

Call Arity

Joachim Breitner

27 May 2014

15th Symposium on Trends in Functional Programming
Soesterberg, The Netherlands

PROGRAMMING PARADIGMS GROUP

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0(\lambda x.e) &= C_n(e) \\
 C_{n+1}(\lambda x.e) &= C_n(e) \\
 C_0(\lambda x.e) &= (fv(e))^2 \\
 A_n(e_1 : e_2) &= A_0(e) \sqcup A_n(e_1) \sqcup A_n(e_2) \\
 C_n(e_1 : e_2) &= C_0(e) \cup C_n(e_1) \cup C_n(e_2) \cup fv(e)
 \end{aligned}$$

How many lists do you see?

foldl (+) 0 [1..1000]

The bad and the good code

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in \z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

The bad and the good code

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in \z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

The good code:

```
let go x z =  
  let ds z' = if x == 1000 then z' else go (x + 1) z'  
  in ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

The bad and the good code

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in \z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

The good code:

```
let go x z =  
  let ds z' = if x == 1000 then z' else go (x + 1) z'  
  in ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

The goal: Eta-expand go and ds.

When is eta-expansion allowed?

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in \z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

We can eta-expand f with n arguments, if

- every call to f has (at least) n arguments on the stack
- if f is a thunk, i.e. not in head-normal form, if f is called at most once.

The analysis: What we want and what we need

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in \z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

What do we want to know, for a let-bound variable?

- A lower bound to the number of arguments it is called.
- If it may be called more than once.

The analysis: What we want and what we need

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

What do we want to know, for a let-bound variable?

- A lower bound to the number of arguments it is called.
- If it may be called more than once.

So for an expression, we need this information about all its free variables.

The analysis: What we want and what we need

The bad code:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in \z → ds (z + x)  
in go 1 0
```

What do we want to know, for a let-bound variable?

- A lower bound to the number of arguments it is called.
- If it may be called more than once.

So for an expression, we need this information about all its free variables, under the assumption that the expression is called with a certain number of arguments.

We need more information:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

We need more information:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

go2 is recursive, and calls ds.

How do we know that **let go2 = ... in go2 x** calls ds at most once?

We need more information:

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

go2 is recursive, and calls ds.

How do we know that **let go2 = ... in go2 x** calls ds at most once?

So the analysis finds out:

For every two variables f and g, can e call both f and g?

(Includes as a special case: Can e call f twice?)

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 0

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 0

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 2

Free variables arity: $\{go \mapsto 2\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 0

Free variables arity: $\{go \mapsto 2\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

let go x =

```
let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)
```

```
in
```

```
let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)
```

```
in go2 x
```

in go 1 0

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 2

Free variables arity: $\{\text{go2} \mapsto 2\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 0

Free variables arity: $\{\text{odd} \mapsto 1\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 0

Free variables arity: ?

Co-call information: ?

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: $\{ds \mapsto 1\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 0

Free variables arity: $\{ds \mapsto 1\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: {go2 \mapsto 2}

Co-call information: {}

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: $\{\text{odd} \mapsto 1, \text{ds} \mapsto 1, \text{go2} \mapsto 2\}$

Co-call information: $\{\text{odd} \text{---} \text{ds}, \text{odd} \text{---} \text{go2}\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: $\{\text{odd} \mapsto 1, \text{ds} \mapsto 1\}$

Co-call information: $\{\text{odd} \text{---} \text{ds}, \text{odd} \text{---} \text{odd}\}$

Let's see it happen

```
let go x =  
  let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)  
  in  
    let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)  
    in go2 x  
in go 1 0
```

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: $\{id \mapsto 1, go \mapsto 2\}$

Co-call information: $\{\}$

Let's see it happen

let go x =

let ds = if x == 1000 then id else go (x + 1)

in

let go2 y = if odd y then \z → ds (z + y) else go2 (y + 1)

in go2 x

in go 1 0

Incoming arity: 1

Free variables arity: {odd \mapsto 1, id \mapsto 1, go \mapsto 2}

Co-call information: {odd—id, odd—go, odd—odd}

- length [1..2³⁰]: 11.7s instead of 16.3s.
- Nofib, without changing foldl:

	min	mean	max
Allocations	-1.3%	-0.0%	0.0%
Runtime	-4.0%	-0.0%	+4.9%

- Nofib, with changing foldl:

	min	mean	max
Allocations	-79.0%	-5.2%	0.0%
Runtime	-47.4%	-1.9%	+3.0%

- Self-contained, heuristics-free analysis
- Implemented and deployed in GHC
- Relevant for ubiquitous list fusion

Also in the paper:

- Precise description of the analysis (formulas! maths!)
- Notes on the implementation
- Limitations
- Comparison with related work and other approaches

Future work:

- Formal and machine-checked proof of correctness.

More formally... the components

e : Expr

expressions

$e ::= x \mid e_1 e_2 \mid (\lambda x. e_1) \mid e ? e_1 : e_2 \mid \text{let } \overline{x_i = e_i} \text{ in } e$

A_n : Expr \rightarrow (Var \rightarrow \mathbb{N})

arity analysis

C_n : Expr \rightarrow Graph(Var)

co-call analysis

fv: Expr \rightarrow \mathcal{P} (Var)

free variables

\sqcup : (Var \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (Var \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (Var \rightarrow \mathbb{N})

point-wise minimum

\times : \mathcal{P} (Var) \rightarrow \mathcal{P} (Var) \rightarrow Graph(Var)

complete bi-partite graph

2 : \mathcal{P} (Var) \rightarrow Graph(Var)

complete graph

More formally... the equations (I)

$$A_n(x) = \{x \mapsto n\}$$

$$C_n(x) = \{\}$$

$$A_n(e_1 \ e_2) = A_{n+1}(e_1) \sqcup A_0(e_2)$$

$$C_n(e_1 \ e_2) = C_{n+1}(e_1) \cup C_0(e_2) \cup \text{fv}(e_1) \times \text{fv}(e_2)$$

$$A_{n+1}(\lambda x. e) = A_n(e)$$

$$A_0(\lambda x. e) = A_0(e)$$

$$C_{n+1}(\lambda x. e) = C_n(e)$$

$$C_0(\lambda x. e) = (\text{fv}(e))^2$$

$$A_n(e ? e_1 : e_2) = A_0(e) \sqcup A_n(e_1) \sqcup A_n(e_2)$$

$$C_n(e ? e_1 : e_2) = C_0(e) \cup C_n(e_1) \cup C_n(e_2) \cup \text{fv}(e) \times (\text{fv}(e_1) \cup \text{fv}(e_2))$$

More formally... the equations (II)

Non-recursive binding (let $x = e_1$ in e_2):

$$n_x = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \rightarrow x \in C_n(e_2) \text{ and } e_1 \text{ not in HNF} \\ A_n(e_2)[x_i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$C_{\text{rhs}} = \begin{cases} C_{n_x}(e_1) & \text{if } x \rightarrow x \notin C_n(e_2) \text{ or } n_x = 0 \\ \text{fv}(e_1)^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$E = \text{fv}(e_1) \times \{v \mid v \rightarrow x \in C_n(e_2)\}$$

$$A_n(\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) = A_{n_x}(e_1) \sqcup A_n(e_2)$$

$$C_n(\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2) = C_{\text{rhs}} \cup A_n(e_2) \cup E$$

More formally... the equations (III)

Mutually recursive bindings:

Let $A = A_n(\text{let } \overline{x_i} = \overline{e_i} \text{ in } e)$ and $C = C_n(\text{let } \overline{x_i} = \overline{e_i} \text{ in } e)$.

$$A = A_n(e) \sqcup \bigsqcup_i A_{n_{x_i}}(e_i)$$

$$C = C_n(e) \cup \bigcup_i C^i \cup \bigcup_i E^i$$

$$n_{x_i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e_i \text{ not in HNF} \\ A[x_i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$C^i = \begin{cases} C_{n_{x_i}}(e_i) & \text{if } x_i \text{---} x_i \notin C \text{ or } n_{x_i} = 0 \\ \text{fv}(e_i)^2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$E^i = \begin{cases} \text{fv}(e_i) \times \{v \mid v \text{---} x_k \in C_n(e) \cup \bigcup_j C^j\} & \text{if } n_{x_i} \neq 0 \\ \text{fv}(e_i) \times \{v \mid v \text{---} x_k \in C_n(e) \cup \bigcup_{j \neq i} C^j\} & \text{if } n_{x_i} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Limitations

Consider a data type for trees

data Tree = Tip Int | Bin Tree Tree

and a function `toList :: Tree → [Int]`, set up for list fusion.

Then `sum (toList t)` gets rewritten to

let go t fn = **case** t **of**

Tip x → (\a → fn (x + a))

Bin l r → go l (go r fn)

in go t id 0

Call Arity does not eta-expand go, and even if it would, the code would still be bad.

Detailed benchmark results: Allocations

Arity Analysis	✓	✓		✓
Co-Call Analysis	✓	✓		
foldl via foldr		✓	✓	✓
anna	-1.3%	-1.4%	+0.0%	+0.0%
bernouilli	-0.0%	-4.9%	+3.7%	+3.7%
calendar	-0.1%	-0.2%	-0.1%	-0.1%
fft2	-0.0%	-79.0%	-78.9%	-78.9%
gen_regexps	0.0%	-53.9%	+33.8%	+33.8%
hidden	-0.3%	-6.3%	+1.2%	+1.2%
integrate	-0.0%	-61.7%	-61.7%	-61.7%
minimax	0.0%	-15.6%	+4.0%	+4.0%
rewrite	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%	-0.0%
simple	0.0%	-9.4%	+8.1%	+8.1%
x2n1	-0.0%	-77.4%	+84.0%	+84.0%
<i>... and 89 more</i>				
Min	-1.3%	-79.0%	-78.9%	-78.9%
Max	+0.0%	+0.0%	+84.0%	+84.0%
Geometric Mean	-0.0%	-5.2%	-1.5%	-1.5%

Detailed benchmark results: Runtime

Arity Analysis	✓	✓		✓
Co-Call Analysis	✓	✓		
foldl via foldr		✓	✓	✓
anna				
bernouilli				
calendar	+4.7%	+0.8%	+0.8%	+2.3%
fft2				
gen_regexps	-1.2%	-8.9%	+223.6%	+224.8%
hidden	-3.3%	-3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
integrate	-6.0%	-48.7%	-48.7%	-48.7%
minimax				
rewrite	+0.9%	+6.1%	+3.5%	+0.9%
simple				
x2n1				
... and 89 more				
Min	-6.0%	-48.7%	-48.7%	-48.7%
Max	+4.7%	+6.1%	+223.6%	+224.8%
Geometric Mean	-0.2%	-1.4%	+1.0%	+1.2%

foldl as foldr

A left fold implemented as a right fold:

```
foldl k z xs = foldr (\v fn z → fn (k z v)) id xs z
```

The other code:

```
[x..y] = build (\c n → fromToFB c n x y)
build g = g (:) []
fromToFB c n x0 y =
  let go x = x 'c' (if x == y then n else go (x+1))
  in go x0
```

The rewrite rule:

```
{-# RULES foldr c n (build g) == g c n #-}
```