst Let(6))
apply auto[2]
apply rule
done
thus ?case using Let(1,2)  $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \neg \text{nonrec } (\Gamma[y::h=x])$ 
by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair elim: cc-restr-eq-subset[rotated] )

case [simp]: 2
have Afix  $\Gamma[y::h=x]$  · (Aexp  $e[y::=x]$  ·  $a \sqcup (\lambda\_. \text{up}\cdot 0)$   $f|` (\text{thunks } \Gamma)$ )  $f|` (S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma) =$  Afix  $\Gamma$  · (Aexp  $e$  ·  $a \sqcup (\lambda\_. \text{up}\cdot 0)$   $f|` (\text{thunks } \Gamma)$ )  $f|` (S \cup \text{dom}A \Gamma)$ 
apply (subst Afix-restr-subst')
apply (erule Let(5))
apply auto[5]
apply (subst Afix-restr) back
apply auto[1]
apply (simp only: env-restr-join)
apply (subst Let(7))
apply auto[2]
apply rule
done
thus ?case using Let(1,2)
using  $\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \neg \text{nonrec } (\Gamma[y::h=x])$ 
by (auto simp add: fresh-star-Pair elim: env-restr-eq-subset[rotated])
next
case (Let-nonrec  $x' e \exp x y$ )
from Let-nonrec(1,2)

```

```

have  $x \neq x' y \neq x'$  by (simp-all add: fresh-at-base)

note Let-nonrec(1,2)[simp]

from  $\langle x' \notin fv e \rangle \langle y \neq x' \rangle \langle x \neq x' \rangle$ 
have [simp]:  $x' \notin fv (e[y:=x])$ 
by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

note  $\langle x' \notin fv e \rangle [simp] \langle y \neq x' \rangle [simp] \langle x \neq x' \rangle [simp]$ 

case [simp]: 1

have  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} \{x'\} (CCexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} \{x'\} (CCexp\ exp\cdot a)$ 
by (rule Let-nonrec(6)) auto
from arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda x. x'--x' \in x$ , OF this]
have [simp]:  $x'--x' \in CCexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a \longleftrightarrow x'--x' \in CCexp\ exp\cdot a$  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp\ e[y:=x]\cdot a f \mid^c S = Aexp\ e\cdot a f \mid^c S$ 
by (rule Let-nonrec(5)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. fup\cdot(Aexp\ e[y:=x])\cdot a f \mid^c S = fup\cdot(Aexp\ e)\cdot a f \mid^c S$ 
by (case-tac a) auto

have [simp]:  $Aexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a f \mid^c S = Aexp\ exp\cdot a f \mid^c S$ 
by (rule Let-nonrec(7)) auto

have  $Aexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a f \mid^c \{x'\} = Aexp\ exp\cdot a f \mid^c \{x'\}$ 
by (rule Let-nonrec(7)) auto
from fun-cong[OF this, where  $x = x'$ ]
have [simp]:  $(Aexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a) x' = (Aexp\ exp\cdot a) x'$  by auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ exp\cdot a)$ 
by (rule Let-nonrec(6)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ e[y:=x]\cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} S (CCexp\ e\cdot a)$ 
by (rule Let-nonrec(4)) auto

have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. cc\text{-restr} S (fup\cdot(CCexp\ e[y:=x])\cdot a) = cc\text{-restr} S (fup\cdot(CCexp\ e)\cdot a)$ 
by (rule fup-ccExp-restr-subst') simp

have [simp]:  $fv\ e[y:=x] \cap S = fv\ e \cap S$ 
by (auto simp add: fv-subst-eq)

have [simp]:
   $ccNeighbors\ x' (CCexp\ exp[y:=x]\cdot a) \cap -\{x'\} \cap S = ccNeighbors\ x' (CCexp\ exp\cdot a) \cap -\{x'\} \cap S$ 
apply (simp only: Int-assoc)
apply (subst (1 2) ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr)
apply (subst Let-nonrec(6))

```

```

apply auto[2]
apply rule
done

have [simp]:
  ccNeighbors x' (CCexp exp[y:=x]·a) ∩ S = ccNeighbors x' (CCexp exp·a) ∩ S
  apply (subst (1 2) ccNeighbors-Int-ccrestr)
  apply (subst Let-nonrec(6))
    apply auto[2]
  apply rule
  done

show cc-restr S (CCexp (let x' be e in exp )[y:=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp (let x' be e in exp
)·a)
  apply (subst subst-let-be)
    apply auto[2]
  apply (subst (1 2) CCexp-simps(6))
    apply fact+
  apply (simp only: cc-restr-cc-delete-twist)
  apply (rule arg-cong) back
  apply (simp add: Diff-eq ccBind-eq ABind-nonrec-eq)
  done

show Aexp (let x' be e in exp )[y:=x]·a f|` S = Aexp (let x' be e in exp )·a f|` S
  by (simp add: env-restr-join env-delete-env-restr-swap[symmetric] ABind-nonrec-eq)

next
  case (IfThenElse scrut e1 e2)
  case [simp]: 2
    from IfThenElse
    show cc-restr S (CCexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)[y:=x]·a) = cc-restr S (CCexp (scrut ? e1 :
e2)·a)
      by (auto simp del: edom-env env-restr-empty env-restr-empty-iff simp add: edom-env[symmetric])
    from IfThenElse(2,4,6)
    show Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)[y:=x]·a f|` S = Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a f|` S
      by (auto simp add: env-restr-join simp del: fun-meet-simp)
qed auto

sublocale ArityAnalysisSafe Aexp
  by standard (simp-all add:Aexp-restr-subst)

sublocale ArityAnalysisLetSafe Aexp Aheap
proof
  fix Γ e a
  show edom (Aheap Γ e·a) ⊆ domA Γ
    by (cases nonrec Γ)
      (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp dest: set-mp[OF edom-esing-subset] elim!: nonrecE)
next

```

```

fix x y :: var and  $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$  and  $e :: \text{exp}$ 
assume assms:  $x \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$   $y \notin \text{domA } \Gamma$ 

from Aexp-restr-subst[ $\text{OF assms}(2,1)$ ]
have **:  $\bigwedge a. A\text{exp } e[x:=y] \cdot a f \upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma = A\text{exp } e \cdot a f \upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma$ .

show Aheap  $\Gamma[x:=y]$   $e[x:=y] = \text{Aheap } \Gamma e$ 
proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
  case [simp]: False

    from assms
    have atom  $\upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma \#* x$  and atom  $\upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma \#* y$ 
      by (auto simp add: fresh-star-at-base image-iff)
    hence [simp]:  $\neg \text{nonrec } (\Gamma[x:=y])$ 
      by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

    show ?thesis
    apply (rule cfun-eqI)
    apply simp
    apply (subst Afix-restr-subst[ $\text{OF assms subset-refl}$ ])
    apply (subst Afix-restr[ $\text{OF subset-refl}$ ]) back
    apply (simp add: env-restr-join)
    apply (subst **)
    apply simp
    done

next
  case True

    from assms
    have atom  $\upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma \#* x$  and atom  $\upharpoonright \text{domA } \Gamma \#* y$ 
      by (auto simp add: fresh-star-at-base image-iff)
    with True
    have *: nonrec  $(\Gamma[x:=y])$  by (simp add: nonrec-subst)

    from True
    obtain  $x' e'$  where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x', e')]$   $x' \notin \text{fv } e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)

    from * have [simp]:  $x' \notin \text{fv } (e'[x:=y])$ 
      by (auto simp add: nonrec-def)

    from fun-cong[ $\text{OF } **$ , where  $x = x'$ ]
    have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. (A\text{exp } e[x:=y] \cdot a) x' = (A\text{exp } e \cdot a) x'$  by simp

    from CCexp-subst[ $\text{OF assms}(2,1)$ ]
    have  $\bigwedge a. \text{cc-restr } \{x'\} (CC\text{exp } e[x:=y] \cdot a) = \text{cc-restr } \{x'\} (CC\text{exp } e \cdot a)$  by simp
    from arg-cong[where  $f = \lambda x. x' - x' \in x$ ,  $\text{OF this}$ ]
    have [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. x' - x' \in (CC\text{exp } e[x:=y] \cdot a) \longleftrightarrow x' - x' \in (CC\text{exp } e \cdot a)$  by simp

show ?thesis

```

```

apply -
apply (rule cfun-eqI)
apply (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq)
done
qed
next
fix  $\Gamma$   $e$   $a$ 
show  $ABinds \Gamma \cdot (Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp e \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aheap \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup Aexp (Let \Gamma e) \cdot a$ 
proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-def join-below-iff env-restr-join2 Compl-partition intro: below-trans[OF
- Afix-above-arg])
  next
  case True
  then obtain  $x$   $e'$  where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x, e')]$   $x \notin fv e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)

  hence  $\bigwedge a. x \notin edom (fup \cdot (Aexp e') \cdot a)$ 
    by (auto dest:set-mp[OF fup-Aexp-edom])
  hence [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. (fup \cdot (Aexp e') \cdot a) x = \perp$  by (simp add: edomIff)

  show ?thesis
    apply (rule env-restr-below-split[where  $S = \{x\}$ ])
    apply (rule env-restr-belowI2)
    apply (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp join-below-iff env-restr-join env-delete-restr)
    apply (rule ABind-nonrec-above-arg)
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    apply (rule below-refl)
    done
  qed
qed

definition ccHeap-nonrec
  where ccHeap-nonrec  $x$   $e$   $exp = (\Lambda n. CCfix-nonrec x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n))$ 

lemma ccHeap-nonrec-eq:
  ccHeap-nonrec  $x$   $e$   $exp \cdot n = CCfix-nonrec x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n)$ 
  unfolding ccHeap-nonrec-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

definition ccHeap-rec :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls
  where ccHeap-rec  $\Gamma$   $e = (\Lambda a. CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^c (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a))$ 

lemma ccHeap-rec-eq:
  ccHeap-rec  $\Gamma$   $e \cdot a = CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f \mid^c (thunks \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a)$ 
  unfolding ccHeap-rec-def by simp

definition ccHeap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  CoCalls

```

**where**  $ccHeap \Gamma = (\text{if } \text{nonrec } \Gamma \text{ then } \text{case-prod } ccHeap\text{-nonrec } (\text{hd } \Gamma) \text{ else } ccHeap\text{-rec } \Gamma)$

**lemma**  $ccHeap\text{-simp1}:$

$\neg \text{nonrec } \Gamma \implies ccHeap \Gamma e \cdot a = CCfix \Gamma \cdot (Afix \Gamma \cdot (Aexp e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot up \cdot 0) f|^{\prime} (\text{thunks } \Gamma)), CCexp e \cdot a)$   
**by** (*simp add: ccHeap-def ccHeap-rec-eq*)

**lemma**  $ccHeap\text{-simp2}:$

$x \notin fv e \implies ccHeap [(x, e)] exp \cdot n = CCfix\text{-nonrec } x e \cdot (Aexp exp \cdot n, CCexp exp \cdot n)$   
**by** (*simp add: ccHeap-def ccHeap-nonrec-eq nonrec-def*)

**sublocale**  $CoCallAritySafe CCexp Aexp ccHeap Aheap$

**proof**

**fix**  $e a x$

**show**  $CCexp e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd \{x\} (\text{insert } x (fv e)) \sqsubseteq CCexp (App e x) \cdot a$   
**by** *simp*

**next**

**fix**  $y e n$

**show**  $cc\text{-restr} (fv (\text{Lam } [y]. e)) (CCexp e \cdot (pred \cdot n)) \sqsubseteq CCexp (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot n$   
**by** (*auto simp add: CCexp-pre-simps predCC-eq dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr] simp del: CCexp-simps*)

**next**

**fix**  $x y :: var$  **and**  $S e a$

**assume**  $x \notin S$  **and**  $y \notin S$

**thus**  $cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e[y:=x] \cdot a) \sqsubseteq cc\text{-restr } S (CCexp e \cdot a)$

**by** (*rule eq-imp-below[OF CCexp-subst]*)

**next**

**fix**  $e$

**assume**  $isVal e$

**thus**  $CCexp e \cdot 0 = ccSquare (fv e)$

**by** (*induction e rule: isVal.induct*) (*auto simp add: predCC-eq*)

**next**

**fix**  $\Gamma e a$

**show**  $cc\text{-restr} (- \text{ domA } \Gamma) (ccHeap \Gamma e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq CCexp (\text{Let } \Gamma e) \cdot a$

**proof(cases nonrec  $\Gamma$ )**

**case** *False*

**thus**  $cc\text{-restr} (- \text{ domA } \Gamma) (ccHeap \Gamma e \cdot a) \sqsubseteq CCexp (\text{Let } \Gamma e) \cdot a$

**by** (*simp add: ccHeap-simp1[OF False, symmetric]* **del:** *cc-restr-join*)

**next**

**case** *True*

**thus** *?thesis*

**by** (*auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 Diff-eq elim!: nonrecE simp del: cc-restr-join*)

**qed**

**next**

**fix**  $\Delta :: heap$  **and**  $e a$

**show**  $CCexp e \cdot a \sqsubseteq ccHeap \Delta e \cdot a$

**by** (*cases nonrec  $\Delta$* )

```

(auto simp add: ccHeap-simp1 ccHeap-simp2 arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where f = op ⊑
x for x ] elim!: nonrecE)

fix x e' a'
assume map-of Δ x = Some e'
hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Δ by (metis domI dom-map-of-conv-domA)
assume (Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'
show CCexp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
proof(cases nonrec Δ)
  case False

  from ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'⟩ False
  have (Afix Δ·(Aexp e·a ⊒ (λ·.up·0)f|` (thunks Δ))) x = up·a'
    by (simp add: Aheap-def)
    hence CCexp e'·a' ⊑ ccBind x e'·(Afix Δ·(Aexp e·a ⊒ (λ·.up·0)f|` (thunks Δ)), CCfix
      Δ·(Afix Δ·(Aexp e·a ⊒ (λ·.up·0)f|` (thunks Δ)), CCexp e·a))
      by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest: set-mp[OF ccField-CCexp])
    also
    have ccBind x e'·(Afix Δ·(Aexp e·a ⊒ (λ·.up·0)f|` (thunks Δ)), CCfix Δ·(Afix Δ·(Aexp e·a
      ⊒ (λ·.up·0)f|` (thunks Δ)), CCexp e·a)) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
      using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩ False
      by (fastforce simp add: ccHeap-simp1 ccHeap-rec-eq ccBindsExtra-simp ccBinds-eq arg-cong[OF
        CCfix-unroll, where f = op ⊑ x for x ]
        intro: below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    finally
    show CCexp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a by this simp-all
  next
    case True
    with ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩
    have [simp]: Δ = [(x,e')] x ∉ fv e' by (auto elim!: nonrecE split: if-splits)

    show ?thesis
    proof(cases x--x ∉ CCexp e·a ∨ isVal e')
      case True
      with ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'⟩
      have [simp]: (CoCallArityAnalysis.Aexp cCCexp e·a) x = up·a'
        by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq split: if-splits)

      have CCexp e'·a' ⊑ ccSquare (fv e')
        unfolding below-ccSquare
        by (rule ccField-CCexp)
      then
        show ?thesis using True
        by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq below-trans[OF
          - join-above2] simp del: below-ccSquare )
      next
      case False

      from ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a'⟩

```

```

have [simp]:  $a' = 0$  using False
  by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq split: if-splits)

  show ?thesis using False
    by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq simp
      del: below-ccSquare )
  qed
qed

show ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
proof (cases nonrec Δ)
  case [simp]: False

    have ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccProd (fv e')
      (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a))
      by (rule ccProd-mono2) auto
    also have ... ⊑ (⊔ x ↦ e' ∈ map-of Δ. ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a)))
      using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩ by (rule below-lubmapI)
    also have ... ⊑ ccBindsExtra Δ · (Afix Δ · (Aexp e·a ⊔ (λ_. up · 0)f|` (thunks Δ)), ccHeap Δ e·a)
      by (simp add: ccBindsExtra-simp below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    also have ... ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
      by (simp add: ccHeap-simp1 arg-cong[OF CCfix-unroll, where f = op ⊑ x for x])
    finally
    show ?thesis by this simp-all
next
  case True
  with ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e'⟩
  have [simp]: Δ = [(x, e')] x ∉ fv e' by (auto elim!: nonrecE split: if-splits)

  have [simp]: (ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e' · (Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a))) = {}
  by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr] set-mp[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])

  show ?thesis
  proof(cases isVal e' ∧ x -- x ∈ CCexp e·a)
    case True

    have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) =
      ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e' · (Aheap-nonrec x e' · (Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp e·a)) ∪
      ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) - (if isVal e' then {} else {x}))) ∪
      ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 )
    also have ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e' · (Aheap-nonrec x e' · (Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp e·a)) = {}
      by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr] set-mp[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])
    also have ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) - (if isVal e' then {} else {x})))
      ⊆ ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a))) by (simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
    also have ... ⊆ fv e' by (simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
  qed

```

```

finally
  have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ ⊆ ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) ∪ fv
  e' by auto
    hence ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccProd (fv e')
    (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) ∪ fv e') by (rule ccProd-mono2)
    also have ... ⊑ ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a)) ∪ ccProd (fv e') (fv e') by
    simp
    also have ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a)) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
      using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e' ⟩ ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⟩ True
      by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    also have ccProd (fv e') (fv e') = ccSquare (fv e') by (simp add: ccSquare-def)
    also have ... ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
      using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e' ⟩ ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⟩ True
      by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 ccBind-eq below-trans[OF - join-above2])
    also note join-self
    finally show ?thesis by this simp-all
next
  case False
  have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) =
    ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e' · (Aheap-nonrec x e' · (Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp e·a)) ∪
    ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) - (if isVal e' then {} else
    {x}))) ∪
    ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 )
  also have ccNeighbors x (ccBind x e' · (Aheap-nonrec x e' · (Aexp e·a, CCexp e·a), CCexp
  e·a)) = {}
    by (auto simp add: ccBind-eq dest!: set-mp[OF ccField-cc-restr] set-mp[OF ccField-fup-CCexp])
  also have ccNeighbors x (ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) - (if isVal e' then {}
  else {x})))
    = {} using False by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
  finally
    have ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) ⊑ ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) by auto
    hence ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ ⊑ ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ by auto
      hence ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccProd (fv e')
      (ccNeighbors x (CCexp e·a) - {x} ∩ thunks Δ) by (rule ccProd-mono2)
      also have ... ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
        using ⟨map-of Δ x = Some e' ⟩ ⟨(Aheap Δ e·a) x = up·a' ⟩ False
        by (auto simp add: ccHeap-simp2 thunks-Cons below-trans[OF - join-above2])
      finally show ?thesis by this simp-all
  qed
  qed

next
  fix x Γ e a
  assume [simp]:  $\neg$  nonrec Γ
  assume x ∈ thunks Γ
  hence [simp]: x ∈ domA Γ by (rule set-mp[OF thunks-domA])
  assume x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a)

```

```

from ⟨ $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ ⟩
have ( $\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f \mid^c (\text{thunks } \Gamma))$ )  $x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
  by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

thus ( $\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a$ )  $x = \text{up} \cdot 0$  by simp
next
  fix  $x \Gamma e a$ 
  assume nonrec  $\Gamma$ 
  then obtain  $x' e'$  where [simp]:  $\Gamma = [(x', e')]$   $x' \notin \text{fv } e'$  by (auto elim: nonrecE)
  assume  $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ 
  hence [simp]:  $x = x' \dashv \text{isVal } e'$  by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons split: if-splits)

  assume  $x--x \in \text{CCexp } e \cdot a$ 
  hence [simp]:  $x'--x' \in \text{CCexp } e \cdot a$  by simp

from ⟨ $x \in \text{thunks } \Gamma$ ⟩
have ( $\text{Afix } \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a \sqcup (\lambda \cdot \text{up} \cdot 0) f \mid^c (\text{thunks } \Gamma))$ )  $x = \text{up} \cdot 0$ 
  by (subst Afix-unroll) simp

show ( $\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a$ )  $x = \text{up} \cdot 0$  by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq)
next
  fix scrut  $e1 a e2$ 
  show  $\text{CCexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \sqcup (\text{CCexp } e1 \cdot a \sqcup \text{CCexp } e2 \cdot a) \sqcup \text{ccProd } (\text{edom } (\text{Aexp } \text{scrut} \cdot 0)) (\text{edom } (\text{Aexp } e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom } (\text{Aexp } e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq \text{CCexp } (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
    by simp
  qed
end

end

```

## 83 List-Interleavings.tex

```

theory List-Interleavings
imports Main
begin

inductive interleave' :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where [simp]: interleave' [] [] []
  | interleave' xs ys zs  $\Longrightarrow$  interleave' (x#xs) ys (x#zs)
  | interleave' xs ys zs  $\Longrightarrow$  interleave' xs (x#ys) (x#zs)

definition interleave :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set (infixr  $\otimes$  64)
  where xs  $\otimes$  ys = Collect (interleave' xs ys)
lemma elim-interleave'[pred-set-conv]: interleave' xs ys zs  $\longleftrightarrow$  zs  $\in$  xs  $\otimes$  ys unfolding interleave-def
  by simp

lemmas interleave-intros[intro?] = interleave'.intros[to-set]
lemmas interleave-intros(1)[simp]

```

```

lemmas interleave-induct[consumes 1, induct set: interleave, case-names Nil left right] = interleave'.induct[to-set]
lemmas interleave-cases[consumes 1, cases set: interleave] = interleave'.cases[to-set]
lemmas interleave-simps = interleave'.simp[to-set]

inductive-cases interleave-ConsE[elim]:  $(x \# xs) \in ys \otimes zs$ 
inductive-cases interleave-ConsConsE[elim]:  $xs \in y \# ys \otimes z \# zs$ 
inductive-cases interleave-Conse2[elim]:  $xs \in x \# ys \otimes zs$ 
inductive-cases interleave-Conse3[elim]:  $xs \in ys \otimes x \# zs$ 

lemma interleave-comm:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies xs \in zs \otimes ys$ 
  by (induction rule: interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-Nil1[simp]:  $[] \otimes xs = \{xs\}$ 
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros elim: interleave-cases)

lemma interleave-Nil2[simp]:  $xs \otimes [] = \{xs\}$ 
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros elim: interleave-cases)

lemma interleave-nil-simp[simp]:  $[] \in xs \otimes ys \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \wedge ys = []$ 
  by (auto elim: interleave-cases)

lemma append-interleave:  $xs @ ys \in xs \otimes ys$ 
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-assoc1:  $a \in xs \otimes ys \implies b \in a \otimes zs \implies \exists c. c \in ys \otimes zs \wedge b \in xs \otimes c$ 
  by (induction b arbitrary: a xs ys zs)
    (simp, fastforce del: interleave-ConsE elim!: interleave-Conse intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-assoc2:  $a \in ys \otimes zs \implies b \in xs \otimes a \implies \exists c. c \in xs \otimes ys \wedge b \in c \otimes zs$ 
  by (induction b arbitrary: a xs ys zs)
    (simp, fastforce del: interleave-ConsE elim!: interleave-Conse intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-set:  $zs \in xs \otimes ys \implies \text{set } zs = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$ 
  by(induction rule:interleave-induct) auto

lemma interleave-tl:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies tl \ xs \in tl \ ys \otimes zs \vee tl \ xs \in ys \otimes (tl \ zs)$ 
  by(induction rule:interleave-induct) auto

lemma interleave-butlast:  $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies butlast \ xs \in butlast \ ys \otimes zs \vee butlast \ xs \in ys \otimes (butlast \ zs)$ 
  by (induction rule:interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-take:  $zs \in xs \otimes ys \implies \exists n_1 \ n_2. n = n_1 + n_2 \wedge take \ n \ zs \in take \ n_1 \ xs \otimes take \ n_2 \ ys$ 
  apply(induction arbitrary: n rule:interleave-induct)
  apply auto
  apply arith

```

```

apply (case-tac n, simp)
apply (drule-tac x = nat in meta-spec)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = Suc n1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x = n2 in exI)
apply (auto intro: interleave-intros)[1]

apply (case-tac n, simp)
apply (drule-tac x = nat in meta-spec)
apply auto
apply (rule-tac x = n1 in exI)
apply (rule-tac x = Suc n2 in exI)
apply (auto intro: interleave-intros)[1]
done

lemma filter-interleave: xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ⇒ filter P xs ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
  by (induction rule: interleave-induct) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

lemma interleave-filtered: xs ∈ interleave (filter P xs) (filter (λx'. ¬ P x') xs)
  by (induction xs) (auto intro: interleave-intros)

function foo where
  foo [] [] = undefined
| foo xs [] = undefined
| foo [] ys = undefined
| foo (x#xs) (y#ys) = undefined (foo xs (y#ys)) (foo (x#xs) ys)
  by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order
lemmas list-induct2'' = foo.induct[case-names NilNil ConsNil NilCons ConsCons]

lemma interleave-filter:
  assumes xs ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
  obtains xs' where xs' ∈ ys ⊗ zs and xs = filter P xs'
using assms
apply atomize-elim
proof(induction ys zs arbitrary: xs rule: list-induct2'')
case NilNil
  thus ?case by simp
next
case (ConsNil ys xs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (NilCons zs xs)
  thus ?case by auto
next
case (ConsCons y ys z zs xs)
  show ?case
  proof(cases P y)

```

```

case False
with ConsCons.prem(1)
have xs ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P (z#zs) by simp
from ConsCons.IH(1)[OF this]
obtain xs' where xs' ∈ ys ⊗ (z # zs) xs = filter P xs' by auto
hence y#xs' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and xs = filter P (y#xs')
    using False by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
thus ?thesis by blast
next
case True
show ?thesis
proof(cases P z)
case False
with ConsCons.prem(1)
have xs ∈ filter P (y#ys) ⊗ filter P zs by simp
from ConsCons.IH(2)[OF this]
obtain xs' where xs' ∈ y#ys ⊗ zs xs = filter P xs' by auto
hence z#xs' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and xs = filter P (z#xs')
    using False by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
thus ?thesis by blast
next
case True
from ConsCons.prem(1) ⟨P y⟩ ⟨P z⟩
have xs ∈ y # filter P ys ⊗ z # filter P zs by simp
thus ?thesis
proof(rule interleave-ConsConsE)
fix xs'
assume xs = y # xs' and xs' ∈ interleave (filter P ys) (z # filter P zs)
hence xs' ∈ filter P ys ⊗ filter P (z#zs) using ⟨P z⟩ by simp
from ConsCons.IH(1)[OF this]
obtain xs'' where xs'' ∈ ys ⊗ (z # zs) and xs' = filter P xs'' by auto
hence y#xs'' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and y#xs' = filter P (y#xs'')
    using ⟨P y⟩ by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
thus ?thesis using ⟨xs = -⟩ by blast
next
fix xs'
assume xs = z # xs' and xs' ∈ y # filter P ys ⊗ filter P zs
hence xs' ∈ filter P (y#ys) ⊗ filter P zs using ⟨P y⟩ by simp
from ConsCons.IH(2)[OF this]
obtain xs'' where xs'' ∈ y#ys ⊗ zs and xs' = filter P xs'' by auto
hence z#xs'' ∈ y#ys ⊗ z#zs and z#xs' = filter P (z#xs'')
    using ⟨P z⟩ by (auto intro: interleave-intros)
thus ?thesis using ⟨xs = -⟩ by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```
end
```

## 84 TTree.tex

```
theory TTree
imports Main ConstOn List-Interleavings
begin
```

### 84.1 Prefix-closed sets of lists

```
definition downset :: 'a list set ⇒ bool where
  downset xss = ( ∀ x n. x ∈ xss → take n x ∈ xss )
```

```
lemma downsetE[elim]:
  downset xss ⇒ xs ∈ xss ⇒ butlast xs ∈ xss
by (auto simp add: downset-def butlast-conv-take)
```

```
lemma downset-appendE[elim]:
  downset xss ⇒ xs @ ys ∈ xss ⇒ xs ∈ xss
by (auto simp add: downset-def) (metis append-eq-conv-conj)
```

```
lemma downset-hdE[elim]:
  downset xss ⇒ xs ∈ xss ⇒ xs ≠ [] ⇒ [hd xs] ∈ xss
by (auto simp add: downset-def) (metis take-0 take-Suc)
```

```
lemma downsetI[intro]:
assumes ⋀ xs. xs ∈ xss ⇒ xs ≠ [] ⇒ butlast xs ∈ xss
shows downset xss
unfolding downset-def
proof(intro impI allI )
  from assms
  have butlast: ⋀ xs. xs ∈ xss ⇒ butlast xs ∈ xss
    by (metis butlast.simps(1))
```

```
fix xs n
assume xs ∈ xss
show take n xs ∈ xss
proof(cases n ≤ length xs)
  case True
    from this
    show ?thesis
    proof(induction rule: inc-induct)
      case base with ⟨xs ∈ xss⟩ show ?case by simp
      next
      case (step n')
        from butlast[OF step.IH] step(2)
        show ?case by (simp add: butlast-take)
```

```

qed
next
case False with `xs ∈ xss` show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma [simp]: downset {[]} by auto

lemma downset-mapI: downset xss ==> downset (map f ` xss)
  by (fastforce simp add: map-butlast[symmetric])

lemma downset-filter:
  assumes downset xss
  shows downset (filter P ` xss)
proof(rule, elim imageE, clarsimp)
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ xss
  thus butlast (filter P xs) ∈ filter P ` xss
  proof(induction xs rule: rev-induct)
    case Nil thus ?case by force
  next
    case snoc
    thus ?case using `downset xss` by (auto intro: snoc.IH)
  qed
qed

lemma downset-set-subset:
  downset ({xs. set xs ⊆ S})
by (auto dest: in-set-butlastD)

```

## 84.2 The type of infinite labeled trees

```

typedef 'a ttree = {xss :: 'a list set . [] ∈ xss ∧ downset xss} by auto
setup-lifting type-definition-ttree

```

## 84.3 Deconstructors

```

lift-definition possible :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  is λ xss x. ∃ xs. x # xs ∈ xss.

lift-definition nxt :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ttree
  is λ xss x. insert [] {xs | xs. x # xs ∈ xss}
  by (auto simp add: downset-def take-Suc-Cons[symmetric] simp del: take-Suc-Cons)

```

## 84.4 Trees as set of paths

```

lift-definition paths :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a list set is (λ x. x).

```

```

lemma paths-inj: paths t = paths t' ==> t = t' by transfer auto

```

```

lemma paths-injs-simps[simp]: paths t = paths t'  $\longleftrightarrow$  t = t' by transfer auto

lemma paths-Nil[simp]: []  $\in$  paths t by transfer simp

lemma paths-not-empty[simp]: (paths t = {})  $\longleftrightarrow$  False by transfer auto

lemma paths-Cons-nxt:
  possible t x  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)  $\implies$  (x#xs)  $\in$  paths t
  by transfer auto

lemma paths-Cons-nxt-iff:
  possible t x  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (x#xs)  $\in$  paths t
  by transfer auto

lemma possible-mono:
  paths t  $\subseteq$  paths t'  $\implies$  possible t x  $\implies$  possible t' x
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-mono:
  paths t  $\subseteq$  paths t'  $\implies$  paths (nxt t x)  $\subseteq$  paths (nxt t' x)
  by transfer auto

lemma ttree-eqI: ( $\bigwedge$  x xs. x#xs  $\in$  paths t  $\longleftrightarrow$  x#xs  $\in$  paths t')  $\implies$  t = t'
  apply (rule paths-inj)
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  apply (case-tac x)
  apply auto
  done

lemma paths-nxt[elim]:
  assumes xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)
  obtains x#xs  $\in$  paths t | xs = []
  using assms by transfer auto

lemma Cons-path: x # xs  $\in$  paths t  $\longleftrightarrow$  possible t x  $\wedge$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)
  by transfer auto

lemma Cons-pathI[intro]:
  assumes possible t x  $\longleftrightarrow$  possible t' x
  assumes possible t x  $\implies$  possible t' x  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t' x)
  shows x # xs  $\in$  paths t  $\longleftrightarrow$  x # xs  $\in$  paths t'
  using assms by (auto simp add: Cons-path)

lemma paths-nxt-eq: xs  $\in$  paths (nxt t x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = []  $\vee$  x#xs  $\in$  paths t
  by transfer auto

lemma ttree-coinduct:
  assumes P t t'
```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge t t' x . P t t' \Rightarrow \text{possible } t x \leftrightarrow \text{possible } t' x$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge t t' x . P t t' \Rightarrow \text{possible } t x \Rightarrow \text{possible } t' x \Rightarrow P (\text{nxt } t x) (\text{nxt } t' x)$ 
shows  $t = t'$ 
proof(rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI)
fix xs
from assms(1)
show  $xs \in \text{paths } t \leftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } t'$ 
proof(induction xs arbitrary: t t')
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs t t')
show ?case
proof(rule Cons-pathI)
from ⟨P t t'⟩
show  $\text{possible } t x \leftrightarrow \text{possible } t' x$  by (rule assms(2))
next
assume  $\text{possible } t x \text{ and } \text{possible } t' x$ 
with ⟨P t t'⟩
have  $P (\text{nxt } t x) (\text{nxt } t' x)$  by (rule assms(3))
thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t x) \leftrightarrow xs \in \text{paths } (\text{nxt } t' x)$  by (rule Cons.IH)
qed
qed
qed

```

## 84.5 The carrier of a tree

```

lift-definition carrier :: 'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a set is  $\lambda xss. \bigcup (\text{set } ` xss)$ .

lemma carrier-mono:  $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \Rightarrow \text{carrier } t \subseteq \text{carrier } t'$  by transfer auto

lemma carrier-possible:
possible t x  $\Rightarrow$   $x \in \text{carrier } t$  by transfer force

lemma carrier-possible-subset:
carrier t  $\subseteq A \Rightarrow \text{possible } t x \Rightarrow x \in A$  by transfer force

lemma carrier-nxt-subset:
carrier ( $\text{nxt } t x$ )  $\subseteq \text{carrier } t$ 
by transfer auto

lemma Union-paths-carrier:  $(\bigcup_{x \in \text{paths } t} \text{set } x) = \text{carrier } t$ 
by transfer auto

```

## 84.6 Repeatable trees

```

definition repeatable where repeatable t =  $(\forall x . \text{possible } t x \rightarrow \text{nxt } t x = t)$ 

lemma nxt-repeatable[simp]: repeatable t  $\Rightarrow \text{possible } t x \Rightarrow \text{nxt } t x = t$ 
unfolding repeatable-def by auto

```

## 84.7 Simple trees

```
lift-definition empty :: 'a ttree is {} by auto

lemma possible-empty[simp]: possible empty x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-not-possible[simp]:  $\neg$  possible t x  $\Longrightarrow$  nxt t x = empty
  by transfer auto

lemma paths-empty[simp]: paths empty = {} by transfer auto

lemma carrier-empty[simp]: carrier empty = {} by transfer auto

lemma repeatable-empty[simp]: repeatable empty unfolding repeatable-def by transfer auto

lift-definition single :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree is  $\lambda$  x. {[], [x]}
  by auto

lemma possible-single[simp]: possible (single x) x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = x'
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-single[simp]: nxt (single x) x' = empty
  by transfer auto

lemma carrier-single[simp]: carrier (single y) = {y}
  by transfer auto

lemma paths-single[simp]: paths (single x) = {[], [x]}
  by transfer auto

lift-definition many-calls :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree is  $\lambda$  x. range ( $\lambda$  n. replicate n x)
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)

lemma possible-many-calls[simp]: possible (many-calls x) x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = x'
  by transfer (force simp add: Cons-replicate-eq)

lemma nxt-many-calls[simp]: nxt (many-calls x) x' = (if x' = x then many-calls x else empty)
  by transfer (force simp add: Cons-replicate-eq)

lemma repeatable-many-calls: repeatable (many-calls x)
  unfolding repeatable-def by auto

lemma carrier-many-calls[simp]: carrier (many-calls x) = {x} by transfer auto

lift-definition anything :: 'a ttree is UNIV
  by auto

lemma possible-anything[simp]: possible anything x'  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
```

by transfer auto

```
lemma nxt-anything[simp]: nxt anything x = anything
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-anything[simp]:
  paths anything = UNIV by transfer auto
```

```
lemma carrier-anything[simp]:
  carrier anything = UNIV
  apply (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  apply (rule-tac x = [x] in exI)
  apply simp
  done
```

```
lift-definition many-among :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree is λ S. {xs . set xs ⊆ S}
  by (auto intro: downset-set-subset)
```

```
lemma carrier-many-among[simp]: carrier (many-among S) = S
  by transfer (auto, metis List.set-insert bot.extremum insertCI insert-subset list.set(1))
```

## 84.8 Intersection of two trees

```
lift-definition intersect :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree (infixl ∩∩ 80)
  is op ∩
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)
```

```
lemma paths-intersect[simp]: paths (t ∩∩ t') = paths t ∩ paths t'
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma carrier-intersect: carrier (t ∩∩ t') ⊆ carrier t ∩ carrier t'
  unfolding Union-paths-carrier[symmetric]
  by auto
```

## 84.9 Disjoint union of trees

```
lift-definition either :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree (infixl ⊕⊕ 80)
  is op ∪
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)
```

```
lemma either-empty1[simp]: empty ⊕⊕ t = t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma either-empty2[simp]: t ⊕⊕ empty = t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma either-sym[simp]: t ⊕⊕ t2 = t2 ⊕⊕ t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma either-idem[simp]: t ⊕⊕ t = t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma possible-either[simp]: possible (t ⊕⊕ t') x ←→ possible t x ∨ possible t' x
```

by transfer auto

```
lemma nxt-either[simp]: nxt (t ⊕⊕ t') x = nxt t x ⊕⊕ nxt t' x
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma paths-either[simp]: paths (t ⊕⊕ t') = paths t ∪ paths t'
  by transfer simp
```

```
lemma carrier-either[simp]:
  carrier (t ⊕⊕ t') = carrier t ∪ carrier t'
  by transfer simp
```

```
lemma either-contains-arg1: paths t ⊆ paths (t ⊕⊕ t')
  by transfer fastforce
```

```
lemma either-contains-arg2: paths t' ⊆ paths (t ⊕⊕ t')
  by transfer fastforce
```

```
lift-definition Either :: 'a ttree set ⇒ 'a ttree is λ S. insert [] (∪ S)
  by (auto simp add: downset-def)
```

```
lemma paths-Either: paths (Either ts) = insert [] (∪ (paths ` ts))
  by transfer auto
```

## 84.10 Merging of trees

```
lemma ex-ex-eq-hint: (exists x. (exists xs ys. x = f xs ys ∧ P xs ys) ∧ Q x) ←→ (exists xs ys. Q (f xs ys) ∧ P xs ys)
  by auto
```

```
lift-definition both :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree (infixl ⊗⊗ 86)
  is λ xss yss . ∪ {xs ⊗ ys | xs ys. xs ∈ xss ∧ ys ∈ yss}
  by (force simp: ex-ex-eq-hint dest: interleave-butlast)
```

```
lemma both-assoc[simp]: t ⊗⊗ (t' ⊗⊗ t'') = (t ⊗⊗ t') ⊗⊗ t''
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (metis interleave-assoc2)
  apply (metis interleave-assoc1)
  done
```

```
lemma both-comm: t ⊗⊗ t' = t' ⊗⊗ t
  by transfer (auto, (metis interleave-comm)+)
```

```
lemma both-empty1[simp]: empty ⊗⊗ t = t
  by transfer auto
```

```
lemma both-empty2[simp]: t ⊗⊗ empty = t
  by transfer auto
```

```

lemma paths-both:  $xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t') \longleftrightarrow (\exists ys \in \text{paths } t. \exists zs \in \text{paths } t'. xs \in ys \otimes zs)$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-contains-arg1:  $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t')$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-contains-arg2:  $\text{paths } t' \subseteq \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t')$ 
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-mono1:
   $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t'') \subseteq \text{paths } (t' \otimes\otimes t'')$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma both-mono2:
   $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{paths } (t'' \otimes\otimes t) \subseteq \text{paths } (t'' \otimes\otimes t')$ 
  by transfer auto

lemma possible-both[simp]:  $\text{possible } (t \otimes\otimes t') x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t x \vee \text{possible } t' x$ 
proof
  assume  $\text{possible } (t \otimes\otimes t') x$ 
  then obtain  $xs$  where  $x \# xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t')$ 
    by transfer auto

  from  $\langle x \# xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t') \rangle$ 
  obtain  $ys$   $zs$  where  $ys \in \text{paths } t$  and  $zs \in \text{paths } t'$  and  $x \# xs \in ys \otimes zs$ 
    by transfer auto

  from  $\langle x \# xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$ 
  have  $ys \neq [] \wedge hd ys = x \vee zs \neq [] \wedge hd zs = x$ 
    by (auto elim: interleave-cases)
  thus  $\text{possible } t x \vee \text{possible } t' x$ 
    using  $\langle ys \in \text{paths } t \rangle$   $\langle zs \in \text{paths } t' \rangle$ 
    by transfer auto
  next
  assume  $\text{possible } t x \vee \text{possible } t' x$ 
  then obtain  $xs$  where  $x \# xs \in \text{paths } t \vee x \# xs \in \text{paths } t'$ 
    by transfer auto
  from this have  $x \# xs \in \text{paths } (t \otimes\otimes t')$  by (auto dest: set-mp[OF both-contains-arg1]
  set-mp[OF both-contains-arg2])
  thus possible  $(t \otimes\otimes t') x$  by transfer auto
  qed

lemma nxt-both:
   $\text{nxt } (t' \otimes\otimes t) x = (\text{if possible } t' x \wedge \text{possible } t x \text{ then } \text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t \oplus\oplus t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x \text{ else}$ 
     $\quad \text{if possible } t' x \text{ then } \text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t \text{ else}$ 
     $\quad \text{if possible } t x \text{ then } t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x \text{ else}$ 
     $\quad \text{empty})$ 
  by (transfer, auto 4 4 intro: interleave-intros)

```

```

lemma Cons-both:
   $x \# xs \in paths(t' \otimes\otimes t) \longleftrightarrow (\text{if } \text{possible } t' x \wedge \text{possible } t x \text{ then } xs \in paths(\text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t) \vee xs \in paths(t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x) \text{ else}$ 
     $\quad \text{if } \text{possible } t' x \text{ then } xs \in paths(\text{nxt } t' x \otimes\otimes t) \text{ else}$ 
     $\quad \text{if } \text{possible } t x \text{ then } xs \in paths(t' \otimes\otimes \text{nxt } t x) \text{ else}$ 
     $\quad \text{False})$ 
apply (auto simp add: paths-Cons-nxt-iff[symmetric] nxt-both)
by (metis paths.rep_eq possible.rep_eq possible-both)

lemma Cons-both-possible-leftE: possible t x  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  paths(nxt t x  $\otimes\otimes$  t')  $\implies$  x#xs  $\in$  paths(t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')
by (auto simp add: Cons-both)

lemma Cons-both-possible-rightE: possible t' x  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  paths(t  $\otimes\otimes$  nxt t' x)  $\implies$  x#xs  $\in$  paths(t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')
by (auto simp add: Cons-both)

lemma either-both-distr[simp]:
 $t' \otimes\otimes t \oplus\oplus t' \otimes\otimes t'' = t' \otimes\otimes (t \oplus\oplus t'')$ 
by transfer auto

lemma either-both-distr2[simp]:
 $t' \otimes\otimes t \oplus\oplus t'' \otimes\otimes t = (t' \oplus\oplus t'') \otimes\otimes t$ 
by transfer auto

lemma nxt-both-repeatable[simp]:
assumes [simp]: repeatable t'
assumes [simp]: possible t' x
shows nxt(t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t) x = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  (t  $\oplus\oplus$  nxt t x)
by (auto simp add: nxt-both)

lemma nxt-both-many-calls[simp]: nxt(many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  t) x = many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  (t  $\oplus\oplus$  nxt t x)
by (simp add: repeatable-many-calls)

lemma repeatable-both-self[simp]:
assumes [simp]: repeatable t
shows t  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t
apply (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
apply (induct-tac x)
apply (auto simp add: Cons-both paths-Cons-nxt-iff[symmetric])
apply (metis Cons-both both-empty1 possible-empty)+
done

lemma repeatable-both-both[simp]:
assumes repeatable t
shows t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
by (metis repeatable-both-self[OF assms] both-assoc both-comm)

```

```

lemma repeatable-both-both2[simp]:
  assumes repeatable t
  shows t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t
  by (metis repeatable-both-self[OF assms] both-assoc both-comm)

lemma repeatable-both-nxt:
  assumes repeatable t
  assumes possible t' x
  assumes t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'
  shows nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t = nxt t' x
  proof(rule classical)
    assume nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\neq$  nxt t' x
    hence (nxt t' x  $\oplus\oplus$  t')  $\otimes\otimes$  t  $\neq$  nxt t' x by (metis (no-types) assms(1) both-assoc repeatable-both-self)
      thus nxt t' x  $\otimes\otimes$  t = nxt t' x by (metis (no-types) assms either-both-distr2 nxt-both
      nxt-repeatable)
  qed

lemma repeatable-both-both-nxt:
  assumes t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'
  shows t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''  $\otimes\otimes$  t = t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''
  by (metis assms both-assoc both-comm)

lemma carrier-both[simp]:
  carrier (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = carrier t  $\cup$  carrier t'
  proof-
    {
      fix x
      assume x  $\in$  carrier (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')
      then obtain xs where xs  $\in$  paths (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') and x  $\in$  set xs by transfer auto
      then obtain ys zs where ys  $\in$  paths t and zs  $\in$  paths t' and xs  $\in$  interleave ys zs
        by (auto simp add: paths-both)
      from this(3) have set xs = set ys  $\cup$  set zs by (rule interleave-set)
      with (ys  $\in$  -> zs  $\in$  -> x  $\in$  set xs)
      have x  $\in$  carrier t  $\cup$  carrier t' by transfer auto
    }
    moreover
    note set-mp[OF carrier-mono[OF both-contains-arg1[where t=t and t'=t']]]
      set-mp[OF carrier-mono[OF both-contains-arg2[where t=t and t'=t']]]
    ultimately
    show ?thesis by auto
  qed

```

## 84.11 Removing elements from a tree

```

lift-definition without :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree
  is  $\lambda x\ xss.\ filter(\lambda x'.\ x' \neq x)\ ` xss$ 
  by (auto intro: downset-filter)(metis filter.simps(1) imageI)

```

```

lemma paths-withoutI:
  assumes xs ∈ paths t
  assumes x ∉ set xs
  shows xs ∈ paths (without x t)
proof-
  from assms(2)
  have filter (λ x'. x' ≠ x) xs = xs by (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
  with assms(1)
  have xs ∈ filter (λ x'. x' ≠ x) ` paths t by (metis imageI)
  thus ?thesis by transfer
qed

lemma carrier-without[simp]: carrier (without x t) = carrier t - {x}
  by transfer auto

lift-definition ttree-restr :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree is λ S xss. filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) ` xss
  by (auto intro: downset-filter)(metis filter.simps(1) imageI)

lemma filter-paths-conv-free-restr:
  filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) ` paths t = paths (ttree-restr S t) by transfer auto

lemma filter-paths-conv-free-restr2:
  filter (λ x'. x' ∉ S) ` paths t = paths (ttree-restr (- S) t) by transfer auto

lemma filter-paths-conv-free-without:
  filter (λ x'. x' ≠ y) ` paths t = paths (without y t) by transfer auto

lemma ttree-restr-is-empty: carrier t ∩ S = {} ⇒ ttree-restr S t = empty
  apply transfer
  apply (auto del: iffI)
  apply (metis SUP-bot-conv(2) SUP-inf-inf-commute inter-set-filter set-empty)
  apply force
done

lemma ttree-restr-noop: carrier t ⊆ S ⇒ ttree-restr S t = t
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: image-iff)
  apply (metis SUP-le-iff contra-subsetD filter-True)
  apply (rule-tac x = x in bexI)
  apply (metis SUP-upper contra-subsetD filter-True)
  apply assumption
done

lemma ttree-restr-tree-restr[simp]:
  ttree-restr S (ttree-restr S' t) = ttree-restr (S' ∩ S) t
  by transfer (simp add: image-comp comp-def)

lemma ttree-restr-both:

```

*ttree-restr*  $S$  ( $t \otimes\otimes t'$ ) = *ttree-restr*  $S$   $t \otimes\otimes$  *ttree-restr*  $S$   $t'$   
**by** (force simp add: paths-both filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] intro: paths-inj filter-interleave elim: interleave-filter)

**lemma** *ttree-restr-nxt-subset*:  $x \in S \implies \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x)) \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{nxt}(\text{ttree-restr } S t) x)$

**by** transfer (force simp add: image-iff)

**lemma** *ttree-restr-nxt-subset2*:  $x \notin S \implies \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x)) \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S t)$

**apply** transfer

**apply** auto

**apply** force

**by** (metis filter.simps(2) imageI)

**lemma** *ttree-restr-possible*:  $x \in S \implies \text{possible } t x \implies \text{possible}(\text{ttree-restr } S t) x$   
**by** transfer force

**lemma** *ttree-restr-possible2*:  $\text{possible}(\text{ttree-restr } S t') x \implies x \in S$

**by** transfer (auto, metis filter-eq-Cons-iff)

**lemma** *carrier-ttree-restr*[simp]:

*carrier* (*ttree-restr*  $S t$ ) =  $S \cap \text{carrier } t$

**by** transfer auto

## 84.12 Multiple variables, each called at most once

**lift-definition** *singles* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ttree is  $\lambda S. \{xs. \forall x \in S. \text{length}(\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' = x) xs) \leq 1\}$

**apply** auto

**apply** (rule downsetI)

**apply** auto

**apply** (subst (asm) append-butlast-last-id[symmetric]) back

**apply** simp

**apply** (subst (asm) filter-append)

**apply** auto

**done**

**lemma** *possible-singles*[simp]:  $\text{possible}(\text{singles } S) x$

**apply** transfer'

**apply** (rule-tac  $x = []$  in exI)

**apply** auto

**done**

**lemma** *length-filter-mono*[intro]:

**assumes** ( $\bigwedge x. P x \implies Q x$ )

**shows**  $\text{length}(\text{filter } P xs) \leq \text{length}(\text{filter } Q xs)$

**by** (induction xs) (auto dest: assms)

```

lemma nxt-singles[simp]: nxt (singles S) x' = (if x' ∈ S then without x' (singles S) else singles S)
  apply transfer'
  apply auto
  apply (rule rev-image-eqI[where x = []], auto)[1]
  apply (rule-tac x = x in rev-image-eqI)
  apply (simp, rule ballI, erule-tac x = xa in ballE, auto)[1]
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (simp add: filter-id-conv filter-empty-conv)[1]
  apply (erule-tac x = xb in ballE)
  apply (erule order-trans[rotated])
  apply (rule length-filter-mono)
  apply auto
  done

lemma carrier-singles[simp]:
  carrier (singles S) = UNIV
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = [x] in exI)
  apply auto
  done

lemma singles-mono:
   $S \subseteq S' \implies \text{paths}(\text{singles } S') \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{singles } S)$ 
by transfer auto

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
   $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths}(\text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{ without } x \ t)$ 
proof
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths t

  have filter (λx'. x' = x) xs = replicate (length (filter (λx'. x' = x) xs)) x
    by (induction xs) auto
  hence filter (λx'. x' = x) xs ∈ paths (many-calls x) by transfer auto
  moreover
  from (xs ∈ paths t)
  have filter (λx'. x' ≠ x) xs ∈ paths (without x t) by transfer auto
  moreover
  have xs ∈ interleave (filter (λx'. x' = x) xs) (filter (λx'. x' ≠ x) xs) by (rule interleave-filtered)
  ultimately show xs ∈ paths (many-calls x ⊗ ⊗ without x t) by transfer auto
qed

```

### 84.13 Substituting trees for every node

**definition** f-nxt :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ttree)  
**where** f-nxt f T x = (if x ∈ T then f(x:=empty) else f)

```

fun substitute' :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where
  | substitute'-Nil: substitute' f T t [] ←→ True
  | substitute'-Cons: substitute' f T t (x#xs) ←→
    possible t x ∧ substitute' (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) xs

lemma f-nxt-mono1: (Λ x. paths (f x) ⊆ paths (f' x)) ==> paths (f-nxt f T x x') ⊆ paths (f-nxt f' T x x')
  unfolding f-nxt-def by auto

lemma f-nxt-empty-set[simp]: f-nxt f {} x = f by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma downset-substitute: downset (Collect (substitute' f T t))
  apply (rule) unfolding mem-Collect-eq
proof-
  fix x
  assume substitute' f T t x
  thus substitute' f T t (butlast x) by(induction t x rule: substitute'.induct) (auto)
qed

lift-definition substitute :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree
  is λ f T t. Collect (substitute' f T t)
  by (simp add: downset-substitute)

lemma elim-substitute'[pred-set-conv]: substitute' f T t xs ←→ xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) by
  transfer auto

lemmas substitute-induct[case-names Nil Cons] = substitute'.induct
lemmas substitute-simps[simp] = substitute'.simps[unfolded elim-substitute']

lemma substitute-mono2:
  assumes paths t ⊆ paths t'
  shows paths (substitute f T t) ⊆ paths (substitute f T t')
proof
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
  thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t')
  using assms
  proof(induction xs arbitrary:f t t')
  case Nil
    thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons x xs)
    from Cons.prem
    show ?case
      by (auto dest: possible-mono elim: Cons.IH intro!: both-mono1 nxt-mono)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-mono1:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{paths } (f x) \subseteq \text{paths } (f' x)$ 
  shows  $\text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \subseteq \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f' T t)$ 
proof
  fix xs
  assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  from this assms
  show  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f' T t)$ 
  proof (induction xs arbitrary:  $f f' t$ )
    case Nil
    thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons x xs)
    from Cons.prem
    show ?case
      by (auto elim!: Cons.IH dest: set-mp dest!: set-mp[OF f-nxt-mono1[OF Cons.prem(2)]]
      set-mp[OF substitute-mono2[OF both-mono2[OF Cons.prem(2)]]])
    qed
  qed

lemma substitute-monoT:
  assumes  $T \subseteq T'$ 
  shows  $\text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T' t) \subseteq \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
proof
  fix xs
  assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T' t)$ 
  thus  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  using assms
  proof(induction f T' t xs arbitrary: T rule: substitute-induct)
    case Nil
    thus ?case by simp
  next
  case (Cons f T' t x xs T)
    from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths (substitute f T' t)⟩
    have [simp]: possible t x and  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T' x) T' (\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x))$  by
    auto
    from Cons.IH[OF this(2) Cons.prem(2)]
    have  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T' x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x))$ .
    hence  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x))$ 
      by (rule set-mp[OF substitute-mono1, rotated])
      (auto simp add: f-nxt-def set-mp[OF Cons.prem(2)])
    thus ?case by auto
  qed
qed

lemma substitute-contains-arg:  $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
proof
  fix xs

```

```

show  $xs \in paths t \implies xs \in paths (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
proof (induction  $xs$  arbitrary:  $f t$ )
  case Nil
    show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons  $x xs$ )
      from  $\langle x \# xs \in paths t \rangle$ 
      have possible  $t x$  by transfer auto
      moreover
        from  $\langle x \# xs \in paths t \rangle$  have  $xs \in paths (\text{nxt } t x)$ 
          by (auto simp add: paths-nxt-eq)
        hence  $xs \in paths (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x)$  by (rule set-mp[OF both-contains-arg1])
        note Cons.IH[OF this]
        ultimately
          show ?case by simp
      qed
    qed

```

```

lemma possible-substitute[simp]: possible (substitute  $f T t$ )  $x \longleftrightarrow \text{possible } t x$ 
  by (metis Cons-both both-empty2 paths-Nil substitute-simps(2))

lemma nxt-substitute[simp]: possible  $t x \implies \text{nxt } (\text{substitute } f T t) x = \text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x)$ 
   $T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x)$ 
  by (rule ttree-eqI) (simp add: paths-nxt-eq)

lemma substitute-either: substitute  $f T (t \oplus \oplus t') = \text{substitute } f T t \oplus \oplus \text{substitute } f T t'$ 
proof-
  have [simp]:  $\bigwedge t t' x . (\text{nxt } t x \oplus \oplus \text{nxt } t' x) \otimes \otimes f x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x \oplus \oplus \text{nxt } t' x \otimes \otimes f x$ 
  by (metis both-comm either-both-distr)
  {
    fix  $xs$ 
    have  $xs \in paths (\text{substitute } f T (t \oplus \oplus t')) \longleftrightarrow xs \in paths (\text{substitute } f T t) \vee xs \in paths (\text{substitute } f T t')$ 
    proof (induction  $xs$  arbitrary:  $f t t'$ )
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons  $x xs$ )
        note IH = Cons.IH[where f = f-nxt f T x and t = nxt t' x ⊗⊗ f x and t' = nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x]
        show ?case
        apply (auto simp del: either-both-distr2 simp add: either-both-distr2[symmetric] IH)
        apply (metis IH both-comm either-both-distr either-empty2 nxt-not-possible)
        apply (metis IH both-comm both-empty1 either-both-distr either-empty1 nxt-not-possible)
        done
    qed
  }
  thus ?thesis by (auto intro: paths-inj)
qed

```

```

lemma f-nxt-T-delete:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f (T - {x}) x' = f-nxt f T x'
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma f-nxt-empty[simp]:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f T x' x = empty
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma f-nxt-empty'[simp]:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows f-nxt f T x = f
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-T-delete:
  assumes f x = empty
  shows substitute f (T - {x}) t = substitute f T t
  proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
    fix xs
    from assms
    show xs ∈ paths (substitute f (T - {x}) t) ⟷ xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
      by (induction xs arbitrary: f t) (auto simp add: f-nxt-T-delete )
  qed

lemma substitute-only-empty:
  assumes const-on f (carrier t) empty
  shows substitute f T t = t
  proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
    fix xs
    from assms
    show xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) ⟷ xs ∈ paths t
      proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t)
        case Nil thus ?case by simp
        case (Cons x xs f t)
          note const-onD[OF Cons.prems carrier-possible, where y = x, simp]

          have [simp]: possible t x ⟹ f-nxt f T x = f
            by (rule f-nxt-empty', rule const-onD[OF Cons.prems carrier-possible, where y = x])

```

```

from Cons.prems carrier-nxt-subset
have const-on f (carrier (nxt t x)) empty
  by (rule const-on-subset)
hence const-on (f-nxt f T x) (carrier (nxt t x)) empty
  by (auto simp add: const-on-def f-nxt-def)
note Cons.IH[OF this]
hence [simp]: possible t x ==> (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (nxt t x))) = (xs ∈ paths (nxt t
x))
  by simp

show ?case by (auto simp add: Cons-path)
qed
qed

lemma substitute-only-empty-both: const-on f (carrier t') empty ==> substitute f T (t ⊗⊗ t')
= substitute f T t ⊗⊗ t'
proof (intro paths-inj set-eqI)
fix xs
assume const-on f (carrier t') TTree.empty
thus (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (t ⊗⊗ t'))) = (xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t ⊗⊗ t'))
proof (induction xs arbitrary: f t t')
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs)
show ?case
proof(cases possible t' x)
case True
hence x ∈ carrier t' by (metis carrier-possible)
with Cons.prems have [simp]: f x = empty by auto
hence [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

note Cons.IH[OF Cons.prems, where t = nxt t x, simp]

from Cons.prems
have const-on f (carrier (nxt t' x)) empty by (metis carrier-nxt-subset const-on-subset)
note Cons.IH[OF this, where t = t, simp]

show ?thesis using True
  by (auto simp add: Cons-both nxt-both substitute-either)
next
case False

have [simp]: nxt t x ⊗⊗ t' ⊗⊗ f x = nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x ⊗⊗ t'
  by (metis both-assoc both-comm)

from Cons.prems
have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (carrier t') empty
  by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

note Cons.IH[OF this, where  $t = \text{nxt } t \ x \otimes\otimes f \ x$ , simp]

show ?thesis using False
  by (auto simp add: Cons-both nxt-both substitute-either)
qed
qed
qed

lemma f-nxt-upd-empty[simp]:
   $f\text{-nxt } (f(x' := \text{empty})) \ T \ x = (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x)(x' := \text{empty})$ 
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma repeatable-f-nxt-upd[simp]:
  repeatable (f x)  $\implies$  repeatable (f-nxt f T x' x)
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-remove-anyways-aux:
  assumes repeatable (f x)
  assumes xs  $\in$  paths (substitute f T t)
  assumes  $t \otimes\otimes f \ x = t$ 
  shows xs  $\in$  paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T t)
  using assms(2,3) assms(1)
proof (induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons f T t x' xs)
  show ?case
    proof(cases x' = x)
      case False
      hence [simp]:  $(f(x := \text{TTree.empty})) \ x' = f \ x'$  by simp
      have [simp]:  $f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x' \ x = f \ x$  using False by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
        show ?thesis using Cons by (auto simp add: repeatable-both-nxt repeatable-both-both-nxt
          simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    next
      case True
      hence [simp]:  $(f(x := \text{TTree.empty})) \ x = \text{empty}$  by simp

      have *:  $(f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x) \ x = f \ x \vee (f\text{-nxt } f \ T \ x) \ x = \text{empty}$  by (simp add: f-nxt-def)
      thus ?thesis
        using Cons True
        by (auto simp add: repeatable-both-nxt repeatable-both-both-nxt simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    qed
qed

lemma substitute-remove-anyways:
  assumes repeatable t
  assumes  $f \ x = t$ 

```

```

shows substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t') = substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')
proof (rule paths-inj, rule, rule subsetI)
fix xs
have repeatable (f x) using assms by simp
moreover
assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'))
moreover
have t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'  $\otimes\otimes$  f x = t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
by (metis assms both-assoc both-comm repeatable-both-self)
ultimately
show xs ∈ paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'))
by (rule substitute-remove-anyways-aux)
next
show paths (substitute (f(x := empty)) T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t')) ⊆ paths (substitute f T (t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'))
by (rule substitute-mono1) auto
qed

lemma carrier-f-nxt: carrier (f-nxt f T x x') ⊆ carrier (f x')
by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma f-nxt-cong: f x' = f' x'  $\implies$  f-nxt f T x x' = f-nxt f' T x x'
by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-cong':
assumes xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)
assumes  $\bigwedge x n. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
assumes carrier t ⊆ A
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x = f' x$ 
shows xs ∈ paths (substitute f' T t)
using assms
proof (induction f T t xs arbitrary: f' rule: substitute-induct )
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons f T t x xs)
hence possible t x by auto
hence x ∈ carrier t by (metis carrier-possible)
hence x ∈ A using Cons.prems(3) by auto
with Cons.prems have [simp]: f' x = f x by auto
have carrier (f x) ⊆ A using ⟨x ∈ A⟩ by (rule Cons.prems(2))

from Cons.prems(1,2) Cons.prems(4)[symmetric]
show ?case
by (auto elim!: Cons.IH
dest!: set-mp[OF carrier-f-nxt] set-mp[OF carrier-nxt-subset] set-mp[OF Cons.prems(3)]
set-mp[OF ⟨carrier (f x) ⊆ A⟩]
intro: f-nxt-cong
)
qed

```

```

lemma substitute-cong-induct:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
  assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x = f' x$ 
  shows  $\text{substitute } f T t = \text{substitute } f' T t$ 
  apply (rule paths-inj)
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (erule (2) substitute-cong'[OF - assms])
  apply (erule substitute-cong'[OF - - assms(2)])
  apply (metis assms(1,3))
  apply (metis assms(3))
  done

lemma carrier-substitute-aux:
  assumes  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $\text{set } xs \subseteq A$ 
  using assms
  apply(induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct)
  apply auto
  apply (metis carrier-possible-subset)
  apply (metis carrier-f-nxt carrier-nxt-subset carrier-possible-subset contra-subsetD order-trans)
  done

lemma carrier-substitute-below:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{carrier } (f x) \subseteq A$ 
  assumes  $\text{carrier } t \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $\text{carrier } (\text{substitute } f T t) \subseteq A$ 
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t) \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq A$  by (rule carrier-substitute-aux[OF - assms(2,1)])
  thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
qed

lemma f-nxt-eq-empty-iff:
   $f\text{-nxt } f T x x' = \text{empty} \longleftrightarrow f x' = \text{empty} \vee (x' = x \wedge x \in T)$ 
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

lemma substitute-T-cong':
  assumes  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. (x \in T \longleftrightarrow x \in T') \vee f x = \text{empty}$ 
  shows  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T' t)$ 
  using assms
proof (induction f T t xs rule: substitute-induct )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp

```

```

next
  case (Cons f T t xs)
  from Cons.prems(2)[where x = x]
  have [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f-nxt f T' x
    by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

  from Cons.prems(2)
  have ( $\bigwedge x'. (x' \in T) = (x' \in T') \vee f\text{-nxt } f T x x' = \text{TTree.empty}$ )
    by (auto simp add: f-nxt-eq-empty-iff)
  from Cons.prems(1) Cons.IH[OF - this]
  show ?case
    by auto
qed

lemma substitute-cong-T:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. (x \in T \longleftrightarrow x \in T') \vee f x = \text{empty}$ 
  shows substitute f T = substitute f T'
  apply rule
  apply (rule paths-inj)
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (erule substitute-T-cong'[OF - assms])
  apply (erule substitute-T-cong')
  apply (metis assms)
  done

lemma carrier-substitute1: carrier t  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute f T t)
  by (rule carrier-mono) (rule substitute-contains-arg)

lemma substitute-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier} (\text{substitute } f T t) \implies f x = f' x$ 
  shows substitute f T t = substitute f' T t
  proof(rule substitute-cong-induct[OF - - assms])
    show carrier t  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute f T t)
      by (rule carrier-substitute1)
  next
    fix x
    assume x ∈ carrier (substitute f T t)
    then obtain xs where xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t) and x ∈ set xs by transfer auto
    thus carrier (f x)  $\subseteq$  carrier (substitute f T t)
      proof(induction xs arbitrary: f t)
        case Nil thus ?case by simp
      next
        case (Cons x' xs f t)
          from ⟨x' # xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)⟩
          have possible t x' and xs ∈ paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x'  $\otimes\otimes$  f x')) by auto
          from ⟨x ∈ set (x' # xs)⟩
          have x = x' ∨ (x ≠ x' ∧ x ∈ set xs) by auto

```

```

hence carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x'))
proof(elim conjE disjE)
  assume x = x'
  have carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) by simp
  also have ... ⊆ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x)) by (rule
carrier-substitute1)
  finally show ?thesis unfolding ⟨x = x'⟩.
next
  assume x ≠ x'
  hence [simp]: (f-nxt f T x' x) = f x by (simp add: f-nxt-def)

  assume x ∈ set xs
  from Cons.IH[OF ⟨xs ∈ - ⟩ this]
  show carrier (f x) ⊆ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x')) by simp
qed
also
from ⟨possible t x'⟩
have carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x') T (nxt t x' ⊗⊗ f x')) ⊆ carrier (substitute f T t)
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = x' #xa in exI)
  apply auto
done
finally show ?case.
qed
qed

lemma substitute-substitute:
assumes ⋀ x. const-on f' (carrier (f x)) empty
shows substitute f T (substitute f' T t) = substitute (λ x. f x ⊗⊗ f' x) T t
proof (rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI)
fix xs
have [simp]: ⋀ ff' x'. f-nxt (λ x. f x ⊗⊗ f' x) T x' = (λ x. f-nxt f T x' x ⊗⊗ f-nxt f' T x')
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

from assms
show xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (substitute f' T t)) ⟷ xs ∈ paths (substitute (λ x. f x ⊗⊗ f' x) T t)
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ff' t )
case Nil thus ?case by simp
case (Cons x xs)
thus ?case
proof (cases possible t x)
case True

from Cons.prem
have prem': ⋀ x'. const-on (f-nxt f' T x) (carrier (f x')) empty
  by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)

```

```

hence  $\bigwedge x'. \text{const-on } (f\text{-nxt } f' T x) (\text{carrier } ((f\text{-nxt } f T x) x')) \text{ empty}$ 
  by (metis carrier-empty const-onI emptyE f-nxt-def fun-upd-apply)
  note Cons.IH[where  $f = f\text{-nxt } f T x$  and  $f' = f\text{-nxt } f' T x$ , OF this, simp]

have [simp]:  $\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x \otimes\otimes f' x = \text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f' x \otimes\otimes f x$ 
  by (metis both-comm both-assoc)

show ?thesis using True
  by (auto del: iffI simp add: substitute-only-empty-both[OF prem'[where  $x' = x$ ] , symmetric])
next
case False
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma ttree-rest-substitute:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier } (f x) \cap S = \{\}$ 
shows ttree-restr  $S (\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{ttree-restr } S t$ 
proof(rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI, rule iffI)
fix xs
assume  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{substitute } f T t))$ 
then
obtain  $xs'$  where [simp]:  $xs = \text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs'$  and  $xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2) assms
have  $\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs' \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
proof(induction xs' arbitrary: f t)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs f t)
from Cons.prems
have possible  $t x$  and  $xs \in \text{paths } (\text{substitute } (f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes\otimes f x))$  by auto
from Cons.prems(2)
have  $(\bigwedge x'. \text{carrier } (f\text{-nxt } f T x x') \cap S = \{\})$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)

from Cons.IH[ $\text{OF } \langle xs \in \neg this \rangle$ ]
have [ $x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S \rangle \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x) \otimes\otimes \text{ttree-restr } S (f x))$ ] by (simp add: ttree-restr-both)
hence [ $x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S \rangle \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x))$  by (simp add: ttree-restr-is-empty[OF Cons.prems(2)])
with possible  $t x$ 
show [ $x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S \rangle \in \text{paths } (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$ 
  by (cases  $x \in S$ ) (auto simp add: Cons-path ttree-restr-possible dest: set-mp[OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset2] set-mp[OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset])
qed

```

```

thus  $xs \in paths(ttree-restr S t)$  by simp
next
fix xs
assume  $xs \in paths(ttree-restr S t)$ 
then obtain  $xs'$  where [simp]: $xs = filter(\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs'$  and  $xs' \in paths t$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2)
have  $xs' \in paths(substitute f T t)$  by (rule set-mp[OF substitute-contains-arg])
thus  $xs \in paths(ttree-restr S (substitute f T t))$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
qed

```

An alternative characterization of substitution

```

inductive substitute'' :: ('a ⇒ 'a ttree) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where
  substitute''-Nil:  $substitute'' f T [] []$ 
  | substitute''-Cons:
     $zs \in paths(f x) \Rightarrow xs' \in interleave xs zs \Rightarrow substitute''(f-nxt f T x) T xs' ys$ 
     $\Rightarrow substitute'' f T (x\#xs) (x\#ys)$ 
inductive-cases substitute''-NilE[elim]:  $substitute'' f T xs [] \ substitute'' f T [] xs$ 
inductive-cases substitute''-ConsE[elim]:  $substitute'' f T (x\#xs) ys$ 

```

```

lemma substitute-substitute'':
   $xs \in paths(substitute f T t) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs' \in paths t. substitute'' f T xs' xs)$ 
proof
  assume  $xs \in paths(substitute f T t)$ 
  thus  $\exists xs' \in paths t. substitute'' f T xs' xs$ 
  proof(induction xs arbitrary: f t)
    case Nil
    have  $substitute'' f T [] []$ ..
    thus ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons x xs f t)
    from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths (substitute f T t)⟩
    have possible t x and  $xs \in paths(substitute(f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x \otimes\otimes f x))$  by (auto simp
      add: Cons-path)
    from Cons.IH[OF this(2)]
    obtain xs' where  $xs' \in paths(nxt t x \otimes\otimes f x)$  and  $substitute''(f-nxt f T x) T xs' xs$  by
      auto
    from this(1)
    obtain ys' zs' where  $ys' \in paths(nxt t x)$  and  $zs' \in paths(f x)$  and  $xs' \in interleave ys' zs'$ 
      by (auto simp add: paths-both)

    from this(2,3) ⟨substitute''(f-nxt f T x) T xs' xs⟩
    have  $substitute'' f T (x \# ys') (x \# xs)$ ..
    moreover
    from ⟨ys' ∈ paths(nxt t x)⟩ ⟨possible t x⟩
    have  $x \# ys' \in paths t$  by (simp add: Cons-path)
    ultimately

```

```

show ?case by auto
qed
next
assume  $\exists xs' \in paths t. substitute'' f T xs' xs$ 
then obtain xs' where  $substitute'' f T xs' xs$  and  $xs' \in paths t$  by auto
thus  $xs \in paths (substitute f T t)$ 
proof(induction arbitrary: t rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons zs x xs' ys t)
from Cons.preds Cons.hyps
show ?case by (force simp add: Cons-path paths-both intro!: Cons.IH)
qed
qed

lemma paths-substitute-substitute'':
paths (substitute f T t) =  $\bigcup ((\lambda xs . Collect (substitute'' f T xs)) ` paths t)$ 
by (auto simp add: substitute-substitute'')

lemma ttree-rest-substitute2:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. carrier (f x) \subseteq S$ 
assumes const-on f ( $-S$ ) empty
shows ttree-restr S (substitute f T t) = substitute f T (ttree-restr S t)
proof(rule paths-inj, rule set-eqI, rule iffI)
fix xs
assume xs  $\in paths (ttree-restr S (substitute f T t))$ 
then
obtain xs' where [simp]:  $xs = filter (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs'$  and  $xs' \in paths (substitute f T t)$ 
by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
from this(2) assms
have filter ( $\lambda x'. x' \in S$ ) xs'  $\in paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t))$ 
proof (induction f T t xs' rule: substitute-induct)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons f T x xs)
from Cons.preds(1)
have possible t x and xs  $\in paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x \otimes\otimes f x))$  by auto
note this(2)
moreover
from Cons.preds(2)
have  $\bigwedge x'. carrier (f-nxt f T x x') \subseteq S$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
moreover
from Cons.preds(3)
have const-on (f-nxt f T x) ( $-S$ ) empty by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
ultimately
have [ $x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S$ ]  $\in paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (ttree-restr S (nxt t x \otimes\otimes f x)))$ 
by (rule Cons.IH)
hence *: [ $x' \leftarrow xs . x' \in S$ ]  $\in paths (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (ttree-restr S (nxt t x \otimes\otimes f x)))$  by (simp add: ttree-restr-both)

```

```

show ?case
proof (cases x ∈ S)
  case True
    show ?thesis
      using ⟨possible t x⟩ Cons.prem(3) * True
      by (auto simp add: ttree-restr-both ttree-restr-noop[OF Cons.prem(2)] intro: ttree-restr-possible
          dest: set-mp[OF substitute-mono2[OF both-mono1[OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset]]])
  next
    case False
      with ⟨const-on f (– S) TTree.empty⟩ have [simp]: f x = empty by auto
      hence [simp]: f-nxt f T x = f by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
      show ?thesis
        using * False
        by (auto dest: set-mp[OF substitute-mono2[OF ttree-restr-nxt-subset2]])
    qed
  qed
  thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t)) by simp
next
fix xs
assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t))
then obtain xs' where xs' ∈ paths t and substitute'' f T (filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs') xs
  unfolding substitute-substitute''
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])

from this(2) assms
have ∃ xs''. xs = filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs'' ∧ substitute'' f T xs' xs''
  proof(induction (xs',xs) arbitrary: f xs' xs rule: measure-induct-rule[where f = λ (xs,ys). length(filter (λ x'. x' ∉ S) xs) + length ys)])
    case (less xs ys)
      note ⟨substitute'' f T [x' ← xs . x' ∈ S] ys⟩

      show ?case
      proof(cases xs)
        case Nil with less.prem have ys = [] by auto
        thus ?thesis using Nil by (auto, metis filter.simps(1) substitute''-Nil)
      next
        case (Cons x xs')
          show ?thesis
          proof (cases x ∈ S)
            case True with Cons less.prem
              have substitute'' f T (x# [x' ← xs' . x' ∈ S]) ys by simp
              from substitute''-Conse[OF this]
              obtain zs xs'' ys' where ys = x # ys' and zs ∈ paths (fx) and xs'' ∈ interleave [x' ← xs'
                . x' ∈ S] zs and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'' ys'.
                from ⟨zs ∈ paths (fx)⟩ less.prem(2)
                have set zs ⊆ S by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
                hence [simp]: [x' ← zs . x' ∈ S] = zs [x' ← zs . x' ∉ S] = []
                  by (metis UncI Un-subset-iff eq-iff filter-True,
                      metis ⟨set zs ⊆ S⟩ filter-False insert-absorb insert-subset)
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  thus xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t)) by simp
next
fix xs
assume xs ∈ paths (substitute f T (ttree-restr S t))
then obtain xs' where xs' ∈ paths t and substitute'' f T (filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs') xs
  unfolding substitute-substitute''
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])

```

```

from <xs'' ∈ interleave [x'←xs'. x' ∈ S] zs>
have xs'' ∈ interleave [x'←xs'. x' ∈ S] [x'←zs . x' ∈ S] by simp
then obtain xs''' where xs'' = [x'←xs'''. x' ∈ S] and xs''' ∈ interleave xs' zs by (rule
interleave-filter)

from <xs''' ∈ interleave xs' zs>
have l: ⋀ P. length (filter P xs''') = length (filter P xs') + length (filter P zs)
by (induction) auto

from <substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs'' ys'> <xs'' = ->
have substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T [x'←xs'''. x' ∈ S] ys' by simp
moreover
from less.prems(2)
have ⋀xa. carrier (f-nxt f T x xa) ⊆ S
by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
moreover
from less.prems(3)
have const-on (f-nxt f T x) (- S) TTree.empty by (force simp add: f-nxt-def)
ultimately
have ∃ ys''. ys' = [x'←ys''. x' ∈ S] ∧ substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs''' ys''
by (rule less.hyps[rotated])
(auto simp add: <ys = -> <x ∈ S> <xs'' = ->[symmetric] l)[1]
then obtain ys'' where ys' = [x'←ys''. x' ∈ S] and substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs''' ys''
ys'' by blast
hence ys = [x'←x#ys''. x' ∈ S] using <x ∈ S> <ys = -> by simp
moreover
from <zs ∈ paths (f x)> <xs''' ∈ interleave xs' zs> <substitute'' (f-nxt f T x) T xs''' ys''>
have substitute'' f T (x#xs') (x#ys'')
by rule
ultimately
show ?thesis unfolding Cons by blast
next
case False with Cons less.prems
have substitute'' f T ([x'←xs'. x' ∈ S]) ys by simp
hence ∃ ys'. ys = [x'←ys'. x' ∈ S] ∧ substitute'' f T xs' ys'
by (rule less.hyps[OF -- less.prems(2,3), rotated]) (auto simp add: <xs = -> <x ∈ S> <ys = ->)
then obtain ys' where ys = [x'←ys'. x' ∈ S] and substitute'' f T xs' ys' by auto

from this(1)
have ys = [x'←x#ys'. x' ∈ S] using <x ∈ S> <ys = -> by simp
moreover
have [simp]: f x = empty using <x ∈ S> less.prems(3) by force
hence f-nxt f T x = f by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
with <substitute'' f T xs' ys'>
have substitute'' f T (x#xs') (x#ys')
by (auto intro: substitute''.intros)
ultimately

```

```

show ?thesis unfolding Cons by blast
qed
qed
qed
then obtain xs'' where xs = filter (λ x'. x' ∈ S) xs'' and substitute'' f T xs' xs'' by auto
from this(2) (xs' ∈ paths t)
have xs'' ∈ paths (substitute f T t) by (auto simp add: substitute-substitute'')
with xs = →
show xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S (substitute f T t))
by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric])
qed
end

```

## 85 TTree-HOLCF.tex

```

theory TTree-HOLCF
imports TTree HOLCF-Utils Set-Cpo HOLCF-Join-Classes
begin

instantiation ttree :: (type) below
begin
lift-definition below-ttree :: 'a ttree ⇒ 'a ttree ⇒ bool is op ⊑.
instance..
end

lemma paths-mono: t ⊑ t' ⇒ paths t ⊑ paths t'
by transfer (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma paths-mono-iff: paths t ⊑ paths t' ↔ t ⊑ t'
by transfer (auto simp add: below-set-def)

lemma ttree-belowI: (¬ xs. xs ∈ paths t ⇒ xs ∈ paths t') ⇒ t ⊑ t'
by transfer auto

lemma paths-belowI: (¬ x xs. x # xs ∈ paths t ⇒ x # xs ∈ paths t') ⇒ t ⊑ t'
apply (rule ttree-belowI)
apply (case-tac xs)
apply auto
done

instance ttree :: (type) po
by standard (transfer, simp)+

lemma is-lub-ttree:
S <<| Either S
unfolding is-lub-def is-ub-def
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma lub-is-either: lub S = Either S
  using is-lub-ttree by (rule lub-eqI)

instance ttree :: (type) cpo
  by standard (rule exI, rule is-lub-ttree)

lemma minimal-ttree[simp, intro!]: empty ⊑ S
  by transfer simp

instance ttree :: (type) pcpo
  by standard (rule+)

lemma empty-is-bottom: empty = ⊥
  by (metis below-bottom-iff minimal-ttree)

lemma carrier-bottom[simp]: carrier ⊥ = {}
  unfolding empty-is-bottom[symmetric] by simp

lemma below-anything[simp]:
  t ⊑ anything
  by transfer auto

lemma carrier-mono: t ⊑ t'  $\implies$  carrier t ⊆ carrier t'
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-mono: t ⊑ t'  $\implies$  nxt t x ⊑ nxt t' x
  by transfer auto

lemma either-above-arg1: t ⊑ t  $\oplus\oplus$  t'
  by transfer fastforce

lemma either-above-arg2: t' ⊑ t  $\oplus\oplus$  t'
  by transfer fastforce

lemma either-belowI: t ⊑ t''  $\implies$  t' ⊑ t''  $\implies$  t  $\oplus\oplus$  t' ⊑ t''
  by transfer auto

lemma both-above-arg1: t ⊑ t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-above-arg2: t' ⊑ t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'
  by transfer fastforce

lemma both-mono1':
  t ⊑ t'  $\implies$  t  $\otimes\otimes$  t'' ⊑ t'  $\otimes\otimes$  t''
  using both-mono1[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

lemma both-mono2':

```

$t \sqsubseteq t' \implies t'' \otimes \otimes t \sqsubseteq t'' \otimes \otimes t'$   
**using** both-mono2[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

**lemma** nxt-both-left:  
*possible*  $t x \implies \text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes t' \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes \otimes t') x$   
**by** (auto simp add: nxt-both either-above-arg2)

**lemma** nxt-both-right:  
*possible*  $t' x \implies t \otimes \otimes \text{nxt } t' x \sqsubseteq \text{nxt } (t \otimes \otimes t') x$   
**by** (auto simp add: nxt-both either-above-arg1)

**lemma** substitute-mono1':  $f \sqsubseteq f' \implies \text{substitute } f T t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f' T t$   
**using** substitute-mono1[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff] fun-belowD  
**by** metis

**lemma** substitute-mono2':  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{substitute } f T t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f T t'$   
**using** substitute-mono2[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

**lemma** substitute-above-arg:  $t \sqsubseteq \text{substitute } f T t$   
**using** substitute-contains-arg[folded below-set-def, unfolded paths-mono-iff].

**lemma** ttree-contI:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge S. f (\text{Either } S) = \text{Either } (f ` S)$   
**shows** cont f  
**proof**(rule contI)  
fix  $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ttree}$   
have range  $(\lambda i. f (Y i)) = f ` \text{range } Y$  **by** auto  
also have Either ... =  $f (\text{Either } (\text{range } Y))$  unfolding assms(1)..  
also have Either  $(\text{range } Y) = \text{lub } (\text{range } Y)$  unfolding lub-is-either **by** simp  
finally  
show range  $(\lambda i. f (Y i)) <<| f (\bigsqcup i. Y i)$  **by** (metis is-lub-ttree)  
qed

**lemma** ttree-contI2:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. \text{paths } (f x) = \bigcup (t ` \text{paths } x)$   
**assumes**  $\emptyset \in t \emptyset$   
**shows** cont f  
**proof**(rule contI)  
fix  $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ttree}$   
have paths  $(\text{Either } (\text{range } (\lambda i. f (Y i)))) = \text{insert } \emptyset (\bigcup x. \text{paths } (f (Y x)))$   
**by** (simp add: paths-Either)  
also have ... =  $\text{insert } \emptyset (\bigcup x. \bigcup (t ` \text{paths } (Y x)))$   
**by** (simp add: assms(1))  
also have ... =  $\bigcup (t ` \text{insert } \emptyset (\bigcup x. \text{paths } (Y x)))$   
**using** assms(2) **by** (auto 0 4)  
also have ... =  $\bigcup (t ` \text{paths } (\text{Either } (\text{range } Y)))$   
**by** (auto simp add: paths-Either)

```

also have ... = paths (f (Either (range Y)))
  by (simp add: assms(1))
also have ... = paths (f (lub (range Y))) unfolding lub-is-either by simp
finally
  show range (λi. f (Y i)) <<| f (⊔ i. Y i) by (metis is-lub-ttree paths-inj)
qed

```

```
lemma cont-paths[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
```

```

  cont paths
  apply (rule set-contI)
  apply (thin-tac -)
  unfolding lub-is-either
  apply transfer
  apply auto
done
```

```
lemma ttree-contI3:
```

```

  assumes cont (λ x. paths (f x))
  shows cont f
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (subst paths-mono-iff[symmetric])
  apply (erule cont2monofunE[OF assms])

  apply (subst paths-mono-iff[symmetric])
  apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF cont-paths[OF cont-id]], assumption)
  apply (subst cont2contlubE[OF assms], assumption)
  apply rule
done
```

```
lemma cont-substitute[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
```

```

  cont (substitute f T)
  apply (rule ttree-contI2)
  apply (rule paths-substitute-substitute'')
  apply (auto intro: substitute''.intros)
done
```

```
lemma cont-both1:
```

```

  cont (λ x. both x y)
  apply (rule ttree-contI2[where t = λxs . {zs . ∃ys∈paths y. zs ∈ xs ⊗ ys}])
  apply (rule set-eqI)
  by (auto intro: simp add: paths-both)
```

```
lemma cont-both2:
```

```

  cont (λ x. both y x)
  apply (rule ttree-contI2[where t = λys . {zs . ∃xs∈paths y. zs ∈ xs ⊗ ys}])
```

```

apply (rule set-eqI)
by (auto intro: simp add: paths-both)

lemma cont-both[cont2cont,simp]: cont f  $\Rightarrow$  cont g  $\Rightarrow$  cont ( $\lambda x. f x \otimes\otimes g x$ )
by (rule cont-compose2[OF cont-both1 cont-both2])

lemma cont-intersect1:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } x y$ )
by (rule ttree-contI2 [where t =  $\lambda xs . (\text{if } xs \in \text{paths } y \text{ then } \{xs\} \text{ else } \{\})$ ])
  (auto split: if-splits)

lemma cont-intersect2:
  cont ( $\lambda x. \text{intersect } y x$ )
by (rule ttree-contI2 [where t =  $\lambda xs . (\text{if } xs \in \text{paths } y \text{ then } \{xs\} \text{ else } \{\})$ ])
  (auto split: if-splits)

lemma cont-intersect[cont2cont,simp]: cont f  $\Rightarrow$  cont g  $\Rightarrow$  cont ( $\lambda x. f x \cap\cap g x$ )
by (rule cont-compose2[OF cont-intersect1 cont-intersect2])

lemma cont-without[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (without x)
by (rule ttree-contI2[where t =  $\lambda xs. \{\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \neq x) xs\}$ ])
  (transfer, auto)

lemma paths-many-calls-subset:
   $t \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes\otimes \text{without } x t$ 
by (metis (full-types) below-set-def paths-many-calls-subset paths-mono-iff)

lemma single-below:
   $[x] \in \text{paths } t \Rightarrow \text{single } x \sqsubseteq t$  by transfer auto

lemma cont-ttree-restr[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont,simp]: cont (ttree-restr S)
by (rule ttree-contI2[where t =  $\lambda xs. \{\text{filter } (\lambda x'. x' \in S) xs\}$ ])
  (transfer, auto)

lemmas ttree-restr-mono = cont2monofunE[OF cont-ttree-restr[OF cont-id]]

lemma range-filter[simp]: range (filter P) = {xs. set xs  $\subseteq$  Collect P}
  apply auto
  apply (rule-tac x = x in rev-image-eqI)
  apply simp
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)
  done

lemma ttree-restr-anything-cont[THEN cont-compose, simp, cont2cont]:
  cont ( $\lambda S. \text{ttree-restr } S \text{ anything}$ )
  apply (rule ttree-contI3)
  apply (rule set-contI)

```

```

apply (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] lub-set)
apply (rule finite-subset-chain)
apply auto
done

instance ttree :: (type) Finite-Join-cpo
proof
fix x y :: 'a ttree
show compatible x y
  unfolding compatible-def
  apply (rule exI)
  apply (rule is-lub-ttree)
  done
qed

lemma ttree-join-is-either:
   $t \sqcup t' = t \oplus\oplus t'$ 
proof-
have  $t \oplus\oplus t' = \text{Either } \{t, t'\}$  by transfer auto
thus  $t \sqcup t' = t \oplus\oplus t'$  by (metis lub-is-join is-lub-ttree)
qed

lemma ttree-join-transfer[transfer-rule]: rel-fun (pcr-ttree op =) (rel-fun (pcr-ttree op =) (pcr-ttree op =)) op  $\cup$  op  $\sqcup$ 
proof-
have  $op \sqcup = (op \oplus\oplus :: 'a ttree \Rightarrow 'a ttree \Rightarrow 'a ttree)$  using ttree-join-is-either by blast
thus ?thesis using either.transfer by metis
qed

lemma ttree-restr-join[simp]:
  ttree-restr S (t  $\sqcup$  t') = ttree-restr S t  $\sqcup$  ttree-restr S t'
  by transfer auto

lemma nxt-singles-below-singles:
   $\text{nxt}(\text{singles } S) x \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
  apply auto
  apply transfer
  apply auto
  apply (erule-tac x = xc in ballE)
  apply (erule order-trans[rotated])
  apply (rule length-filter-mono)
  apply simp
  apply simp
done

lemma in-carrier-fup[simp]:
   $x' \in \text{carrier}(\text{fup}\cdot f\cdot u) \longleftrightarrow (\exists u'. u = up\cdot u' \wedge x' \in \text{carrier}(f\cdot u'))$ 
  by (cases u) auto

```

end

## 86 AnalBinds.tex

```
theory AnalBinds
imports Terms HOLCF-Utils Env
begin

locale ExpAnalysis =
  fixes exp :: exp ⇒ 'a::cpo → 'b::pcpo
begin

fun AnalBinds :: heap ⇒ (var ⇒ 'a⊥) → (var ⇒ 'b)
  where AnalBinds [] = (Λ ae. ⊥)
    | AnalBinds ((x,e) # Γ) = (Λ ae. (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := fup · (exp e) · (ae x)))

lemma AnalBinds-Nil-simp[simp]: AnalBinds [] · ae = ⊥ by simp

lemma AnalBinds-Cons[simp]:
  AnalBinds ((x,e) # Γ) · ae = (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := fup · (exp e) · (ae x))
  by simp

lemmas AnalBinds.simps[simp del]

lemma AnalBinds-not-there: x ∉ domA Γ ⇒ (AnalBinds Γ · ae) x = ⊥
  by (induction Γ rule: AnalBinds.induct) auto

lemma AnalBinds-cong:
  assumes ae f ∣‘ domA Γ = ae' f ∣‘ domA Γ
  shows AnalBinds Γ · ae = AnalBinds Γ · ae'
  using env-restr-eqD[OF assms]
  by (induction Γ rule: AnalBinds.induct) (auto split: if-splits)

lemma AnalBinds-lookup: (AnalBinds Γ · ae) x = (case map-of Γ x of Some e ⇒ fup · (exp e) · (ae x) | None ⇒ ⊥)
  by (induction Γ rule: AnalBinds.induct) auto

lemma AnalBinds-delete-bot: ae x = ⊥ ⇒ AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae = AnalBinds Γ · ae
  by (auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split simp add: delete-conv)

lemma AnalBinds-delete-below: AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae ⊑ AnalBinds Γ · ae
  by (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split)

lemma AnalBinds-delete-lookup[simp]: (AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae) x = ⊥
  by (auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split)

lemma AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd: AnalBinds (delete x Γ) · ae = (AnalBinds Γ · ae)(x := ⊥)
  by (auto simp add: AnalBinds-lookup split:option.split)
```

```

lemma edom-AnalBinds: edom (AnalBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ )  $\subseteq$  domA  $\Gamma \cap$  edom ae
  by (induction  $\Gamma$  rule: AnalBinds.induct) (auto simp add: edom-def)

end

end

```

## 87 TTreeAnalysisSig.tex

```

theory TTreeAnalysisSig
imports Arity TTree-HOLCF AnalBinds
begin

locale TTreeAnalysis =
  fixes Texp :: exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  var ttree
begin
  sublocale Texp: ExpAnalysis Texp.
  abbreviation FBinds == Texp.AnalBinds
end

end

```

## 88 CoCallGraph-TTree.tex

```

theory CoCallGraph-TTree
imports CoCallGraph TTree-HOLCF
begin

lemma interleave-ccFromList:
   $xs \in \text{interleave } ys \text{ } zs \implies \text{ccFromList } xs = \text{ccFromList } ys \sqcup \text{ccFromList } zs \sqcup \text{ccProd} (\text{set } ys)$ 
  ( $\text{set } zs$ )
  by (induction rule: interleave-induct)
    (auto simp add: interleave-set ccProd-comm ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
    ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs] )

lift-definition ccApprox :: var ttree  $\Rightarrow$  CoCalls
  is  $\lambda xss . \text{lub} (\text{ccFromList} ` xss).$ 

lemma ccApprox-paths: ccApprox t = lub (ccFromList ` (paths t)) by transfer simp

lemma ccApprox-strict[simp]: ccApprox  $\perp = \perp$ 
  by (simp add: ccApprox-paths empty-is-bottom[symmetric])

lemma in-ccApprox:  $(x - y \in (\text{ccApprox } t)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs \in \text{paths } t. (x - y \in (\text{ccFromList } xs)))$ 
  unfolding ccApprox-paths

```

by transfer auto

**lemma** *ccApprox-mono*:  $\text{paths } t \subseteq \text{paths } t' \implies \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t'$   
by (rule below-CoCallsI) (auto simp add: in-ccApprox)

**lemma** *ccApprox-mono'*:  $t \sqsubseteq t' \implies \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t'$   
by (metis below-set-def ccApprox-mono paths-mono-iff)

**lemma** *ccApprox-belowI*:  $(\bigwedge xs. xs \in \text{paths } t \implies \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq G) \implies \text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq G$   
unfoldings ccApprox-paths  
by transfer auto

**lemma** *ccApprox-below-iff*:  $\text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in \text{paths } t. \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq G)$   
unfoldings ccApprox-paths by transfer auto

**lemma** *cc-restr-ccApprox-below-iff*:  $\text{cc-restr } S (\text{ccApprox } t) \sqsubseteq G \longleftrightarrow (\forall xs \in \text{paths } t. \text{cc-restr } S (\text{ccFromList } xs) \sqsubseteq G)$   
unfoldings ccApprox-paths cc-restr-lub  
by transfer auto

**lemma** *ccFromList-below-ccApprox*:  
 $xs \in \text{paths } t \implies \text{ccFromList } xs \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t$   
by (rule below-CoCallsI)(auto simp add: in-ccApprox)

**lemma** *ccApprox-nxt-below*:  
 $\text{ccApprox} (\text{nxt } t x) \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t$   
by (rule below-CoCallsI)(auto simp add: in-ccApprox paths-nxt-eq elim!: bexI[rotated])

**lemma** *ccApprox-ttree-restr-nxt-below*:  
 $\text{ccApprox} (\text{ttree-restr } S (\text{nxt } t x)) \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox} (\text{ttree-restr } S t)$   
by (rule below-CoCallsI)  
(auto simp add: in-ccApprox filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] paths-nxt-eq elim!: bexI[rotated])

**lemma** *ccApprox-ttree-restr[simp]*:  $\text{ccApprox} (\text{ttree-restr } S t) = \text{cc-restr } S (\text{ccApprox } t)$   
by (rule CoCalls-eqI) (auto simp add: in-ccApprox filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] )

**lemma** *ccApprox-both*:  $\text{ccApprox} (t \otimes \otimes t') = \text{ccApprox } t \sqcup \text{ccApprox } t' \sqcup \text{ccProd} (\text{carrier } t)$   
(carrier t')

**proof** (rule below-antisym)

show  $\text{ccApprox} (t \otimes \otimes t') \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox } t \sqcup \text{ccApprox } t' \sqcup \text{ccProd} (\text{carrier } t)$  (carrier t')  
by (rule below-CoCallsI)

(auto 4 4 simp add: in-ccApprox paths-both Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] interleave-ccFromList)

next

have  $\text{ccApprox } t \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox} (t \otimes \otimes t')$   
by (rule ccApprox-mono[OF both-contains-arg1])

moreover

have  $\text{ccApprox } t' \sqsubseteq \text{ccApprox} (t \otimes \otimes t')$   
by (rule ccApprox-mono[OF both-contains-arg2])

moreover

```

have ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t') ⊑ ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')
proof(rule ccProd-belowI)
  fix x y
  assume x ∈ carrier t and y ∈ carrier t'
  then obtain xs ys where x ∈ set xs and y ∈ set ys
    and xs ∈ paths t and ys ∈ paths t' by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  hence xs @ ys ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t') by (metis paths-both append-interleave)
  moreover
  from ⟨x ∈ set xs⟩ ⟨y ∈ set ys⟩
  have x--y ∈ (ccFromList (xs@ys)) by simp
  ultimately
  show x--y ∈ (ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')) by (auto simp add: in-ccApprox simp del: ccFromList-append)
qed
ultimately
show ccApprox t ⊎ ccApprox t' ⊎ ccProd (carrier t) (carrier t') ⊑ ccApprox (t ⊗⊗ t')
  by (simp add: join-below-if)
qed

lemma ccApprox-many-calls[simp]:
  ccApprox (many-calls x) = ccProd {x} {x}
  by transfer' (rule CoCalls-eqI, auto)

lemma ccApprox-single[simp]:
  ccApprox (TTree.single y) = ⊥
  by transfer' auto

lemma ccApprox-either[simp]: ccApprox (t ⊕⊕ t') = ccApprox t ⊎ ccApprox t'
  by transfer' (rule CoCalls-eqI, auto)

lemma wild-recursion:
assumes ccApprox t ⊑ G
assumes ⋀ x. x ∉ S ⟹ f x = empty
assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ S ⟹ ccApprox (f x) ⊑ G
assumes ⋀ x. x ∈ S ⟹ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G) (carrier (f x)) ⊑ G
shows ccApprox (ttree-restr (−S) (substitute f T t)) ⊑ G
proof(rule ccApprox-belowI)
  fix xs
  def seen ≡ {} :: var set

  assume xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr (−S) (substitute f T t))
  then obtain xs' xs'' where xs = [x ← xs'. x ∉ S] and substitute'' f T xs'' xs' and xs'' ∈ paths t
    by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2[symmetric] substitute-substitute'')
  note this(2)
  moreover
  from ⟨ccApprox t ⊑ G⟩ and ⟨xs'' ∈ paths t⟩

```

```

have ccFromList xs'' ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff)
moreover
note assms(2)
moreover
from assms(3,4)
have ⋀ x ys. x ∈ S ⇒ ys ∈ paths (f x) ⇒ ccFromList ys ⊑ G
  and ⋀ x ys. x ∈ S ⇒ ys ∈ paths (f x) ⇒ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G) (set ys) ⊑ G
    by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] cc-lub-below-iff)
moreover
have ccProd seen (set xs'') ⊑ G unfolding seen-def by simp
ultimately
have ccFromList [x←xs'. x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set xs') ⊑ G
proof(induction f T xs'' xs' arbitrary: seen rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons zs f x xs' xs T ys)

have seen-x: ccProd seen {x} ⊑ G
  using ⟨ccProd seen (set (x # xs)) ⊑ G⟩
  by (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff)

show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ S)
  case True

    from ⟨ccFromList (x # xs) ⊑ G⟩
    have ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊑ G by (auto simp add: join-below-iff)
    hence subset1: set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by transfer auto

    from ⟨ccProd seen (set (x # xs)) ⊑ G⟩
    have subset2: seen ⊆ ccNeighbors x G
      by (auto simp add: subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff ccProd-comm)

    from subset1 and subset2
    have seen ∪ set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by auto
    hence ccProd (seen ∪ set xs) (set zs) ⊑ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G) (set zs)
      by (rule ccProd-mono1)
also
from ⟨x ∈ S⟩ ⟨zs ∈ paths (f x)⟩
have ... ⊑ G
  by (rule Cons.preds(4))
finally
have ccProd (seen ∪ set xs) (set zs) ⊑ G by this simp

with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ Cons.preds Cons.hyps
have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set ys) ⊑ G
  apply -

```

```

apply (rule Cons.IH)
  apply (auto simp add: f-nxt-def join-below-iff interleave-ccFromList interleave-set
ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
    split: if-splits)
  done
  with `x ∈ S` seen-x
  show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd seen (set (x#ys)) ⊑ G
    by (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff)
next
  case False

  from False Cons.preds Cons.hyps
  have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd ((insert x seen)) (set ys) ⊑ G
    apply -
    apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen = insert x seen])
    apply (auto simp add: ccApprox-both join-below-iff ttree-restr-both interleave-ccFromList
insert-Diff-if
      simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
      simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
    done
  moreover
  from False this
  have ccProd {x} (set ys) ⊑ G
    by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen] join-below-iff)
  hence ccProd {x} {x ∈ set ys. x ∉ S} ⊑ G
    by (rule below-trans[rotated, OF - ccProd-mono2]) auto
  moreover
  note False seen-x
  ultimately
  show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set (x # ys)) ⊑ G
    by (auto simp add: join-below-iff simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' =
set xs for xs] ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
  qed
  qed
  with `xs = -`
  show ccFromList xs ⊑ G by simp
qed

lemma wild-recursion-thunked:
  assumes ccApprox t ⊑ G
  assumes `x. x ∉ S ==> f x = empty`
  assumes `x. x ∈ S ==> ccApprox (f x) ⊑ G`
  assumes `x. x ∈ S ==> ccProd (ccNeighbors x G - {x} ∩ T) (carrier (f x)) ⊑ G`
  shows ccApprox (ttree-restr (-S) (substitute f T t)) ⊑ G
proof(rule ccApprox-belowI)
  fix xs

  def seen ≡ {} :: var set
  def seen-T ≡ {} :: var set

```

```

assume xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr (– S) (substitute f T t))
then obtain xs' xs'' where xs = [x←xs'. x ∉ S] and substitute'' f T xs'' xs' and xs'' ∈
paths t
by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2[symmetric] substitute-substitute'')
note this(2)
moreover
from ccApprox t ⊑ G and xs'' ∈ paths t
have ccFromList xs'' ⊑ G
by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff)
hence ccFromList xs'' G|‘ (– seen-T) ⊑ G
by (rule rev-below-trans[OF - cc-restr-below-arg])
moreover
note assms(2)
moreover
from assms(3,4)
have ⋀ x ys. x ∈ S ⇒ ys ∈ paths (f x) ⇒ ccFromList ys ⊑ G
and ⋀ x ys. x ∈ S ⇒ ys ∈ paths (f x) ⇒ ccProd (ccNeighbors x G – {x} ∩ T) (set ys)
⊑ G
by (auto simp add: ccApprox-below-iff seen-T-def Union-paths-carrier[symmetric] cc-lub-below-iff)
moreover
have ccProd seen (set xs'' – seen-T) ⊑ G unfolding seen-def seen-T-def by simp
moreover
have seen ∩ S = {} unfolding seen-def by simp
moreover
have seen-T ⊆ S unfolding seen-T-def by simp
moreover
have ⋀ x. x ∈ seen-T ⇒ f x = empty unfolding seen-T-def by simp
ultimately
have ccFromList [x←xs'. x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set xs' – seen-T) ⊑ G
proof(induction f T xs'' xs' arbitrary: seen seen-T rule: substitute''.induct[case-names Nil
Cons])
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons zs f x xs' xs T ys)

let ?seen-T = if x ∈ T then insert x seen-T else seen-T
have subset: – insert x seen-T ⊆ – seen-T by auto
have subset2: set xs ∩ – insert x seen-T ⊆ insert x (set xs) ∩ – seen-T by auto
have subset3: set zs ∩ – insert x seen-T ⊆ set zs by auto
have subset4: set xs ∩ – seen-T ⊆ insert x (set xs) ∩ – seen-T by auto
have subset5: set zs ∩ – seen-T ⊆ set zs by auto
have subset6: set ys – seen-T ⊆ (set ys – ?seen-T) ∪ {x} by auto

show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ seen-T)
assume x ∈ seen-T

```

```

have [simp]:  $f x = \text{empty}$  using  $\langle x \in \text{seen-}T \rangle$  Cons.prem by auto
have [simp]:  $f\text{-nxt } f T x = f$  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def split;if-splits)
have [simp]:  $zs = []$  using  $\langle zs \in \text{paths } (f x) \rangle$  by simp
have [simp]:  $xs' = xs$  using  $\langle xs' \in xs \otimes zs \rangle$  by simp
have [simp]:  $x \in S$  using  $\langle x \in \text{seen-}T \rangle$  Cons.prem by auto

from Cons.hyps Cons.prem
have ccFromList [ $x \leftarrow ys . x \notin S$ ]  $\sqsubseteq G \wedge \text{ccProd seen } (\text{set } ys - \text{seen-}T) \sqsubseteq G$ 
  apply -
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen-T = seen-T])
  apply (auto simp add: join-below-iff Diff-eq)
  apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset4]])
  done
thus ?thesis using  $\langle x \in \text{seen-}T \rangle$  by simp
next
  assume  $x \notin \text{seen-}T$ 

  have seen-x:  $\text{ccProd seen } \{x\} \sqsubseteq G$ 
    using  $\langle \text{ccProd seen } (\text{set } (x \# xs) - \text{seen-}T) \sqsubseteq G \rangle$   $\langle x \notin \text{seen-}T \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs - seen-T for xs]
      join-below-iff)

  show ?case
  proof(cases x ∈ S)
    case True

      from cc-restr (- seen-T) (ccFromList (x # xs))  $\sqsubseteq G$ 
      have ccProd {x} (set xs - seen-T)  $\sqsubseteq G$  using  $\langle x \notin \text{seen-}T \rangle$  by (auto simp add:
        join-below-iff Diff-eq)
      hence set xs - seen-T  $\subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G$  by transfer auto
      moreover

      from seen-x
      have seen  $\subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G$  by (simp add: subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm)
      moreover
      have  $x \notin \text{seen}$  using True  $\langle \text{seen} \cap S = \{\} \rangle$  by auto

      ultimately
      have seen  $\cup (\text{set } xs \cap - \text{?seen-}T) \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G - \{x\} \cap T$  by auto
      hence ccProd (seen  $\cup (\text{set } xs \cap - \text{?seen-}T)$ ) (set zs)  $\sqsubseteq \text{ccProd } (\text{ccNeighbors } x G - \{x\} \cap T) (set zs)$ 
        by (rule ccProd-mono1)
      also
      from  $\langle x \in S \rangle$   $\langle zs \in \text{paths } (f x) \rangle$ 
      have ...  $\sqsubseteq G$ 
        by (rule Cons.prem(4))
      finally
      have ccProd (seen  $\cup (\text{set } xs \cap - \text{?seen-}T)$ ) (set zs)  $\sqsubseteq G$  by this simp
    
```

```

with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ Cons.preds Cons.hyps(1,2)
have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set ys – ?seen-T) ⊑ G
  apply –
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen-T = ?seen-T])
    apply (auto simp add: Un-Diff Int-Un-distrib2 Diff-eq f-nxt-def join-below-iff
interleave-ccFromList interleave-set ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs for xs]
      split: if-splits)
    apply (erule below-trans[OF cc-restr-mono1[OF subset]]))
    apply (rule below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg], simp)
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl Int-lower1]]))
    apply (rule below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg], simp)
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl Int-lower1]]))
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset2]]))
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset3]]))
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset4]]))
    apply (erule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset5]]))
  done
with ⟨x ∈ S⟩ seen-x ⟨x ∉ seen-T⟩
show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd seen (set (x#ys) – seen-T) ⊑ G

  apply (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' = set ys – seen-T for
xs] join-below-iff)
    apply (rule below-trans[OF ccProd-mono[OF order-refl subset6]]))
    apply (subst ccProd-union2)
    apply (auto simp add: join-below-iff)
  done
next
case False

from False Cons.preds Cons.hyps
have ccFromList [x←ys . x ∉ S] ⊑ G ∧ ccProd ((insert x seen)) (set ys – seen-T) ⊑
G
  apply –
  apply (rule Cons.IH[where seen = insert x seen and seen-T = seen-T])
    apply (auto simp add: ⟨x ∉ seen-T⟩ Diff-eq ccApprox-both join-below-iff ttree-restr-both
interleave-ccFromList insert-Diff-if
      simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = set xs ∩ – seen-T for xs]
      simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
  done
moreover
{
from False this
have ccProd {x} (set ys – seen-T) ⊑ G
  by (auto simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen] join-below-iff)
hence ccProd {x} {x ∈ set ys – seen-T. x ∉ S} ⊑ G
  by (rule below-trans[rotated, OF - ccProd-mono2]) auto
also have {x ∈ set ys – seen-T. x ∉ S} = {x ∈ set ys. x ∉ S}
  using ⟨seen-T ⊆ S⟩ by auto
finally

```

```

have ccProd {x} {x ∈ set ys. x ∉ S} ⊆ G.
}
moreover
note False seen-x
ultimately
show ccFromList [x←x # ys . x ∉ S] ⊆ G ∧ ccProd (seen) (set (x # ys) − seen-T) ⊆
G
by (auto simp add: join-below-iff simp add: insert-Diff-if ccProd-insert2[where S' =
set ys − seen-T for xs] ccProd-insert1[where S' = seen])
qed
qed
qed
with ⟨xs = ⟩
show ccFromList xs ⊆ G by simp
qed

```

```

inductive-set valid-lists :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var list set
for S G
where [] ∈ valid-lists S G
| set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G ⇒ xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ x ∈ S ⇒ x#xs ∈ valid-lists S G

```

```

inductive-simps valid-lists-simps[simp]: [] ∈ valid-lists S G (x#xs) ∈ valid-lists S G
inductive-cases vald-lists-ConsE: (x#xs) ∈ valid-lists S G

```

```

lemma valid-lists-downset-aux:
xs ∈ valid-lists S CoCalls ⇒ butlast xs ∈ valid-lists S CoCalls
by (induction xs) (auto dest: in-set-butlastD)

```

```

lemma valid-lists-subset: xs ∈ valid-lists S G ⇒ set xs ⊆ S
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) auto

```

```

lemma valid-lists-mono1:
assumes S ⊆ S'
shows valid-lists S G ⊆ valid-lists S' G
proof
fix xs
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G
thus xs ∈ valid-lists S' G
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) (auto dest: set-mp[OF assms])
qed

```

```

lemma valid-lists-chain1:
assumes chain Y
assumes xs ∈ valid-lists (UNION UNIV Y) G
shows ∃ i. xs ∈ valid-lists (Y i) G
proof-
note ⟨chain Y⟩
moreover

```

```

from assms(2)
have set xs ⊆ UNION UNIV Y by (rule valid-lists-subset)
moreover
have finite (set xs) by simp
ultimately
have ∃ i. set xs ⊆ Y i by (rule finite-subset-chain)
then obtain i where set xs ⊆ Y i..

from assms(2) this
have xs ∈ valid-lists (Y i) G by (induction rule:valid-lists.induct) auto
thus ?thesis..
qed

lemma valid-lists-chain2:
assumes chain Y
assumes xs ∈ valid-lists S (⊔ i. Y i)
shows ∃ i. xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y i)
using assms(2)
proof(induction rule:valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)

from ⟨chain Y⟩
have chain (λ i. ccNeighbors x (Y i))
  apply (rule ch2ch-monofun[OF monofunI, rotated])
  unfolding below-set-def
  by (rule ccNeighbors-mono)
moreover
from ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (⊔ i. Y i)⟩
have set xs ⊆ (⊔ i. ccNeighbors x (Y i))
  by (simp add: lub-set)
moreover
have finite (set xs) by simp
ultimately
have ∃ i. set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (Y i) by (rule finite-subset-chain)
then obtain i where i: set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (Y i)..
```

from Cons.IH  
obtain j where j: xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y j)..

from i  
have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (Y (max i j))  
by (rule order-trans[OF - ccNeighbors-mono[OF chain-mono[OF ⟨chain Y⟩ max.cobounded1]]])  
moreover  
from j  
have xs ∈ valid-lists S (Y (max i j))  
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct)  
(auto del: subsetI elim: order-trans[OF - ccNeighbors-mono[OF chain-mono[OF ⟨chain Y⟩

```

max.cobounded2]]])
moreover
note  $\langle x \in S \rangle$ 
ultimately
have  $x \# xs \in \text{valid-lists } S (Y (\max i j))$  by rule
thus ?case..
qed

lemma valid-lists-cc-restr:  $\text{valid-lists } S G = \text{valid-lists } S (\text{cc-restr } S G)$ 
proof(rule set-eqI)
fix xs
show  $(xs \in \text{valid-lists } S G) = (xs \in \text{valid-lists } S (\text{cc-restr } S G))$ 
by (induction xs) (auto dest: set-mp[OF valid-lists-subset])
qed

lemma interleave-valid-list:
 $xs \in ys \otimes zs \implies ys \in \text{valid-lists } S G \implies zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G' \implies xs \in \text{valid-lists } (S \cup S')$ 
 $(G \sqcup (G' \sqcup \text{ccProd } S S'))$ 
proof (induction rule:interleave-induct)
case Nil
show ?case by simp
next
case (left ys zs xs x)
from  $\langle x \# ys \in \text{valid-lists } S G \rangle$ 
have  $x \in S$  and  $\text{set } ys \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G$  and  $ys \in \text{valid-lists } S G$ 
by auto

from  $\langle xs \in ys \otimes zs \rangle$ 
have  $\text{set } xs = \text{set } ys \cup \text{set } zs$  by (rule interleave-set)
with  $\langle \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G \rangle$  valid-lists-subset[OF  $\langle zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G' \rangle$ ]
have  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x (G \sqcup (G' \sqcup \text{ccProd } S S'))$ 
by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd  $\langle x \in S \rangle$ )
moreover
from  $\langle ys \in \text{valid-lists } S G \rangle \langle zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G' \rangle$ 
have  $xs \in \text{valid-lists } (S \cup S') (G \sqcup (G' \sqcup \text{ccProd } S S'))$ 
by (rule left.IH)
moreover
from  $\langle x \in S \rangle$ 
have  $x \in S \cup S'$  by simp
ultimately
show ?case..
next
case (right ys zs xs x)

from  $\langle x \# zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G' \rangle$ 
have  $x \in S'$  and  $\text{set } zs \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x G'$  and  $zs \in \text{valid-lists } S' G'$ 
by auto

```

```

from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
with ⟨set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩ valid-lists-subset[OF ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩]
have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x (G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
  by (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd ⟨x ∈ S'⟩)
moreover
from ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩ ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G'⟩
have xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') (G ∪ (G' ∪ ccProd S S'))
  by (rule right.IH)
moreover
from ⟨x ∈ S'⟩
have x ∈ S ∪ S' by simp
ultimately
show ?case..
qed

lemma interleave-valid-list':
  xs ∈ valid-lists (S ∪ S') G ⟹ ∃ ys zs. xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ∧ ys ∈ valid-lists S G ∧ zs ∈ valid-lists S' G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)
  then obtain ys zs where xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs ys ∈ valid-lists S G zs ∈ valid-lists S' G by auto

    from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩ have set xs = set ys ∪ set zs by (rule interleave-set)
    with ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩
    have set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G and set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G by auto

    from ⟨x ∈ S ∪ S'⟩
    show ?case
    proof
      assume x ∈ S
      with ⟨set ys ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩ ⟨ys ∈ valid-lists S G⟩
      have x # ys ∈ valid-lists S G
        by rule
      moreover
      from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
      have x#xs ∈ x#ys ⊗ zs..
      ultimately
      show ?thesis using ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G⟩ by blast
    next
      assume x ∈ S'
      with ⟨set zs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩ ⟨zs ∈ valid-lists S' G⟩
      have x # zs ∈ valid-lists S' G
        by rule
      moreover
      from ⟨xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs⟩
      have x#xs ∈ ys ⊗ x#zs..

```

```

ultimately
show ?thesis using `ys ∈ valid-lists S G` by blast
qed
qed

lemma many-calls-valid-list:
  xs ∈ valid-lists {x} (ccProd {x} {x}) ==> xs ∈ range (λn. replicate n x)
by (induction rule: valid-lists.induct) (auto, metis UNIV-I image-iff replicate-Suc)

lemma filter-valid-lists:
  xs ∈ valid-lists S G ==> filter P xs ∈ valid-lists {a ∈ S. P a} G
by (induction rule:valid-lists.induct) auto

lift-definition ccTTree :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var ttree is λ S G. valid-lists S G
by (auto intro: valid-lists-downset-aux)

lemma paths-ccTTree[simp]: paths (ccTTree S G) = valid-lists S G by transfer auto

lemma carrier-ccTTree[simp]: carrier (ccTTree S G) = S
  apply transfer
  apply (auto dest: valid-lists-subset)
  apply (rule-tac x = [x] in bexI)
  apply auto
done

lemma valid-lists-ccFromList:
  xs ∈ valid-lists S G ==> ccFromList xs ⊑ cc-restr S G
by (induction rule:valid-lists.induct)
  (auto simp add: join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors ccProd-below-cc-restr elim: set-mp[OF valid-lists-subset])

lemma ccApprox-ccTTree[simp]: ccApprox (ccTTree S G) = cc-restr S G
proof (transfer' fixing: S G, rule below-antisym)
  show lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G) ⊑ cc-restr S G
    apply (rule is-lub-theLub-ex)
    apply (metis coCallsLub-is-lub)
    apply (rule is-ubI)
    apply clarify
    apply (erule valid-lists-ccFromList)
  done
next
  show cc-restr S G ⊑ lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G)
  proof (rule below-CoCallsI)
    fix x y
    have x--y ∈ (ccFromList [y,x]) by simp
    moreover
    assume x--y ∈ (cc-restr S G)
    hence [y,x] ∈ valid-lists S G by (auto simp add: elem-ccNeighbors)
    ultimately
    show x--y ∈ (lub (ccFromList ` valid-lists S G))
  
```

```

    by (rule in-CoCallsLubI[OF - imageI])
qed
qed

lemma below-ccTTreeI:
assumes carrier t ⊆ S and ccApprox t ⊑ G
shows t ⊑ ccTTree S G
unfolding paths-mono-iff[symmetric] below-set-def
proof
fix xs
assume xs ∈ paths t
with assms
have xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof(induction xs arbitrary : t)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons x xs)
from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths t⟩
have possible t x and xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) by (auto simp add: Cons-path)

have ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊑ ccFromList (x # xs) by simp
also
from ⟨x # xs ∈ paths t⟩
have ... ⊑ ccApprox t
by (rule ccFromList-below-ccApprox)
also
note ⟨ccApprox t ⊑ G⟩
finally
have ccProd {x} (set xs) ⊑ G by this simp-all
hence set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G unfolding subset-ccNeighbors.
moreover
have xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof(rule Cons.IH)
show xs ∈ paths (nxt t x) by fact
next
from ⟨carrier t ⊆ S⟩
show carrier (nxt t x) ⊆ S
by (rule order-trans[OF carrier-nxt-subset])
next
from ⟨ccApprox t ⊑ G⟩
show ccApprox (nxt t x) ⊑ G
by (rule below-trans[OF ccApprox-nxt-below])
qed
moreover
from ⟨carrier t ⊆ S⟩ and ⟨possible t x⟩
have x ∈ S by (rule carrier-possible-subset)
ultimately
show ?case..
qed

```

```

thus  $xs \in paths(ccTTree S G)$  by (metis paths-ccTTree)
qed

lemma ccTTree-mono1:
   $S \subseteq S' \implies ccTTree S G \sqsubseteq ccTTree S' G$ 
  by (rule below-ccTTreeI) (auto simp add: cc-restr-below-arg)

lemma cont-ccTTree1:
  cont ( $\lambda S. ccTTree S G$ )
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (erule ccTTree-mono1 [folded below-set-def])

  apply (rule ttree-belowI)
  apply (simp add: paths-Either lub-set lub-is-either)
  apply (drule (1) valid-lists-chain1 [rotated])
  apply simp
  done

lemma ccTTree-mono2:
   $G \sqsubseteq G' \implies ccTTree S G \sqsubseteq ccTTree S G'$ 
  apply (rule ttree-belowI)
  apply simp
  apply (induct-tac rule:valid-lists.induct) apply assumption
  apply simp
  apply simp
  apply (erule (1) order-trans[OF ccNeighbors-mono])
  done

lemma ccTTree-mono:
   $S \subseteq S' \implies G \sqsubseteq G' \implies ccTTree S G \sqsubseteq ccTTree S' G'$ 
  by (metis below-trans[OF ccTTree-mono1 ccTTree-mono2])

lemma cont-ccTTree2:
  cont (ccTTree S)
  apply (rule contI2)
  apply (rule monofunI)
  apply (erule ccTTree-mono2)

  apply (rule ttree-belowI)
  apply (simp add: paths-Either lub-set lub-is-either)
  apply (drule (1) valid-lists-chain2)
  apply simp
  done

lemmas cont-ccTTree = cont-compose2[where c = ccTTree, OF cont-ccTTree1 cont-ccTTree2,
simp, cont2cont]

```

```

lemma ccTTree-below-singleI:
  assumes S ∩ S' = {}
  shows ccTTree S G ⊑ singles S'
proof-
{
fix xs x
assume xs ∈ valid-lists S G and x ∈ S'
from this assms
have length [x' ← xs . x' = x] ≤ Suc 0
by(induction rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons]) auto
}
thus ?thesis by transfer auto
qed

lemma ccTTree-cc-restr: ccTTree S G = ccTTree S (cc-restr S G)
  by transfer' (rule valid-lists-cc-restr)

lemma ccTTree-cong-below: cc-restr S G ⊑ cc-restr S G' ⟹ ccTTree S G ⊑ ccTTree S G'
  by (metis ccTTree-mono2 ccTTree-cc-restr)

lemma ccTTree-cong: cc-restr S G = cc-restr S G' ⟹ ccTTree S G = ccTTree S G'
  by (metis ccTTree-cc-restr)

lemma either-ccTTree:
  ccTTree S G ⊕⊕ ccTTree S' G' ⊑ ccTTree (S ∪ S') (G ∪ G')
  by (auto intro!: either-belowI ccTTree-mono)

lemma interleave-ccTTree:
  ccTTree S G ⊗⊗ ccTTree S' G' ⊑ ccTTree (S ∪ S') (G ∪ G' ∪ ccProd S S')
  by transfer' (auto, erule (2) interleave-valid-list)

lemma interleave-ccTTree':
  ccTTree (S ∪ S') G ⊑ ccTTree S G ⊗⊗ ccTTree S' G
  by transfer' (auto dest!: interleave-valid-list')

lemma many-calls-ccTTree:
  shows many-calls x = ccTTree {x} (ccProd {x} {x})
  apply(transfer')
  apply (auto intro: many-calls-valid-list)
  apply (induct-tac n)
  apply (auto simp add: ccNeighbors-ccProd)
done

lemma filter-valid-lists':
  xs ∈ valid-lists {x' ∈ S. P x'} G ⟹ xs ∈ filter P ` valid-lists S G
proof (induction xs )

```

```

case Nil thus ?case by auto (metis filter.simps(1) image-iff valid-lists-simps(1))
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  from Cons.prem
  have set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G and xs ∈ valid-lists {x' ∈ S. P x'} G and x ∈ S and P x by
  auto

  from this(2) have set xs ⊆ {x' ∈ S. P x'} by (rule valid-lists-subset)
  hence ∀ x ∈ set xs. P x by auto
  hence [simp]: filter P xs = xs by (rule filter-True)

  from Cons.IH[OF ⟨xs ∈ -⟩]
  have xs ∈ filter P ‘valid-lists S G.

  from ⟨xs ∈ valid-lists {x' ∈ S. P x'} G⟩
  have xs ∈ valid-lists S G by (rule set-mp[OF valid-lists-mono1, rotated]) auto

  from ⟨set xs ⊆ ccNeighbors x G⟩ this ⟨x ∈ S⟩
  have x # xs ∈ valid-lists S G by rule

  hence filter P (x # xs) ∈ filter P ‘valid-lists S G by (rule imageI)
  thus ?case using ⟨P x⟩ ⟨filter P xs =xs⟩ by simp
qed

lemma without-ccTTree[simp]:
  without x (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S - {x}) G
  by (transfer' fixing: x) (auto dest: filter-valid-lists' filter-valid-lists[where P = (λ x'. x' ≠ x)]
  simp add: set-diff-eq)

lemma ttree-restr-ccTTree[simp]:
  ttree-restr S' (ccTTree S G) = ccTTree (S ∩ S') G
  by (transfer' fixing: S') (auto dest: filter-valid-lists' filter-valid-lists[where P = (λ x'. x' ∈ S')]) simp add:Int-def)

lemma repeatable-ccTTree-ccSquare: S ⊆ S' ⇒ repeatable (ccTTree S (ccSquare S'))
  unfolding repeatable-def
  by transfer (auto simp add:ccNeighbors-ccSquare dest: set-mp[OF valid-lists-subset])

```

An alternative definition

```

inductive valid-lists' :: var set ⇒ CoCalls ⇒ var set ⇒ var list ⇒ bool
  for S G
  where valid-lists' S G prefix []
  | prefix ⊆ ccNeighbors x G ⇒ valid-lists' S G (insert x prefix) xs ⇒ x ∈ S ⇒ valid-lists'
  S G prefix (x#xs)

inductive-simps valid-lists'-simps[simp]: valid-lists' S G prefix [] valid-lists' S G prefix (x#xs)
inductive-cases vald-lists'-Conse: valid-lists' S G prefix (x#xs)

lemma valid-lists-valid-lists':

```

```

 $xs \in \text{valid-lists } S G \implies \text{ccProd prefix} (\text{set } xs) \sqsubseteq G \implies \text{valid-lists}' S G \text{ prefix } xs$ 
proof(induction arbitrary: prefix rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)

    from Cons.psms Cons.hyps Cons.IH[where prefix = insert x prefix]
    show ?case
      by (auto simp add: insert-is-Un[where A = set xs] insert-is-Un[where A = prefix]
           join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors elem-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm simp del:
           Un-insert-left)
    qed

lemma valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux:
  valid-lists' S G prefix xs  $\implies$  x  $\in$  prefix  $\implies$  ccProd (set xs) {x}  $\sqsubseteq$  G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists'.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons prefix x xs)
  thus ?case
    apply (auto simp add: ccProd-insert2[where S' = prefix] ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs]
           join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors)
    by (metis Cons.hyps(1) dual-order.trans empty-subsetI insert-subset subset-ccNeighbors)
  qed

lemma valid-lists'-valid-lists:
  valid-lists' S G prefix xs  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G
proof(induction rule: valid-lists'.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons prefix x xs)
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp add: insert-is-Un[where A = set xs] insert-is-Un[where A = prefix]
           join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors elem-ccNeighbors ccProd-comm simp del:
           Un-insert-left
           intro: valid-lists'-valid-lists-aux)
  qed

```

Yet another definition

```

lemma valid-lists-characterization:
  xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G  $\longleftrightarrow$  set xs  $\subseteq$  S  $\wedge$  ( $\forall n.$  ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs))  $\sqsubseteq$  G)
proof(safe)
  fix x
  assume xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G
  from valid-lists-subset[OF this]
  show x  $\in$  set xs  $\implies$  x  $\in$  S by auto
next
  fix n
  assume xs  $\in$  valid-lists S G

```

```

thus ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G
proof(induction arbitrary: n rule: valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x)
  show ?case
  proof(cases n)
    case 0 thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    with Cons.hyps Cons.IH[where n = n]
    show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs for xs] join-below-iff subset-ccNeighbors)
      by (metis dual-order.trans set-drop-subset subset-ccNeighbors)
  qed
qed
next
assume set xs ⊆ S
and ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G
thus xs ∈ valid-lists S G
proof (induction xs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  from ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n (x # xs))) (set (drop n (x # xs))) ⊑ G
  have ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n xs)) (set (drop n xs)) ⊑ G
    by -(rule, erule-tac x = Suc n in allE, auto simp add: ccProd-insert1[where S' = set xs
for xs] join-below-iff)
  from Cons.preds Cons.IH[OF - this]
  have xs ∈ valid-lists S G by auto
    with Cons.preds(1) spec[OF ∀ n. ccProd (set (take n (x # xs))) (set (drop n (x # xs))) ⊑ G, where x = 1]
    show ?case by (simp add: subset-ccNeighbors)
  qed
qed
end

```

## 89 CoCallImplTTree.tex

```

theory CoCallImplTTree
imports TTreeAnalysisSig Env-Set-Cpo CoCallAritySig CoCallGraph-TTree
begin

context CoCallArity
begin
definition Texp :: exp ⇒ Arity → var ttree
  where Texp e = (Λ a. ccTTree (edom (Aexp e · a)) (ccExp e · a))

```

```

lemma Texp-simp: Texp e · a = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e · a)) (ccExp e · a)
  unfolding Texp-def
  by simp

sublocale TTreeAnalysis Texp.
end

end

```

## 90 Cardinality-Domain-Lists.tex

```

theory Cardinality-Domain-Lists
imports Vars Nominal-HOLCF Env Cardinality-Domain Set-Cpo Env-Set-Cpo
begin

fun no-call-in-path where
  no-call-in-path x [] ⟷ True
  | no-call-in-path x (y#xs) ⟷ y ≠ x ∧ no-call-in-path x xs

fun one-call-in-path where
  one-call-in-path x [] ⟷ True
  | one-call-in-path x (y#xs) ⟷ (if x = y then no-call-in-path x xs else one-call-in-path x xs)

lemma no-call-in-path-set-conv:
  no-call-in-path x p ⟷ x ∉ set p
  by(induction p) auto

lemma one-call-in-path-filter-conv:
  one-call-in-path x p ⟷ length (filter (λ x'. x' = x) p) ≤ 1
  by(induction p) (auto simp add: no-call-in-path-set-conv filter-empty-conv)

lemma no-call-in-tail: no-call-in-path x (tl p) ⟷ (no-call-in-path x p ∨ one-call-in-path x p ∧
hd p = x)
  by(induction p) auto

lemma no-imp-one: no-call-in-path x p ⟹ one-call-in-path x p
  by (induction p) auto

lemma one-imp-one-tail: one-call-in-path x p ⟹ one-call-in-path x (tl p)
  by (induction p) (auto split: if-splits intro: no-imp-one)

lemma more-than-one-setD:
  ¬ one-call-in-path x p ⟹ x ∈ set p
  by (induction p) (auto split: if-splits)

```

```

lemma no-call-in-path[eqvt]: no-call-in-path p x  $\implies$  no-call-in-path  $(\pi \cdot p)$   $(\pi \cdot x)$ 
  by (induction p x rule: no-call-in-path.induct) auto

lemma one-call-in-path[eqvt]: one-call-in-path p x  $\implies$  one-call-in-path  $(\pi \cdot p)$   $(\pi \cdot x)$ 
  by (induction p x rule: one-call-in-path.induct) (auto dest: no-call-in-path)

definition pathCard :: var list  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  two)
  where pathCard p x = (if no-call-in-path x p then none else (if one-call-in-path x p then once
  else many))

lemma pathCard-Nil[simp]: pathCard [] = ⊥
  by rule (simp add: pathCard-def)

lemma pathCard-Cons[simp]: pathCard (x#xs) x = two-add·once·(pathCard xs x)
  unfolding pathCard-def
  by (auto simp add: two-add-simp)

lemma pathCard-Cons-other[simp]: x'  $\neq$  x  $\implies$  pathCard (x#xs) x' = pathCard xs x'
  unfolding pathCard-def by auto

lemma no-call-in-path-filter[simp]: no-call-in-path x [x $\leftarrow$ xs . x  $\in$  S]  $\longleftrightarrow$  no-call-in-path x xs  $\vee$ 
x  $\notin$  S
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma one-call-in-path-filter[simp]: one-call-in-path x [x $\leftarrow$ xs . x  $\in$  S]  $\longleftrightarrow$  one-call-in-path x
xs  $\vee$  x  $\notin$  S
  by (induction xs) auto

definition pathsCard :: var list set  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  two)
  where pathsCard ps x = (if ( $\forall$  p  $\in$  ps. no-call-in-path x p) then none else (if ( $\forall$  p  $\in$  ps.
one-call-in-path x p) then once else many))

lemma pathsCard-Card-above:
  p  $\in$  ps  $\implies$  pathCard p  $\sqsubseteq$  pathsCard ps
  by (rule fun-belowI) (auto simp add: pathsCard-def pathCard-def)

lemma pathsCard-below:
  assumes  $\bigwedge$  p. p  $\in$  ps  $\implies$  pathCard p  $\sqsubseteq$  ce
  shows pathsCard ps  $\sqsubseteq$  ce
  proof(rule fun-belowI)
    fix x
    show pathsCard ps x  $\sqsubseteq$  ce x
      by (auto simp add: pathsCard-def pathCard-def split: if-splits dest!: fun-belowD[OF assms,
      where x = x] elim: below-trans[rotated] dest: no-imp-one)
  qed

lemma pathsCard-mono:
  ps  $\subseteq$  ps'  $\implies$  pathsCard ps  $\sqsubseteq$  pathsCard ps'
  by (auto intro: pathsCard-below pathsCard-above)

```

```

lemmas pathsCard-mono' = pathsCard-mono[folded below-set-def]

lemma record-call-pathsCard:
  pathsCard ({ tl p | p . p ∈ fs ∧ hd p = x}) ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard fs)
proof (rule pathsCard-below)
  fix p'
  assume p' ∈ { tl p | p . p ∈ fs ∧ hd p = x}
  then obtain p where p' = tl p and p ∈ fs and hd p = x by auto

  have pathCard (tl p) ⊑ record-call x·(pathCard p)
    apply (rule fun-belowI)
    using ⟨hd p = x⟩ by (auto simp add: pathCard-def record-call-simp no-call-in-tail dest: one-imp-one-tail)

  hence pathCard (tl p) ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard fs)
    by (rule below-trans[OF - monofun-cfun-arg[OF pathsCard-above[OF ⟨p ∈ fs⟩]]])
  thus pathCard p' ⊑ record-call x·(pathsCard fs) using ⟨p' = _⟩ by simp
qed

lemma pathCards-noneD:
  pathsCard ps x = none ⟹ x ∉ ⋃(set ` ps)
  by (auto simp add: pathsCard-def no-call-in-path-set-conv split:if-splits)

lemma cont-pathsCard[THEN cont-compose, cont2cont, simp]:
  cont pathsCard
  by(fastforce intro!: cont2cont-lambda cont-if-else-above simp add: pathsCard-def below-set-def)

lemma pathsCard-eqvt[eqvt]: π · pathsCard ps x = pathsCard (π · ps) (π · x)
  unfolding pathsCard-def by perm-simp rule

lemma edom-pathsCard[simp]: edom (pathsCard ps) = ⋃(set ` ps)
  unfolding edom-def pathsCard-def
  by (auto simp add: no-call-in-path-set-conv)

lemma env-restr-pathsCard[simp]: pathsCard ps f` S = pathsCard (filter (λ x. x ∈ S) ` ps)
  by (auto simp add: pathsCard-def lookup-env-restr-eq)

end

```

## 91 TTreeAnalysisSpec.tex

```

theory TTreeAnalysisSpec
imports TTreeAnalysisSig ArityAnalysisSpec Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

hide-const Multiset.single

```

```

locale TTreeAnalysisCarrier = TTreeAnalysis + EdomArityAnalysis +
assumes carrier-Fexp: carrier (Texp e·a) = edom (Aexp e·a)

locale TTreeAnalysisSafe = TTreeAnalysisCarrier +
assumes Texp-App: many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  (Texp e)·(inc·a)  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (App e x)·a
assumes Texp-Lam: without y (Texp e)·(pred·n)  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (Lam [y]. e) · n
assumes Texp-subst: Texp (e[y:=x])·a  $\sqsubseteq$  many-calls x  $\otimes\otimes$  without y ((Texp e)·a)
assumes Texp-Var: single v  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (Var v)·a
assumes Fun-repeatable: isVal e  $\implies$  repeatable (Texp e·0)
assumes Texp-IfThenElse: Texp scrut·0  $\otimes\otimes$  (Texp e1·a  $\oplus\oplus$  Texp e2·a)  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (scrut ? e1 : e2)·a

locale TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap =
TTreeAnalysisSafe + ArityAnalysisLetSafe +
fixes Theap :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  exp  $\Rightarrow$  Arity  $\rightarrow$  var ttree
assumes carrier-Fheap: carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
assumes Theap-thunk: x  $\in$  thunks Γ  $\implies$  p  $\in$  paths (Theap Γ e·a)  $\implies$   $\neg$  one-call-in-path x p
 $\implies$  (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
assumes Theap-substitute: ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))  $\sqsubseteq$  Theap Δ e·a
assumes Texp-Let: ttree-restr (– domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (Terms.Let Δ e)·a

end

```

## 92 CoCallImplTTreeSafe.tex

```

theory CoCallImplTTreeSafe
imports CoCallImplTTree CoCallAnalysisSpec TTreeAnalysisSpec
begin

hide-const Multiset.single

lemma valid-lists-many-calls:
assumes  $\neg$  one-call-in-path x p
assumes p  $\in$  valid-lists S G
shows x--x  $\in$  G
using assms(2,1)
proof(induction rule:valid-lists.induct[case-names Nil Cons])
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons xs x')
  show ?case
  proof(cases one-call-in-path x xs)
    case False
    from Cons.IH[OF this]

```

```

show ?thesis.
next
  case True
  with  $\neg \text{one-call-in-path } x (x' \# xs)$ 
  have [simp]:  $x' = x$  by (auto split: if-splits)

  have  $x \in \text{set } xs$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $x \notin \text{set } xs$ 
    hence  $\text{no-call-in-path } x xs$  by (metis no-call-in-path-set-conv)
    hence  $\text{one-call-in-path } x (x \# xs)$  by simp
    with Cons show False by simp
  qed
  with  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{ccNeighbors } x' G$ 
  have  $x \in \text{ccNeighbors } x G$  by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

context CoCallArityEdom
begin
lemma carrier-Fexp': carrier (Texp e·a)  $\subseteq$  fv e
  unfolding Texp-simp carrier-ccTTTree
  by (rule Aexp-edom)

end

context CoCallAritySafe
begin

lemma carrier-AnalBinds-below:
  carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta$ ·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a)) x)  $\subseteq$  edom ((ABinds  $\Delta$ )·(Aheap  $\Delta$  e·a))
  by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-def split: option.splits
    elim!: set-mp[OF edom-mono[OF monofun-cfun-fun[OF ABind-below-ABinds]]])

sublocale TTTreeAnalysisCarrier Texp
  apply standard
  unfolding Texp-simp carrier-ccTTTree
  apply standard
done

sublocale TTTreeAnalysisSafe Texp
proof
  fix x e a

  from edom-mono[OF Aexp-App]
  have  $\{x\} \cup \text{edom } (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a)) \subseteq \text{edom } (\text{Aexp } (\text{App } e x) \cdot a)$  by auto
  moreover

```

```

{
have ccApprox (many-calls x  $\otimes \otimes$  ccTTree (edom (Aexp e.(inc·a))) (ccExp e.(inc·a)))
  = cc-restr (edom (Aexp e.(inc·a))) (ccExp e.(inc·a))  $\sqcup$  ccProd {x} (insert x (edom (Aexp e.(inc·a))))
  by (simp add: ccApprox-both ccProd-insert2[where S' = edom e for e])
also
have edom (Aexp e.(inc·a))  $\subseteq$  fv e
  by (rule Aexp-edom)
also(below-trans[OF eq-imp-below join-mono[OF below-refl ccProd-mono2[OF insert-mono]
]])
have cc-restr (edom (Aexp e.(inc·a))) (ccExp e.(inc·a))  $\sqsubseteq$  ccExp e.(inc·a)
  by (rule cc-restr-below-arg)
also
have ccExp e.(inc·a)  $\sqcup$  ccProd {x} (insert x (fv e))  $\sqsubseteq$  ccExp (App e x)·a
  by (rule ccExp-App)
finally
have ccApprox (many-calls x  $\otimes \otimes$  ccTTree (edom (Aexp e.(inc·a))) (ccExp e.(inc·a)))  $\sqsubseteq$  ccExp (App e x)·a by this simp-all
}
ultimately
show many-calls x  $\otimes \otimes$  Texp e.(inc·a)  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (App e x)·a
  unfolding Texp-simp by (auto intro!: below-ccTTreeI)
next
fix y e n
show without y (Texp e.(pred·n))  $\sqsubseteq$  Texp (Lam [y]. e)·n
  unfolding Texp-simp
  by (auto dest: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom]
        intro!: below-ccTTreeI below-trans[OF - ccExp-Lam] cc-restr-mono1 set-mp[OF
        edom-mono[OF Aexp-Lam]])
next
fix e y x a

from edom-mono[OF Aexp-subst]
have *: edom (Aexp e[y::=x]·a)  $\subseteq$  insert x (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y}) by simp

have Texp e[y::=x]·a = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e[y::=x]·a)) (ccExp e[y::=x]·a)
  unfolding Texp-simp..
also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  ccTTree (insert x (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y})) (ccExp e[y::=x]·a)
  by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF *])
also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  many-calls x  $\otimes \otimes$  without x ...
  by (rule paths-many-calls-subset)
also have without x (ccTTree (insert x (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y})) (ccExp e[y::=x]·a))
  = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y} - {x}) (ccExp e[y::=x]·a)
  by simp
also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {y} - {x}) (ccExp e·a)
  by (rule ccTTree-cong-below[OF ccExp-subst]) auto
also have ... = without y (ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {x}) (ccExp e·a))
  by simp (metis Diff-insert Diff-insert2)
also have ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) - {x}) (ccExp e·a)  $\sqsubseteq$  ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a)) (ccExp

```

```

 $e \cdot a)$ 
  by (rule ccTTree-mono1) auto
  also have ... =  $Texp e \cdot a$ 
    unfolding  $Texp\text{-simp..}$ 
  finally
  show  $Texp e[y::=x] \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{ without } y (Texp e \cdot a)$ 
    by this simp-all
next
  fix  $v a$ 
  have  $up \cdot a \sqsubseteq (Aexp (\text{Var } v) \cdot a) v$  by (rule Aexp-Var)
  hence  $v \in \text{edom} (Aexp (\text{Var } v) \cdot a)$  by (auto simp add: edom-def)
  thus  $\text{single } v \sqsubseteq Texp (\text{Var } v) \cdot a$ 
    unfolding  $Texp\text{-simp}$ 
    by (auto intro: below-ccTTreeI)
next
  fix  $scrut e1 a e2$ 
  have  $ccTTree (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a)) (ccExp e1 \cdot a) \oplus \oplus ccTTree (\text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)) (ccExp e2 \cdot a)$ 
     $\sqsubseteq ccTTree (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)) (ccExp e1 \cdot a \sqcup ccExp e2 \cdot a)$ 
    by (rule either-ccTTree)
  note both-mono2 '[OF this]
  also
  have  $ccTTree (\text{edom} (Aexp scrut \cdot 0)) (ccExp scrut \cdot 0) \otimes \otimes ccTTree (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)) (ccExp e1 \cdot a \sqcup ccExp e2 \cdot a)$ 
     $\sqsubseteq ccTTree (\text{edom} (Aexp scrut \cdot 0) \cup (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a))) (ccExp scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup (ccExp e1 \cdot a \sqcup ccExp e2 \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd (\text{edom} (Aexp scrut \cdot 0)) (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)))$ 
    by (rule interleave-ccTTree)
  also
  have  $\text{edom} (Aexp scrut \cdot 0) \cup (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)) = \text{edom} (Aexp scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup Aexp e1 \cdot a \sqcup Aexp e2 \cdot a)$  by auto
  also
  have  $Aexp scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup Aexp e1 \cdot a \sqcup Aexp e2 \cdot a \sqsubseteq Aexp (\text{scrut ? } e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
    by (rule Aexp-IfThenElse)
  also
  have  $ccExp scrut \cdot 0 \sqcup (ccExp e1 \cdot a \sqcup ccExp e2 \cdot a) \sqcup ccProd (\text{edom} (Aexp scrut \cdot 0)) (\text{edom} (Aexp e1 \cdot a) \cup \text{edom} (Aexp e2 \cdot a)) \sqsubseteq ccExp (\text{scrut ? } e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
    by (rule ccExp-IfThenElse)

show  $Texp scrut \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a) \sqsubseteq Texp (\text{scrut ? } e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
  unfolding  $Texp\text{-simp}$ 
  by (auto simp add: ccApprox-both join-below-iff below-trans[OF - join-above2]
    intro!: below-ccTTreeI below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg]
    below-trans[OF - ccExp-IfThenElse] set-mp[OF edom-mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse]])
next
  fix  $e$ 
  assume  $\text{isVal } e$ 
  hence [simp]:  $ccExp e \cdot 0 = ccSquare (\text{fv } e)$  by (rule ccExp-pap)

```

```

thus repeatable (Texp e.0)
  unfolding Texp-simp by (auto intro: repeatable-ccTTTree-ccSquare[OF Aexp-edom])
qed

definition Theap :: heap ⇒ exp ⇒ Arity → var ttree
  where Theap Γ e = (Λ a. if nonrec Γ then ccTTTree (edom (Aheap Γ e·a)) (ccExp e·a) else
    ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Γ e·a)) anything)

lemma Theap-simp: Theap Γ e·a = (if nonrec Γ then ccTTTree (edom (Aheap Γ e·a)) (ccExp e·a) else
  ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Γ e·a)) anything)
  unfolding Theap-def by simp

lemma carrier-Fheap':carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
  unfolding Theap-simp carrier-ccTTTree by simp

sublocale TTTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap Texp Aexp Aheap Theap
proof
  fix Γ e a
  show carrier (Theap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
    by (rule carrier-Fheap')
next
  fix x Γ p e a
  assume x ∈ thunks Γ

  assume ¬ one-call-in-path x p
  hence x ∈ set p by (rule more-than-one-setD)

  assume p ∈ paths (Theap Γ e·a) with ⟨x ∈ set p⟩
  have x ∈ carrier (Theap Γ e·a) by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  hence x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
    unfolding Theap-simp by (auto split: if-splits)

  show (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
  proof(cases nonrec Γ)
    case False
      from False ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩ ⟨x ∈ edom (Aheap Γ e·a)⟩
      show ?thesis by (rule aHeap-thunks-rec)
    next
      case True
        with ⟨p ∈ paths (Theap Γ e·a)⟩
        have p ∈ valid-lists (edom (Aheap Γ e·a)) (ccExp e·a) by (simp add: Theap-simp)

        with ⟨¬ one-call-in-path x p⟩
        have x -- x ∈ (ccExp e·a) by (rule valid-lists-many-calls)

        from True ⟨x ∈ thunks Γ⟩ this
        show ?thesis by (rule aHeap-thunks-nonrec)
    qed
  next

```

```

fix  $\Delta e a$ 

have carrier: carrier (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ ))
 $\subseteq edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \cup edom (Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$ 
proof(rule carrier-substitute-below)
  from edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let[of  $\Delta e a$ ]]
  show carrier (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )  $\subseteq edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \cup edom (Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$  by (simp add: Texp-def)
next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \cup edom (Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$ 
  hence  $x \in edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \vee x : (edom (Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a))$  by simp
  thus carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ )  $x$ )  $\subseteq edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \cup edom (Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$ 
proof
  assume  $x \in edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ 

  have carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ )  $x$ )  $\subseteq edom (ABinds \Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a))$ 
    by (rule carrier-AnalBinds-below)
  also have ...  $\subseteq edom (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a) \sqcup Aexp (Terms.Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$ 
    using edom-mono[OF Aexp-Let[of  $\Delta e a$ ]] by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume  $x \in edom (Aexp (Terms.Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$ 
  hence  $x \notin domA \Delta$  by (auto dest: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
  hence (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ )  $x = \perp$ 
    by (rule Texp.AnalBinds-not-there)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

show ttree-restr ( $- domA \Delta$ ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ ))  $\sqsubseteq Texp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a$ 
proof (rule below-trans[OF - eq-imp-below[OF Texp-simp[symmetric]]], rule below-ccTTreeI)
  have carrier (ttree-restr ( $- domA \Delta$ ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )))
    = carrier (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )  $- domA \Delta$  by auto
  also note carrier
  also have edom (Aheap  $\Delta e \cdot a$ )  $\cup edom (Aexp (Terms.Let \Delta e) \cdot a) = domA \Delta = edom (Aexp (Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$ 
    by (auto dest: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap] set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
  finally
  show carrier (ttree-restr ( $- domA \Delta$ ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )))
     $\subseteq edom (Aexp (Terms.Let \Delta e) \cdot a)$  by this auto
next
  let ?x = ccApprox (ttree-restr ( $- domA \Delta$ ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds  $\Delta \cdot (Aheap \Delta e \cdot a)$ ) (thunks  $\Delta$ ) (Texp  $e \cdot a$ )))

```

```

have ?x = cc-restr (‐ domA Δ) ?x by simp
also have ... ⊑ cc-restr (‐ domA Δ) (ccHeap Δ e·a)
proof(rule cc-restr-mono2[OF wild-recursion-thunked])
  have ccExp e·a ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a by (rule ccHeap-Exp)
  thus ccApprox (Texp e·a) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
    by (auto simp add: Texp-simp intro: below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg])
next
  fix x
  assume x ∈ domA Δ
  thus (Texp.AnalBinds Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) x = empty
    by (metis Texp.AnalBinds-not-there empty-is-bottom)
next
  fix x
  assume x ∈ domA Δ
  then obtain e' where e': map-of Δ x = Some e' by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)

  show ccApprox ((Texp.AnalBinds Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) x) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
  proof(cases (Aheap Δ e·a) x)
    case bottom thus ?thesis using e' by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
  next
    case (up a')
    with e'
    have ccExp e'·a' ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a by (rule ccHeap-Heap)
    thus ?thesis using up e'
      by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-simp intro: below-trans[OF cc-restr-below-arg])
qed

show ccProd (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) – {x} ∩ thunks Δ) (carrier ((Texp.AnalBinds
Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) x)) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
proof(cases (Aheap Δ e·a) x)
  case bottom thus ?thesis using e' by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
next
  case (up a')
  have subset: (carrier (fup ·(Texp e') ·((Aheap Δ e·a) x))) ⊆ fv e'
    using up e' by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup carrier-Fexp dest!: set-mp[OF
Aexp-edom])

  from e' up
  have ccProd (fv e') (ccNeighbors x (ccHeap Δ e·a) – {x} ∩ thunks Δ) ⊑ ccHeap Δ e·a
    by (rule ccHeap-Extra-Edges)
  then
    show ?thesis using e'
      by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup Texp-simp ccProd-comm below-trans[OF
ccProd-mono2[OF subset]])
  qed
qed
also have ... ⊑ ccExp (Let Δ e)·a
  by (rule ccExp-Let)

```

```

finally
  show ccApprox (ttree-restr (– domA Δ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a))
(thunks Δ) (Texp e·a)))
    ⊑ ccExp (Terms.Let Δ e·a by this simp-all
qed

note carrier
hence carrier (substitute (ExpAnalysis.AnalBinds Texp Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a)) ⊑ edom (Aheap Δ e·a) ∪ – domA Δ
  by (rule order-trans) (auto dest: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
hence ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))
  = ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a)))
    by –(rule ttree-restr-noop[symmetric], auto)
also
have ... = ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))
  by (simp add: inf.absorb2[OF edom-Aheap ])
also
have ... ⊑ Theap Δ e·a
proof(cases nonrec Δ)
  case False
  have ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))
    ⊑ ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) anything
    by (rule ttree-restr-mono) simp
  also have ... = Theap Δ e·a
    by (simp add: Theap-simp False)
  finally show ?thesis.

next
  case [simp]: True

  from True
  have ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (substitute (Texp.AnalBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a))
    = ttree-restr (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (Texp e·a)
    by (rule nonrecE) (rule ttree-rest-substitute, auto simp add: carrier-Fexp fv-def fresh-def
dest!: set-mp[OF edom-Aheap] set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
  also have ... = ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) ∩ edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (ccExp e·a)
    by (simp add: Texp-simp)
  also have ... ⊑ ccTTree (edom (Aexp e·a) ∩ domA Δ) (ccExp e·a)
    by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF Int-mono[OF order-refl edom-Aheap]])
  also have ... ⊑ ccTTree (edom (Aheap Δ e·a)) (ccExp e·a)
    by (rule ccTTree-mono1[OF edom-mono[OF Aheap-nonrec[OF True], simplified]])
  also have ... ⊑ Theap Δ e·a
    by (simp add: Theap-simp)
finally
show ?thesis by this simp-all

```

```

qed
finally
show ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (ExpAnalysis.AnalBinds Texp Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks
Δ) (Texp e·a)) ⊑ Theap Δ e·a.

qed
end

lemma paths-singles: xs ∈ paths (singles S) ↔ (forall x ∈ S. one-call-in-path x xs)
  by transfer (auto simp add: one-call-in-path-filter-conv)

lemma paths-singles': xs ∈ paths (singles S) ↔ (forall x ∈ (set xs ∩ S). one-call-in-path x xs)
  apply transfer
  apply (auto simp add: one-call-in-path-filter-conv)
  apply (erule-tac x = x in ballE)
  apply auto
  by (metis (poly-guards-query) filter-empty-conv le0 length-0-conv)

lemma both-below-singles1:
  assumes t ⊑ singles S
  assumes carrier t' ∩ S = {}
  shows t ⊗⊗ t' ⊑ singles S
proof (rule ttree-belowI)
  fix xs
  assume xs ∈ paths (t ⊗⊗ t')
  then obtain ys zs where ys ∈ paths t and zs ∈ paths t' and xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs by (auto simp
add: paths-both)
  with assms
  have ys ∈ paths (singles S) and set zs ∩ S = {}
  by (metis below-ttree.rep-eq contra-subsetD paths.rep-eq, auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  with (xs ∈ ys ⊗ zs)
  show xs ∈ paths (singles S)
    by (induction) (auto simp add: paths-singles no-call-in-path-set-conv interleave-set dest:
more-than-one-setD split: if-splits)
qed

lemma paths-ttree-restr-singles: xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S' (singles S)) ↔ set xs ⊆ S' ∧ (forall x
∈ S. one-call-in-path x xs)
proof
  show xs ∈ paths (ttree-restr S' (singles S)) ==> set xs ⊆ S' ∧ (forall x ∈ S. one-call-in-path x
xs)
    by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] paths-singles)
next
  assume *: set xs ⊆ S' ∧ (forall x ∈ S. one-call-in-path x xs)
  hence set xs ⊆ S' by auto

```

**hence** [simp]:  $\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \in S') xs = xs$  **by** (auto simp add: filter-id-conv)

```

from *
have  $xs \in \text{paths}(\text{singles } S)$ 
  by (auto simp add: paths-singles')
hence  $\text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \in S') xs \in \text{filter}(\lambda x'. x' \in S') \cdot \text{paths}(\text{singles } S)$ 
  by (rule imageI)
thus  $xs \in \text{paths}(\text{ttree-restr } S'(\text{singles } S))$ 
  by (auto simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr[symmetric] )
qed
```

**lemma** substitute-not-carrier:

```

assumes  $x \notin \text{carrier } t$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x'. x \notin \text{carrier}(f x')$ 
shows  $x \notin \text{carrier}(\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
proof-
  have  $\text{ttree-restr}(\{x\})(\text{substitute } f T t) = \text{ttree-restr}(\{x\}) t$ 
  proof(rule ttree-rest-substitute)
    fix  $x'$ 
    from  $\langle x \notin \text{carrier}(f x') \rangle$ 
    show  $\text{carrier}(f x') \cap \{x\} = \{\}$  by auto
  qed
  hence  $x \notin \text{carrier}(\text{ttree-restr}(\{x\})(\text{substitute } f T t)) \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{carrier}(\text{ttree-restr}(\{x\}) t)$ 
  by metis
  with assms(1)
  show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

**lemma** substitute-below-singlesI:

```

assumes  $t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{carrier}(f x) \cap S = \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{substitute } f T t \sqsubseteq \text{singles } S$ 
proof(rule ttree-belowI)
  fix  $xs$ 
  assume  $xs \in \text{paths}(\text{substitute } f T t)$ 
  thus  $xs \in \text{paths}(\text{singles } S)$ 
  using assms
  proof(induction f T t xs arbitrary: S rule: substitute-induct)
    case Nil
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons f T t x xs)
      from  $\langle x \# xs \in \_ \rangle$ 
      have  $xs: xs \in \text{paths}(\text{substitute}(f\text{-nxt } f T x) T (\text{nxt } t x \otimes \otimes f x))$  by auto
```

**moreover**

```
from ⟨t ⊑ singles S⟩
have nxt t x ⊑ singles S
  by (metis TTree-HOLCF.nxt-mono below-trans nxt-singles-below-singles)
from this ⟨carrier (f x) ∩ S = {}⟩
have nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x ⊑ singles S
  by (rule both-below-singles1)
moreover
{ fix x'
  from ⟨carrier (f x') ∩ S = {}⟩
  have carrier (f-nxt f T x x') ∩ S = {}
    by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
}
ultimately
have IH: xs ∈ paths (singles S)
  by (rule Cons.IH)

show ?case
proof(cases x ∈ S)
  case True
  with ⟨carrier (f x) ∩ S = {}⟩
  have x ∉ carrier (f x) by auto
  moreover
  from ⟨t ⊑ singles S⟩
  have nxt t x ⊑ nxt (singles S) x by (rule nxt-mono)
  hence carrier (nxt t x) ⊑ carrier (nxt (singles S) x) by (rule carrier-mono)
  from set-mp[OF this] True
  have x ∉ carrier (nxt t x) by auto
  ultimately
  have x ∉ carrier (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x) by simp
  hence x ∉ carrier (substitute (f-nxt f T x) T (nxt t x ⊗⊗ f x))
  proof(rule substitute-not-carrier)
    fix x'
    from ⟨carrier (f x') ∩ S = {}⟩ ⟨x ∈ S⟩
    show x ∉ carrier (f-nxt f T x x') by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def)
  qed
  with xs
  have x ∉ set xs by (auto simp add: Union-paths-carrier[symmetric])
  with IH
  have xs ∈ paths (without x (singles S)) by (rule paths-withoutI)
  thus ?thesis using True by (simp add: Cons-path)
next
  case False
  with IH
  show ?thesis by (simp add: Cons-path)
  qed
qed
qed
```

```
end
```

## 93 TTreeImplCardinality.tex

```
theory TTreeImplCardinality
imports TTreeAnalysisSig CardinalityAnalysisSig Cardinality-Domain-Lists
begin

hide-const Multiset.single

context TTreeAnalysis
begin

fun unstack :: stack ⇒ exp ⇒ exp where
  unstack [] e = e
| unstack (Alts e1 e2 # S) e = unstack S e
| unstack (Upd x # S) e = unstack S e
| unstack (Arg x # S) e = unstack S (App e x)
| unstack (Dummy x # S) e = unstack S e

fun Fstack :: Arity list ⇒ stack ⇒ var ttree
  where Fstack - [] = ⊥
    | Fstack (a#as) (Alts e1 e2 # S) = (Texp e1·a ⊕⊕ Texp e2·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
    | Fstack as (Arg x # S) = many-calls x ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
    | Fstack as (- # S) = Fstack as S

fun prognosis :: AEnv ⇒ Arity list ⇒ Arity ⇒ conf ⇒ var ⇒ two
  where prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ)
  (Texp e·a ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
end

end
```

## 94 TTreeImplCardinalitySafe.tex

```
theory TTreeImplCardinalitySafe
imports TTreeImplCardinality TTreeAnalysisSpec CardinalityAnalysisSpec
begin

hide-const Multiset.single
```

```

lemma pathsCard-paths-nxt: pathsCard (paths (nxt f x)) ⊑ record-call x · (pathsCard (paths f))
  apply transfer
  apply (rule pathsCard-below)
  apply auto
  apply (erule below-trans[OF - monofun-cfun-arg[OF paths-Card-above], rotated]) back
  apply (auto intro: fun-belowI simp add: record-call-simp two-pred-two-add-once)
  done

lemma pathsCards-none: pathsCard (paths t) x = none ⟹ x ∉ carrier t
  by transfer (auto dest: pathCards-noneD)

lemma const-on-edom-disj: const-on f S empty ⟷ edom f ∩ S = {}
  by (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom edom-def)

context TTreeAnalysisCarrier
begin
  lemma carrier-Fstack: carrier (Fstack as S) ⊆ fv S
    by (induction S rule: Fstack.induct)
      (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] carrier-Fexp dest!: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])

  lemma carrier-FBinds: carrier ((FBinds Γ · ae) x) ⊆ fv Γ
    apply (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
    apply (auto split: option.split simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] )
    apply (case-tac ae x)
    apply (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom[symmetric] carrier-Fexp dest!: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom])
      by (metis (poly-guards-query) contra-subsetD domA-from-set map-of-fv-subset map-of-SomeD option.sel)
  end

context TTreeAnalysisSafe
begin

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisShape prognosis
proof
  fix Γ :: heap and ae ae' :: AEnv and u e S as
  assume ae f|` domA Γ = ae' f|` domA Γ
  from Texp.AnalBinds-cong[OF this]
  show prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) = prognosis ae' as u (Γ, e, S) by simp
next
  fix ae as a Γ e S
  show const-on (prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S)) (ap S) many
  proof
    fix x
    assume x ∈ ap S
    hence [x,x] ∈ paths (Fstack as S)
      by (induction S rule: Fstack.induct)
        (auto 4 4 intro: set-mp[OF both-contains-arg1] set-mp[OF both-contains-arg2] paths-Cons-nxt)
    hence [x,x] ∈ paths (Texp e · a ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)
  
```

```

    by (rule set-mp[OF both-contains-arg2])
  hence  $[x,x] \in paths(\text{substitute}(FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (Texp e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S))$ 
    by (rule set-mp[OF substitute-contains-arg])
  hence  $\text{pathCard}[x,x] x \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard}(\text{paths}(\text{substitute}(FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (Texp e \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S))) x$ 
    by (metis fun-belowD paths-Card-above)
  also have  $\text{pathCard}[x,x] x = \text{many}$  by (auto simp add: pathCard-def)
  finally
    show  $\text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, e, S) x = \text{many}$ 
      by (auto intro: below-antisym)
  qed
next
fix  $\Gamma \Delta :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as u S$ 
assume  $\text{map-of } \Gamma = \text{map-of } \Delta$ 
hence  $FBinds \Gamma = FBinds \Delta$  and  $\text{thunks } \Gamma = \text{thunks } \Delta$  by (auto intro!: cfun-eqI thunks-cong simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
thus  $\text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, S) = \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Delta, e, S)$  by simp
next
fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as u S x$ 
show  $\text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as u } (\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S)$  by simp
next
fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as a S x$ 
assume  $ae x = \perp$ 

hence  $FBinds(\text{delete } x \Gamma) \cdot ae = FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (rule Texp.AnalBinds-delete-bot)
moreover
hence  $((FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) x) = \perp$  by (metis Texp.AnalBinds-delete-lookup)
ultimately
show  $\text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, e, S) \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\text{delete } x \Gamma, e, S)$ 
  by (simp add: substitute-T-delete empty-is-bottom)
next
fix  $ae as a \Gamma x S$ 
have  $\text{once} \sqsubseteq (\text{pathCard}[x]) x$  by (simp add: two-add-simp)
also have  $\text{pathCard}[x] \sqsubseteq \text{pathsCard}(\{\[], [x]\})$ 
  by (rule paths-Card-above) simp
also have  $\dots = \text{pathsCard}(\text{paths}(\text{single } x))$  by simp
also have  $\text{single } x \sqsubseteq (Texp(\text{Var } x) \cdot a)$  by (rule Texp-Var)
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq Texp(\text{Var } x) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S$  by (rule both-above-arg1)
also have  $\dots \sqsubseteq \text{substitute}(FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) (Texp(\text{Var } x) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack as S)$  by (rule substitute-above-arg)
also have  $\text{pathsCard}(\text{paths} \dots) x = \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) x$  by simp
finally
show  $\text{once} \sqsubseteq \text{prognosis ae as a } (\Gamma, \text{Var } x, S) x$ 
  by this (rule cont2cont-fun, intro cont2cont)+
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisApp prognosis
proof
fix  $ae as a \Gamma e x S$ 

```

```

have  $\text{Texp } e \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a) \otimes \otimes \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S = \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes (\text{Texp } e) \cdot (\text{inc} \cdot a)$   

 $\otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S$   

by (metis both-assoc both-comm)  

thus prognosis ae as (inc·a) ( $\Gamma, e, \text{Arg } x \# S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{App } e x, S$ )  

by simp (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' Texp-App)  

qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLam prognosis
proof
  fix ae as a  $\Gamma e y x S$ 
  have  $\text{Texp } e[y:=x] \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot a) \sqsubseteq \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Texp } (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot a$   

    by (rule below-trans[OF Texp-subst both-mono2'[OF Texp-Lam]])  

  moreover have  $\text{Texp } (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S = \text{many-calls } x \otimes \otimes$   

 $\text{Texp } (\text{Lam } [y]. e) \cdot a \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S$   

    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)  

  ultimately  

  show prognosis ae as (pred·a) ( $\Gamma, e[y:=x], S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{Lam } [y]. e, \text{Arg } x \#$   

 $S$ )  

    by simp (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1')  

qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisVar prognosis
proof
  fix  $\Gamma :: \text{heap}$  and  $e :: \text{exp}$  and  $x :: \text{var}$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $as u a S$ 
  assume map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ 
  assume  $ae x = up \cdot u$ 

  assume isVal e
  hence  $x \notin \text{thunks } \Gamma$  using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ ⟩ by (metis thunksE)
    hence [simp]:  $f\text{-nxt } (\text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae) (\text{thunks } \Gamma) x = \text{FBinds } \Gamma \cdot ae$  by (auto simp add:  

    f-nxt-def)

  have prognosis ae as u ( $\Gamma, e, S$ ) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ )  

 $(\text{Texp } e \cdot u \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S))$ )
    by simp
  also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (nxt (single x) x  

 $\otimes \otimes \text{Texp } e \cdot u \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S)))  

    by simp
  also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) ((nxt (single x) x  

 $\otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S) \otimes \otimes \text{Texp } e \cdot u)))  

    by (metis both-assoc both-comm)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (nxt (single x  $\otimes \otimes$   

 $\text{Fstack as } S) x \otimes \otimes \text{Texp } e \cdot u)))  

    by (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' nxt-both-left) simp
  also have ... = pathsCard (paths (nxt (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (single x  $\otimes \otimes$   

 $\text{Fstack as } S)) x))  

    using ⟨map-of  $\Gamma x = \text{Some } e$ ⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
  also have ...  $\sqsubseteq$  record-call x · (pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds  $\Gamma \cdot ae$ ) (thunks  $\Gamma$ ) (single  

 $x \otimes \otimes \text{Fstack as } S))))$$$$$ 
```

```

    by (rule pathsCard-paths-nxt)
  also have ... ⊑ record-call x ·(pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) ((Texp
  (Var x)·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))))
    by (intro monofun-cfun-arg pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1'
  Texp-Var)
  also have ... = record-call x ·(prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))
    by simp
  finally
    show prognosis ae as u (Γ, e, S) ⊑ record-call x ·(prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S)) by this
simp-all
next
fix Γ :: heap and e :: exp and x :: var and ae :: AEnv and as u a S
assume map-of Γ x = Some e
assume ae x = up·u
assume ¬ isVal e
hence x ∈ thunks Γ using ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ by (metis thunksI)
hence [simp]: f-nxt (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) x = FBinds (delete x Γ)·ae
  by (auto simp add: f-nxt-def Texp.AnalBinds-delete-to-fun-upd empty-is-bottom)

have prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds
(delete x Γ)·ae) (thunks (delete x Γ)) (Texp e·u ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
  by simp
also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x Γ)·ae) (thunks Γ) (Texp e·u
⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
  by (rule arg-cong[OF substitute-cong-T]) (auto simp add: empty-is-bottom)
also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x Γ)·ae) (thunks Γ) (nxt
(single x) x ⊗⊗ Texp e·u ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
  by simp
also have ... = pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x Γ)·ae) (thunks Γ) ((nxt
(single x) x ⊗⊗ Fstack as S) ⊗⊗ Texp e·u)))
  by (metis both-assoc both-comm)
also have ... ⊑ pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds (delete x Γ)·ae) (thunks Γ) (nxt
(single x ⊗⊗ Fstack as S) x ⊗⊗ Texp e·u)))
  by (intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1' nxt-both-left) simp
also have ... = pathsCard (paths (nxt (substitute (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) (single x ⊗⊗
Fstack as S)) x))
  using ⟨map-of Γ x = Some e⟩ ⟨ae x = up·u⟩ by (simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup)
also have ... ⊑ record-call x ·(pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) (single
x ⊗⊗ Fstack as S))))
  by (rule pathsCard-paths-nxt)
also have ... ⊑ record-call x ·(pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBinds Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) ((Texp
(Var x)·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))))
  by (intro monofun-cfun-arg pathsCard-mono' paths-mono substitute-mono2' both-mono1'
  Texp-Var)
also have ... = record-call x ·(prognosis ae as a (Γ, Var x, S))
  by simp
finally
  show prognosis ae as u (delete x Γ, e, Upd x # S) ⊑ record-call x ·(prognosis ae as a (Γ,
  Var x, S)) by this simp-all

```

```

next
fix  $\Gamma :: heap$  and  $e :: exp$  and  $ae :: AEnv$  and  $x :: var$  and  $as S$ 
assume  $isVal e$ 
hence repeatable ( $Texp e \cdot 0$ ) by (rule Fun-repeatable)

assume [simp]:  $x \notin domA \Gamma$ 

have [simp]:  $thunks ((x, e) \# \Gamma) = thunks \Gamma$ 
using ⟨ $isVal e$ ⟩
by (auto simp add: thunks-Cons dest: set-mp[OF thunks-domA])

have  $fup \cdot (Texp e) \cdot (ae x) \sqsubseteq Texp e \cdot 0$  by (metis fup2 monofun-cfun-arg up-zero-top)
hence substitute (( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := fup \cdot (Texp e) \cdot (ae x)$ )) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp e \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  substitute (( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := Texp e \cdot 0$ )) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp e \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
by (intro substitute-mono1' fun-upd-mono below-refl monofun-cfun-arg)
also have ... = substitute ((( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := Texp e \cdot 0$ ))( $x := empty$ )) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp e \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
using ⟨repeatable (Texp e · 0)⟩ by (rule substitute-remove-anyways, simp)
also have (( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ )( $x := Texp e \cdot 0$ ))( $x := empty$ ) =  $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ 
by (simp add: fun-upd-idem Texp.AnalBinds-not-there empty-is-bottom)
finally
show prognosis ae as 0 (( $x, e) \# \Gamma, e, S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as 0 ( $\Gamma, e, Upd x \# S$ )
by (simp, intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisIfThenElse prognosis
proof
fix ae as  $\Gamma$  scrut e1 e2 S a
have  $Texp \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a) \sqsubseteq Texp (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a$ 
by (rule Texp-IfThenElse)
hence substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp \text{scrut} \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a)$ 
 $\otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp (\text{scrut} ? e1 : e2) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
by (rule substitute-mono2'[OF both-mono1'])
thus prognosis ae (a#as) 0 ( $\Gamma, \text{scrut}, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{scrut} ? e1 : e2, S$ )
by (simp, intro pathsCard-mono' paths-mono)
next
fix ae as a  $\Gamma$  b e1 e2 S
have  $Texp (\text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2) \cdot a \sqsubseteq Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a$ 
by (auto simp add: either-above-arg1 either-above-arg2)
hence substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp (\text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2) \cdot a \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
 $\sqsubseteq$  substitute ( $FBinds \Gamma \cdot ae$ ) ( $thunks \Gamma$ ) ( $Texp (\text{Bool } b) \cdot 0 \otimes \otimes (Texp e1 \cdot a \oplus \oplus Texp e2 \cdot a) \otimes \otimes Fstack$  as  $S$ )
by (rule substitute-mono2'[OF both-mono1'[OF below-trans[OF - both-above-arg2]]])
thus prognosis ae as a ( $\Gamma, \text{if } b \text{ then } e1 \text{ else } e2, S$ )  $\sqsubseteq$  prognosis ae (a#as) 0 ( $\Gamma, \text{Bool } b, \text{Alts } e1 e2 \# S$ )
by (auto intro!: pathsCard-mono' paths-mono)
qed

```

```

end

context TTreeAnalysisCardinalityHeap
begin

definition cHeap where
  cHeap Γ e = (Λ a. pathsCard (paths (Theap Γ e·a)))

lemma cHeap-simp: (cHeap Γ e)·a = pathsCard (paths (Theap Γ e·a))
  unfolding cHeap-def by (rule beta-cfun) (intro cont2cont)

sublocale CardinalityHeap cHeap.

sublocale CardinalityHeapSafe cHeap Aheap
proof
  fix x Γ e a
  assume x ∈ thunks Γ
  moreover
    assume many ⊑ (cHeap Γ e·a) x
    hence many ⊑ pathsCard (paths (Theap Γ e·a)) x unfolding cHeap-def by simp
    hence ∃ p ∈ (paths (Theap Γ e·a)). ¬ (one-call-in-path x p) unfolding pathsCard-def
      by (auto split: if-splits)
  ultimately
    show (Aheap Γ e·a) x = up·0
      by (metis Theap-thunk)
next
  fix Γ e a
  show edom (cHeap Γ e·a) = edom (Aheap Γ e·a)
    by (simp add: cHeap-def Union-paths-carrier carrier-Fheap)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisEdom prognosis
proof
  fix ae as a Γ e S
  show edom (prognosis ae as a (Γ, e, S)) ⊆ fv Γ ∪ fv e ∪ fv S
    apply (simp add: Union-paths-carrier)
    apply (rule carrier-substitute-below)
    apply (auto simp add: carrier-Fexp dest: set-mp[OF Aexp-edom] set-mp[OF carrier-Fstack]
      set-mp[OF ap-fv-subset] set-mp[OF carrier-FBinds])
    done
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisLet prognosis cHeap
proof
  fix Δ Γ :: heap and e :: exp and S :: stack and ae :: AEnv and a :: Arity and as
  assume atom ` domA Δ #* Γ
  assume atom ` domA Δ #* S
  assume edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S

```

```

have domA Δ ∩ edom ae = {}
  using fresh-distinct[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* Γ] fresh-distinct-fv[OF `atom ` domA Δ #*
S]
    `edom ae ⊆ domA Γ ∪ upds S` ups-fv-subset[of S]
  by auto

have const-on1: ⋀ x. const-on (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x))
empty
  unfolding const-on-edom-disj using fresh-distinct-fv[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* Γ]
  by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF carrier-FBinds] set-mp[OF Texp.edom-AnalBinds])
have const-on2: const-on (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (carrier (Fstack as S)) empty
  unfolding const-on-edom-disj using fresh-distinct-fv[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* S]
  by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF carrier-FBinds] set-mp[OF carrier-Fstack] set-mp[OF Texp.edom-AnalBinds]
set-mp[OF ap-fv-subset ])
have const-on3: const-on (FBinds Γ·ae) (–(– domA Δ)) TTree.empty
  and const-on4: const-on (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (domA Γ) TTree.empty
  unfolding const-on-edom-disj using fresh-distinct[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* Γ]
  by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF Texp.edom-AnalBinds])

have disj1: ⋀ x. carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x) ∩ domA Δ = {}
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* Γ]
  by (auto dest: set-mp[OF carrier-FBinds])
hence disj1': ⋀ x. carrier ((FBinds Γ·ae) x) ⊆ – domA Δ by auto
have disj2: ⋀ x. carrier (Fstack as S) ∩ domA Δ = {}
  using fresh-distinct-fv[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* S] by (auto dest!: set-mp[OF carrier-Fstack])
hence disj2': carrier (Fstack as S) ⊆ – domA Δ by auto

{
fix x
have (FBinds (Δ @ Γ)·(ae ⊎ Aheap Δ e·a)) x = (FBinds Γ·ae) x ⊗⊗ (FBinds Δ·(Aheap
Δ e·a)) x
proof (cases x ∈ domA Δ)
  case True
    have map-of Γ x = None using True fresh-distinct[OF `atom ` domA Δ #* Γ] by (metis
disjoint-iff-not-equal domA-def map-of-eq-None-iff)
    moreover
      have ae x = ⊥ using True `domA Δ ∩ edom ae = {}` by auto
      ultimately
        show ?thesis using True
          by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup empty-is-bottom[symmetric] cong: op-
tion.case-cong)
  next
    case False
      have map-of Δ x = None using False by (metis domA-def map-of-eq-None-iff)
      moreover
        have (Aheap Δ e·a) x = ⊥ using False using edom-Aheap by (metis contra-subsetD
edomIff)

```

```

ultimately
show ?thesis using False
by (auto simp add: Texp.AnalBinds-lookup empty-is-bottom[symmetric] cong: option.case-cong)
qed
}
note FBind = ext[OF this]

{
have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind (Δ @ Γ) · (Aheap Δ e·a ⊢ ae)) (thunks (Δ @ Γ))
(Texp e·a ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
= pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind Γ·ae) (thunks (Δ @ Γ)) (substitute (FBind
Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks (Δ @ Γ)) (Texp e·a ⊗⊗ Fstack as S))))
by (simp add: substitute-substitute[OF const-on1] FBind)
also have substitute (FBind Γ·ae) (thunks (Δ @ Γ)) = substitute (FBind Γ·ae) (thunks
Γ)
apply (rule substitute-cong-T)
using const-on3
by (auto dest: set-mp[OF thunks-domA])
also have substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks (Δ @ Γ)) = substitute (FBind
Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ)
apply (rule substitute-cong-T)
using const-on4
by (auto dest: set-mp[OF thunks-domA])
also have substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a ⊗⊗ Fstack as S) =
substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S
by (rule substitute-only-empty-both[OF const-on2])
also note calculation
}
note eq-imp-below[OF this]
also
note env-restr-split[where S = domA Δ]
also
have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) (substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap
Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S))) f|` domA Δ
= pathsCard (paths (ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks
Δ) (Texp e·a))))
by (simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr ttree-restr-both ttree-rest-substitute[OF disj1]
ttree-restr-is-empty[OF disj2])
also
have ttree-restr (domA Δ) (substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a)) ⊑
Theap Δ e·a by (rule Theap-substitute)
also
have pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) (substitute (FBind Δ ·(Aheap
Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S))) f|` (− domA Δ) =
pathsCard (paths (substitute (FBind Γ·ae) (thunks Γ) (ttree-restr (− domA Δ) (substitute
(FBind Δ ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp e·a)) ⊗⊗ Fstack as S)))
by (simp add: filter-paths-conv-free-restr2 ttree-rest-substitute2[OF disj1' const-on3]
ttree-restr-both ttree-restr-noop[OF disj2'])

```

```

also have ttree-restr (‐ domA Δ) (substitute (FBinds Δ·(Aheap Δ e·a)) (thunks Δ) (Texp
e·a)) ⊑ Texp (Terms.Let Δ e)·a by (rule Texp-Let)
  finally
    show prognosis (Aheap Δ e·a ⊒ ae) as a (Δ @ Γ, e, S) ⊑ cHeap Δ e·a ⊒ prognosis ae as
a (Γ, Terms.Let Δ e, S)
      by (simp add: cHeap-def del: fun-meet-simp)
qed

sublocale CardinalityPrognosisSafe prognosis cHeap Aheap Aexp ..
end

end

```

## 95 CallArityEnd2EndSafe.tex

```

theory CallArityEnd2EndSafe
imports CallArityEnd2End CardArityTransformSafe CoCallImplSafe CoCallImplTTTreeSafe TTTreeImplCardinalitySafe
begin

locale CallArityEnd2EndSafe
begin
sublocale CoCallImplSafe.
sublocale CallArityEnd2End.

abbreviation transform-syn' (T_) where T_a ≡ transform a

lemma end2end:
c ⇒* c' ⇒
¬ boring-step c' ⇒
heap-upds-ok-conf c ⇒
consistent (ae, ce, a, as, r) c ⇒
∃ ae' ce' a' as' r'. consistent (ae', ce', a', as', r') c' ∧ conf-transform (ae, ce, a, as, r) c
⇒G* conf-transform (ae', ce', a', as', r') c'
  by (rule card-arity-transform-safe)

theorem end2end-closed:
assumes closed: fv e = ({} :: var set)
assumes ([] , e, []) ⇒* (Γ, v, []) and isVal v
obtains Γ' and v'
where ([] , T_ e, []) ⇒* (Γ', v', []) and isVal v'
  and card (domA Γ') ≤ card (domA Γ)
proof-
  note assms(2)
  moreover
  have ¬ boring-step (Γ, v, []) by (simp add: boring-step.simps)
  moreover
  have heap-upds-ok-conf ([] , e, []) by simp

```

```

moreover
have consistent  $(\perp, \perp, 0, [], [])$   $([], e, [])$  using closed by (rule closed-consistent)
ultimately
obtain ae ce a as r where
  *: consistent  $(ae, ce, a, as, r)$   $(\Gamma, v, [])$  and
  **: conf-transform  $(\perp, \perp, 0, [], [])$   $([], e, []) \Rightarrow_{G^*} \text{conf-transform } (ae, ce, a, as, r)$   $(\Gamma, v, [])$ 
by (metis end2end)

let  $\ ?\Gamma = \text{map-transform Aeta-expand ae}$  (map-transform transform ae (restrictA (-set r)
 $\Gamma$ ))
let  $\ ?v = \text{transform a v}$ 

from * have set r ⊆ domA Γ by auto

have conf-transform  $(\perp, \perp, 0, [], [])$   $([], e, []) = ([], \text{transform } 0 e, [])$  by simp
with **
have  $([], \text{transform } 0 e, []) \Rightarrow_{G^*} (\ ?\Gamma, ?v, \text{map Dummy (rev r)})$  by simp

have isVal ?v using ⟨isVal v⟩ by simp

have fv (transform 0 e) = ({}) :: var set using closed
by (auto dest: set-mp[OF fv-transform])

note sestoftUnGC'[OF ⟨[], transform 0 e, []⟩ ⇒_{G^*} (?Γ, ?v, map Dummy (rev r))⟩ ⟨isVal ?v⟩
fv (transform 0 e) = {}]
then obtain  $\Gamma'$ 
  where  $([], \text{transform } 0 e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', ?v, [])$ 
  and  $\ ?\Gamma = \text{restrictA } (-\text{set } r) \Gamma'$ 
  and set r ⊆ domA Γ'
  by auto

have card (domA Γ) = card (domA ?Γ ∪ (set r ∩ domA Γ))
by (rule arg-cong[where f = card]) auto
also have ... = card (domA ?Γ) + card (set r ∩ domA Γ)
by (rule card-Un-disjoint) auto
also note ⟨?Γ = restrictA (-set r) Γ'⟩
also have set r ∩ domA Γ = set r ∩ domA Γ'
  using ⟨set r ⊆ domA Γ⟩ ⟨set r ⊆ domA Γ'⟩ by auto
also have card (domA (restrictA (-set r) Γ')) + card (set r ∩ domA Γ') = card (domA
 $\Gamma')$ 
  by (subst card-Un-disjoint[symmetric]) (auto intro: arg-cong[where f = card])
finally
have card (domA Γ') ≤ card (domA Γ) by simp
with ⟨ $([], \text{transform } 0 e, []) \Rightarrow^* (\Gamma', ?v, [])$ ⟩ ⟨isVal ?v⟩
show thesis using that by blast
qed

lemma fresh-var-eqE[elim-format]: fresh-var e = x ⇒ x ∉ fv e
by (metis fresh-var-not-free)

```

```

lemma example1:
  fixes e :: exp
  fixes f g x y z :: var
  assumes Aexp-e:  $\bigwedge a. Aexp\ e \cdot a = esing\ x \cdot (up \cdot a) \sqcup esing\ y \cdot (up \cdot a)$ 
  assumes ccExp-e:  $\bigwedge a. CCexp\ e \cdot a = \perp$ 
  assumes [simp]: transform 1 e = e
  assumes isVal e
  assumes disj:  $y \neq f \neq g \neq x \neq y \neq z \neq f \neq z \neq g \neq y \neq x$ 
  assumes fresh: atom z  $\notin$  e
  shows transform 1 (let y be App (Var f) g in (let x be e in (Var x))) =
    let y be (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z) in (let x be (Lam [z]. App e z) in (Var x))

proof-
  from arg-cong[where f = edom, OF Aexp-e]
  have x ∈ fv e by simp (metis Aexp-edom' insert-subset)
  hence [simp]:  $\neg$  nonrec [(x,e)]
    by (simp add: nonrec-def)

  from (isVal e)
  have [simp]: thunks [(x, e)] = {}
    by (simp add: thunks-Cons)

  have [simp]: CCfix [(x, e)] · (esing x · (up · 1)  $\sqcup$  esing y · (up · 1),  $\perp$ ) =  $\perp$ 
    unfolding CCfix-def
    apply (simp add: fix-bottom-iff ccBindsExtra-simp)
    apply (simp add: ccBind-eq disj ccExp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Afix [(x, e)] · (esing x · (up · 1)) = esing x · (up · 1)  $\sqcup$  esing y · (up · 1)
    unfolding Afix-def
    apply simp
    apply (rule fix-eqI)
    apply (simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    apply (case-tac z x)
    apply (auto simp add: disj Aexp-e)
    done

  have [simp]: Aheap [(y, App (Var f) g)] (let x be e in Var x) · 1 = esing y · ((Aexp (let x be e in Var x) · 1) y)
    by (auto simp add: Aheap-nonrec-simp ABind-nonrec-eq pure-fresh fresh-at-base disj)

  have [simp]: (Aexp (let x be e in Var x) · 1) = esing y · (up · 1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have [simp]: Aheap [(x, e)] (Var x) · 1 = esing x · (up · 1)
    by (simp add: env-restr-join disj)

  have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 (App (Var f) g) = (Lam [z]. App (App (Var f) g) z)
    apply (simp add: one-is-inc-zero del: exp-assn.eq-iff)

```

```

apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var (App (Var f) g)])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj intro!: flip-fresh-fresh elim!:
fresh-var-eqE)
  done

have [simp]: Aeta-expand 1 e = (Lam [z]. App e z)
  apply (simp add: one-is-inc-zero del: exp-assn.eq-iff)
  apply (subst change-Lam-Variable[of z fresh-var e])
  apply (auto simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base pure-fresh disj fresh intro!: flip-fresh-fresh
elim!: fresh-var-eqE)
  done

show ?thesis
  by (simp del: Let-eq-iff add: map-transform-Cons disj[symmetric])
qed

end
end

```

## 96 ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational.tex

```

theory ArityAnalysisCorrDenotational
imports ArityAnalysisSpec Denotational ArityTransform
begin

context ArityAnalysisLetSafe
begin

inductive eq :: Arity ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ bool where
  eq 0 v v
  | (Λ v. eq n (v1 ↓Fn v) (v2 ↓Fn v)) ⟹ eq (inc·n) v1 v2

lemma [simp]: eq 0 v v' ⟷ v = v'
  by (auto elim: eq.cases intro: eq.intros)

lemma eq-inc-simp:
  eq (inc·n) v1 v2 ⟷ ( ∀ v . eq n (v1 ↓Fn v) (v2 ↓Fn v))
  by (auto elim: eq.cases intro: eq.intros)

lemma eq-FnI:
  (Λ v. eq (pred·n) (f1·v) (f2·v)) ⟹ eq n (Fn·f1) (Fn·f2)
  by (induction n rule: Arity-ind) (auto intro: eq.intros cfun-eqI)

lemma eq-refl[simp]: eq a v v
  by (induction a arbitrary: v rule: Arity-ind) (auto intro!: eq.intros)

lemma eq-trans[trans]: eq a v1 v2 ⟹ eq a v2 v3 ⟹ eq a v1 v3

```

```

apply (induction a arbitrary: v1 v2 v3 rule: Arity-ind)
apply (auto elim!: eq.cases intro!: eq.intros)
apply blast
done

lemma eq-Fn: eq a v1 v2 ==> eq (pred·a) (v1 ↓Fn v) (v2 ↓Fn v)
apply (induction a rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
apply (auto simp add: eq-inc-simp)
done

lemma eq-inc-same: eq a v1 v2 ==> eq (inc·a) v1 v2
by (induction a arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc]) (auto simp add: eq-inc-simp)

lemma eq-mono: a ⊑ a' ==> eq a' v1 v2 ==> eq a v1 v2
proof (induction a rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  case 0 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (inc a)
  show eq (inc·a) v1 v2
  proof (cases inc·a = a')
    case True with inc show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False with <inc·a ⊑ a'> have a ⊑ a'
      by (simp add: inc-def)(transfer, simp)
      from this inc.prems(2)
      have eq a v1 v2 by (rule inc.IH)
      thus ?thesis by (rule eq-inc-same)
  qed
qed

lemma eq-join[simp]: eq (a ∪ a') v1 v2 ↔ eq a v1 v2 ∧ eq a' v1 v2
using Arity-total[of a a']
apply (auto elim!: eq-mono[OF join-above1] eq-mono[OF join-above2])
apply (metis join-self-below(2))
apply (metis join-self-below(1))
done

lemma eq-adm: cont f ==> cont g ==> adm (λ x. eq a (f x) (g x))
proof (induction a arbitrary: f g rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
  case 0 thus ?case by simp
next
  case inc
  show ?case
  apply (subst eq-inc-simp)
  apply (rule adm-all)
  apply (rule inc)
  apply (intro cont2cont inc(2,3))+
  done
qed

```

```

inductive eq $\varrho$  :: AEnv  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  Value)  $\Rightarrow$  (var  $\Rightarrow$  Value)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  eq $\varrho$ I: ( $\bigwedge$  x a. ae x = up·a  $\implies$  eq a (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x))  $\implies$  eq $\varrho$  ae ρ1 ρ2

lemma eq $\varrho$ E: eq $\varrho$  ae ρ1 ρ2  $\implies$  ae x = up·a  $\implies$  eq a (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x)
  by (auto simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)

lemma eq $\varrho$ -refl[simp]: eq $\varrho$  ae ρ ρ
  by (simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)

lemma eq $\varrho$ -esing-up[simp]: eq $\varrho$  (esing x · (up·a)) ρ1 ρ2  $\longleftrightarrow$  eq a (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x)
  by (auto simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)

lemma eq $\varrho$ -mono:
  assumes ae ⊑ ae'
  assumes eq $\varrho$  ae' ρ1 ρ2
  shows eq $\varrho$  ae ρ1 ρ2
proof (rule eq $\varrho$ I)
  fix x a
  assume ae x = up·a
  with ⟨ae ⊑ ae'⟩ have up·a ⊑ ae' x by (metis fun-belowD)
  then obtain a' where ae' x = up·a' by (metis Exh-Up below-antisym minimal)
  with ⟨eq $\varrho$  ae' ρ1 ρ2⟩
  have eq a' (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x) by (auto simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)
  with ⟨up·a ⊑ ae' x⟩ and ⟨ae' x = up·a'⟩
  show eq a (ρ1 x) (ρ2 x) by (metis eq-mono up-below)
qed

lemma eq $\varrho$ -adm: cont f  $\implies$  cont g  $\implies$  adm (λ x. eq $\varrho$  a (f x) (g x))
  apply (simp add: eq $\varrho$ .simp)
  apply (intro adm-lemmas eq-adm)
  apply (erule cont2cont-fun)+
  done

lemma up-join-eq-up[simp]: up · (n :: 'a :: Finite-Join-cpo) ⊔ up · n' = up · (n ⊔ n')
  apply (rule lub-is-join)
  apply (auto simp add: is-lub-def)
  apply (case-tac u)
  apply auto
  done

lemma eq $\varrho$ -join[simp]: eq $\varrho$  (ae ⊔ ae') ρ1 ρ2  $\longleftrightarrow$  eq $\varrho$  ae ρ1 ρ2  $\wedge$  eq $\varrho$  ae' ρ1 ρ2
  apply (auto elim!: eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF join-above1] eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF join-above2])
  apply (auto intro!: eq $\varrho$ I)
  apply (case-tac ae x, auto elim: eq $\varrho$ E)
  apply (case-tac ae' x, auto elim: eq $\varrho$ E)
  done

lemma eq $\varrho$ -override[simp]:

```

$\text{eq}\varrho \text{ ae } (\varrho_1 ++_S \varrho_2) (\varrho_1' ++_S \varrho_2') \longleftrightarrow \text{eq}\varrho \text{ ae } (\varrho_1 f|` (- S)) (\varrho_1' f|` (- S)) \wedge \text{eq}\varrho \text{ ae } (\varrho_2 f|` S) (\varrho_2' f|` S)$   
**by** (auto simp add: lookup-env-restr-eq eq\varrho.simps lookup-override-on-eq)

**lemma** Aexp-heap-below-Aheap:  
**assumes** (Aheap  $\Gamma e \cdot a$ )  $x = up \cdot a'$   
**assumes** map-of  $\Gamma x = Some e'$   
**shows** Aexp  $e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq \text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp} (\text{Let } \Gamma e \cdot a)$   
**proof-**

**from** assms(1)  
**have** Aexp  $e' \cdot a' = ABind x e' \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a)$   
**by** (simp del: join-comm fun-meet-simp)  
**also have** ...  $\sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a)$   
**by** (rule monofun-cfun-fun[OF ABind-below-ABinds[OF map-of - - = -]])  
**also have** ...  $\sqsubseteq ABinds \Gamma \cdot (\text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \sqcup \text{Aexp} e \cdot a$   
**by** simp  
**also note** Aexp-Let  
**finally**  
**show** ?thesis **by** this simp-all  
**qed**

**lemma** Aexp-body-below-Aheap:  
**shows** Aexp  $e \cdot a \sqsubseteq \text{Aheap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp} (\text{Let } \Gamma e \cdot a)$   
**by** (rule below-trans[OF join-above2 Aexp-Let])

**lemma** Aexp-correct:  $\text{eq}\varrho (\text{Aexp } e \cdot a) \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \implies \text{eq } a ([\![e]\!]_{\varrho_1}) ([\![e]\!]_{\varrho_2})$   
**proof**(induction a e arbitrary:  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  rule: transform.induct[case-names App Lam Var Let Bool IfThenElse])  
**case** (Var a x)  
**from** (eq\varrho (Aexp (Var x) \cdot a) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2)  
**have** eq\varrho (esing x \cdot (up \cdot a)) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2 **by** (rule eq\varrho-mono[OF Aexp-Var-singleton])  
**thus** ?case **by** simp  
**next**  
**case** (App a e x)  
**from** (eq\varrho (Aexp (App e x) \cdot a) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2)  
**have** eq\varrho (Aexp e \cdot (inc \cdot a) \sqcup esing x \cdot (up \cdot 0)) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2 **by** (rule eq\varrho-mono[OF Aexp-App])  
**hence** eq\varrho (Aexp e \cdot (inc \cdot a)) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2 **and**  $\varrho_1 x = \varrho_2 x$  **by** simp-all  
**from** App(1)[OF this(1)] this(2)  
**show** ?case **by** (auto elim: eq.cases)  
**next**  
**case** (Lam a x e)  
**from** (eq\varrho (Aexp (Lam [x]. e) \cdot a) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2)  
**have** eq\varrho (env-delete x (Aexp e \cdot (pred \cdot a))) \varrho\_1 \varrho\_2 **by** (rule eq\varrho-mono[OF Aexp-Lam])  
**hence**  $\bigwedge v. \text{eq}\varrho (\text{Aexp } e \cdot (\text{pred} \cdot a)) (\varrho_1(x := v)) (\varrho_2(x := v))$  **by** (auto intro!: eq\varrhoI elim!: eq\varrhoE)  
**from** Lam(1)[OF this]  
**show** ?case **by** (auto intro: eq-FnI simp del: fun-upd-apply)  
**next**

```

case (Bool b)
show ?case by simp
next
  case (IfThenElse a scrut e1 e2)
    from <eq $\varrho$  (Aexp (scrut ? e1 : e2) · a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2\varrho$  (Aexp scrut · 0  $\sqcup$  Aexp e1 · a  $\sqcup$  Aexp e2 · a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF Aexp-IfThenElse])
    hence eq $\varrho$  (Aexp scrut · 0)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ 
    and eq $\varrho$  (Aexp e1 · a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ 
    and eq $\varrho$  (Aexp e2 · a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$  by simp-all
    from IfThenElse(1)[OF this(1)] IfThenElse(2)[OF this(2)] IfThenElse(3)[OF this(3)]
    show ?case
      by (cases [[ scrut ]] $\varrho_2$ ) auto
next
  case (Let a  $\Gamma$  e)
    have eq $\varrho$  (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e · a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e) · a) ( $\{\Gamma\}\varrho_1$ ) ( $\{\Gamma\}\varrho_2$ )
    proof(induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind[case-names adm bottom step])
      case adm thus ?case by (intro eq $\varrho$ -adm cont2cont)
    next
      case bottom show ?case by simp
    next
      case (step  $\varrho_1' \varrho_2'$ )
        show ?case
        proof (rule eq $\varrho$ I)
          fix x a'
          assume ass: (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e · a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e) · a) x = up · a'
          show eq a' (( $\varrho_1$  ++ domA  $\Gamma$  [[  $\Gamma$  ]] $\varrho_1'$ ) x) (( $\varrho_2$  ++ domA  $\Gamma$  [[  $\Gamma$  ]] $\varrho_2'$ ) x)
          proof(cases x ∈ domA  $\Gamma$ )
            case [simp]: True
            then obtain e' where [simp]: map-of  $\Gamma$  x = Some e' by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)
              have (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e · a) x = up · a' using ass by simp
              hence Aexp e' · a'  $\sqsubseteq$  Aheap  $\Gamma$  e · a  $\sqcup$  Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e) · a using map-of - - =  $\rightarrow$  by (rule Aexp-heap-below-Aheap)
              hence eq $\varrho$  (Aexp e' · a')  $\varrho_1' \varrho_2'$  using step(1) by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono)
              hence eq a' ([[ e' ]] $\varrho_1'$ ) ([[ e' ]] $\varrho_2'$ )
                by (rule Let(1)[OF map-of-SomeD[OF map-of - - =  $\rightarrow$ ]])
              thus ?thesis by (simp add: lookupEvalHeap')
            next
            case [simp]: False
              with edom-Aheap have x  $\notin$  edom (Aheap  $\Gamma$  e · a) by blast
              hence (Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e) · a) x = up · a' using ass by (simp add: edomIff)
              with <eq $\varrho$  (Aexp (Let  $\Gamma$  e) · a)  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ 
              have eq a' ( $\varrho_1$  x) ( $\varrho_2$  x) by (auto elim: eq $\varrho$ E)
              thus ?thesis by simp
            qed
          qed
        qed
      qed
    hence eq $\varrho$  (Aexp e · a) ( $\{\Gamma\}\varrho_1$ ) ( $\{\Gamma\}\varrho_2$ ) by (rule eq $\varrho$ -mono[OF Aexp-body-below-Aheap])
    hence eq a ([[ e ]] $\{\Gamma\}\varrho_1$ ) ([[ e ]] $\{\Gamma\}\varrho_2$ ) by (rule Let(2)[simplified])
  
```

```

thus ?case by simp
qed

lemma ESem-ignores-fresh[simp]:  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho(\text{fresh-var } e := v)} = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ 
by (metis ESem-fresh-cong env-restr-fun-upd-other fresh-var-not-free)

lemma eq-Aeta-expand: eq a ( $\llbracket \text{Aeta-expand } a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ )
apply (induction a arbitrary:  $e \varrho$  rule: Arity-ind[case-names 0 inc])
apply simp
apply (fastforce simp add: eq-inc-simp elim: eq-trans)
done

lemma Arity-transformation-correct: eq a ( $\llbracket \mathcal{T}_a \ e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ )
proof(induction a e arbitrary:  $\varrho$  rule: transform.induct[case-names App Lam Var Let Bool IfThenElse])
  case Var
    show ?case by simp
  next
    case (App a e x)
      from this[where  $\varrho = \varrho$ ]
      show ?case
        by (auto elim: eq.cases)
    next
      case (Lam x e)
        thus ?case
          by (auto intro: eq-FnI)
    next
      case (Bool b)
        show ?case by simp
    next
      case (IfThenElse a e e1 e2)
        thus ?case by (cases  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) auto
    next
      case (Let a  $\Gamma$  e)
        have eq a ( $\llbracket \text{transform } a (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ ) ( $\llbracket \text{transform } a \ e \rrbracket_{\{\text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)\}} \text{ (map-transform transform } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \text{)} \varrho$ )
          by simp
        also have eq a ... ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)\}} \text{ (map-transform transform } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a) \text{)} \varrho$ )
          using Let(2) by simp
        also have eq a ... ( $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\{\Gamma\}} \varrho$ )
        proof (rule Aexp-correct)
          have eq $\varrho$  ( $\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a \sqcup \text{Aexp } (\text{Let } \Gamma \ e) \cdot a$ ) ( $\{\text{map-transform Aeta-expand } (\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a)\} \varrho$ ) ( $\{\Gamma\} \varrho$ )
            (map-transform transform ( $\text{Aheap } \Gamma \ e \cdot a$ )  $\Gamma$ )  $\varrho$ 
          proof(induction rule: parallel-HSem-ind[case-names adm bottom step])
            case adm thus ?case by (intro eq $\varrho$ -adm cont2cont)
          next
            case bottom show ?case by simp
          next
            case (step  $\varrho_1 \varrho_2$ )

```

```

have  $\text{eq}\varrho(A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup A\text{exp}(\text{Let } \Gamma e \cdot a)) (\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \text{ (map-transform transform} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho 1}) (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho 2})$ 
proof(rule  $\text{eq}\varrho I$ )
  fix  $x a'$ 
  assume  $\text{ass}: (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup A\text{exp}(\text{Let } \Gamma e \cdot a)) x = up \cdot a'$ 
  show  $\text{eq } a' ((\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \text{ (map-transform transform} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho 1}) x) ((\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho 2}) x)$ 
  proof(cases  $x \in \text{domA } \Gamma$ )
    case [simp]:  $\text{True}$ 
    then obtain  $e' \text{ where}$  [simp]:  $\text{map-of } \Gamma x = \text{Some } e' \text{ by (metis domA-map-of-Some-the)}$ 
      from  $\text{ass}$  have  $\text{ass}' : (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) x = up \cdot a' \text{ by simp}$ 

    have  $(\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \text{ (map-transform transform} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho 1}) x =$ 
       $\llbracket \text{Aeta-expand } a' (\text{transform } a' e') \rrbracket_{\varrho 1}$ 
      by (simp add:  $\text{lookupEvalHeap}' \text{ map-of-map-transform ass}'$ )
    also have  $\text{eq } a' \dots (\llbracket \text{transform } a' e' \rrbracket_{\varrho 1})$ 
      by (rule  $\text{eq-Aeta-expand}$ )
    also have  $\text{eq } a' \dots (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho 1})$ 
      by (rule  $\text{Let}(1)[OF \text{ map-of-SomeD}[OF \langle \text{map-of} \dots = \neg]]]$ )
    also have  $\text{eq } a' \dots (\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\varrho 2})$ 
    proof (rule  $\text{Aexp-correct}$ )
      from  $\text{ass}' \langle \text{map-of} \dots = \neg \rangle$ 
      have  $A\text{exp } e' \cdot a' \sqsubseteq A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a \sqcup A\text{exp}(\text{Let } \Gamma e \cdot a) \text{ by (rule Aexp-heap-below-Aheap)}$ 
        thus  $\text{eq}\varrho(A\text{exp } e' \cdot a') \varrho 1 \varrho 2 \text{ using step by (rule eq}\varrho\text{-mono)}$ 
      qed
      also have  $\dots = (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho 2}) x$ 
      by (simp add:  $\text{lookupEvalHeap}'$ )
      finally
        show ?thesis.
    next
      case  $\text{False}$  thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
  qed
  thus ?case
    by (simp add:  $\text{env-restr-useless order-trans}[OF \text{ edom-evalHeap-subset}] \text{ del: fun-meet-simp}$ 
 $\text{eq}\varrho\text{-join})$ 
    qed
    thus  $\text{eq}\varrho(A\text{exp } e \cdot a) (\llbracket \text{map-transform Aeta-expand} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \text{ (map-transform transform} (A\text{heap } \Gamma e \cdot a) \Gamma) \rrbracket_{\varrho}) (\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\varrho})$ 
      by (rule  $\text{eq}\varrho\text{-mono}[OF \text{ Aexp-body-below-Aheap}]$ )
    qed
    also have  $\dots = \llbracket \text{Let } \Gamma e \rrbracket_{\varrho}$ 
      by simp
    finally show ?case.
  qed

corollary Arity-transformation-correct':
   $\llbracket \mathcal{T}_\varrho e \rrbracket_\varrho = \llbracket e \rrbracket_\varrho$ 

```

```
using Arity-transformation-correct[where a = 0] by simp
end
end
```

## References

- [AO93] Samson Abramsky and Chih-Hao Luke Ong, *Full abstraction in the lazy lambda calculus*, Information and Computation **105** (1993), no. 2, 159 – 267.
- [Huf12] Brian Huffman, *HOLCF '11: A definitional domain theory for verifying functional programs*, Ph.D. thesis, Portland State University, 2012.
- [Lau93] John Launchbury, *A natural semantics for lazy evaluation*, POPL '93, 1993, pp. 144–154.
- [Ses97] Peter Sestoft, *Deriving a lazy abstract machine*, Journal of Functional Programming **7** (1997), 231–264.
- [SGHHOM11] Lidia Sánchez-Gil, Mercedes Hidalgo-Herrero, and Yolanda Ortega-Mallén, *Relating function spaces to resourced function spaces*, SAC, 2011, pp. 1301–1308.